A photograph of a forest scene. In the foreground, a large stack of cut logs is piled up. The logs are stacked in a way that shows their circular ends. The background is a dense forest with many thin tree trunks and green foliage. The lighting is natural, suggesting a sunny day. The overall scene is a mix of natural forest elements and harvested timber.

# Journal of Horticulture and Forestry

Volume 6 Number 7 August 2014  
ISSN 2006-9782



*Academic  
Journals*

# ABOUT JHF

The **Journal of Horticulture and Forestry (JHF)** is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

**Journal of Horticulture and Forestry (JHF)** is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as Arboriculture, Plant growth by hydroponic methods on straw bales, Postharvest physiology of crops, Permaculture etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in JHF are peer-reviewed.

## Contact Us

**Editorial Office:** [jhf@academicjournals.org](mailto:jhf@academicjournals.org)

**Help Desk:** [helpdesk@academicjournals.org](mailto:helpdesk@academicjournals.org)

**Website:** <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JHF>

**Submit manuscript online** <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>

## Editors

### **Dr. Amanullah Khan**

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Agricultural University,  
Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Crop  
Production Sciences, Peshawar-25130, Pakistan.

### **Prof. Paul K. Baiyeri**

Department of Crop Science,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
University of Nigeria, Nsukka,  
Nigeria

### **Dr. Fahrettin Tilki**

Artvin Coruh University  
Faculty of Forestry  
08000-Artvin,  
Turkey

### **Dr. Peter Fredenburg**

Freewheel Media  
2D Samtoh Building  
386 Queens Road West  
Sai Ying Pun,  
Hong Kong

### **Dr. Deepu Mathew**

Kerala Agricultural University  
Tavanur - 679 573,  
India

### **Dr. Magdi Tawfik Abdelhamid**

National Research Centre  
Botany Department  
Al-Behooth street, Dokki, Cairo,  
Egypt

### **Dr. Ricardo Aroca**

Dpto. Microbiología del Suelo  
Estación Experimental del Zaidín (CSIC)  
Profesor Albareda 1  
18008 Granada  
Spain

### **Dr. Süleyman Korkut**

*Düzce University, Faculty of Forestry*  
Department of Forest Industrial Engineering  
81620 Beciyorukler Campus, Duzce  
Turkey

### **Prof. Shahrokh Khanizadeh**

430 Gouin, St Jean sur Richelieu, Quebec,  
Canada

### **Dr. Geoff Sellers**

Research Fellow Agronomy Institute  
UHI Orkney College Kirkwall  
Orkney KW15 1LX

### **Prof. Festus K. Akinnifesi**

Regional Coordinator & Principal Scientist  
World Agroforestry Centre  
(International Centre for Research in Agroforestry,  
ICRAF)  
Southern Africa Regional Programme  
Chitedze Agricultural Research Station  
Lilongwe,  
Malawi

### **Dr. Xianmin Chang**

Agronomy Institute, Orkney College  
University of Highlands and Islands  
East Road, Kirkwall, Orkney  
UK

### **Dr. Alireza Iranbakhsh**

Islamic Azad University,  
Aliabad Katoul Branch, Aliabad Katoul,  
Golestan  
Iran

### **Dr. Anil Vyas**

Microbial Biotechnology and Biofertilizer Laboratory,  
Department of Botany  
J. N. V. University,  
Jodhpur -342005,  
Rajasthan,  
India.

## Editorial Board

**Dr. Gecele Matos Paggi**

Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul  
Brazil

**Dr. Mekou Youssoufa Bele**

Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR)  
Central Africa Regional Office (CARO)  
P.O.Box 2008, Messa.  
Yaounde - CAMEROON

**Dr Ugur Cakilcioglu**

Firat University,  
Faculty of Science and Arts,  
Department of Biology  
TURKEY

**Dr Hare Krishna**

Central Institute of Temperate Horticulture-Regional  
Station,  
Mukteshwar-263 138, District- Nainital, Uttarakhand,  
India

**Dr. Zhonglian('Julie') Huang**

Donald Danforth Plant Science Center  
975 North Warson Road  
St.Louis, MO 63132  
USA

**Dr. Gholamreza Sharifisirchi**

Reza Sharifi-Sirchi  
Biotechnology Department, Agriculture college,  
Shahid Bahonar University-Kerman  
Iran

**Dr Ashwani Tapwal**

Scientist  
Rain Forest Research Institute (RFRI),  
Ministry of Environment & Forests (GOI)  
P.O. Box -136, Deovan, Jorhat-785 001,  
Assam, Tanzania

**Dr. Karim Hosni**

School of Agriculture, Mograne,  
Department of Agricultural Production, 1121, Zaghouan,  
Tunisia

**Dr. Jasper Abowei**

Department of Biological Sciences,  
Faculty of Science,  
Niger Delta University, Wilberforce Island,  
Bayelsa State  
Nigeria

**Dr. Hasan Turkez**

Faculty of Science, Molecular Biology and Genetics  
Department,  
Erzurum Technical University,  
Erzurum, Turkey

**Dr. Ricardo Aroca**

Department of Soil Microbiology  
Zaidín Experimental Station (CSIC)  
Professor Albareda 1  
18008 Granada  
Spain

**Dr. Maarit Kallio**

Finnish Forest Research Institute  
Vantaa Unit,  
POB 18,  
FI-01301 VANTAA  
Finland

**Dr. Iulian Costache**

University of Craiova  
Faculty of Agriculture and Horticulture  
Department of Biology and Environmental Engineering  
13 A. I. Cuza Street, 200583 Craiova,  
Romania

**Dr. Rajesh Kumar**

Scientist C  
Forest Protection Division  
Rain Forest Research Institute (RFRI),  
P.O. Box -136, Deovan, Jorhat-785 001,  
Assam, India

**Bharat Sharma Acharya**

Ratnanagar 01, Chitwan, Nepal  
Nepali

**Dr. Subhasis Panda**

Taxonomy & Biosystematics Laboratory  
Post-Graduate Department of Botany  
Darjeeling Govt. College  
Darjeeling-734101  
India

**Dr. Kadiriye URUÇ PARLAK**

Agri Ibrahim Cecen University  
Science and Arts Faculty  
Department of Biology  
04100 Agri/TURKEY

ARTICLES

Research Articles

**Propanil - and fenoxaprop-p-methyl resistance *Echinochloa colona* (L.)  
*link biotype* in upland rice**

58

Puran Bridgemohan\* and Ronell S. H. Bridgemohan

Full Length Research Paper

## Propanil - and fenoxaprop-p-methyl resistance *Echinochloa colona* (L.) link biotype in upland rice

Puran Bridgemohan\* and Ronell S. H. Bridgemohan

Waterloo Research Campus, the University of Trinidad and Tobago, Waterloo Estates, Carapichaima, Trinidad.

Received 23 June, 2014; Accepted 23 July, 2014

The development of resistance of *Echinochloa colona* biotypes is emerging as a major problem in upland rice production, particularly where there is an apparent over use of the propanil - and fenoxaprop-P-methyl herbicides. A series of field studies in a commercial rice production facility were conducted to screen a wide range of recommended herbicides for upland rice weeds and to assess its efficacy and the possible resistance of herbicides. The results indicated that fenoxaprop was not performing as anticipated in upland rice management. However, propanil in combination with oxadiaryl improved yield and weed control. Preliminary evidence suggests that there is *E. colona* biotype present in the population that is exhibiting some level of resistance, but not necessarily to Fenoxaprop-P.

**Key words:** *Echinochloa colona*, biotypes, propanil, fenoxaprop-p-methyl, oxadiaryl herbicides.

### INTRODUCTION

The production of upland-rice is increasing worldwide as most of the potential lowland areas are already cultivated (Gupta and O'Toole, 1986). Approximately 14% of the world's rice growing area is dry seeded in unbounded fields (De Datta, 1981), but the yield is low compared to irrigated and lowland production systems, and is confined to areas with inadequate rainfall and poor soils.

Weed control is a major challenge to upland rice production in addition to crop nutrition (Becker and Johnson, 2001). Excessive herbicide usage is expensive, environmentally harmful, and may contribute to the buildup of herbicide-resistant weed biotypes as is the case of *Echinochloa colona* (Fisher et al., 1993) and *E. crusgalli* (Baldwin et al., 1996). *E. colona* is the most noxious weed in upland rice (Holm et al., 1977, Fisher et al., 1993; Baldwin et al., 1996). It is a very competitive

weed capable of suppressing growth and development of the crop. It contributes to the yield decline and a reduction in grain quality of 42 to 90% (Fisher et al., 1993).

*E. colona* has displayed resistance to propanil, acetyl-coenzyme A carboxylase (ACCCase)-inhibitor herbicides, quinclorac and imazapyr (Valverde, 2007). Caseley et al. (1996) found that repeated use of propanil has led to the evolution of propanil-resistant *E. colona* biotypes. The resistant (R) biotypes have elevated levels of aryl acylamidase, which rapidly metabolizes propanil to 3,4-dichloroaniline. Caseley et al. (1997) showed that in the control of *E. colona* in both rainfed and irrigated, Cyhalofop-butyl was selective in rice and effectively controlled *E. colona* which was resistant to propanil, but was ineffective on those that were resistant to

\*Corresponding author. Email: [Puran.bridgemohan@utt.edu.tt](mailto:Puran.bridgemohan@utt.edu.tt)

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Fenoxaprop-P. Some *E. colona* populations are resistant to at least three herbicide modes of action quinclorac, bispyribac, imidazolinones and nicosulfuron in ACCase-inhibitor herbicides (Valverde, 2007).

Plaza et al. (2009) reported that in rice crops, different biotypes of *E. colona* have been shown to be resistant to both fenoxaprop and other ACCase-inhibiting herbicides. Pérez et al. (2009) also reported that *E. colona* was not being controlled by herbicides cyhalofop-butyl, clefoxidym, fenoxaprop p-ethyl and bispyribac sodium. The resistance was confirmed when applications of an inhibitor cytochrome P450 enzyme complex was made to resistant populations verification and this complex metabolic pathway enzyme (Caseley et al., 1997). The difference in the metabolization velocity of the herbicide fenoxaprop-p-methyl and cihalofop-buthyl explained the values obtained in the resistance indices (Plaza et al., 2009).

Khalig and Matloob (2011) and Gealy et al. (2014) observed that critical period of weed competition is central in the development of an effective weed management program. Further, rice yield continued to decline as the duration of *E. colona* weed competition increased, and that weed competition beyond 20 days after sowing (DAS) resulted in drastic reduction in the number of panicles m<sup>-2</sup> and grains panicle. They found that the period within 20 to 50 DAS appeared to be an important factor in crop weed competition in dry direct-seeded rice. Miquilena and Lazo (2005) found that *E. colona* can grow initially faster than rice variety in both seasons, and the number of seeds per plant was greater in the species of *Echinochloa* genus and they showed less dormancy.

Recently, Werth et al. (2012) and Thai et al. (2012) have reported that *E. colona* (a common weed in the majority of rice fields in Australia) had exhibited resistance to glyphosate. However, increased no-till agriculture and planting of glyphosate-resistant crops are likely to select more glyphosate-resistant weeds. Do-Soon et al. (2000) developed a rapid detection method for discriminating between resistant (R) and susceptible (S) biotypes of *E. colona* to either propanil or propanil resistance in *E. colona*.

The objective of this study is to evaluate the performance of selected herbicides for the control *E. colona* in upland rice production and to assess its possible resistance of propanil - and fenoxaprop-P-methyl.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field trials were conducted during the period 2007 to 2012 at the Caroni Research Station, Waterloo on the Waterloo soil series. The soil is a clay loam with a pH of 4.9, with 2% coarse sand, 42% fine sand, 27% silt, and 31% clay; CEC 8.3 meg.100 g<sup>-1</sup>, and TEB 3.3 meg.100 g<sup>-1</sup> of oven dry soil. The C/N ratio is 8.9 (Brown and Bally, 1968). The cropping season for upland rice extended from early wet season (June) to late season (December). Three studies were

conducted on the assessment of herbicide for the control of *E. colona* and the determination of propanil and fenoxaprop-P-methyl resistance.

### Study 1: Chemical weed control in upland rice (2007/2008 season)

Sixteen herbicides were screened for efficacy for pre-emergence and post-emergence weed control in upland rice where the predominant weed is historically *E. colona*. The rates and time of application are presented in Table 1. The herbicides were applied 3 days after sowing (DAS) as pre-emergence, and 15 days after emergence (DAE) as post-emergence. The experimental plot size was 50 m<sup>2</sup>, and treatments replicated 3 times in a randomized block design.

### Study 2: Chemical weed control in upland (2008/2009 season)

Eight of the herbicides evaluated in the 2007/8 trial were further evaluated to determine their efficacy for pre- or post-emergence control of *E. colona* and similarly resistance in 30 m<sup>2</sup> experimental plots. The trial was laid out in a randomized block design with 4 replicates. The rates and time of application are presented in Table 2.

### Study 3: Evaluation of oxadiargyl in upland rice weed control (2009/2010 season)

This trial was designed to evaluate oxadiargyl, a new herbicide, singly and in mixtures as pre- and post-emergence herbicide for the control of *E. colona*. The trial was laid out in a randomized block design with four (4) replicates on 30 m<sup>2</sup> experimental plots. The herbicide was applied either post-emergence to *E. colona* (3 leaf stage) or pre-emergence to *E. colona* (2 leaf stage of crop). After the 3 leaf stage, it was applied in mixtures with propanil (Table 3).

In all trials, the experimental sites were part of commercial cultivation established for upland rice production. The fields were fallow for over six months between crops and were not used previously for herbicide evaluation. The same rice variety (var. *Oryzica* 1) was used in all trials. Field operations involved disc harrowing twice at 10 to 14 days intervals between operations. The seed (14% moisture content, and 99.5% viability) was broadcasted using a Spyker™ seed spreader at the rate of 100 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>, and immediately incorporated into the soil by rot ovation. The crop received N and K fertilizer applications at 3, 6 and 9 weeks after sowing [WAS]. Nitrogen was applied as urea [90 kg. N<sub>2</sub>.ha<sup>-1</sup>] and K as Muriate of Potash [150 kg K.ha<sup>-1</sup>]. Each plot received a standard basal application of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> [TSP] equivalent to 50 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>.yr<sup>-1</sup>. In all cases, fields had surface drainage and were free of bunds. Spacing between treatments was 1.5 m, and 2m between blocks.

The herbicides were applied using a single nozzle, aluminum carbon dioxide sprayer (model 104B) with a calibrated volume rate of 220 l.ha<sup>-1</sup> at 207 kPa with an effective boom width of 1.0 m. The nozzle was even, flat spray tip, 8003 brass (50 mesh) with a capacity of 0.066 lpm. The carrier was pipe borne water.

Observations recorded for the crop included plant height (cm) and grain (100% filled spikelets) yield [t.ha<sup>-1</sup>]. *E. colona* density [pl.m<sup>-2</sup>] and shoot dry matter [g.m<sup>-2</sup>] were determined at harvest. A weed control rating system was employed to evaluate the different herbicides as described by Camper (1986). All data were subjected to statistical analysis, using the MINITAB package and generalized linear model, and subjected to the appropriate transformation wherever necessary. Rainfall data were recorded during the experimental period.

**Table 1.** Effect of various herbicides on weed control (%) and grain yield (t.ha<sup>-1</sup>) of upland rice (var. *Oryzica 1*), (2007/8).

Treatment	Application rate (kg.a.i.ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Weed control*	Yield (t.ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Accent [Pre-em]	++	3	1.99
Bentazon+Propanil[Pre-em]	2.0	1	2.5
Butachlor [Pre-em]	2.5	6	2.7
Conduct [Pre-em]	0.75	1	0.5
loxynil [Post-em]	0.6	3	2.0
Oxadiazon [Pre-em]	1.25	1	2.5
Pendimethalin [Pre-em]	2.0	6	1.9
Quinclorac [Pre-em]	0.3	5	2.2
Bromoxyl [Post-em]	0.75	7	1.9
Clomazone [Post-em]	1.0	1	2.3
Fenoxaprop [Post-em]	0.3	1	1.1
Metsulfuron [Post-em]	0.015	1	2.4
Molinate+propanil [Post-em]	3.0	1	2.2
Pretiachlor [Post-em]	8.0	7	2.6
Propanil [Post-em]	3.0	1	3.2
Sethoxydim [Post-em]	0.186	1	0.6
Weedy check	-	1	1.2
S.E.		0.28	0.24
LSD [0.05]		0.84	0.72

++ = 0.00001 g.a.i.ha<sup>-1</sup>; \*weed score- 0 = no control to 10 = 100% control.

**Table 2.** Effect of various herbicides on crop, *E. colona* weed density (112 DAT) and grain yield of *Oryzica 1* (Upland Rice).

Treatment	Application rate (kg.a.i.ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Weed density	Weed dry weight	Crop density	Yield (t/ha)
Oxadiazon [pre-em]	1.25	20.5	28.4	9.0	1.1
Butachlor [pre-em]	2.5	15.5	14.4	6.0	2.25
loxynil Oxidiazon [pre-em]	+ 0.6+	17.7	21.0	7.3	1.52
Pendimethalin [pre-em]	2.0	25.0	23.2	2.8	1.61
loxynil Oxidiazon+ Propanil[post-em]	0.6 + 1.25 + 3.0	21.0	24.8	4.7	2.1
Metsulfuron [post-em]	0.015	17.7	21.8	8.0	1.52
Molinate + Propanil [post-em]	3.0	20.0	30.1	8.8	2.2
Propanil [post-em]	3.0	17.0	34.8	7.7	1.9
Weedy check	-	17.5	39.5	15.8	1.14
SE		3.013	7.984	1.916	0.17
LSD[0.05]		N.S.	N.S.	5.66	0.52

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The total rainfall during the experiment period of 2007 to 2010 cropping season varied between 580 and 7780 mm. The grass weeds present in the experimental plots during the study were: *Cynodon dactylon Pers.*, *Eleusine indica Gaerth.*, *Fimbristylis miliacea (L.)*, *Paspalum distichum L.*, *Commelina benghalensis Ll.*, *Digitaria horizontalis Wild.*, *Leptochloa filiformis* and *E. colona (L.) Link.* The broadleaved weeds were *Portulaca oleracea Linn.*, and *Emilia sonchifolia (Linn) DC.* *Cyperus rotundus Linn.* was the sedge encountered in the plots. However *E. colona*

was always the predominant weed as observed in the weedy check.

### Study 1: Chemical weed control in upland rice (2007/2008)

This study was not only an exploratory screening of currently used and recommended herbicides for weed control in upland rice in general, but also to determine the efficacy of *E. colona* control or its biotypes. The weed control data obtained with the various herbicides as well



**Table 3.** Effect of oxadiargyl on the *E. colona* weed density and control at 38 and 112 days after treatment.

Treatment	Application (kg.ai.ha <sup>-1</sup> )	38 DAS		112 DAS	
		<i>E. colona</i>	Control	<i>E. colona</i>	Control
Oxadiaryl [pre-em]	1.25	27	7.2	5	5.0
Oxadiaryl+ Ioxynil [pre-em]	1.25+6.0	7	8.8	32	7.3
Oxadiaryl+Ioxynil+ Propanil [post-em]	1.25 + 0.6 + 3.0	17	8.5	33	6.8
Oxadiaryl +Propanil [post-em]	1.25 + 3.0	47	5.2	45	5.5
Weedy check	-	85	1.0	90	0.5
SEM		119	1.32	9.51	1.09

as the respective grain yield for upland rice var. *Oryzica 1* are presented in Table 1. The results indicated that herbicidal treatments with metsulfuron, clomazone, oxidiazon, molinate + propanil, bentazon + propanil and propanil had a weed control rating of 1. These herbicides gave a poor weed control on both broadleaves and grasses, regardless of pre- or post-emergence application, and the major grass was *E. colona*. The pre-emergence herbicides (butachlor, pendimethalin, quinclorac and bromoxylil) and the post-emergence herbicide (pretilachlor) controlled weeds at rate of 60 to 75% compared with the weedy check where a control of <10% occurred. Two new herbicides, sethoxydim (post-emergence) and Conduct (pre-emergence) had very low levels of control and were not different from the weedy check). These two herbicides caused severe phytotoxicity damage and reduced the crop density significantly thereby creating a resurgence of weed seeds which subsequently resulted in low crop yields (0.5 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The highest yields were obtained from plots treated with propanil (3.2 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by butachlor (2.7 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>) and pertilachlor (2.6 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>). These treatments in addition to metsulfuron (2.4t.ha<sup>-1</sup>) and clomazone (2.3t.ha<sup>-1</sup>) were not significantly different from propanil. At this location *E. colona* continued to be the major weed species escaping chemical control. After this study, the area remained fallow for the following 6 months and regenerate into a pure stand infestation of *E. colona*.

It was observed that both Fenoxaprop [Post-em] and Propanil [Post-em] gave low control of *E. colona*. However, propanil had the highest yield. This is mainly due to propanil having its effect on the other weed during the critical period of competition, thus reducing the pressure on the yield determination. Notably, all treatments with propanil in combination gave weed control (>10%) but also gave high yield (>2.2 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>). The major weed escaping control was always *E. colona*.

### Study 2: Chemical weed control in upland (2008/2009 season)

Based on their performances in 2007/2008, eight herbicidal treatments were selected for further evaluation

to control *E. colona*. Fenoxaprop [Post-em] was eliminated from any further screening due to its low weed control efficacy and yield.

This weed continued to be the most prolific and dominant in this and nearby fields. It was the major grass weed and densities at 112 days after treatment [DAT] are presented on Table 2. The results showed that there was no significance in the *E. colona* weed density between the weedy check and all the herbicide treatments, but the number and size of the weed had some competitive effect on the emergence and growth of *Oryzica 1* as reflected in the final crop density.

The results indicated that the herbicides had no significant effect on crop density at harvest. The effect of the herbicide on the *E. colona* density was variable as was observed in the weedy check [16pl. per 0.25 m<sup>2</sup>] compared to the treatment with pendimethalin (3pl. 0.25 m<sup>2</sup>). There were no significant difference (P > 0.05) between weedy check and other herbicides weed dry weight.

However, there were significant variations between treatments with respect to grain yield. The highest yield was obtained from plots treated with butachlor (2.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>), but these was not significantly different from the propanil, molinate + propanil and Ioxynil + oxidiazon + propanil treatments. All the other treatments produced yields less than 1.6 t.ha<sup>-1</sup>.

This trial emphasized the need for early pre-emergence control as in the case of butachlor. Propanil is the most popular herbicide in use for upland rice compared to the others. When applied in a mixture with molinate or oxidiazon + Ioxynil, it gave 0.25 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> yield increase. Oxidiazon which is used for lowland rice did not perform as efficiently although there was adequate soil moisture. Regardless of herbicide treatment, *E. colona* proved to be the most dominant weed, but not necessarily exhibiting resistance.

### Study 3: Evaluation of oxadiargyl for upland rice weed control (2009/2010 season)

When oxadiargyl was applied (as a pre-emergence) emerging weed seedlings were killed upon contact with it.

**Table 4.** Response of *E. colona* rice [var. *Oryzica1*] density and grain yield (t.ha<sup>-1</sup>) to oxadiargyl application.

Treatment	Weed density	Crop density	Yield (t.ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Oxadiargyl [pre-em]	1.5	16.1	1.66
Oxadiargyl+ Ioxynil [Post-em]	4	24	1.95
Oxadiargyl+ Propanil [Post-em]	7.3	19.7	1.91
Weedy check	17	22.5	0.7
SEM	1.8	2.001	0.269
LSD [0.05]	8.06	6.310	0.84

The results indicated that oxadiargyl gave between 50 to 70% control of *E. colona* over that of the weedy-check at 38 and 112 DAT. At harvest, efficacy level (50%) of oxadiargyl was increased when mixed with ioxynil and propanil (Table 3).

A similar trend was observed for the effect of oxadiargyl on crop and weed density (Table 4). This effect manifested itself on crop grain yield. All treatments produced more than double yield than that of the weedy check. There were no significant differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) between oxadiargyl (alone) or its mixtures. However, the oxadiargyl + ioxynil + propanil mixture produced 0.45 t.ha<sup>-1</sup> more grains than oxadiargyl (alone).

Herbicides treatments containing propanil did not improve the weed control efficacy against *E. colona* compared with oxadiargyl. This is the first confirmatory evidence that propanil may be exhibiting some level of resistance against oxadiargyl biotype.

The response of the herbicides evaluated in this study was similar to that reported by (De Datta and Ampong, 1991). Propanil and its mixtures were effective herbicides in controlling *E. colona* for upland rice with no significant reduction in yield. However, it is critical not to depend on propanil especially in developing an appropriate integrated weed management [IWM] Strategy. Fisher et al. (1993) suggested that one way to reduce the level of propanil resistance in *Echinochloa* sp is to use commercial formulation of propanil or molinate as they are more effective than propanil alone.

Riches et al. (1997) recommended that pendimethalin improved post-emergence control in the field compared to the standard propanil treatment and can provide residual pre-emergence control of late-germinating individuals, so reducing the propanil selection pressure. For effective *E. colona* control, growers apply propanil (3-84 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 10 and 20 days after planting (DAP) followed by one application of fenoxaprop-P-ethyl (0.045 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 35 DAP, and one application of pendimethalin at 1.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> provided an effective replacement for propanil.

These trials have identified herbicides with different modes of action which may reduce build up of *E. colona* populations resistant to propanil, such as butachlor, oxadiazon oxadiargyl, and pretilachlor. When propanil is used, uncontrolled or resistant *E. colona* biotypes (when identified) should be removed.

These herbicides should be included for the control of *E. colona* [and other weeds] for upland rice as components of the improved crop management (ICM) package strategies (Issac et al., 2013). The ICM should have components of minimum tillage and glyphosate application for reducing the weed seed bank. It stresses the importance of timeliness of operations with respect to placement of fertilizer and application of pre- and post-emergence herbicides in the reduction of weed competition. Also, the use of suitably adapted high yielding varieties [HYV's] for upland conditions. Competition by *E. colona* in upland rice has been shown to reduce crop yield between 80 to 90% (Bridgemohan, 1996).

This study has identified the necessity to rotate upland rice with other drought tolerant crops (sorghum) during the dry season [Jan to May] in order to reduce the weed infestation in future crops. Earlier studies by Bridgemohan (1996) have shown that minimum tillage and incorporation of seed together with fertilizer application at sowing, followed by application of pre-emergence herbicides significantly reduces the infestation of other broadleaved and grass weeds. However, it did not control *E. colona* successfully, and there is need to find suitable herbicides to replace propanil or to mix with propanil to reduce development of *E. colona* resistant biotypes.


### Conflict of Interests

The author(s) have not declared any conflict of interests.

### REFERENCES

- Baldwin FL, Talbert RE, Carey VF, Smith RJ (1996). A Historical review of propanil resistant Barnyard grass IN Arkansas and field advice for its management in dry seeded rice. *Arkan. Rice Res. Stud.* 453:1-8.
- Becker M, Johnson DE (2001). Cropping intensity effects on upland rice yield and sustainability in West Africa. *Nutrient Cycling Agroecosys.* 59(2):107-117. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1017585328904>  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1017551529813>
- Bridgemohan P (1996). Management of *E. colona* in upland rice. *Oni Res. Station Ann. Techn.* 34:110-116.
- Brown CB, Bally GS (1968). Land Capability survey of Trinidad and Tobago. *Soils Central South Trinidad* 5:145.
- Camper NB (1986) *Research Methods in Weed Science.* [Editor].

- Southern Weed Science Society, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, p. 486. Caseley JC, Leah JM, Riches CR, Valverde BE (1996). Propanil resistance in *Echinochloa colona* with synergists that inhibit acylamidase and oxygenases. Proceedings of the second international weed control congress, Copenhagen, Denmark, 25-28 June 1996: 1-4:455-460.
- Caseley JC, Palgrave C, Haas E, Riches CR, Valverde B (1997). Herbicides with alternative modes of action for the control of propanil- and fenoxaprop-P-resistant *Echinochloa colona*. 1997 B righton crop protection conference: weeds. Proceedings of an international conference, Brighton, UK, 17-20 November 1997. 1997 pp. 215-220.
- De Datta SK (1981). Principles and practices of rice Perspective. IRRRI., Los Banos, La Laguna Philippines. (New York: John Wiley and sons, INC). P. 168.
- De Datta SK, Ampong NK (1991). A handbook for weed control in Rice. International Rice Research Institute. Los Banos, La Laguna Philippines.
- Do-Soon Kim, John C. Caseley Philip B, Charles R, Valverde BE (2000). Rapid detection of propanil and fenoxaprop resistance in *Echinochloa colona*. Weed Sci. 48(6):695-700. doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/0043-745\(2000\)048\[0695:RDOPAF\]2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/0043-745(2000)048[0695:RDOPAF]2.0.CO;2)
- Fisher AJ, Lozano E, Trujillo O (1993). Propanil resistance in populations of Jungle rice [*E.colona*] in Colombia rice fields. Weed Sci. 41:201-206.
- Gealy DR, Anders M, Watkins B, Duke S (2014). Crop Performance and Weed Suppression by Weed-Suppressive Rice Cultivars in Furrow- and Flood-Irrigated Systems under Reduced Herbicide Inputs. Weed Sci. 62(2):303-320. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WS-D-13-00104.1>
- Gupta PC, O'Toole JC (1986). Upland Rice a Global Perspective International Rice Research Institute. Los Banos, La Laguna Philippines.
- Holm LG, Plucknett DL, Panchoo JV, Herberger JP (1977). The World's most weeds: Distribution and Biology. Honolulu: University Press Hawaii. P. 609.
- Issac W, Bridgemohan P, Ganpat WG (2013) Integrated Weed Management Practices for Adoption in the Tropics. Chapter 10 Agricultural and Biological Sciences » "Herbicides - Current Research and Case Studies in Use", book edited by Andrew J. Price and Jessica A. Kelton, ISBN 978-953-51-1112-2, Published: June 12, 2013 under CC BY 3.0 license DOI: 10.5772/55950. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/55950>
- Khaliq A, Matloob A (2011). Weed-crop competition period in three fine rice cultivars under direct-seeded rice culture. Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. 17(3):229-243.
- Miquilena L, Lazo JV (2005). Evaluation of the allelopathic potential of *Amaranthus dubius* Mart, *Echinochloa colona* (L.) Link and *Trianthema portulacastrum* L. root exudates on crops species located in agrosystems of Aragua and Falcon states, Venezuela...XVII Congreso de la Asociación Latinoamericana de Malezas (ALAM) I Congreso Iberoamericano de Ciencia de las Malezas, IV Congreso Nacional de Ciencia de Malezas, Matanzas, Cuba, 8 al 11 de noviembre del 2005, pp. 625-630
- Pérez D, Zambrano C, Lazo JV, Sousa Ede, Calha I, Moreira I, Monteiro A, Rodrigues L, Portugal J, Vasconcelos T (2009). Evaluation of possible metabolic resistance of populations [*Echinochloa colona* (L.) Link] for herbicides cyhalofop-butyl, clefloxidym, fenoxaprop p-ethyl and bispyribac sodium. Sociedad Española de Malherbología (Spanish Weed Science Society), Madrid, Spain, XII Congresso da Sociedad Española de Malherbología (SEMh), XIX Congresso da Asociacion Latinoamericana de Malezas (ALAM), II Congresso de Ciencias de las Malezas (IBCM), Herbologia e Biodiversidade numa Agricultura Sustentável, Lisboa, Portugal, 10 a 13 de Novembro de 2:11-515.
- Plaza G, Martínez MJ, Fuentes CL, Prado R de Sousa Ede Calha I, Moreira I, Monteiro A, Rodrigues L, Portugal J, Vasconcelos T (2009). A study of the resistance of *Echinochloa colona* to fenoxaprop and other ACCase-inhibiting herbicides. Sociedad Española de Malherbología (Spanish Weed Science Society), Madrid, Spain, XII Congresso da Sociedad Española de Malherbología (SEMh), XIX Congresso da Asociacion Latinoamericana de Malezas (ALAM), II Congresso Iberico de Ciencias de las Malezas (IBCM), Herbologia e Biodiversidade numa Agricultura Sustentável, Lisboa, Portugal, 10 a 13 de Novembro de 2:573-575.
- Riches CR, Knights JS, Chaves L, Caseley JC, Valverde BE (1997). The role of pendimethalin in the integrated management of propanil-resistant *Echinochloa colona* in Central America . Pestic. Sci. 51:341-346. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9063(199711)51:3<341::AID-PS640>3.0.CO;2-D
- Thai HN, Malone J, Boutsalis P, Preston C, Eldershaw V (2012). Glyphosate resistance in barnyard grass (*Echinochloa colona*). Weed Science Society of Victoria Inc., Frankston, Australia, Developing solutions to evolving weed problems. 18th Australasian Weeds Conference, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, 8-11 October, pp. 237-240.
- Valverde BE (2007). Status and Management of Grass-weed Herbicide Resistance in Latin America. Weed Technology: April 2007. 21(2):310-323. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1614/WT-06-097.1>
- Werth J, Walker S, Thornby D, Boucher L (2012). Weed Science Society of Pakistan, Department of Weed Science, Peshawar, Pakistan, Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res. 18(4):671-676.

The background of the slide is a photograph of a forest. In the foreground, there is a large stack of cut logs, arranged in a neat pile. The logs are light brown and show the natural grain of the wood. Behind the logs, the forest floor is covered with fallen leaves and twigs. In the background, several tall, thin tree trunks rise up, with green foliage visible at the top. The overall scene is a natural, outdoor setting.

# Journal of Horticulture and Forestry

## Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*
- *African Journal of Agricultural Research*
- *Journal of Horticulture and Forestry*
- *International Journal of Livestock Production*
- *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture*
- *Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds*
- *Journal of Soil Science and Environmental Management*
- *Journal of Stored Products and Postharvest Research*

**academic**Journals