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Genetic structure among the local chicken ecotypes of Tanzania based on microsatellite DNA typing
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A study was conducted to evaluate the genetic structure of local chicken ecotypes of Tanzania using 20 polymorphic microsatellite DNA markers. A standard PCR was followed by manual genotyping (6% native polyacrylamide gel visualized by silver staining). Phylogenetic analysis of 13 individuals from each of the nine ecotypes named Ching’wekwe, Kuchi, Mbeya, Morogoro-medium, N’zenzegere, Pemba, Singamagazi, Unguja and a White Leghorn breed was performed. The ten populations assorted into nine clusters with chickens from the same ecotype often clustering together. It is concluded that there is high genetic relatedness within indigenous chicken ecotype than between ecotypes. This offers a basic step towards rational decision-making on the modalities of selective breeding without compromising the existence of each unique genetic resource.
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INTRODUCTION

The diversity of the local chickens reported is mostly on phenotypes including adult body weight, egg weight, reproduction performance and immune responses to various diseases (Gueye, 1998; Msoffe et al., 2001, 2004). Limited reports have addressed the genetic diversity of the local chickens (Horst, 1988; van Marle-Koster and Nel, 2000; Wimmers et al., 2000). DNA based typing methods provide a rapid and reliable method for differentiating individuals in a genetically diverse population (Bidwell, 1994; Parham and Ohta, 1996). Among the DNA methods, microsatellite DNA typing has provided better and reliable results (van Marle-Koster and Nel, 2000; Wimmers et al., 2000). Microsatellites are highly polymorphic and abundant molecular markers that are easily typed using PCR and scored on an electrophoresis gel (Rincon et al., 2000).

Accurate determination of the genetic variations within animal species is a fundamental step towards conservation of the animal genetic resources (Oldenbroek, 1999). Therefore, this study aimed at establishing the genetic structure of the local chickens from the nine ecotypes based on manual microsatellite DNA typing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 130 (13 from each population) genomic DNA samples were extracted by salt method (Sambrook et al., 1989) from nine free-range local chicken ecotypes of Tanzania and one reference population White Leghorn (DAN). The description of the chickens was done earlier (Msoffe et al., 2001, 2004). The chicken ecotypes were locally named Ching’wekwe (CHIN), Mbeya (MBEY), Morogoro-medium (MORO), Kuchi (KUCH), Singamagazi (SING), N’zenzegere (FRIZ), Pemba (PEMB), Tanga (TANG), and Unguja (UNGU). A four-letter or three-letter for White Leghorn (shown in brackets) code was assigned for each population for easy data handling.

Twenty microsatellites markers were chosen from the public genome database of the Roslin Institute (http://www.ri.bbsrc.ac.uk/cgi-bin/microsatellite/microsearch.pl) (LEI0217, LEI0234, LEI0214, LEI0248, LEI0237, LEI0193, LEI0040, LEI0093, LEI0043, and LEI0258), nine from the population tester kit (http://poultry.mph.msu.edu) (ADL0102, 10).
Table 1. Placement of individual local chickens into clusters resulting from individual chicken dendogram for the local chicken ecotypes of Tanzania.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ecotypes</th>
<th>Clusters</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ching'wekwe</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuchi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singamagazi</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unguja</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pemba</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanga</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N'zenzegere</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mbeya</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morogoro-M*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Leghorn</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Morogoro-medium ecotype.

ADL0136, ADL0158, ADL0171, ADL0172, ADL0176, ADL0181, ADL0210, and ADL0267) and one microsatellite marker (MCW005) from the MCW markers (Crooijmans et al., 1994). A standard PCR was performed on a PTC-100 thermocycler (MJ research Inc, USA) following the published protocol for each marker. Each 25 µl reaction mixture contained 50 ng template DNA, 200 µM of each dNTP, 10X PCR buffer (100 mM Tris-HCL, 50 mM KCL, 0.01% gelatin and 0.25% Tween 20), 1 µM of each primer pair, 5 units/µl Taq polymerase, and MgCl₂ (0.625 – 2.5 mM). An initial denaturation step at 94°C - 96°C was followed by 30 to 35 cycles at 94°C/96°C for 1 min, appropriate annealing temperature (46 - 62°C) for 30 s to 2 min, extension at 72°C for 1 to 3 min and finally a 10 min extension at 72°C. Each DNA sample was run through all the 20 primer pairs. Amplicons were electrophoresed on 6% native polyacrylamide gels (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) using a Mini-Protean II® (Bio-Rad Italy,) equipment for 40 min at 20 V/cm and visualized by silver staining (Rapley and McDonald, 1992). A standard size marker, step ladder (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis USA) was included in each run. The size (bp) of the amplicons was determined using TotalLab® version 1.10 (Nonlinear dynamics, Newcastle, UK). The data on amplicon size were organized using microsatellite toolkit embedded in Microsoft Excel® (Park, 2001). The data were analysed using DISPAN, MICROSAT, PHYLIP and TREEVIEW programmes. A distance matrix for all 130 individuals was generated by MICROAT program, processed by PHYLIP program and a dendogram was built using individual birds as operational taxonomic units.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The genetic structure of the local chicken ecotypes is shown in Figure 1. Nine clusters (A to I) were formed with most chickens from same ecotypes clustering together. Hundred percent (13/13) (Table 1) of chickens from the Mbeya, Tanga ecotypes and the White Leghorn clustered in the F, B and E clusters respectively. Eleven (84.6%) of the 13 chickens from Ching'wekwe ecotype clustered in the A cluster, while 77% (10/13) of chickens from the Kuchi ecotype clustered together (cluster C) with the remaining 23% clustering in cluster I. Chickens from the Morogoro-medium and Pemba ecotypes had each 69% (9/13) of individuals clustering together (cluster G and D, respectively). Individuals from the N'zenzegere ecotype were located on clusters B (54%) and G (46%) while those from the Unguja ecotype were found in clusters C (54%) and D (38.5%). Cluster I was assigned to seven birds of which two belonged to the Ching'wekwe and Pemba ecotypes each and three belonged to the Kuchi ecotypes.

These findings indicate the existence of genotypes within each ecotype. Nonetheless, being outbred populations, the sharing of genetic materials between ecotypes was equally evident (Figure 1). With the majority of chickens clustering within ecotypes, there is further indication for limited interbreeding between the ecotypes due to their geographical separation or preferential mate selection. Other studies (Chenyambuga et al., 2002), attributed the distinctness between one local breed of goat (Newala) and the rest to the lack of communication between Newala and other parts of Tanzania. This study contributes to the body of existing knowledge on local chickens genetics (van Marle-Koster and Nel, 2000; Wimmers et al., 2000).

The current study has established the possibility for genetic uniqueness between the local chicken ecotypes. However, these preliminary results should be interpreted with caution because among other things, the number of birds, the number of loci as the well as the manual scoring of microsatellites were potential sources of errors. Further studies using more birds per population and more microsatellite markers especially those recommended by FAO are necessary to validate the current findings. The current study offers the basic step towards rational decision-making on the modalities of selective breeding.
Figure 1. A Neighbour-Joining tree based on allele sharing distances among 130 individual local chicken ecotypes of Tanzania and White Leghorn breed.
Note: A – I represent different clusters.
without compromising the existence of each unique genetic resource.
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