Population structure and fishing of the greasyback shrimp (*Metapenaeus ensis*, De Haan, 1844) by bag net in a coastal river of the Mekong Delta, Vietnam
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The greasyback shrimp (*Metapenaeus ensis*) was studied in My Thanh River in Soc Trang Province, in the coastal region of the Mekong Delta in 2010. The bag net was used in the study; it had conducted six rounds of sampling (February, April, June, August, October, and December) at six stations along 30 km of river from the estuary at 6 km intervals. Besides, survey data also involved 36 fishermen, who are operating the bag net. Results showed that *M. ensis* was caught year-round. Carapace length (CL) was in the range 14.0 to 35.5 mm, but only 8% of *M. ensis* were in the largest cohort (CL > 28.3 to 35.5 mm), which was observed in June to August, whereas 36% were in the smallest cohort (14.0 to 21.1 mm) in August to February. The remaining 56% of shrimp, with CL 21.2 to 28.3 mm, CL and yield showed very weak correlations with salinity, depth, and transparency \((R^2\) ranging from -0.036 to 0.36). Yield was affected by time of year (different months) and was higher in the rainy season than in the dry season \((P < 0.05)\). However, it did not differ across the various localities along the river \((P > 0.05)\). The proportion of females was affected by various stations along the river during the various seasons \((P < 0.01)\).
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**INTRODUCTION**

The greasyback shrimp (*Metapenaeus ensis*) is a commercially important, brackish-water crustacean species (Chu et al., 1995), widely distributed in many Asian countries (Chu and So, 1987). It is a bottom-living species and can be found inshore at depths of < 3 m and offshore at depths of > 65 m. It occurs on muddy bottoms in estuaries and coastal waters, including river, canals, and swamps (Ministry of Fisheries, 1996; Holthuis, 1980), at salinities of 5 to 30 ppt (Kungvankij and Chua, 1986).

There is high demand for this species in Vietnamese markets and in many countries throughout the world because of the high quality of its meat (Liao and Chao, 1983). In Vietnam, *M. ensis* is exploited at various life stages, from the post larval to sub-adult and adult stages, in the brackish waters of coastal area (rivers, canals, and shrimp farms in mangroves) with many kinds of small-scale equipment, including barrier nets, cast nets, trap nets, and bag nets. The bag net is commonly used to catch *M. ensis* in rivers.

The bag net is artisanal equipment that has been used for a long time, and contributes significantly to enhancing the incomes and domestic daily food supplies of local...
Aquatic resources have an important function for rural communities in the Mekong Delta, which has high-density canal and river networks (Hung, 2009); the region is considered to have great potential for the development of natural aquatic resources (Ministry of Fisheries, 1996).

M. ensis has been exploited for several generations in this region, but the dynamics and yields of this species are unknown, scientific information is limited by lack of research. The fishing activities of fishermen depend on their experience, but information about this species is not underpinned by scientific data. The aim of study was to determine the population structure and the effects of environmental, temporal (month), and spatial (depth, distance from the estuary) parameters on the size, yield, and sex ratios of M. ensis in the context of fishing with bag nets in a coastal river. The results should provide initial information about M. ensis for fisheries managers, so that they can establish programs that will protect and develop this species in a large proportion of fisheries in the coastal region of Vietnam.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Time and location**

The study was performed in February to December, 2010, in Soc Trang Province, it is located at 8°30′ to 11°N, 104°30′ to 107°E in the coastal region of the Mekong Delta of Vietnam (Figure 1). The My Thanh is the main river of this province; the river has a muddy bottom and transparency of 1.0 to 5.0 cm (Department of Natural Resources and Environment of Soc Trang, 2009). Besides, this river is also affected both of brackish water from the estuary and freshwater moving downstream in the Mekong River, so the ecology of the river changes with the seasons (Hung, 2009). The width and depth of the river are shown in Figure 2.

**Materials of the study**

The bag net is the fishing equipment used in the river, in places with currents at ebb tide, when water moves downstream. Each bag net is fixed at a specific site and the net is supported by two columns Figure 3. Each net is designed so that the mesh size decreases in 7 to 8 m sections along the length of the net, with the largest mesh at the mouth of the net (2a = 3.5 cm), becoming gradually smaller to the end of the net (3, 2.5, 2, and 1.5 cm at the cod end). The total length of the bag net is 26.5 to 32 m, with a height of 5.5 to 6 m and width of 10 to 11 m.

The distance between two rows of bag nets is 1 km, and each row of bag nets contains many bag nets, it extends across the river, number of bag-nets depend on the width of the river (transect), often with 5 to 23 bag nets per the row net (transect).

The salinity of the river is also affected by the distance from the estuary, because there is an interaction between the salinity from the estuary moving upstream on the flood tide and the freshwater flow downstream at the ebb tide, salinity in different locations along river is shown in Figure 4.
Data analysis

Population structure and the catch size were determined with a cumulative normal probability function: A kernel density plot was used to determine the population structure based on CL (mm). It was assumed that CL was normally distributed. The normal density probability function based on two parameters, CL (with mean $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma$) and the density probability (with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^2$), let’s $X$ is variable (CL).

$$P(X = x | \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right)$$

To model CL as continuous data, the random variables must be defined as taking the value of any real number. Because there are infinitely many numbers infinitely close, the probability of any particular value will be zero, the cumulative distribution function can be defined and it has the relationship (Tuan, 2007):

$$\text{pnorm}(x, \text{mean}, \text{sd}) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(x)\,dx = p(X \leq x) \text{mean, sd}$$

In this study, $x$ is shrimp size (CL), which was caught at the optimal size, the interval values of $x$ and the probability that the shrimp will attain that size (Dalgaard, 2002).

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(x)\,dx \text{ or } 1 - p = \int_{x}^{\infty} f(x)\,dx$$

Variations in CL of M. ensis in different months in the My Thanh river evaluated with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA): Let’s CL (mm) of shrimp $F^k$ in month $j$ ($j = \text{February, April, June, August, October, and December}$) is $x^j_i$, $\bar{x}_i$ is the mean for group $i$; $\bar{\bar{x}}_j$ is the grand mean CL (average of all observations); and $\bar{x}_j$ is the mean CL (mm) for month $j$. The observations can be decomposed as $x^j_i = \bar{x}_j + (\bar{x}_j - \bar{x}) + (x^j_i - \bar{x}_j)$, where $(\bar{x}_j - \bar{x})$ is the deviation of the group mean from the grand mean and $(x^j_i - \bar{x}_j)$ is the deviation of the observation from the group mean, informally corresponding to the model: $x^j_i = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon^j_{i}$, $\varepsilon^j_{i} \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

For the six times that M. ensis was sampled in the My Thanh river:

- February: $x^j_i = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon^j_i$
- April: $x^j_i = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon^j_i$
- June: $x^j_i = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon^j_i$
- August: $x^j_i = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon^j_i$
- October: $x^j_i = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon^j_i$
- December: $x^j_i = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon^j_i$

The hypothesis that all the groups are the same implies that all $\alpha_i$ values are zero. Note that the error term, $\varepsilon^j_{i}$, is assumed to be independent and has the same variance, because it is based on the least squares method, to estimate $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\alpha}_i$ with

$$\sum (x^j_i - \bar{x}_j)^2$$

at minimize.

The total sum of the squares of all sampling during the study:

$$SST = \sum \sum (x^j_i - \bar{x}_j)^2$$

The sum of the squares between months:

**Figure 4.** Salinity of the My Thanh river, Cai Lon River, and Vinh Chau canal at various distances from the estuary for each month of the year (Statistical Book of Soc Trang Province, 2009).
Figure 5. Density plots of *M. ensis* CL (mm) in the My Thanh river for six sampling periods.

For the linear regression equation: \( \hat{Y}_i = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}_1 x_1 + \hat{\beta}_2 x_2 + \ldots + \hat{\beta}_k x_k \), with \( k + 1 \) parameters \( \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2, \ldots, \hat{\beta}_k \), and the residual sum of squares

\[ RSS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2, \]

where \( n \) is quantity sampled,

\[ AIC = \log \left( \frac{RSS}{n} \right) + \frac{2k}{n}. \]

The independent relationships between CL and each environmental parameter, such as salinity, transparency, depth, and the distance of the stations along the river, were also investigated with linear regression and correlation analyses.

Sex ratios of *M. ensis* in the My Thanh river according to month and site: The fluctuations in the sex ratios in the various months of the year and at the various stations along the river were investigated in the study.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Population structure and catch size estimated with a cumulative normal probability function

The range of CL for *M. ensis* was 14.0 to 35.5 mm, with varying frequencies in different months, as shown in Figure 5. The occurrence of *M. ensis* with a CL < 21.1 mm was high in October to December (rainy season), whereas shrimp with CL > 28.3 mm appeared in February to August (dry to early rainy season).

Proportion of CL of *M. ensis* was shown in Figure 6. The largest cohort class was the optimal size (CL 28.3 to 35.5 mm), and contained approximately 8% of individuals. The probability of fishermen catching shrimp with CL > 28.3 mm was 0.16 according to the normal density probability function for *M. ensis* as shown in Figure 7. This size cohort commands higher prices than the other two size classes. Of the remaining shrimp, 82% were ≤ 28.3 mm CL, and command a lower price. In Vietnam, price of *M. ensis* is often according to classical sizes: small (CL 14.0 to 21 mm), medium (> 21.1 to 28.3 mm), large (> 28.3 mm) are priced at US$2.0 to 2.5, US$2.6 to 4.0 and US$4.2 to 5.5 per kg respectively (in 2010).

Results showed that smaller *M. ensis* (CL < 23.1 mm) were abundant from August to February, with the highest peak in October to December (rainy season) (Figure 5), whereas the highest peak of natural shrimp recruitment in the mangrove region of the Mekong Delta is July to August (Johnston et al., 2000). The penaeid shrimp is high recruitment peak during spawning in February to March in the coastal waters of the Mekong Delta (Binh and Lin, 1995). According to Johnston et al. (2000) that *M. ensis* is caught throughout the whole year, with an average recruitment density of 0.12 ± 0.02 postlarvae/m³ in the southern Vietnam.

CL was smallest size in October to December (CL < 21.3 mm), which may be the main recruitment period for
M. ensis in this region (Figure 5). Another study in Southern Korea showed that the spawning season of M. joyneri is June to August, with a peak in July (Cha et al., 2004). Furthermore, mature shrimp are found all year, with the lowest yield in the winter months, and most abundant in spring and autumn, whereas the immature forms are found most abundantly in February and October (Cheung, 1964). A study in China also found that recruits begin to enter the catches in April to May and grow during June to August, and a smaller autumn recruitment occurs in October (Cheung, 1964).

It can confirm that the recruitment of M. ensis occurred many times a year, the frequencies differ across various months. The relationships between BW, TL, and CL in the present study were shown in (Figure 8). A study in the Pearl River of China found that the size of M. ensis is 1.0 to 15.7 g/individual (mean 7.5 ± 3.5 g/individual) for females and 1.5 to 11.8 g/individual (5.5 ± 2.5 g/individual) for males (Chu et al., 1995).

Result also found that all of the shrimp sampled were immature stages, which is consistent with study in both Hong Kong (Leung, 1997) and the Pearl River (Chu et al., 1995). This is attributed to low salinity, whereas the mature stage of this species is found at high rates in Australia at salinities of 33 to 34 ppt (Crocos et al., 2001).

Variations in CL of M. ensis in different months in the My Thanh river, according to one-way ANOVA

With n = 8714 and k = 6, the results of ANOVA showed that SST, SSB, SSW, and MSB were 129.606, 38.173, 91.433, 10, and 7635, respectively, and F = 727.12 (P < 0.0001). \( \hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2, \hat{\mu}_3, \hat{\mu}_4, \hat{\mu}_5, \) and \( \hat{\mu}_6 \) were 23.71, 0.148, 2.44, 0.52, -4.17, and -2.28, respectively. There were significant differences in the CL of M. ensis at the six sampling times, result of Tukey's HSD test for each month are shown in Table 1.

The Mekong Delta is a tropical region, the temperature remains stable throughout the year at 29 to 31°C (Department of Natural Resources and Environment of Soc Trang, 2009), whereas the salinity of the My Thanh River fluctuates greatly between the dry and rainy seasons (Figure 4). This area becomes a freshwater region from June to December at 20 to 60 km from the estuary. Cheung (1964) also found that M. ensis occurs in both freshwater and brackish water in the Pearl River in China, because it has a wide salinity tolerance (Kungvankij and Chua, 1986). It confirmed that M. ensis is widely distributed (Figure 9), and can exist under wide environmental fluctuations, including in salinity, depth, transparency, and proximity to estuarine.

The principal issue for their sustainability is recruitment, which depends on the brood stock, habitats and fishing activities of fishermen.

Yield (kg/month/bag net) of M. ensis in the My Thanh River over time and with distance from the estuary

The yields of M. ensis were shown in Figure 9. The
Table 1. CL (mm) of *M. ensis* was test by TukeyHSD in various months from sampling in My Thanh river.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr - Feb</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>-0.224</td>
<td>0.521</td>
<td>0.866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun - Feb</td>
<td>2.443</td>
<td>2.061</td>
<td>2.826</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug - Feb</td>
<td>0.528</td>
<td>0.1978</td>
<td>0.859</td>
<td>0.7x10^-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct - Feb</td>
<td>-4.174</td>
<td>-4.529</td>
<td>-3.819</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec - Feb</td>
<td>-2.283</td>
<td>-2.653</td>
<td>-1.914</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun - Apr</td>
<td>2.295</td>
<td>1.917</td>
<td>2.673</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug - Apr</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct - Apr</td>
<td>-4.323</td>
<td>-4.672</td>
<td>-3.973</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec - Apr</td>
<td>-2.432</td>
<td>-2.796</td>
<td>-2.068</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug - Jun</td>
<td>-1.915</td>
<td>-2.252</td>
<td>-1.578</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct - Jun</td>
<td>-6.618</td>
<td>-6.978</td>
<td>-6.257</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec - Jun</td>
<td>-4.727</td>
<td>-5.102</td>
<td>-4.352</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct - Aug</td>
<td>-4.702</td>
<td>-5.007</td>
<td>-4.398</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec - Aug</td>
<td>-2.812</td>
<td>-3.133</td>
<td>-2.490</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec - Oct</td>
<td>1.891</td>
<td>1.545</td>
<td>2.237</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. Average yield of *M. ensis* exploited by bag net, derived from survey data in the My Thanh river over a period of 12 months (a), and with distance from the estuary (b).

Shrimp yield was higher in the rainy season (July to December) than in the dry season (January to June), it was significantly different seasons (*P* < 0.001), whereas the yields were not significantly different among stations (*P* > 0.05), which implies that yields of *M. ensis* caught at the same time (month) at any of these 6 stations were not significantly different (*P* > 0.05).

The highest peak of yield was November and December in the Mekong Delta, whereas a study in Australia found that *M. ensis* is most abundant in spring, from September to November (Crocos et al., 2001). It could be explained that the yield of this species remains constant throughout the year and the peak fishing season is unclear, depending on the physical and chemical environmental characteristics of each location.

The yield of *M. ensis* was higher in rainy months than in dry months, because the tidal regime in the rainy season fluctuates widely (Figure 10). *M. ensis* migrates more when the tidal regime shows high fluctuations (Garcia, 1985). According to the fishermen, the yield of shrimp was unstable across the days of the month, depending on the lunar cycle, and was often high for about six days per month (14th, 15th, 16th, 29th, 30th and 1st within the month). On these days, the yield of *M. ensis* was 7 to 9 times higher than on other days, because the water levels fluctuated maximally between high and low tides and migration often follows these conditions (Garcia, 1991).

There is conflict in fishing activities and income of fishermen, when the shrimp had attained the optimal size (CL > 28.3 mm) in April to August, it was low yield and low income for fishermen (Figure 8), whereas *M. ensis*
Figure 10. Tidal regime in a coastal region of the Mekong Delta (Southern Hydrographic Center of Vietnam, 2010).

Table 2. Optimal models can be used to predict CL(mm) of shrimp in My Thanh river.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL ~ salinity + km + transparency + depth (1)</td>
<td>21,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL ~ salinity + km + depth</td>
<td>46,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL ~ salinity + depth</td>
<td>47,013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL ~ Km + depth</td>
<td>48,150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were abundant (November to December), most of them were small and sold low price (Figure 5). Therefore, fishermen must increase their fishing activities as prolong the fishing time, the mesh size of the net is designed smaller than compare with regulations, this problem caused a waste of natural shrimp and affected sustainable development.

The survey results also showed that the yield of *M. ensis* has decreased rapidly in recent years, many reasons have been considered including mangrove clearance, narrow habits, overfishing, and urbanization (Johnston et al., 2000; Hong, 1996), the decline in fisheries resources has implications for millions of coastal families that depend on the fisheries for their food and livelihoods in these developing countries (Ablan, 2006).

Multiple linear regression analysis of factors affecting the CL of *M. ensis* in the My Thanh River

Salinity, depth, transparency, and the various positions along the river have affected to CL of *M. ensis*, when analyzed with the interactive multiple model shown in Table 2. Results found that four of these variables accounted for about 18.5% of the variance in CL ($R^2 = 0.19$), although these variables were significantly different ($P < 0.001$). Equation (1) is considered the optimal model because its $AIC$ value was lowest for the linear regression analysis of the factors in the My Thanh River:

$$CL = -5.1 + 0.34 \times \text{salinity} + 3.8 \times \text{transparency} + 1.56 \times \text{depth} + 0.09 \times \text{distance}$$

(1)

However, independent analyses were made of the correlation between CL and each factor (salinity, depth, distance of the sampling site and transparency) as shown in Figure 11. These results confirmed that the CL of *M. ensis* was not strongly affected by these factors and the correlation coefficient $R^2$ was low between CL and each independent variable.

Sex ratios of *M. ensis* in the My Thanh river in different months and at different sites

The sex ratios of *M. ensis* were shown in Figure 12, female rates were higher than the male rates ($P < 0.05$) at the various positions of the bag nets along the river in August, October, and December (rainy season), but there were no significant differences in these proportions among the stations ($P > 0.05$). The proportions of females and males were equal in February, April, and June (dry season). The proportion of females was higher than that of males (females 60% and males 40%) at various positions on the river between the estuary and
Figure 11. Correlation between CL (mm) of *M. ensis* and salinity (ppt), depth (m), distance from the estuary (km), and transparency (cm).

Figure 12. Ratios of female to male of *M. ensis* with distance from the estuary, and for various months of the year.

30 km upstream.

In February and June, the sex ratio was 1:1, whereas in other months, the females constituted 60 to 63% and the males 37 to 40%. However, the sex ratio for males: females are 1:2.3 in the Pearl River, China (Chu et al., 1995).

**Conclusion**

*M. ensis* was widely distributed and tolerated high fluctuation of environment, it was caught year around, the yield in rainy was higher than in dry season, CL in dry season was larger than in rainy season, most of shrimp were caught in post larval and sub-adult stages, so it has with low price in market, this problem caused by waste natural resources and effect to sustainable development.
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