The International Journal for Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research (IJBMBR) (ISSN 2141-2154) is published Monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

International Journal for Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research (IJBMBR) provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as Green energy from chemicals and bio-wastes, Studies in the graft copolymerization of acrylonitrile onto cassava starch by ceric ion induced initiation, Antimutagenic activity of aqueous extract of Momordica charantia, Ethnomedicinal plants and other natural products with anti-HIV active compounds and their putative modes of action etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in IJBMBR are peer-reviewed.

Submission of Manuscript

Please read the Instructions for Authors before submitting your manuscript. The manuscript files should be given the last name of the first author

Click here to Submit manuscripts online

If you have any difficulty using the online submission system, kindly submit via this email ijbmbr@academicjournals.org.

With questions or concerns, please contact the Editorial Office at ijbmbr@academicjournals.org.
Editors

Prof. Atagana, Harrison
Institute for Science and Technology Education
University of South Africa

Prof. UC Banerjee
Department of Pharmaceutical Technology
(Biotechnology)
National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research
Punjab, INDIA

Dr. Y. Omidi
Faculty of Pharmacy,
Research Center for Pharmaceutical Nanotechnology,
School of Advanced Biomedical Sciences,
Tabriz University of Medical Sciences,
Tabriz, Iran.

Prof. Mohamed E. Wagih
University of New Brunswick (UNB-SJ),
Saint John College, NB,
E2L 4L5, Canada

Dr. Sripada M. Udupa
ICARDA-INRA Cooperative Research Project,
International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), B.P. 6299,
Rabat Instituts, Rabat, Morocco

Dr. Amjad Masood Husaini
Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology
Boholchhipora, Dr. Ali Jan Road,
Nowshera, Srinagar, J&K-190011, India

Dr. Om Prakash Gupta
Directorate of Wheat Research (ICAR)
Post Box-158, A
grasain Marg, Karnal-132001,
Haryana, India

Editorial Board

Dr. Amro Hanora
Suez Canal University, Department of Microbiology and Immunology,
Faculty of Pharmacy, Suez Canal University,
Box 41522 Ismailia, Egypt

Dr. C. Rajasekaran
VIT University
School of Bio-Sciences & Technology (SBST)

Dr. Yasar Karadag
Gaziosmanpasa University
Faculty of Agriculture,
Department of Field Crops, Tokat-Turkey

Dr. Ahmet Tutus
KSU (Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam University)
Faculty of Forestry,
Department of Forest Industrial Engineering,
Kahramanmaras 46100 Turkey

Dr. Vinod Joshi
Desert Medicine Research Centre,
Indian Council of Medical Research
New Pali Road, Jodhpur, India

Dr. Eshrat Gharaei Fathabad
K.M.18 Khazarabad road,
Sari, Mazandaran, Iran

Dr. Shashideep Singhal
121 Dekalb Ave, Brooklyn,
NY 11201, New York, USA

Dr. Masayoshi Yamaguchi
101 Woodruff Circle, 1305 WMRB,
Atlanta, Georgia 30322-0001, USA

Dr. Okonko Iheanyi Omezuruike
Department of Virology,
Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences,
College of Medicine,
University College Hospital,
Ibadan, Nigeria

Dr. S. M. Shahid
University of Karachi,
Karachi-75270, Pakistan
| Prof. Reda Helmy Sammour  
**Botany Department,**  
**Faculty of Science,**  
**Tanta University,**  
**Tanta, Egypt** |
| Dr. Premendra D. Dwivedi  
**Food Toxicology Division,**  
**Room No 303,**  
P.O. Box 80,  
M. G. Road, Lucknow-226001, UP, India |
| Dr. Amro Hanora  
**Microbiology and Immunology department,**  
**Faculty of Pharmacy,**  
**Suez Canal University,**  
Box 41522 Ismailia, Egypt |
| Dr. Taminadu  
1501 N. Campbell Ave  
Tucson, AZ 85724 India |
| Dr. Yadollah Omidi  
**Faculty of Pharmacy,**  
**Tabriz University of Medical Sciences,**  
**Daneshghah St., Tabriz, Iran** |
| Dr. Mohsen Selim Asker  
**National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo,**  
**Egypt** |
| Dr. Fanuel Lampiao  
P.O.Box 360, Blantyre, Malawi |
| Prof. Mohamed E. Wagih  
**Saint John, NB, E2L 4L5, Canada** |
| Dr. Santosh Kumar Singh  
**Centre of Experimental Medicine and Surgery,**  
**Institute of Medical Sciences,**  
**Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India** |
| Dr. Zhanhuan Shang  
No.768, Jiayuguan West Road,  
Lanzhou City, Gansu Province, China |
| Dr. Worlanyo Eric Gato  
**Southern Illinois University – Carbondale,**  
**1245 Lincoln Dr, 144 Neckers, Carbondale IL 62901** |
| Dr. Chun Chen  
**College of Life Sciences,**  
**China Jiliang University**  
Xueyuan Street, Xiasha, Hangzhou,  
Zhejiang Province, PR China |
| Dr. Efthimios J.Karadimas  
**LGI, Leeds NHS Trust 10th Timoleontos Vassou str,**  
11521, Athens Greece |
| Dr. Samuel Toba Anjorin  
**University of Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria** |
| Dr. Rupali Agnihotri  
**Department of Periodontics,**  
**Manipal college of Dental Sciences,**  
**Manipal,576104. Karnataka. India** |
| Dr. Mahbuba Begum  
**Tuber Crops Research Centre,**  
Joydebpur, Gajipur-1701, Bangladesh |
| Prof. S. Mohan Karuppayil  
**School of Life Sciences**  
**Srtm University**  
**Nanded. MS. India** |
| Dr. Neveen Helmy Aboelsoud  
**Complementary Medicine Researches and Application Department**  
**National Research Center, Cairo**  
**Egypt.** |
| Dr. D.E. Chandrashekar Rao  
**National Research Council,**  
**Plant Biotechnology Institute**  
**Canada** |
| Dr. Nikolaos Papanas  
**Democritus University of Thrace**  
G. Kondyli 22, Alexandroupolis, Greece |
| Dr. Sivakumar Swaminathan  
**Iowa State University**  
**USA** |
| Dr. El Sayed Hussein El Sayed Ziedan  
**National Research Centre,**  
**Plant Pathology Department**  
**Tahrir St.,Dokki Cairo,**  
**Egypt** |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Chethan Kumar M</td>
<td>Post Graduate Departments of Bio-technology and Biochemistry,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ooty Road, Mysore - 570 025, Karnataka, India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. M. Sattari</td>
<td>Rice Research Ins. of Iran Iran</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Zaved Ahmed Khan</td>
<td>VIT University India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Subbiah Poopathi</td>
<td>Vector Control Research Centre Indian Council of Medical Research (Ministry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of Health &amp; Family Welfare, Govt. of India) Medical Complex, Indira Nagar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Reyazul Rouf Mir</td>
<td>International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patancheru - 502 324, Greater Hyderabad, India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Prasanna Kumar S</td>
<td>Virginia Commonwealth University, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Naseem Ahmad</td>
<td>Plant Biotechnology Laboratory Department of Botany Aligarh Muslim University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aligarh- 202 002, (UP) India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Zhen-Xing Tang</td>
<td>Food Bioengineering institute, Hangzhou Wahaha Co. Ltd, Hangzhou, Zhejiang,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Jayanthi Abraham</td>
<td>VIT (Vellore Institute of Technology) University, Tamilnadu, India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Gobianand Kuppannan</td>
<td>National Institute of Animal Science South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. R. Harikrishnan</td>
<td>Jeju National University South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Asit Ranjan Ghosh</td>
<td>Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT) University, School of Bio Sciences &amp;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Technology, Medical Biotechnology Division, Vellore-632014, India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Kamal Dev</td>
<td>Shoolini University of Biotechnology and Management Sciences (SUBMS) India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Wichian Sittiprapaporn</td>
<td>Mahasarakham University Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Vijai Kumar Gupta</td>
<td>Molecular Glycobiotechnology Group, Department of Biochemistry, School of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural Sciences, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Jeffy George</td>
<td>Department of Microbiology and Immunology F. Edward Hébert School of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medicine Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences 4301 Jones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bridge Road, Bethesda, MD 20814 USA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Gyanendra Singh</td>
<td>Stanley S. Scott Cancer Center, School of Medicine, Louisiana State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University Health Sciences Center New Orleans, LA 70112, USA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Anupreet Kour</td>
<td>1620 Chevy Chase Dr. Champaign, IL 61821 USA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dr. Arun Sharma  
Institute for Plant Genomics and Biotechnology (IPGB)  
Borlaug Center,  
TAMU 2123  
Texas A&M University  
College Station, TX 77843  
USA.

Dr. Mohsen Asker  
Microbial Biotechnology Dept.  
National Research Centre  
Cairo,  
Egypt.

Dr. Elijah Miinda Ateka  
Department of Horticulture,  
Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology (JKUAT)  
Kenya.

Dr. Jozélio Freire De Carvalho  
Faculdade de Medicina Da USP, Reumatologia  
Av. Dr. Arnaldo, 455 - 3º andar – Sala 3133.  
São Paulo - SP  
Brazil.

Dr. Premendra Dhar Dwivedi  
Food Toxicology Division  
Industrial Institute of Toxicology Research,  
Post Box No: 80, Mahatma Gandhi Marg,  
Lucknow 226001,  
India.

Dr. Muhammad Abd El-Moez El-Saadani  
Universities and Research Center District,  
New Borg El-Arab,  
P.O.Box: 21934 Alexandria,  
Egypt.

Dr. Donald J. Ferguson  
Advanced Orthodontic Training Program,  
Nicolas & Asp University College  
Dubai,  
UAE.

Dr. Kalyan Goswami  
Department of Biochemistry & JB Tropical Disease Research Centre,  
Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Medical Sciences,  
Sevagram, Wardha-442102

Dr. A.K. Handa  
National Research Centre for Agroforestry,  
Gwalior Road, JHANSI-284003 UP  
India.

Dr. Amjad M. Husaini  
Metabolic Engineering & Biotechnology Laboratory Division of Plant Breeding & Genetics  
Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir  
J&K-191121,  
India.

Dr. Vinod Joshi  
Laboratory of Virology & Molecular Biology,  
Desert Medicine Research Centre,  
Pali Road, Jodhpur-342 005,  
India.

Dr. T. Kalaivani  
D/O S. Thiagarajan  
B-43, Rajaram Nagar,  
Salem - 636 007,  
Tamil Nadu, India.

Dr. Priya Kalia  
Orthopaedic Research Unit,  
Department of Surgery,  
Cambridge University, Cambridge,  
UK.

Dr. Patricia Khashayar  
Tehran University of Medical Sciences  
Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center  
Shariati Hospital.

Dr. Zaringhalam Moghadam  
Shahid Beheshti Medical University (M.C)  
Tehran,  
Iran.

Dr. Okeke Ikechukwu Linus  
Department of Surgery, University of Ibadan  
Nigeria.

Dr. Rajesh Kumar Patel  
Centre for Analysis and Learning in Livestock and Food (CALF)  
National Dairy Development Board (NDDB)  
Anand- 388 001 (Gujarat)  
INDIA.
Dr. Pooja Ralli-Jain  
Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine  
University of California Irvine,  
Irvine, California,  
U.S.A.

Dr. Meltem Sesli  
College of Tobacco Expertise,  
Turkish Republic, Celal Bayar University 45210,  
Akhisar, Manisa,  
Turkey

Dr. Reda H. Sammour  
Tanta University, Faculty of Science, Tanta,  
Egypt

Dr. Seyed Soheil Saeedi Saravi  
Mazandaran University of Medical sciences, Sari,  
Iran

Dr. R. Senthil Kumar  
St. Matthew’s University, School of Medicine  
Grand Cayman  
Cayman Islands

Dr. Mohammad Reza Shakibaie  
Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman,  
Iran

Dr. Srividya Shivakumar  
Dept of Microbiology,  
CPGS, Jain university,  
Bangalore

Dr. Shashideep Singhal  
The Brooklyn Hospital Center  
NewYork-Presbyterian Healthcare System  
Brooklyn,  
NY.

Dr. Sripada M. Udupa  
International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA),  
B.P. 6299, Rabat Instituts, Rabat,  
Morocco.

Dr. Wei Wu  
Institute for Biocomplexity and Informatics  
Department of Bio Science  
The University of Calgary  
Canada

Dr. Xiao-Bing Zhang  
Molecular Regeneration Laboratory, MC1528B  
11234 Anderson Street  
Loma Linda, CA 92350

Prof. Dr. Ozfer Yesilada  
Inonu University  
Faculty of Arts and Sciences  
Department of Biology  
44280 Malatya  
Turkey

Dr. Edson Boasquevisque  
Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro- UERJ  
Av 28 de setembro, 87, fundos (LMMC-IBRAG).  
Vila Isabel, city: Rio de Janeiro/ RJ  
Brasil

Dr. Abhilash M.  
The Oxford College of Engineering  
Hosur Road, Bangalore - 560068

Dr. Nasar Uddin Ahmed  
Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding  
Patuakhali Science and Technology University  
Dumki, Patuakhali-8602  
Bangladesh

Dr. Mervat Morsy EL- Gendy  
Chemistry of Natural and Microbial Products  
Department,  
National Research Center, Dokki, Cairo,  
Egypt

Dr. Gjumrakch Aliev  
Health Science and Healthcare Administration Program,  
University of Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia,  
USA

Dr. Muhammad Asgher  
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry,  
University of Agriculture,  
Faisalabad,  
Pakistan

Dr. Anand Bharatkumar  
Parul Institute of Pharmacy, Limda,Waghodia,  
Vadodara
Dr. Chinmoy Kumar Bose,
Netaji Subhash Chandra Bose Cancer Research Institute
16A, Park Lane, Park Street, Kolkata 700 016, India.

Dr. Mousumi Debnath
Jaipur Engineering College and Research Centre (JECRC) Department of Biotechnology,
Shri Ram ki Nangal, Via Vatika, Tonk Road, Jaipur-303905, India

Dr. Dolan C. Saha
Dept. of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Calgary, Canada

Dr. Ramasamy Harikrishnan
Department of Aquatic Biomedical Sciences
School of Marine Biomedical Science
College of Ocean Sciences
Jeju National University
Jeju city, Jeju 690 756, South Korea

Dr. Abdul Haque
Health Biotechnology division, nibge, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Dr. Kuvalekar Aniket Arun
Interactive Research School for Health Affairs (IRHSA), Bharati Vidyapeeth University, Pune, Maharashtra, India

Dr. Asit Ranjan Ghosh
School of Bio Science & Technology, Division of Medical Biotechnology, Vellore Institute of Technology (VIT) University, Vellore-632014, India

Dr. Prasanna Kumar Santhekadur
Department of Human and Molecular Genetics
Virginia Commonwealth University
Richmond, VA

Dr. Majid Sattari
Rice Research Institute of Iran
Iran

Dr. Mihael Cristin Ichim
National Institute Research and Development for Biological Sciences / “Stejarul” Research Centre for Biological Sciences
Alexandru cel Bun St., 6, Piatra Neamt, 610004, Romania

Dr. Sailas Benjamin
Enzyme Technology Laboratory
Biotechnology Division
Department of Botany
University of Calicut
Kerala - 673 635 India

Dr. Sreeramanan Subramaniam
School of Biological Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Minden Heights, 11800, Penang, Malaysia

Dr. Vijai Kumar Gupta,
Department of Biochemistry, NUI, Galway, Ireland

Dr. Vítor Engrácia Valenti
Universidade Federal de São Paulo
Rua Napoleão de Barros, 715, Térreso
São Paulo, SP Brazil.

Dr. Ravindra Pogaku
School of Engineering and IT
Universiti Malaysia Sabah
88999 Kota Kinabalu
Sabah, Malaysia

Dr. Ahmed Eid Abdel-Hamid Eweis Fazary
School of Pharmacy, College of Medicine, National Taiwan University, Taipei 100, Taiwan.

Dr. Mohammad Hashemi
Dept. of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran
Dr. Hesham Abd El-Latif
Genetics Department,
Assiut University, Assiut 71516,
Egypt.

Prof. Jia-ying Xin
College of Food Engineering
Harbin University of Commerce
138 Tongda Road
Daoli District
Harbin 150076, Heilongjiang
P.R.China

Dr. Kabir Mohammad Humayun
Plant Molecular Biotech Lab
Department of Medical Biotechnology
College of Biomedical Science
Kangwon National University
Kangwon-do, Chuncheon, 200-701
South Korea

Dr. Kalpesh Gaur
Geetanjali College of Pharmaceutical Studies Manwa Khera,
Udaipur- 313002. Rajasthan,
India

Dr. Meganathan, Kannan
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER),
U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA),
Bldg. NIH 29A, Room 2C-10,
8800 Rockville Pike,
Bethesda, MD 20892.
USA.

Assist. Prof. Ali Karadeniz
Department of Physiology,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
University of Atatürk 25240 ERZURUM
Turkey

Dr. Matthew Kostek
Department of Kinesiology
University of Connecticut
Storrs CT

Dr. Tansu Kucuk
Gulhane School of Medicine
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Etilk 06018 Ankara,
Turkey

Dr. Kuo-Sheng Hung
Department of Neurosurgery
Taipei Medical University - Wan Fang Medical Center
111 Section 3, Hsing-Long Rd,
Taipei 116,
Taiwan

Dr. V. Manju
Department of Biochemistry,
Periyar University,
Salem -11.

Dr. Mbagwu Ferdinand Nkem
Department of Plant science and Biotechnology,
Faculty of Science,
Imo State University
Nigeria.

Dr. Anand Pithadia
Parul Institute of Pharmacy
Vadodara, Gujarat,
India

Dr. Radhakrishnan Ramaraj
Department of Internal Medicine
University of Arizona
Tucson 85724
AZ

Dr. M. Rasool
School of Bio Sciences and Technology,
VIT University,
Vellore-632104, Tamil Nadu,
India

Dr. Reda A.I. Abou-Shanab
Genetic Engineering & Biotechnology Research Institute (GEBRI)
Mubarak City for Scientific Research and Technology Applications
New Burg El-Arab City, Universities and Research Institutes
Zone, P.O. 21934, Alexandria,
Egypt.

Dr. MR. Pravin Babarao Suruse
Department of Pharmaceutics
Sharad Pawar College of Pharmacy
Wanadongri, Hingna Road
Nagpur- 441 110. (M. S.)
Dr. Jan Woraratanadharm  
*GenPhar, Inc.,*  
*Mount Pleasant, SC*

Dr. Serap Yalin  
*Mersin University Pharmacy Faculty*  
*Department of Biochemistry, Mersin*  
*Turkey*

Dr. YongYong Shi  
*Bio-X Center,*  
*Shanghai Jiao Tong University,*  
*Hao Ran Building, 1954 Hua Shan Road,*  
*Shanghai 200030,*  
*PR China*

Dr. Jyotdeep Kaur  
*Department of Biochemistry,*  
*Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER),*  
*Chandigarh*

Dr. Rajkumar  
*Dept. Of Radiation Biosciences,*  
*Institute of Nuclear Medicine and Allied Sciences*  
*Brig. S.K. Mazumdar Road, Timarpur,*  
*Delhi-110054*  
*India*

Dr. Meera Sumanth  
*Visveswarapura Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences,*  
*22nd Main, 24th Cross, B.S.K II stage,*  
*Bangalore-560070*  
*Karnataka,*  
*India.*

Dr, Jai S. Ghosh  
*Department of Microbiology,*  
*Shivaji University,*  
*Kolhapur 416004,*  
*India*

Prof. Dr. Alaa H. Al-Charrakh  
*Babylon University, College of Medicine.*  
*Dept. of Microbiology*  
*Hilla, Iraq*
Instructions for Author

Electronic submission of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

The cover letter should include the corresponding author’s full address and telephone/fax numbers and should be in an e-mail message sent to the Editor, with the file, whose name should begin with the first author’s surname, as an attachment.

Article Types
Three types of manuscripts may be submitted:

Regular articles: These should describe new and carefully confirmed findings, and experimental procedures should be given in sufficient detail for others to verify the work. The length of a full paper should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

Short Communications: A Short Communication is suitable for recording the results of complete small investigations or giving details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques or apparatus. The style of main sections need not conform to that of full-length papers. Short communications are 2 to 4 printed pages (about 6 to 12 manuscript pages) in length.

Reviews: Submissions of reviews and perspectives covering topics of current interest are welcome and encouraged. Reviews should be concise and no longer than 4-6 printed pages (about 12 to 18 manuscript pages). Reviews are also peer-reviewed.

Review Process
All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Authors cannot nominate reviewers. Only reviewers randomly selected from our database with specialization in the subject area will be contacted to evaluate the manuscripts. The process will be blind review. Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the Journal strives to return reviewers’ comments to authors as fast as possible. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the AJMR to publish manuscripts within weeks after submission.

Regular articles
All portions of the manuscript must be typed double-spaced and all pages numbered starting from the title page.

The Title should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors’ full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

The Abstract should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited. Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 key words that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard Abbreviations should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml). Standard abbreviations (such as ATP and DNA) need not be defined.

The Introduction should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

Materials and methods should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail.
Results should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the Results but should be put into the Discussion section.

The Discussion should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

The Acknowledgments of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

Tables should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed double-spaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph form or repeated in the text.

Figure legends should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or Powerpoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Tables should be prepared in Microsoft Word. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

References: In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's name should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.

Examples:

Abayomi (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 1992), (Chege, 1998; 1987a,b; Tijani, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001)

References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order. Articles in preparation or articles submitted for publication, unpublished observations, personal communications, etc. should not be included in the reference list but should only be mentioned in the article text (e.g., A. Kingori, University of Nairobi, Kenya, personal communication). Journal names are abbreviated according to Chemical Abstracts. Authors are fully responsible for the accuracy of the references.

Examples:


Short Communications

Short Communications are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

Proofs and Reprints: Electronic proofs will be sent (e-mail attachment) to the corresponding author as a PDF file. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage.
**Fees and Charges:** Authors are required to pay a $650 handling fee. Publication of an article in the International Journal for Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Research is not contingent upon the author's ability to pay the charges. Neither is acceptance to pay the handling fee a guarantee that the paper will be accepted for publication. Authors may still request (in advance) that the editorial office waive some of the handling fee under special circumstances.

**Copyright: © 2013, Academic Journals.**

All rights Reserved. In accessing this journal, you agree that you will access the contents for your own personal use but not for any commercial use. Any use and or copies of this Journal in whole or in part must include the customary bibliographic citation, including author attribution, date and article title.

Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

**Disclaimer of Warranties**

In no event shall Academic Journals be liable for any special, incidental, indirect, or consequential damages of any kind arising out of or in connection with the use of the articles or other material derived from the IJBM, whether or not advised of the possibility of damage, and on any theory of liability.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications does not imply endorsement of that product or publication. While every effort is made by Academic Journals to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statements appear in this publication, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Academic Journals makes no warranty of any kind, either express or implied, regarding the quality, accuracy, availability, or validity of the data or information in this publication or of any other publication to which it may be linked.
Research Articles

Micropropagation of the Indian Birthwort *Arsitolochia indica* L
Syed Naseer Shah, Amjad M. Husaini and Fatima Shirin

A quick bud breaking response of a surface model for rapid clonal propagation in *Centella asiatica* (L.) L
AK Bhandari, M Baunthiyal, VK Bisht, Narayan Singh and JS Negi
Micropropagation of the Indian Birthwort

Aristolochia indica L.

Syed Naseer Shah¹, Amjad M. Husaini²* and Fatima Shirin¹

¹Genetics and Plant Propagation Division, Tropical Forest Research Institute, Mandla Road, Jabalpur 482 021, India.
²Centre for Plant Biotechnology, Division of Biotechnology, SKUAST-K, Shalimar, Srinagar-191121, India.

Accepted 9 September, 2013

Aristolochia indica L. is a medicinal woody perennial climber plant of immense pharmaceutical value. The species is endangered with possible extinction due to its indiscriminate harvesting as raw material for pharmaceutical industry, to manufacture drugs against cholera, inflammation, biliousness, dry cough and snake bite. A rigorous attempt has been made for development of in vitro propagation procedure for this species, involving four steps, namely: culture establishment, shoot multiplication, rooting and hardening. Aseptic cultures were established by growing nodal segments (1 to 1.5 cm) as explants on Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium containing 5.0 µM N6-Benzyladenine (BA). Five nutrient media, MS, Woody Plant Medium (WPM), Gamborg Medium (B5), Nitsch and Nitsch Medium (NN), and Schenck and Hildebrandt Medium (SH) supplemented with different cytokinins and auxins at a concentration of 10.0 µM were used in this study. Ads at 10.0 µM proved optimum for in vitro shoot multiplication. The treatment resulted in 100% shoot number per explant at 15 days and 61.9% at 30 days on MS medium, 65.2% node number per shoot at 15 days and 196.2% at 30 days on WPM medium and 147.5 and 366.6% node number per explant at 30 days after inoculation on MS medium. The in vitro multiplied shoots were used for rooting experiment. Five nutrient media (MS, WPM, B5, NN and SH) and three auxin sources 10.0 µM each (IBA, IAA and NAA). SH medium with 10.0 µM NAA induced 327.8% rooting at 21 days and 654.8% at 28 days and root number per explant 430% at 21 and 394% at 28 days after inoculation. The in vitro propagated hardened plants exhibited excellent growth on transfer to natural condition.

Key words: Aristolochia indica L, in vitro propagation, N6-Benzyadenine.

INTRODUCTION

Aristolochia indica L. (family- Asclepiadaceae.) is a perennial climber with greenish whitish woody stem growing throughout India especially in the tropical and sub-tropical regions. The active constituent “Aristolic acid” is potent drug used in Ayurvedic, Sidda and Homeopathy systems of medicines. Roots are widely used in joint pains and seeds in inflammation, biliousness, dry cough and dyspepsia. The juice of leaves or roots is said to be a specific antidote for cobra poisoning (Kirtikar and Basu, 1987). The species is rare and endangered with extinction due to its indiscriminate collection and over exploitation from natural resources for commercial purpose by pharmaceutical industries (Rahman, 2001). The conventional propagation is hampered due to low seed viability and poor rooting of vegetative cuttings and emphasizes need for the alternative in vitro propagation method for large scale multiplication, improvement and conservation of the
species. The objective of the study was to develop an efficient protocol for its micropropagation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The selected (mother) plant from Jabalpur area of Madhya Pradesh, India (Figure 1a) was used to collect twig(s) (Figure 1b), which were washed thoroughly for 15 min under running water for removing the surface debris. The washed twigs were defoliated and cut into nodal explants (approximately 1 to 1.5 cm long and 0.5 to 0.6 cm diameter) (Figure 1c). These explants were washed with 2% Cetrimide® and kept for 10 min with constant vigorous (shaking 150 rpm) on an orbital shaker incubator followed by rewashing 4 to 5 times with distilled water to remove traces of Cetrimide®. The washed explants were sterilized for 5 min with HgO₂ (0.1%) and Bavistin® (1.0%) in the laminar flow cabinet. Finally, the surface sterilized nodal explants were rinsed 4 to 5 times with sterile distilled water and inoculated on MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) supplemented with 5.0 µM BA for culture establishment (Figure 1d).

The in vitro shoot multiplication (Figure 1e-f) was standardized through a factorial randomized experiment, using single nodal segments from established cultures. In this experiment we screened five nutrient media [MS (Murashige and Skoog, 1962), WPM (Lloyd and McCown, 1980), B₅ (Gamborg et al., 1968), NN (Nitsch and Nitsch, 1969) and SH (Schenk and Hildebrandt, 1972)] along with 10.0 µM each of three cytokinins (BA, TDZ, Ads), and their combinations on shoot number per explant, node number per shoot and node number per explant at 15 and 30 days after inoculation. In second experiment, five nutrient media (MS, WPM, B₅, NN and SH) and three auxins (IBA, IAA and NAA) at
concentrations of 10.0 µM and their effect on rooting and root number was recorded at 21 and 28 days after inoculation (Figure 2).

**Culture conditions**

The inorganic salts used for preparation of culture medium were obtained from Qualigens Pvt. Ltd., India and phytohormones and B vitamins from Sigma Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., India. The medium contained 3% (w/v) sucrose, 0.8% (w/v) agar (Hi-Media chemical Ltd., India). The pH of the medium was adjusted to 6.0 before autoclaving for 15 min at 1.06 kg cm⁻² (121°C). Explants were cultured in a 150 ml conical Borosil® flasks containing 40 ml semi-solid medium. For in vitro shoot multiplication and rooting experiment, the cultures were incubated at 25 ± 2°C under 16 h illuminations with fluorescent light (50 µmol Em⁻² s⁻¹).

**Hardening and transplantation**

The in vitro raised plantlets were removed from rooting medium washed with distilled water and the plantlets were subsequently transferred to root trainers containing autoclaved soilrite (Figure 3a) and covered with perforated polythene to maintain humidity which were kept under culture room conditions for about 10 days. Subsequently, they were transferred to perforated polythene bags and kept initially in washing room for 5 days and finally transferred to natural condition (Figure 3b-d).

**Statistically analysis**

Each experiment had three replicates for in vitro shoot multiplication and rooting. Each replicate had 10 propagules. The data were subjected to two way (factor) analysis of variance for both the experiments with “F” test for ascertaining level of significance. If the data were found significant at p ≤ 0.05, LSD₀.₀₅ was computed for comparison of treatment means.

**RESULTS**

**In vitro shoot multiplication**

The effect of cultured media, cytokinin sources and their all possible combinations on shoot number per explant, node number per shoot and node number per explant at both the stages of sampling was recorded.

**Shoot number per explant**

SH medium produced maximum shoot number per explant at 15 days and MS medium at 30 days (Table 1). The enhancement of shoot number per explant in SH medium was 100% in comparison to B5 medium at 15 days and 61.90% in MS medium at 30 days after inoculation in comparison to that in B5 medium. Further BA had significantly maximum shoot number explant¹ at both stages and was statistically equalled by Ads at 15 days. Shoot number per explant in BA was 1333%, 76% more than that of TDZ at 15 and 30 days, respectively. MS medium with 10.0 µM BA produced maximum shoot numbers per explant which was 189% at 30 days after inoculation.

**Node number per shoot**

SH medium produced maximum node number per shoot at 15 days and WPM medium at 30 days (Table 2). The enhancement of node number per shoot in SH medium was 65.2% more than that obtained in NN medium at 15 days and 139% at 30 days in comparison to that in B5 medium which produced the lowest value for the parameter at both stages of sampling. BA induced maximum node number per shoot, which was enhanced by 61% at 15 days and 239% at 30 days in comparison with TDZ. Maximum node number per shoot was observed on SH medium with Ads, at 15 days and WPM 10.0 µM Ads at 30 days. NN medium produced minimum effect on node number per shoot at both the stages of sampling at 15 days and B5 medium at 30 days.
Figure 3. Hardening and acclimatization of the in vitro raised plantlets of *Aristolochia indica* L. Plantlets transferred to root trainers (a) and covered with polythene (b) placed in the culture room, (c) hardened plantlets transferred to polythene bags and (d) growth of the plantlets in the open environment.

Table 1. Effect of culture media and different cytokinins on shoot number per explant in *Aristolochia indica* L. at two stages of sampling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokinin source (C)</th>
<th>Culture media(M)</th>
<th>Inoculation days</th>
<th>15 Days</th>
<th>30 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>WPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ads</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>0.70</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.66</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD (0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>15 Days</th>
<th>30 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C * M</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Node number per explant

SH and MS medium induced maximum node number per explant at 15 days and at 30 days respectively (Table 3). The enhancement of node number per explant in SH medium was 147.6% at 15 days and 366.6% in MS medium at 30 days as compared to B₅ medium. BA and Ads had significantly maximum node number per explants at 15 and 30 days, respectively. BA enhanced node number per explants by 48% at 15 days and Ads by...
Table 2. Effect of culture media and different cytokinins on node number per shoot in *Aristolochia indica* L. at two stages of sampling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokinin sources (C)</th>
<th>Inoculation days</th>
<th>Culture media (M)</th>
<th>15 Days</th>
<th>30 Days</th>
<th>Inoculation days</th>
<th>Culture media (M)</th>
<th>30 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>WPM</td>
<td>B5</td>
<td>NN</td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td><strong>1.61</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDZ</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ads</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td><strong>2.22</strong></td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td><strong>1.90</strong></td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>LSD (0.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C * M</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Effect of culture media and different cytokinins on node number per explant in *Aristolochia indica* L. at two stages of sampling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytokinin sources (C)</th>
<th>Inoculation days</th>
<th>Culture media (M)</th>
<th>15 Days</th>
<th>30 Days</th>
<th>Inoculation days</th>
<th>Culture media (M)</th>
<th>30 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>WPM</td>
<td>B5</td>
<td>NN</td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BA</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td><strong>3.07</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.48</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDZ</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ads</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td><strong>2.22</strong></td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td><strong>2.03</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.60</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>LSD (0.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 Days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C * M</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

436% at 30 days in comparison to TDZ, which produced the lowest value for the parameter. As for interaction, SH medium with 10.0 µM Ads registered the highest value for the parameter at 30 days after sampling.

**In vitro adventitious rooting**

Auxin sources and their combinations with different media induced significant rooting and root number per explant at both the stages of sampling.

**Percent rooting**

SH medium produced significantly high percent of rooting. The enhancement of rooting in SH medium was 327.8% at 21 days and 655% at 28 days in comparison to MS medium. MS, B<sub>5</sub>, NN and WPM produced minimum effect on rooting. NAA produced significantly maximum rooting (%), which was 228 at 21 days and 443.7% at 28 days after inoculation in compared to IAA producing minimum value for rooting. SH medium with 10.0 µM NAA maximum rooting at both stages of sampling (Table 4).

**Root number per explants**

SH medium produced maximum root number per explant at both the stages of sampling. The enhancement of root number per explant was 4300% at 21 days and 394% at 28 days after inoculation in comparison with WPM, MS and NN medium. NAA was found to have significant effect on root number per explant at both stages of sampling and resulted in 800% at 21 days and 2900% at 28 days more than that obtained in IAA. SH medium
Table 4. Effect of culture media and different auxins on percent of rooting in *Aristolochia indica* L. at two stages of sampling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auxin sources (A)</th>
<th>Culture media (M)</th>
<th>Inoculation days</th>
<th>Rooting at % 21 Days</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Rooting at % 28 Days</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>WPM</td>
<td>B5</td>
<td>NN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(10.79)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(4.16)</td>
<td>(4.16)</td>
<td>(4.16)</td>
<td>(45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(6.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(4.16)</td>
<td>(4.16)</td>
<td>(10.8)</td>
<td>(17.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variable LSD (0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>21 Days</th>
<th>28 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>5.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>7.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A*M</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>12.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Effect of culture media and different auxins on root number per explant in *Aristolochia indica* L. at two stages of sampling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Auxin sources (A)</th>
<th>Culture media (M)</th>
<th>Inoculation days</th>
<th>Root number 21 Days</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Root number 28 Days</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>WPM</td>
<td>B5</td>
<td>NN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variable LSD (0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>21 Days</th>
<th>28 Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A*M</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

along with 10.0 µM NAA was found to have significant effect on root number per explant at 21 and 28 days after inoculation (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

The micro-propagation of *A. indica* comprises four steps, namely: establishment of culture from nodal explants, shoot multiplication, root induction and hardening and acclimatization. The present investigation was intended for the standardization of culture medium and plant growth regulators at second and third steps followed by hardening procedure. For shoot multiplications, the best *in vitro* combination was SH medium supplemented with 10.0 µM Ads. There is no published report on the *in vitro* shoot multiplication of *A. indica* using SH medium. The suitability of SH medium in the present study contrasts with earlier reports of micropropagation for this species wherein MS medium was found to be the most effective...
The results indicate that the species requires low amount of nitrogen for growth and differentiation of new shoots. Adenine sulphate was found as the most suitable cytokinin for shoot multiplication. Similar results have been reported in the medicinal plant *Cichorium intybus* also, where multiple shoots proliferation was observed on medium supplemented with BA, IAA and adenine sulphate (Nadagopal and Ranjitha Kumari, 2006).

For *in vitro* rooting also, better performance was obtained on SH medium. High concentration of thiamine (Vitamin B1) included in SH medium seems to be synergistic with auxins for facilitation of rhizogenesis as reported in teak by Ansari et al. (2002). Of the various auxin treatments, NAA was found to be the best auxin for *A. indica*. Superiority of NAA for *in vitro* rooting may be attributed to its synthetic nature and stability. Further, NAA also eludes the auxin oxidizing/degrading enzyme systems of the plants (Jacobs, 1972). IAA was found to be inferior to both NAA and IBA. In literature also there are reports of IBA and NAA being more effective than IAA, because of the instability of the latter (Gaspar and Coumans, 1987).

**Conclusion**

The study demonstrates successful development of *in vitro* propagation procedure for *A. indica*. The procedure offers a potential system for conservation and mass propagation using explants derived from mature plants. SH (medium supplemented with 10.0 µM Ads has been found the best for efficient and rapid multiplication of *in vitro* shoots, while SH medium supplemented with 10.0 µM NAA for optimum induction of *in vitro* adventitious roots. Further, the hardening procedure reported here ensures 70 to 80% field survival of micropropagated plants of *A. indica*.
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Present investigation was planned to evaluate time period of bud breaking in *Centella asiatica* with different concentration of plant growth regulators, a medicinal herb distributed throughout the worldwide. For the study, concentrations were designed for response surface model describing bud breaking growth in optimum conditions. A combination of BAP (2 mg/L) + gibberellic acid (GA₃, 0.5 mg/L) was achieved at a best initial bud breaking at 8th hour. Longest time period taken for bud breaking was shown in combination of BAP (0.5 mg/L) + naphthalene acetic acid (NAA, 0.5 g/L) and BAP (0.1 mg/L) + adenine sulphate (0.5 mg/L) which was recorded at 84th hour. Half strength MS media was supplemented with IBA alone (2 mg/L) and in combination with IAA (0.5 mg/L) to attain an early *in vitro* rooting. Their interactions observed were statistically significant (P < 0.05).

**Key words:** *Centella asiatica*, bud, plant growth regulator, medicinal plant.

**INTRODUCTION**

*Centella asiatica* (L.) Urban, synonym *Hydrocotyle asiatica* (Family: Apiaceae) is a small perennial herb, commonly known as Mandukparni. In India, this species is mostly found in the swampy areas up to an altitude of 600 to 1800 m asl (Patra et al., 1998). Medicinally, *C. asiatica* used as memory enhancer and in the treatment of chronic diseases, mental disorders and neuropharmacological disorders like insomnia, insanity, depression, psychosis, epilepsy and stress (Chopra et al., 1980). The major bio-active ingredients in the plant are the triterpenes, asiatic acid, madecassic acid and their glycosides such as asiaticoside and madecassoside (Zheng and Qin, 2007). Due to the presence of these active ingredients, it possesses antileprotic, antifilarial, antibacterial, antifeedant, adaptogenic and antiviral properties (Warrier et al., 1994). The roots contain many polyacetylenic compounds, the major compound being 8-acetoxyfalcarninol (Loc and Tam, 2010).

Over-exploitation of *C. asiatica* from natural habitats for medicinal purposes causes depletion of plant population. There has been an increase interest in *in-vitro* culture techniques for mass multiplication of important species to overcome the pressure of over-exploitation and to restore species diversity (Patra et al., 1998; Tiwari et al., 2000; Bhandari et al., 2010). However, till date *in-vitro* technique has been applied only for < 20% of medicinally important species (Shukla et al., 1999). *In-vitro* propagation of *C. asiatica* was also carried out through leaf explants (Banerjee et al., 1999), axillary buds (George et al., 2004), stolons (Sampath et al., 2001), shoot tips
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**Abbreviations:** MS, Murashige; BAP, 6-benzylaminopurine; NAA, naphthaleneacetic acid; GA₃, gibberellic acid; IBA, indole-3-butyric acid; Kn, kinetin; IAA, indole-3-acetic acid; PGR, plant growth regulator; ANOVA, analysis of variance.
(Sangeetha et al., 2003), callus cultures (Patra et al., 1998; Rao et al., 1999) and somatic embryogenesis (Martin, 2004). Besides all, there is information available on the methods to initiate early bud breaking, shoot formation and root initiation. Therefore, present study was designed to understand the effect of different PGRs in alone and in combination for bud breaking, shoot formation and root initiation. Present study will be useful in producing quality planting material with in short duration.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ex-plant selection

For the Rapid clonal propagation of C. asiatica, explants were collected from the Herbal garden (1545 m asl) of Herbal Research and Development Institute, Mandal, Gopeshwar (Chamoli) Uttarakhand, India; it is bounded by North Latitude 30° 27” 13.40” and East Longitude 79° 16” 21.61”.

The media for clonal propagation was prepared by following Murashige and Skoog (1962). All Chemicals used for the research purpose were purchased from HiMedia Laboratories (Mumbai, India), and growth regulators were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St Louis, MO) and HiMedia Laboratories, India. Cultures were established for the bud explants on MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) containing 58 mM sucrose and gelled with 0.7% (w/v) agar. The pH of medium was adjusted between 5.6 to 5.8 using 0.1 N HCL or 0.1 N NaOH solution prior to the autoclaving at 121°C and a pressure of 15 psi for 20 min then allowed to cool at room temperature. The explants thoroughly washed with running tap water for 15 to 20 min, then treated with 1% (v/v), Tween 20 solution and subsequently for 15 min with a sodium hypochlorite solution (0.5% active chlorine) in laminar air flow cabinet and finally the explants were washed thoroughly with autoclaved distilled water for several times to remove the traces of sodium hypochlorite. In support of surface disinfection, bud segments were trimmed from the cut ends in appropriate size, and cultured.

Culture conditions and in-vitro establishment of plantlets

For establishment of cultures, the surface disinfected explants were inoculated on full strength MS (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) basal medium having 3% of sucrose, semi-solidified with 0.7% (w/v) agar and supplemented with different concentrations of plant growth regulator viz. BAP (0.1 to 2 mg/L), adenine sulphate (0.1 to 0.5 mg/L) and gibberellic acid (0.5 mg/L). Half strength of MS medium supplemented with growth regulator IBA (0.5 to 2.0 mg/L) and NAA 0.1 to 0.5 mg/L was attempt for rooting. Each hormonal combination was tried in three replicates. 250 ml (Borosil, India) Conical flasks containing 20 ml of medium were used. Cultures vessels were used for incubated at 25 ± 1°C under a 16/8 h light/dark photoperiod with light provided by cool-white fluorescent lamps (Philips India, Mumbai, India) at a light intensity of 1000 lux. The multiplied cultures were taken out; every single shoot was excised and kept in small plastic cup filled with a mixture of soil: sand (1:1) for ex-vitro rooting.

Multiple shoots from bud induction

The explants were inoculated in semi-solid MS medium with concentrations (0.1, 0.2, 1.5 and 2 mg/L) of BAP in alone, with combination of BAP (0.5 and 2 mg/L) along with gibberellic acid in 0.5 mg/L, BAP (0.1, 0.5,1 and 2 mg/L) with adenine sulphate 0.5 mg/L and BAP (0.5 to 2 mg/L) with the combination of NAA (0.5 mg/L). Sub culturing was carried out at periodic intervals of three weeks.

Rooting of microshoots

Developed shoots having one or two nodes were excised and transferred to root induction medium comprising of ¼ strength MS medium with 3% sucrose and supplemented with different concentrations of IBA (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2.0 mg/L) in alone and (0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.5 and 2.0 mg/L) with IAA (0.5 mg/L) in combination. Number of roots per shoot and root length was score in alternate day.

Hardening and acclimatization

For in vitro hardening, rooted shoots were transferred to ¼ MS strength medium having 3% sucrose devoid of PGR for seven days in flasks. Thereafter, they were transferred to polybags containing a mixture of soil: sand: FYM manure (1:1:1) and kept for two weeks in mist-chamber under controlled condition (tamp-25°C ± 2°C), humidity (65% ± 5%). Acclimatized plants were later shifted to soil in pots in agronet-shade house for one week and after that in field.

Statistical analysis

The data collected was subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA); using MS Excel 2007 for calculating the significance among different treatments and time of bud breaking and time of root initiation values at P < 0.05 were computed to compare means from various treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initial study on C. asiatica was undertaken by Patra et al. (1998), Banerjee et al. (1999) and Tiwari et al. (2000). Tiwari et al. (2000) reported that initiation of nodal culture is better using different combination of plant growth regulators. The results of the present study on bud initiation, bud establishment and root initiation in MS medium supplemented with various combinations of growth regulators are presented in Tables 1 to 3. The earliest bud breaking in this study was achieved in BAP (2 mg/L) + GA3 (0.5 mg/L). Initiation of bud breaking within 8 h of in-vitro culture in C. asiatica was reported first time in present study. Achieving early bud breaking is of importance as it produces quality planting material vis a vis reduces time and efforts. Different combinations of PGRs have also been reported to initiate bud breaking (Sen and Sharma, 1991). The longest time period (84 h) taken for bud breaking in present study was noticed in combination of BAP (0.5 mg/L) + NAA (0.5 mg/L) and BAP (0.1mg/L) + adenine (0.5 mg/L) which are presented in Tables 2 and 3.

BAP alone at higher concentration (2 mg/L) seems to initiate early bud breaking. Similar observations in
Table 1. Morphogenetic response of *C. asiatica* buds cultured on MS medium supplemented with different concentrations of BAP and Gibberellic acid (Bud breaking is found positively significant LSD = 24.25 \( P < 0.05 \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAP (mg/L)</th>
<th>Gibberellic acid (mg/L)</th>
<th>Time of bud breaking (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

t-value = 2.02, LSD = 24.25 \( P < 0.05 \)

Table 2. Morphogenetic response of *C. asiatica* buds cultured on MS medium supplemented with different concentrations of BAP and Adinine (Bud breaking is found positively significant LSD=19.36; \( P < 0.05 \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAP (mg/L)</th>
<th>Adinine sulphate (mg/L)</th>
<th>Time of bud breaking (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

t-value = 2.13, LSD=19.36; \( P < 0.05 \).

Table 3. Morphogenetic response of *C. asiatica* buds cultured on MS medium supplemented with different concentrations of BAP and NAA (Bud breaking is found positively significant LSD=19.36; \( P < 0.05 \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BAP (mg/L)</th>
<th>NAA (mg/L)</th>
<th>Time of bud breaking (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

t-value= 2.03, LSD=19.36; \( P < 0.05 \).

*Ocimum basilicum* was found by Pattnaik and Chand (1996). In addition, BAP was found more efficient over kinetine (Kn) in *in-vitro* shoot proliferation in different species (Purohit, 1994; Martin, 2003). In *Swertia chirata*, BAP with higher concentration have optimal response for shoot proliferation (Chaudhuri, 2007; Pant et al., 2010). In present study, BAP in combination with GA\(_3\) (with different concentrations) significantly \( P < 0.05 \) enhance the rate of bud breaking, shoot proliferation and root initiation (Table 1, Figure 1A-E). Sharma and Sharma (2010) attributed this to the stimulating effects of various hydrolytic enzymes activities thus increasing availability of nutrients for growth. The result of the effects of BAP and GA\(_3\) on shoot proliferation in present study was found comparable to the earlier reports. However, Tiwari et al. (2013) reported improved bud breaking using high concentration of BAP (5 mg/L) and improved root formation in combinations of BAP (4.0 mg/L) and IBA (0.5 mg/L). Karthikeyan et al. (2009) described the rapid clonal propagation through auxiliary shoot proliferation in *C. asiatica*. The shoot elongation with the treatment of BAP and GA\(_3\) might be due to cell enlargement and increase in normal cell division (Karivartharaju and Ramakrishnan, 1985). Earliest root initiation was achieved alone in IBA (2 mg/L) and in combination of IBA (2 mg/L) and IAA (0.5 mg/L) (Tables 4 and 5). Thus, it is concluded that *in-vitro* micro-propagation offer rapid clonal multiplication of elite clones and further helps in dissemination fulfilling the need of vis a vis to quality planting material. BAP (2 mg/L) in combination with GA\(_3\) (0.5 mg/L) is recommended for effective and earliest bud breaking. Likewise, IBA (2 mg/L) is recommended for earliest rooting in *C. asiatica*.
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Figure 1. In vitro regeneration of *Centella asiatica*, via bud explants: (A) axillary bud induction on nodal segment MS medium+BAP (2 mg/L)+gibberellic acid (0.5 mg/L), (B) bud induction on nodal segment, (C) culture establishment, (D) multiplication of shoots in BAP (0.5 mg/L)+NAA 0.1 mg/L, (E) rooted plantlet containing a mixture of soil : sand : manure (1:1:1), (F) plantlet in soil for hardening containing a mixture of soil : sand : manure (1:1:1).

Table 4. Morphogenetic response of root initiation explants of *C. asiatica* cultured on half strength MS medium supplemented with different concentrations of IBA (Root initiation is found positively significant LSD=3.16; (P < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IBA (mg/L)</th>
<th>Time of root initiation (Days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* t-value= 2.07, LSD=3.16; (P < 0.05)

Table 5. Morphogenetic response of root initiation explants of *C. asiatica* cultured on half strength MS medium supplemented with different concentrations of IBA and IAA (Bud breaking is found positively significant LSD=3.12; (P < 0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IBA (mg/L)</th>
<th>IAA (mg/L)</th>
<th>Time of root initiation (Days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* t-value= 2.02, LSD=3.12; (P < 0.05)
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