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Emulation of the West’s privatization of urban spaces, and securitization and policing, through city settlement planning has uniformly re-invented spatial social segregation in most democratizing developing countries. Reverence of the gated-community model in democratic urban settlement planning has paradoxical sustained social segregation. Understandably, democratization of South Africa entailed the application of urban settlement planning as a democratic instrument of social integration. This article argues that gated-community urban settlement planning creates physical enclosures that transfer public spaces to private ownership, thereby perpetuating apartheid social exclusion legacies. South Africa’s modern urban settlement planning epitomizes gated-communities, security villages and enclosed neighbourhoods phenomena, which sustain spatial differentiation of lifestyle, prestige, socio-economic status and security. Given apartheid city legacies, securitization of urban settlement planning reflexively maintains social exclusions through a democratic strategy. The article posits that the adoption of the gated-community model in urban settlement planning in a democratic South Africa has privatized public spaces and created secluded settlements with fragmented delivery of public services such as security, policing, emergency services (fire trucks and ambulances) and a host of other municipal services (waste removal, water and electricity meter readings). In practice, this privatization creates controlled, restricted and prohibitive access to public spaces and amenities. The article concludes that the nuanced application of gated-community principles in urban settlement planning perpetuates social exclusion through the same old market ethos and economics of space. To this extent, the spatially and socially fragmentary modern urban spatial planning is inappropriate for South Africa’s former apartheid cities.
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INTRODUCTION

Urban settlement planning has historically been used for spatial-social engineering of cities (Haughton, 1997; Low, 2001; Landman, 2002; Lemanski, 2006; Borsdorf and Hidalgo, 2008). However, urban planning process has, more often than not, encapsulated national political-economy dictates in order to draw cue from racial/ethnic
divisions in the determination of compatibility of uses, functions, activities, location, accessibility, visibility and suitability (Haughton, 1997). In so doing, urban planning tends to mute the potential productivity of the city’s social and economic overhead capital by creating spatial-social fragmentation. This observation has become increasingly pertinent in regard to urban planning determination on public spaces, including roads, streets, walkways, parks and so on. Evidence suggest that urban planning could create the potential for the city landscapes to ensure productive performance of the social and economic overhead capital for the improvement of the quality of living (Haughton, 1997; Arefi and Meyers, 2003; Maruani and Amit-Cohen, 2007; Donovan, 2008; Fraser, 2008; Beck, 2009; Van Melik et al., 2009; Jacob and Hellström, 2010; Mandeli, 2010; El-Husseiny and Kesseiba, 2012; Francis et al., 2012; Kaźmierczak, 2013). Whereas urban public spaces were first created to provide, among other things, recreation and services related to it as well as for conservation of natural land and its values (Maruani and Amit-Cohen, 2007; Francis et al., 2012), contemporary orientation is to commodify, privatize and marketize these spaces to generate municipal revenue. The most fashionable strategy has been to assign urban public spaces to private interests who would embark on financial commercial activities thereupon. In recent times, Turkey experienced enduring public protests when planning determination was made to use the Tahiri Square for business functions.

The most common function for which public spaces have been transformed is for human settlement, in the form of gated-communities. In a democratic South Africa, contemporary urban settlement planning epitomizes the gated-community phenomenon, which includes security villages and enclosed neighbourhoods (Landman, 2002, 2004; Lemanski, 2006; Donovan, 2008; Fraser, 2008; Beck, 2009; Rogers and Sukolotanameete, 2009; Jacob and Hellström, 2010). This article seeks to determine, from a theoretical perspective, if the gated-community urban planning holds the potential for spatial-social de-segregation and integration for a democratic South Africa. The article argues that in South Africa, gated-community planning deploys urban public spaces to serve financial commercial interests of a few whilst excluding the majority of poor people whose interaction with the city environment tends to be perilously altered and limited through nuance restrictions of their access to some essential public services. It asserts that gated-community urban settlement planning creates physical enclosures that transfer public spaces to private control and ownership, thereby perpetuating apartheid social exclusion legacies within South Africa’s towns and cities. The article is organized into six sections, including introduction and conclusion. The second section provides a conceptual discuss of the connections between urban settlement planning and public spaces through the prisms of two broad categories of the planning models in order to determine the potential implications on the urban landscapes and form for each. The social exclusions legacies of the segregationist and apartheid South Africa are briefly reviewed in section three in order to demonstrate that spatial-social transformation of cities in this country would not be amenable to strategies that adopt unfettered economics and market ethos. Section four examines the values attached to urban public spaces as well as the implications of contemporary models of urban settlement planning and their underlying tenets on commodification, privatization and marketization of public goods. The section also attempts to highlight the significance of contexts to the assessment of the prospects of any planning model to produce the desired social integration outcomes. The gated-community planning model is discussed in section five to argue that it merely provides a nuance democratization of social fragmentation and exclusions for South Africa. The article concludes that South Africa’s segregationist and apartheid contexts render gated-communities an inappropriate urban settlement planning model for social transformation and integration.

Urban settlement planning and public spaces

Urban settlement planning could equally be used as a source of spatial, social and economic integration or segregation (Haughton, 1997; Jabareen, 2006; Adhvaryu, 2010; Pacione, 2013). It accords the capabilities to shape transportation economics and behaviour within public spaces as well as to cause or reduce traffic congestion and pollution; additionally, it could precipitate social exclusions or inclusion, as well as loss of farmland, wetlands and open spaces (Jabareen, 2006), with deleterious socio-political consequences. To transform the apartheid urban social landscapes, the planning and designing of urban settlements and their built could be harnessed in order to promote spatial, social and economic integration (Pacione, 2013). Increasingly, South African cities have adopted the gated-community model that has exploited the security interests to privatize public spaces in ways that equally perpetuate apartheid social exclusions through a democratic logic. To situate the argument of this article theoretically, three models of urban settlement planning are discussed hereunder to determine the potential for privatization of public spaces. The traditional land-use, traditional spatial organization, and the modern urban settlement planning models are discussed hereunder to tease out their assumptions and principles in order to determine if they provide for social exclusion or integration.

Traditional land-use models

The traditional focus on land-use in urban planning has
le.

left a lacuna in the decisions about the forms of settlements to be developed. Such urban planning provided for the overall spatial and structural organization of towns and cities, based on different land-uses (Galster, 1977; McMillen, 1998; Adhvaryu, 2010), without determining the content and form of settlements. This overarching urban planning has been preferred across the world largely for the convenience of its vagueness in respect of the content and form of specific activities within the demarcated land-use zones, inclusive of settlements. Hence, the traditional land-use zoning was handy for apartheid urban settlement planning. The demarcation of urban spaces into various land-use zones became the key tenet of urban planning; hence, the discourse revolved around four land-use models of isolated state, industrial location, central place, and urban bid-rent model (Whitehand, 1972; Galster, 1977; Xie and Costa, 1993; Graham and Healey, 1999; Adhvaryu, 2010).

On the facades, it could appear that the land-use orientation in urban planning is devoid of capabilities for social exclusions, because it provides for linkages between spaces and economic activities, especially in shaping production and transportation costs to the market for agricultural land use (Xie and Costa, 1993; Graham and Healey, 1999; Adhvaryu, 2010). Rather than the type of activity, the distance discrimination should not necessarily imply social exclusions (Galster, 1977). Instead, the traditional land-use model provides for segregation of similar activities in terms of their perceived hierarchy or rating by class. This aspect, therefore, allows for social exclusion without legislating for it. That is, demarcation of settlement spaces could be differentiated in accordance with socio-economic status and class without formal discriminatory legislation. The practical manifestations of such planning could produce differentiated high and middle class residential spaces as well as shanty towns, or informal settlements in the case of South Africa. Indeed, the latter country’s urbanity is conspicuous by its separation of affluent and middle income suburbs as well as the informal settlements where the conditions of living are squalid. However, the land-use focus in urban planning does not privatize public spaces through boom gates, walls or electric fences. Instead, it lends itself to the prevailing societal inequalities and, therefore, wanting in the capabilities to use urban planning for social transformation through settlement development on public space.

The determination to allocate specific public spaces for particular activities such as agriculture, industry and settlement (Whitehand, 1972; Galster, 1977; Haughton, 1997; Fernández and Ruiz, 2009; Watson, 2009; Adhvaryu, 2010; Martinez-Galarra, 2012; Escavy and Herrero, 2013), are discussed hereunder in order to demonstrate the significance of the bi-rent approach. The competition for particular urban spaces among different activities ultimately reflects the variable economic muscles, with the result that settlement differentiation sustains social exclusions in accordance with prevailing socio-economic disparities. The traditional urban planning has emphasized the economics of land-use above social redress and integration. The emphasis on the economics of the geographic space (Hoyt, 1964; Whitehand, 1972; Watson, 2009; Adhvaryu, 2010; Tabuchi and Thisse, 2011) has always lacked the capabilities to enforce social integration.

Urban bid-rent model provides for the demarcation of urban public spaces through comparative valorization of agricultural, residential and industrial functions (Galster, 1977; Whitehand, 1972; McMillen, 1998; Brown, 2005; Muto, 2006; Adhvaryu, 2010). However, this approach relies on market ethos, with the result that it lacks the necessary capabilities to be transformative of deeply unequal societies such as that of South Africa. The bid-price curve symbolizes a set of land prices that the specific use could pay at various distances; and, land uses are discriminated against one type to the other through price (Galster, 1977; Whitehand, 1972; Muto, 2006). Reliance on land price presupposes inherent discriminatory propensities that are locked into societal inequalities. To this extent, the ability to pay for consumption is the key determinant of allocation of public spaces in urban areas. This marketization of land-use has provided for discriminatory urban settlement planning. The traditional spatial organization models have, to a large extent, perpetuated reliance on price and marketization ethos to determine the overall structure of urban spaces (Hoyt, 1964; Neil and Paul, 2001; Adhvaryu, 2010; Tian et al., 2010; Bevan and Wilson, 2013). These models include the concentric, sector and multiple-nuclei, which argue for different forms of the overall development of the spatial and social structure of the city (Hoyt, 1964; Whyne-Hampton, 1993; Neil and Paul, 2001; Jabareen, 2006; Tian et al., 2010; Bevan and Wilson, 2013). These models too, do not accord the capabilities necessary for the transformation of an apartheid city structure, especially in respect of settlement desegregation. Rather than make for capabilities for the transformation of the urban structure, these models seek to explain the occurrence of particular spatial spread and use of urban space. Whilst uncovering the causes of particular structures and patterns, they do not recommend measures for redress of the spatial manifestation of segregated settlement planning. Instead, they equally capture the utility of the economics of geography in the conventional urban spatial planning. That is, based on land-use and bit-rent logic, urban spaces assume particular forms and patterns which themselves have become matters of debate by the conventional spatial organization models in the hope of drawing insights into the urban planning.

Inevitably, types of land-uses, economic benefits and agglomerative tendencies have remained central to the determination of urban planning; and, activities such as airports, industrial or shopping complexes, waterfronts, railway stations and university campuses, amongst
others, continuously refresh the price and economic discriminatory across the urban space by providing nuclei and landmarks. The common denominator of these landmarks is in their shaping and reshaping of land costs, marketability and profitability of the geographic location and economic of space. As a result, urban planning has allowed for a virtual polycentric, rather than monocentric, cities or towns (Hoyt, 1964; Whitehand, 1972; Adhvaryu, 2010). Fundamentally, the spatial structure of towns and cities is a function of the economics of space determined through affordability and profitability, which are the primary tenets of private market ethos. That is, consideration of the principles of these conventional models in urban spatial planning is a double-edged instrument that is equally usable for social exclusions more than integration.

Modern urban spatial planning models

The modern planning of urban landscapes has apparently perpetuated the capabilities for segregation based on the economics of space and market ethos. Of the five models of urban spatial planning, which are neo-traditional development, urban containment, compact city, eco-city, and gated-community, the latter is explicit in its reassertion of the market ethos for continued social exclusions. The modern urban spatial planning has hoped to simultaneously encapsulate principles of compactness, sustainable transport density, mixed land use, diversity and greening (Haughton, 1997; Jabareen, 2006; Habibi and Asadi, 2011; Pacione, 2013). However, the overriding factor has remained the economics of space and the market ethos. Attempts to reduce urban sprawls and decay through neo-traditional urbanism development focused on design-based strategies, renewal and construction of socially connected and physical appealing urban neighbourhoods within traditional towns and cities (Bohl, 2000; Holden, 2004; Jabareen, 2006), have remained pipe dreams. The hope of establishing spatially integrated neighbourhoods and communities (Haughton, 1997; Bohl, 2000; Holden, 2004) was in vain, largely because such urban compactness entails spatial planning that is not dictated to by unfettered market logic and mechanisms. Indeed, the underlying principles for the new urbanism approach are mixed housing types which are meant to accommodate various income groups and different structures, as well as densification which encourages social cohesion, and the reduction of auto-mobility to support safe walkable neighbourhoods and to encourage the use of public transport (Haughton, 1997; Erickson and Lloyd-Jones, 1997; Bohl, 2000; Holden, 2004; Jabareen, 2006). These qualities of new urbanism approach do hold a realistic potential for social redress and spatial desegregation; however, the vogue in the modern urban spatial planning has been to emphasize geographic economic efficiencies above engineering social cohesion and integration. To this extent, the new urbanism approach has remained less realistic and scarcely practicable.

According to Jabareen (2006), mixed housing types and land uses are not a new phenomenon in urban spatial planning; however, the reverence for land-use zoning has been the major impediment that restricted the diversification of urban form and structure. Hence, the neo-traditional development model had hoped to redress some of the challenges associated with conventional land-use zoning by building pedestrian-orientated and high densities neighbourhoods that promote mixed land uses. But Beatley (2000) and Njoh (2013) argue that, in practice, the neo-traditional development model exploits space and the built environment to perpetuate social segregation through low density uses that hardly support mixed land-uses and integrated public transportation, whilst simultaneously encouraging urban sprawl.

The urban containment model emerged as a strategy to deal with the high costs of urban sprawl as a result of services and infrastructure development needed in the affected areas (Haughton, 1997; Jabareen, 2006; Huang, Lu Sellers, 2007; Habibi and Asadi, 2011). The measures and policies meant to enforce spatial sprawl constraints and undesirable urban growth (Holden, 2004; Huang et al., 2007; Jabareen, 2006) merely preserved natural land for farming and resources extraction purposes as the economic values of these activities could not be exposed to the fierce competition with urban development functions (Whitehand, 1972; Gordon and Richardson, 1997; Jabareen, 2006). To this extent, the predominant models of urban public spaces planning too do not provide tenets for social cohesion and integration. The cost-effective construction of infrastructure, reinvestment in existing structures, and the encouragement of high density developments that cater for mixed land-uses, all of which could ensure effective and efficient use of urban land (Gordon and Richardson, 1997; CSIR, 2002; Holden, 2004; Jabareen, 2006), have however remained elusive. Urban containment policies include the creation of urban growth boundaries, controlled pattern and density of development and travels, as well as revitalization of inner urban regions, preservation of greenbelts and agricultural lands, restriction of new residential permits issued, and tax incentives, amongst others (Hoyt, 1964; Haughton, 1997; Jabareen, 2006; Holden, 2004; Habibi and Asadi, 2011) which have encouraged social integration. With the renewal, the ethos of coverage of cost for consumption has remained unaltered.

Concerns with the sustainability of spatially and socially fragmented cities motivated the adoption of the compact city model principles (Haughton, 1997). But modern urban spatial planning and design (Gordon and Richardson, 1997; Stead and Marshall, 2001; Holden, 2004; Jabareen, 2006) have remained fragmentary and segregated. Spatial compactness entails mixed land-uses, which could equally reduce fuel consumption and
travelling costs as different facilities for residential, work and leisure are grouped together. Also, it could potentially encourage the reuse of inner urban land while natural and agricultural land is conserved (Haughton, 1997; Stead and Marshall, 2001; CSIR, 2002; Jabareen, 2006), without negatively affecting the quality of life of urban residents that may arise due to high population density. Indeed, the compact city model prescribes for density of the built environment and the intensification of activities through effective and efficient land planning, mixed land- uses and efficient transport systems (Gordon and Richardson, 1997; Stead and Marshall, 2001; Holden, 2004).

Socially, compactness and mixed land-uses promote diversity, social cohesion and cultural development with the potential for economic viability in the provision of infrastructure and services (CSIR, 2002; Jabareen, 2006). Hence, the compactness principle is criticised for promoting fuel-efficient urban forms for the management of transportation costs and air pollution rather than engineering social cohesion and integration (Gordon and Richardson, 1997; Holden, 2004; Wheeler, 2002). In practice, though, modern urban spatial planning has adopted a reflexive approach wherein revisions incorporate the prevalent criticism in order to retain the same socially fragmented city spaces on the basis of the market ethos and economics of geography. Thus, the emergence of the eco-city principle has overtly focused on the management of environmental, social and institutional policies in order to promote sustainable development and conserve nature (Haughton, 1997; Holden, 2004; Alberti, 2005; Jabareen, 2006; Huang et al., 2007). The ecological agenda and environmental management through a set of institutional and policy frameworks which focus on passive solar and energy designs; and, concepts of greening (Holden, 2004; Jabareen, 2006) have evidently circumvented the requirements for social cohesion and integration. Notwithstanding the stated desire for sustainability, the heavy emphasis on ecology and environment has allowed for persistence of socially fragmented urban spatial planning.

The undue emphasis on the physical shape of towns and cities in modern urban spatial planning has meant that the organization and management of the urban society and the natural environment (Haughton, 1997; Holden, 2004; Alberti, 2005; Jabareen, 2006) have been relegated to the backseat. Beyond definition of the physical urban forms and features, the eco-city principle raised the notion of the natural environment for communities as one of the fundamentals of the urban spatial planning (Talen and Ellis, 2002). The eco-city model, therefore, has been associated with the call for emphasis on the social, economic and cultural well-being within the natural environment in urban areas, rather than the built environment. However, this principle has been scarcely embraced in urban spatial planning because of the challenges associated with practicability thereof.

The gated-community model is overtly socially fragmentary and easily practicable; and, it is now dominating the securitization and marketization discourse about urban public spaces through nuance emphasis on urban settlement planning. The fashionable gated-community principle emerged originally as a special form of urban spatial planning to differentiate amongst communities in terms of lifestyle, prestige and security zones (Low, 2001; Landman, 2002, 2004; Leisch, 2002; Bénit-Gabaffou, 2008; Borsdorf and Hidalgo, 2008; Tanulku, 2012; Pacione, 2013). The vogue of urban neighbourhoods responding to crime and the fear thereof through the gated-community settlements, including security villages and neighbourhood enclosures (Low, 2001; Landman, 2002, 2004; Leisch, 2002; Bénit-Gabaffou, 2008; Borsdorf and Hidalgo, 2008; Tanulku, 2012; Pacione, 2013), has taken hold of the modern process of urban settlement planning. Given that such security villages are defined as “walled private developments where the entire area is developed by a private developer” (Landman, 2002, pp.5), then it is tenable to argue that the modern process of urban settlement planning is goaded to privatization interests. Public amenities within these forms of settlements are rendered private; and, their maintenance and management is the responsibility of a private body which serves as a middle-person for the state (Borsdorf and Hidalgo, 2008; Landman, 2004).

Enclosed neighbourhoods involve suburbs that are walled-off with a limited number of entrances or exits points (Landman, 2004; Rogers and Sukolratanamete, 2009), in the name of security and fear of crime. Hence, the gated-community model is commonly known for its securitization and policing of crime with designated parameters such as walls and fences as well as controlled entrance and exit points for the management of movement (Low, 2001; Hook & Vrdoljak, 2002; Leisch, 2002; Lemanski, 2006; Bénit-Gabaffou, 2008; Borsdorf and Hidalgo, 2008; Tanulku, 2012; Pacione, 2013). The stated purpose of the modern urban settlement planning models which is to curb urban sprawl, avoid decay and ensure sustainability through the development of towns and cities (Nechyba and Walsh, 2004; Jabareen, 2006; Vigdor, 2010; Habibi and Asadi, 2011; Bevan and Wilson, 2013) is doomed to failure. Guided through this securitization and policing of crime principle, modern urban settlement planning is less concerned about urban sprawl because most of the security villages are located at the periphery of towns and cities, encouraging further outward horizontal growth. Importantly, this principle promotes privatization of public spaces with scant consideration of the logic of preservation of the natural and agricultural lands.

The hypocrisy of the modern process of urban spatial planning is vividly apparent in the general adoption and acceptance of this principle amidst loud noises of social cohesion, integration, environmental protection and resources conservation. Evidently, the gated-community
Social exclusion legacies of segregationist and apartheid urban settlement planning

The framing and structuring of the urban spatial landscapes with race as a decisive factor in South Africa is widely codified (Davies, 1981; Simon, 1984; Massey et al., 1987; Christopher, 1989, 1990, 1995, 1997, 2004; Bickford-Smith, 1995; Freund, 2001; Landman, 2002; Charles, 2003; Donaldson and Kotze, 2006; Lemanski, 2006); and, this article does not seek to be revisionist or present a historic design. However, a brief description is necessary to expose the key tenets of the apartheid spatial fix, which is complex and evidently intractable. Through the Group Areas Act (Act No. 41 of 1950), urban dwellers were segregated according to the colour of skin and language (RSA, 2001), thereby entrenching racial and ethnic zoning within South Africa’s towns and cities. The Group Areas Act further ensured firm control of Black and Coloured populations by prescribing places of residences, movements and employment, among others (Massey et al., 1987; Christopher, 1989; Bickford-Smith, 1995). Simultaneously, this Act provided for exclusive social, economic and political favour for the White population through allocation of land (Massey et al., 1987; Christopher, 1997; Landman, 2002; Charles, 2003).

The Act supported fragmentary urban settlement planning by, among other things, shaping residential areas along racial and/or ethnic divides, thereby excluding large sections of the population from the economic, social and environmental benefits arising out of the conditions of urbanity (Massey et al., 1987; RSA, 2001). That form of urban settlement planning accentuated and sustained social inequalities through land-use designs (Christopher, 1997; Charles, 2003). In this way, Soweto, Tembisa and Soshanguve, among other settlements, found their rooting as townships for Blacks who would not be accommodated in white suburbs. This racially-inspired urban spatial fix was intricately connected and frozen into the socio-economic conditions of the different sections of the population over many years of segregation and apartheid administrations. For this reason, the gated-community planning approach, which relies solely on the marketization logic, cannot redress South Africa’s historic racially-inspired urban spatial fix.

In accordance with the Group Areas Act, urban lands were regulated through zoning racially/ethnically-sensitive mechanisms that were incorporated into the general town planning schemes (Mabin, 1992; Christopher, 1997). In the spirit of such town planning schemes, municipalities executed racial/ethnic zoning with buffers between white and non-white groups (Mabin, 1992; Bickford-Smith, 1995; Freund, 2001; Landman, 2002), in order to ensure segregation and exclusions along racial, ethnic, social, economic and political divides. To sustain such urban segregation, urban peripheries were exclusively zoned and reserved for black ethnic groups (Christopher, 1995, 1997, Charles, 2003). For example, Soshanguve near Pretoria was reserved exclusively to house the Sotho, Shangaan and Venda speaking people at a distance of about 30km from the city centre. Around Polokwane City, Seshego and Lebowakgomo Townships, which are respectively about 15km and 60km away from the city centre, were reserved for the Sotho speaking population.

This form of settlement planning guaranteed the exclusion of black ethnic groups from access of urban amenities, infrastructure and services (Massey et al., 1987; Christopher, 1989; Freund, 2001; Lemanski, 2006). Contrary to townships on the urban periphery, urban centres and suburbs were zoned and designed to accommodate the needs of the white population. This exclusive racially/ethnically-inspired urban land zoning involved expropriation of land property and alienation of Blacks and Coloureds from their lands (Christopher, 1989, 1997; Landman, 2002; Charles, 2003; Lemanski, 2006), thereby simultaneously deepening deprivation of these groups. Inevitably, apartheid urban settlement planning disrupted social cohesion and sense of shared common nationality amongst the different population groups in and around South African cities (Bickford-Smith, 1995; Christopher, 1995, 1997; Lemanski, 2006). The resultant deleterious spatial effects of this racially/ethnically-inspired urban settlement planning have persisted enduringly, rendering the democratic urban settlement planning inherently paradoxical and complex.

Formerly black townships have continued to be a fusion of urbanity and rurality (Lemon and Clifford, 2005; Donaldson and Kotze, 2006). As a consequence, this spatial organization of urban settlement bore significant long-term costs for township dwellers through, among other factors, incessant commuting and affirmation of deleterious inequalities in access to economic and social overhead capital of the cities (Christopher, 1990, 2004). The same segregationist and apartheid urban settlement planning logic has persisted through a nuance approach that relies on gated-community tenets. This article argues, therefore, that privatization of public spaces in a democratic South Africa’s modern urban settlement planning
does not hold capabilities necessary and/or sufficient for the transformation of the apartheid social exclusion.

Modern urban settlement planning: Privatization and marketization of public spaces

Public spaces in urban areas do not necessarily encapsulate a sense of belonging or of being owned by the citizenry; instead, they appear to reflect the socio-economic status of the community (Arefi and Meyers, 2003; Maruani and Amit-Cohen, 2007; Donovan, 2008; Fraser, 2008; Beck, 2009; Van Melik et al., 2009; Jacob and Hellström, 2010; Mandeli, 2010; El-Husseiny and Kesseiba, 2012; Francis et al., 2012; Kazmierczak, 2013). Whereas public by definition, these spaces represent a face of individualism through cost recovery for consumption of the aesthetic values and amenities associated with urbanity. The public spaces that are not subjected to the marketization logic are also allowed to decay through heavy congestion, crowding and infested with dirt, litter and crime. In a democratic South Africa, such non-marketized public spaces have continued to be preserves for black populations. The promotion of individualism and fragmentation rather than social cohesion, collectivism in economic development, shared safety and security, full democratic participation in community affairs and environmental values (Carr et al., 1992; Lemanski, 2006; Beck, 2009; Mandeli, 2010; Francis et al., 2012) has persisted in a democratic South Africa. According to McMillan and Chavis (1986), high profile public spaces are used in ways that do not support a sense of community or feeling of belonging or shared faith in collectivism (cited in Francis et al., 2012). Such public spaces do not promote social cohesion through regular encounter and interaction among different population groups, thereby simultaneously detracting from the economic success and environmental well-being of urbanity.

According to Francis et al., (2012, p.402) public spaces are “meeting or gathering places outside the home and workplace that are generally accessible by members of the public, and which foster resident interaction and opportunities for contact and proximity”. These spaces include parks, plazas, sidewalks, community centres, schoolyards, open and green spaces, amongst others. That is, freedom of access and accessibility of public spaces to all groups of the population as well as the freedom of action and the temporal nature of the citizenry’s claim and ownership of the spaces (Carr et al., 1992) are the fundamental tenets of publicness. These tenets render public spaces the qualities that define their character as “generic destinations for variety of places that host regular, voluntary, informal and happy anticipated gatherings of individuals beyond the realms of home and work” (Oldenburg 1989, p.16). Contestations of public spaces range from those over roads, sidewalks and parks, which are prevalent in most cities in developing countries. Informal traders, taxis, homeless people and job seekers are, among others, the most visible participants in the contestations of these public spaces. Often, walkways are blocked and streets are taken over by hawkers, causing traffic congestion. These public space contestations are exacerbated by the limitations created through privatization and marketization of dedicated residential infrastructure and services for securitized urban communities. Development of gated-communities, for example, imposes limitations on public access and accessibility of streets, walkways and such other public spaces (Lemanski, 2006; Donovan, 2008; Fraser, 2008; Beck, 2009; Jacob and Hellström, 2010). Modern urban settlement planning, which is vested with gated-communities designs of security villages and neighbourhood enclosures, privatizes most of the urban public spaces. In this way, public spaces are virtually withdrawn from communities’ access and their contributions to economic, social and environmental value is compromised. Evidence suggests that access to public spaces involves enhancement of quality of life (Donovan, 2008; Fraser, 2008; Beck, 2009). Their privatization and marketization, therefore, withhold this potential from other population groups which are prevented from exploiting their quality of life enhancing value under the guise of securitization of urban spaces (Fraser, 2008).

Generally, modern urban settlement planning has seen public spaces being converted into private gated-communities, golf-courses, parks, clinics and schools (El-Husseiny & Kesseiba, 2012). The rationale for such privatization of public spaces is often framed around the notion of de-densifying the city centre as a mechanism for the management of traffic congestion and for curbing pollution. Whereas these private developments may play a role in the de-densification of the city centre, their newly-found controlled access fosters physical, economic and social exclusions through urban spatial planning. Simultaneously, the non-privatized and non-marketized public spaces are poorly maintained and are left to deteriorate into dilapidated crime-fested environments (El-Husseiny and Kesseiba, 2012). In most developing countries including South Africa, urban-based municipalities are required to operate through market ethos, with the result that most of them have already assigned private interests the responsibility to develop, maintain and manage most public spaces within cities (Van Melik et al., 2009). Given the economic and market ethos driving private sector operators, assignment of responsibility and control of public spaces has unequivocally allowed a free right in the unwavering application of the cost for consumption principle as the key determinant of access and accessibility (Van Melik et al., 2009). The assumption is that the involvement of private sector interests in the management of public spaces would improve coherence between these spaces and nearby private properties, thereby supporting the economies of scale (Van Melik et al., 2009). That is, market efficacies and economics are prioritized above social cohesion.
Additionally, the privatized and marketized regulation of what is supposed to be public spaces introduced unprecedented urban complexity which eroded the possibility for societal engineering and political deliberations that could enforce social cohesion and integration.

Public spaces are supposed to bring people together through opportunities for informal meetings and organic establishment of social ties (Oldenburg, 1989; Mandelli, 2010; Francis et al., 2012), and their privatization and marketization thwart their potential to support these qualities and societal values (Fraser, 2008; Beck, 2009; Van Melik et al., 2009; Kaźmierczak, 2013). Management of public spaces on the bases of unfettered market ethos introduces homogeneity of suffrage in regard to the socio-economic status of the users, thereby promoting inherent social exclusions (Hook and Vrdoljak, 2002; Donovan, 2008; Van Melik et al., 2009), especially for countries such as South Africa where inequalities were previously engineered and sustained along racial/ethnic divides. Private sector interests strive for profit maximization, meaning that their control and management of public spaces is tantamount to conversion of public good for deployment as a pure, divisible and exclusive private commodity. In this context, a perfectly public good is operationalized on the erroneous assumption that consumption by some reduces the value and diminishes its accessibility to others. For South Africa, such commodification ethos would not support social integration of the urban settlements. The gated-community planning would inevitably perpetuate South Africa’s social fragmentation within cities.

Gated-community planning: Democratization of social fragmentation and exclusions

As already stated, gated-communities are established through privatization and marketization of public spaces; and, they subsequently impose limitations on access and accessibility along race/ethnic and, perhaps, gender divides. But the justification of these types of urban settlement planning is that they provide solutions to crime and that they create the necessary environment for the improvement of the quality of life for the resident population. In this way, the underlying conception of crime prevention is framed through race-based urban securitization, social exclusions and alienation that brand others as potential criminals. By their act of privatization and marketization of public spaces in a democratic South Africa where there are stark inequalities that are racially/ethnically evident, gated-community planning democratises social fragmentation in ways that adversely affect public services delivery and quality of life for the majority of the poor urban dwellers. This gated-community planning social fragmentation of the urban landscapes erodes the potential for integrated development, social cohesion, popular participation and democracy (Landman, 2004). Consequently, the mushrooming of gated-communities in urban South Africa is associated with persistent social alienation and inequalities, which are also manifested in racially variable access to public services (Landman, 2002, 2004; Bénit-Gbaffou, 2008; Borsdorf and Hidalgo, 2008; Rogers and Sukolratanamee, 2009). In the endeavour to serve the interests of private capital, the state ceded all power of determination and control over the dynamics and effects of privatized, commodified and marketized public spaces (Jessop, 2002; Bénit-Gbaffou, 2008).

Bénit-Gbaffou (2008) describes social fragmentation as the increasing spatial separation of sections of the society based on factors such as race and socio-economic standing. Inevitably, the privatization and marketization of public spaces through gated-communities in South Africa is destined to perpetuate the past segregation and apartheid legacies through nuance democratic urban settlement planning. That is, gated-community approach to urban settlement planning in South Africa merely presents a nuance democratically rationalise strategy for sustaining the status quo of racially/ethnically-inspired social fragmentation and exclusions which were historically created through segregationist and apartheid planning. Hence, a democratic South Africa’s urban majority has continued to experience the same old inequities, inefficiencies, exclusions and exclusions along race/ethnic divides (Low, 2001; Landman, 2002; Lemanski, 2006; Borsdorf and Hidalgo, 2008). Shared economic development entails spatial integration and a significant degree of access and accessibility of public amenities and services to the most (Hook and Vrdoljak, 2002; Landman, 2002; Lemanski, 2006; Bénit-Gbaffou, 2008; Rogers and Sukolratanamee, 2009). Gated-community planning also affects the efficacy of state performance, functioning and management of public services (Hook and Vrdoljak, 2002; Landman, 2002), such as policing, emergency services (fire trucks and ambulances) and a host of municipal functions (waste removal, water and electricity meter readings). Gated-communities transform the existing urban form, spatiality and road networks in ways that alter the state’s capacity to perform public and municipal services within the city. One of the most disruptive elements of the gated-community urban settlement planning model commonly cited is the large number of road closures that complicate traffic patterns (Low, 2001; Landman, 2002), creating potential congestion on alternative routes. Overall, the public’s resident outside the gated-communitessis excluded, through physical interventions such as walls, fences, gates and booms, from the benefits associated with commodification, privatization and marketization of public spaces (Landman, 2002, 2004). Thus, the spatial interventions and land-use controls which democratise social fragmentation through gated-communities thwart the opportunity for social land spatial integration. In this way, gated-community planning is inconsistent with the founding principles for the democratization of South Africa.
The gated-communities assumption of homogeneity of their residents by class and cultural background (Tanulku, 2012) is disconcerting because the attendant physical spatial segregation inherently characterizes the socially excluded against the secluded through crime and such other social ills. Given that gated-communities are virtually private holdings, their use of private security together with the state policing of crime, imply that the security of the majority of the urban poor is neglected. Further, the range of strict by-laws that are enforced in and around the premises of gated-communities is in direct contrast with the apparent toleration of crime, illegality and environmental destruction in the urban spaces dominated by the poor majority. However, the effects of the gated-community settlement planning are not universally uniform, they always depend upon the variable contexts, especially the socio-economic, historical and political, of the city and country in question. Indeed, Salcedo and Torres (2004) confirm that gated-community planning reduced segregation in Santiago, Chile, by catering for the population across the economic, social and cultural spectrum. Similar constructive outcomes were reported for Beijing, albeit gated-communities there were established through public and private partnerships (Tanulku, 2012). In such circumstances, gated-community planning is deliberately deployed as conduit for delivery of access of facilities such as schools, golf courses, horse-riding clubs and shopping complexes, amongst others (Tanulku, 2012), to the poorest sections of the population that may not afford the cost of consumption. Conversely, a democratic South Africa did not deliberately adopt the gated-community model as a vehicle for improving access of service for the majority of the poor people or as a means for social integration. Instead, gated-communities are established in south Africa under the guise of securitization; and, evidence suggests that they are purely mechanisms for financial commercial objectives of developers rather than for the state’s constitutionally-defined social transformation goals. To a large extent, gated-communities’ adoption in a democratic South Africa was justified through securitization and crime rationale, which now appears to undermine a deep sense of social exclusion and segregation through a nuance democratized urban settlement planning strategy. The excuse has always been around the protection of the image, investments and property value. This article asserts that the gated-community logic could for South Africa be a medium-term convenience with long-lasting deleterious connotations.

CONCLUSION

This article has argued that the application of gated-community planning in a former apartheid city supports commodification, privatization and marketization of public spaces in ways that sustains the historic legacies of social fragmentation, seclusion and exclusion along race/ethnic divides. For South Africa, and given the apartheid legacies, gated-community planning would perpetuate social and spatial fragmentation, displacement, distortion, incoherence and inefficiencies and sustain inequality in urban areas. Whereas the reverence for private profit guarantees a portion of the municipal revenue, the majority of the poor have not drawn benefits from this form of commodification, privatization and marketization of their rightful property, state land. In the exclusive service of private capital, the mushrooming of gated-communities in South Africa precipitated enforcement of security, by-laws and crime containment in urban pockets defined through the spatial-socio-economic distribution within cities. South Africa’s apartheid contexts render gated-communities an inappropriate urban settlement planning model for social transformation and integration.
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Undoubtedly, cooperative society is a lever for economic development in rural areas. If this could be in line with government policies, it will be very effective to improve living conditions, employment production and income improvement and social status of rural people. The objective of this study is to observe the carbon sequestration project activities in cooperation with international organizations including UNDP and the GEF. Rangelands rehabilitation done for the Afghan refugees had been completely destroyed over a decade in Eastern Iran. In this project, cooperation and participation were formed by organizing people into groups called village development groups (VDGs), where men and women were separated and mixed as well. The cooperatives, which have reached up to 39 in their organizational structure, are micro credit fund whose financial capital is provided by the people's voluntary savings. Results of the cooperatives' performance during three years show that they protect and sustain rehabilitation of degraded rangeland, which are found by collecting of native seeds, creating and cultivating treasure trees and pasture. They also rely on one's training and micro credit fund provided by the government to help people achieve other income-generating resources that ultimately lead to few poor families, improvement in social and economic aspects and growth in human development index projects in the region.

Key words: Village development, carbon sequestration project, participation, village development groups, micro credit funds.

INTRODUCTION

Development has a special place in programming system and accelerates all aspects of human life. It includes basic changes in organizational, social and official structures, public views as well as improvement in production and income rate. Development in most cases involves customs and beliefs of people (lehmann, 2001). The goal of development is to help the proportion of households without access to clean water. A recent UN habitat report shows that meeting this target in large urban areas and small towns or large villages will require different approaches and governance systems, from the point of technical choices, appropriate institutional arrangements,
capacity-building and sustainable financing mechanisms" (Nzengya and Aggarwal, 2013). Village development is a multidimensional and process which involves improvement and promotion in different poor and vulnerable human life quality (Azkia, 2004). Of late, rural development has assumed global attention especially among the developing nations (Gangopadhyay and et al., 2008). One of the methods of village development process is to enable participation in villages. Participation involves providing local people resources and supporting external data in programs for creating effective and useful impacts (Iowe et al., 2005). Village, cultural, organizational and political sustainable development is an inseparable subject of rural development. Participation of people is a human asset (invest), which is the fundamental axis of change (langeroudi, 2003). Participation is an innate concept in human communities that needs planning from up to down and connection among all the present components for its strength. In fact, participation is the direct involvement of people in making decisions through some formal and informal mechanisms (Schatzow, 2002). The non-governmental organizations have extended remarkably all over the world; they reinforce participation of people in society in development process (saidi, 2009). Some have defined cooperation as a process through which poor rural people can organize themselves (Ledesma, 1980).

Todaro, in a book on economic development of third world, size and importance of village development and its role on national development, said agriculture and village development is the main national development (Todaro, 1981). Robinson and Dokoeh, like Todaro, said village development depends on the condition of national development. Another theory on village development is the one put forward by Misra. Misra believes that urban, rural, industrial and agricultural development should be considered as a priority. He believes that the pattern of growth centers on the most desirable village development strategy (Hamed Moghadam, 2004). Rural development involves improving rural livelihoods by implementing a comprehensive development (Kanamaru, 2005). Without the participation of local communities and empowerment, it is not possible to plan and practice what is called participatory development (Shiban Ali, 1999). In this way, everyone is involved in all stages of development because this type of social participation is a social, integrated, comprehensive, dynamic, and correlated multi-dimensional process (Shadi, 2003). Tolon also considered participation as a fundamental condition for village. In his opinion the first step for village development is to give major attention to real needs and the next step is to prioritize these needs by consultation with the villagers. In order to achieve these goals, planning and forming groups and village associations is required. With the allocation of substances to the 1303 trade act production and consumption cooperative, cooperatives across the country quickly began to form including village cooperatives, which have role and a major plan in agricultural development. Due to the importance of cooperative sector as part of the country’s economy, cooperatives gradually found position among the people. Growth and development of cooperatives such as village agricultural cooperatives can play a major role in sustainable agricultural development.

Regional development is the provision of aid and other assistance to regions which are less economically developed (Rahnama and Heydari, 2013). In a general sense, development is a sophisticated and comprehensive process that occurs in the social, economic, political and cultural life of society and it is the state of retardation of development appropriate to the situation that lead to accepting characteristics of rationality and wisdom is associated with age and the interaction logic, arithmetic and planning lies (Bradshaw, 2000). Sustainable development covers all the social and economic problems of the community. As we all know, the economy of third world countries including our country relies mostly on agricultural products and agricultural activities are often done in the villages. Sustainable development involves improving the traditional methods of agricultural production and optimum utilization of land and production resources and distribution of agricultural products; it also entails eliminating hunger and alleviating poverty. It is the building of new social and cultural villages which originate attention to human issues and political necessity. Village development issue and its importance are evident. This is why sociologists and researchers believe that the future of third world problems such as unemployment, poverty, lack of income, hunger, lack of production, etc. must be resolved in the villages. Thus, village development is important for the mentioned problems and especially the basic needs of rural. The role of village production is to give food security and assistance to industry and the role of non-oil exports in GDP of villages is to create job opportunities in the spatial dimensions for national development (Petr, 2001). The main goal of sustainable village development is to create unity between economic, cultural and environmental dimension in order to raise village people’s livelihoods and welfare. Therefore, in our country, village development has been discussed for years as proposed agricultural development and the intellectual framework for efforts to improve life in village areas. Today’s development as a process is the most discussed in countries, particularly developing countries. Realization also involves development of countries benefiting from the talent and active participation of people and their participation in the development process. Development and participation matters are intertwined. Stable and long development process is considered to be successful coupled with increased public participation (Moghanizade, 2000). In this regard, the development
groups formed in rural areas are very effective measure for democratic process and rural participation in village development. The idea of comparing development group activities in rural areas than other area is very important. This is because these groups or institutions are on small-scale and local shortages can exist in their village. The plan to reflect the obstacles and problems in village development has also been a more successful operation. Since village development is towards human development and features orientation, it creates motivation in the rural people. One of the basic conditions required to achieve this purpose is the formation of organized groups, including village development groups and local rural groups. Forming groups of village is a major and important need for participatory planning in order to achieve village development. These groups are formed with great benefits for its members and an appropriate method to take care of their interests. On the other hand, the presence of group development is considered as a consultant in local decision making and management of village areas (DHV, 1995).

In today's world, suitable for cooperative is economic and social development that can optimize the productivity of living conditions, work and production and improvement of income levels and social status of the community effectively. Experience of developed countries shows that the best cooperatives are organizations that have managed to accumulate scattered tools to provide and disperse forces for talent and motivation (George, 2003).

By transferring authority to people, cooperatives can be said to lead to social development, protection and preservation of nature and creating job opportunities for advancement (Faiz, 2005).

This paper has introduced Hosseinabad region, its role, status and effect on village development. Before carbon sequestration projects were done in the area; there were human and environmental problems such as poverty, lack of resources, natural degradation, lack of precipitation, inappropriate transport etc. So after the implementation of international projects, there is need to examine its effects on the evolution of the rural area and the structural conditions of the various measures are to be determined. Research objectives include: to promote and improve rural life through the necessary training based on the special talents and capabilities of the region, to create mobility and empower local communities in order to attain goals and sustainable development, to reduce poverty and improve economic conditions and livelihood of rural family by creating employment to poor and low income people, to create micro credit fund to develop productive and social activities, to develop and promote production activities through the provision of entrepreneurship training programs (Figure 1).

METHODS

The general research methodology is based on field studies conducted with the help of different observation techniques,
interviews and questionnaires. After the collection of data, they were recorded on computer. For efficiency, SPSS statistical index software was used for entering the data in the system; the desired frequency tables and other indicators for updating the data were derived. To achieve more comprehensive and accurate information, 25 rural development departments (VDG)\(^1\) in the research area were involved in the study and focus groups help facilitate training and local expensive projects that have been studied; comprehensive information from social institutions (VDG) was obtained.

RESULTS

Carbon Sequestration Project of Hossein Abad is a joint work of government (UNDP) and Global Environmental Facility (GEF). Hossein Abad plain catchment area (Ghynab, 144,000 hectares) is located at Sarbisheh City, politically (Figure 2). Its average basin elevation is 1,700 meters. This plain exposure to 120-day winds of Sistan causes intense erosion, from June to October each year; its causes major damage to natural vegetation, agricultural fields and products. The region with serious effects of drought has left. It has severe negative economic and social impact on the lives of the inhabitants of the village and towns. This desert established village has a population of about 32, 800 households, who take livestock and agriculture as their main occupation.

Participation management approach has been adopted by local communities and societies. Participation and partnerships create a spirit of cooperation among local people, which is the basic principle of the strategy of this Carbon Sequestration Project. Carbon Sequestration Project requires public participation and participative management is a necessary infrastructure for partnership. Building of educational institutions was discussed in several meetings in each of the rural and nomadic community in the study area to create motivation to form a social organization. Simple methods were used to teach (teaching aids) the people of the importance of partnerships, solidarity and cooperation in international affairs and its impact for realizing opportunities location. Despite all the initial problems associated with ethnicity and social and cultural conditions of local communities, with the passage of time and multiple programs that encourage community education, rural development was gradually formed known as Village Development Groups (VDGs), consisting of men and women.

Micro credit funds help members of each group by providing what they need for their production and living activities independently. Interested groups have learned how to implement it for job creation. Micro credit fund is given mostly to the low-income group and rural women for job generating activities.

Village development groups were targeted as the based members; and the project, skills training for men and women were selected as one of the basic tools of employment and income creation. There was initial funding of work based on training or activities that people are willing; credit fund consists of small savings. Weekly meetings were conducted to rotate and use the funds for productive activities the groups got involved in. Others who need another opportunity were taken care of by the local community. On the other hand, funding was given to the groups based on the projects they request for as well as the necessary incentives for their activities.

\(^1\) - village development groups
Finally, local community organizations lead to their mobility and capacity. In addition, education and awareness has caused visits and gathering of information needed.

Since 2007, a variety of activities in line with economic and social development have been done in the region. 25 Village Development groups (VDGs) in 15 villages and micro credit funds have been formed. Now, Carbon Sequestration Project has been done in 25 Village Development Groups made up of 39 persons (7 females, 8 males and 24 mixed group). One goal of creating micro credit funds in Village development groups is to improve members’ livelihood and assist in their production activities; therefore, independently each of the village development groups (VDGs) members who have necessary training apply for loan schemes to create job. In this project considering the poverty of the people, through this project granting financial facilities are granted in the form of loans to a number of people in Village development for employment opportunities. After three years of the project implementation, the basic question: will the economic and social objectives of Carbon Sequestration project be fulfilled or not? This article seeks to probe into it.

Village Development Groups (VDGs) formed as a social capital and micro credit funds as well as a major financial capital is a significant topic in the performance evaluation of Carbon Sequestration Project. The direction of the Carbon Sequestration Project is for local community empowerment in order to improve natural resources. The permanent presence of people is considered as an attempt to engage in participatory activities and responsibility. In this context, one of the major participatory activities that mobilize and empower the local community support for community groups is village and micro credit funds (the result of savings). These issues are important aspects of social, humanitarian, financial and environmental activities. The participation of women is obvious in this movement. They are not only in development group but have made up their own credit funds or mixed with men. They have important role in micro credit funds formed in direct and indirect activity. This transference of responsibility to local people in order to be more reliable and responsible to manage and monitor natural resources rehabilitation, providing livelihoods, employment and income and pursue their own affairs has caused a new intellectual space on the dominant environment. Village Development Groups status and micro credit fund in successive years are written as follows.

The most important result is in line with developments related to the formation of groups and micro credit fund in project area during the years of assessment (2009-2011) as follows:

1- Number of village development groups has increased from 25 groups in 2009 to 39 groups in 2011 in the region. Village development group consists of 7 women and 11 mixed groups (men and women) with a membership of about 50 percent of women. It has not only indicates extreme religious and secular structure adjustment on women’s participation in social and economic activities but also is a sign of identity and creating confidence in women in patriarchal society.

2- Development groups have had a total number of meetings (1539) (Table1); the various issues groups, micro credit funds, review requests and loans provision. Each group has held 20 meetings in a year.

3- The total amount of savings within the group of micro credit fund increased from 11,427,425 dollars in 2009 to 25,871,015 in 2011, reflecting increase. This shows the desire and willingness of the people to save and their financial contributions to help other members of the group. Micro credit funds give loans to members for projects such as breeding and poultry production, melon planting,
carpet weaving, carpet weaving, barbering, embroidery, knitting, herbs etc. So far they have rotated 15 million.
4- The amount of savings by women in the micro credit fund has increased from 3,815,600 in 2009 to 9,222,250 USD in 2011. The success of this project is based on gender segregation.
5- The consent of members of village development shows the performance of micro credit funds; 55 percent of members’ performance in micro credit funds has high quality index; 25 percent, moderate; 9 percent is low, and 11 percent, none (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

One of the goals of creating micro credit funds for village development groups is to improve members’ livelihood and help them to be independent in their productive activities. Therefore, each member that has the necessary training will be given loan. Carbon Sequestration Project has helped the members in this way:

1- Total number of loans produced by the project and micro credit fund was about 99 in 2010 and increased to 181 in 2011; this had favorable a trend of average 60.
2- Total loan production transferred to the lower classes and low income in 2009 was 27, 37 in 2010 and 25 in 2011; the total was 270. 33% was allocated to the poor and low income group.
3- Total number of active projects 89(66%) in 2011 while was 63 (63%) in 2010.
4- Total number of inactive production projects was 45 (34%) in 2011 while it was 37 (37%) in 2010.
5- The payment of loans in the year 2011 was 24.3% with no delay, there was 59.5% loans repayment with delay between 1 to 4 months and 16.2% loans with a delay of more than four months. These are the amounts in 2010 respectively, 43, 48 and 9%.
6- The local repayments of loans by borrowers in 2011 shows that 61 percent of borrowers using loans and 39 percent from other income sources attempt to repay in installments. These amounts were 35 and 65% in 2010.
7 - Feedback from members of development groups on the income shows that in 2011, 15% of members had high income good; 35.4%, moderate; 23.6 and 26%, less. This is because to get to the profitability level, they have no income to execute their plans. These rates in 2010 were respectively, 9, 36, 33 and 22%.
8- The rate of part-time employment produce the loan in 2011 was 152 (54%) for women and 70 (46%) for men, amounting to 1386. There were 125 (64%) women and 45 (36%) men.
9- The loans given to women in 2011 were used for the following: carpet weaving (25.6%), 22% sewing and weaving (22%), heavy animal fattening scheme (17%), light livestock fattening scheme (12%), poultry (5.8%) and 6 others (14.6%). This situation in 1386 was as follows: carpet weaving (37.5%), sewing and knitting (22.5%), light and heavy fattening (19%), poultry (11%) and other items (10%).
10- Total number loans given in 2011 from the project was 130 (98%) and 32 from the micro credit funds. compared to the year 1386 it has increased by about 25 percent. Another good result in this region is reduction of poverty, improvement of the living level conditions of the people and overall income index improvement. Growth of Index income during the years besides other index has been remarkable, including literacy and hope, leading to improvement of human development index. Privation and poverty index will be assessed in terms of human life quality called Human Development Index (HDI). Therefore, the standard values provided by the UNDP are calculated from human development index action (Table 2),

\[ \text{Life Expectancy index}^* \text{ literacy index}^* \text{ income index}^* \times 1.3 = \text{HDI} \]

Calculations indicate the fact that the region’s HDI index reached .431 in 2007 and .504 in 2011. Searching the motivation of the people to participate in affairs is an important social and cultural component. An index cannot only be used to evaluate their belief in stable decision, but it also necessary to create confidence in programmers and administrators so that in their absence, the civil- participation organization will be protected and continue their jobs. In the research, community has been used for a long time to create the most important

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Before 2007</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Literacy index</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income index</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life hope index</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human development index</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

participation organization; which is development group through cultural-instructional and promotional activities. It is important to motivate people to accept group membership. Based on the obtained results from 25 development groups, 51% people accepted membership by their own determination and based on their personal decision; that this is a good satisfying credit for development groups' stability. Also, 39% accepted based on project suggestion and 10% of other people accepted membership in village development groups (Table 3).

This project was successful. It creates the concept of participation, development of rural men and women, organizing village development groups, micro credit funds, with people getting involved in planting and natural resources. These are examples which show the concept of participation. Results of the cooperatives’ performance during three years show that they protect and sustain rehabilitation of degraded rangeland, which are found by collecting of native seeds, creating and cultivating treasure trees and pasture. They also rely on one’s training and micro credit fund provided by the government to help people achieve other income-generating resources that ultimately lead to few poor families, improvement in social and economic aspects and growth in human development index projects in the region.

Based on the obtained results from 25 village development groups, 51% of people accepted membership in groups by their own choice and personal decision. This is a good and satisfying credit for group activities treasury and rehabilitation activities. 90,000 plants of Tagh (plant of typical), root plant, Atriplex and Haloxylon pot plant have been produced. Most of these seeds have been used for seeding and planting in rehabilitation area with people participating in them. Totally, during the last 5 years, women's participation in social activities has been increased in a way that 63% of women are interested in attending collaborative and group activities, 64% believed that usually group activities result is better and and 51% said that they usually attend the lecture meetings which are held in the village.
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