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In this paper, union, and intersection of generalised fuzzy soft sets are introduced and some of their basic properties are studied. The objective of this paper is to introduce the generalised fuzzy soft topology over a soft universe with a fixed set of parameters. Generalised fuzzy soft points, generalised fuzzy soft closure, generalised fuzzy soft neighbourhood, generalised fuzzy soft interior, generalised fuzzy soft base are introduced and their basic properties are investigated. Finally, generalised fuzzy soft compact spaces are introduced and a few basic properties are taken up for consideration.
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INTRODUCTION

Most of our real life problems in engineering, social and medical science, economics, environment etc. involve imprecise data and their solutions involve the use of mathematical principles based on uncertainty and imprecision. To handle such uncertainties, Zadeh (1965) introduced the concept of fuzzy sets and fuzzy set operations. The analytical part of fuzzy set theory was practically started with the paper of Chang (1968) who introduced the concept of fuzzy topological spaces; however, this theory is associated with an inherent limitation, which is the inadequacy of the parametrization tool associated with this theory as it was mentioned by Molodtsov (1999).

In 1999, Russian researcher Molodtsov introduced the concept of soft set theory which is free from the above problems and started to develop the basics of the corresponding theory as a new approach for modelling uncertainties. Shabir and Naz (2011) studied the topological structures of soft sets.

In recent times, many researches have contributed a lot towards fuzzification of soft set theory. In 2001, Maji et al. introduced the fuzzy soft set which is a combination of fuzzy set and soft set. Tanay and Burc Kandemir (2011) introduced topological structure of fuzzy soft set and gave an introductory theoretical base to carry further study on this concept. The study was pursued by some others (Chakraborty et al., 2014; Gain et al., 2013).

In 2010, Majumdar and Samanta introduced generalised fuzzy soft sets and successfully applied their notion in a decision making problem. Yang (2011) pointed out that some results put forward by Majumdar and Samanta (2010) are not valid in general. Borah et al. (2012) introduced application of generalized fuzzy soft sets in teaching evaluation.

*Corresponding author. Email: ramda2004@rediffmail.com. Tel: +91 9143028586.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License

Subject Classification Code: 54A40, 54D99.
The objective of this paper is divided into three parts. In the first part we introduce the generalised fuzzy soft union, generalised fuzzy soft intersection, and several other properties of generalised fuzzy soft sets are studied. In the second part we introduce “generalised fuzzy soft topological spaces” over the soft universe \((X, E)\) with a fixed set of parameter. Then we discussed some basic properties of generalised fuzzy soft topological spaces with an example and define generalised fuzzy soft open and closed sets. By this way we define the generalised fuzzy soft closure, generalised fuzzy soft points, generalised fuzzy soft neighbourhood, generalised fuzzy soft interior, generalised fuzzy soft base and also we established then some important theorems related to these spaces. Finally we define generalised fuzzy soft compactness and give some important definitions and theorems.

This paper may be the starting point for the studies on “Generalised fuzzy soft topology” and all results deduced in this paper can be used in the theory of information systems.

**PRELIMINARIES**

Throughout this paper \(X\) denotes initial universe, \(E\) denotes the set of all possible parameters for \(X\), \(P(X)\) denotes the power set of \(X\), \(I^X\) denotes the set of all fuzzy sets on \(X\), \(I^E\) denotes the collection of all fuzzy sets on \(E\), \((X, E)\) denotes the soft universe and \(I\) stands for \([0, 1]\).

**Definition 1**

A fuzzy set \(A\) in \(X\) is defined by a membership function \(\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0,1]\) whose value \(\mu_A(x)\) represents the “grade of membership” of \(x\) in \(A\) for \(x \in X\) (Zadeh, 1965).

If \(A, B \in I^X\) then from Zadeh (1965), we have the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
A \leq B &\quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x), \text{ for all } x \in X. \\
A = B &\quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mu_A(x) = \mu_B(x), \text{ for all } x \in X. \\
C = A \cup B &\quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mu_C(x) = \max\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}, \text{ for all } x \in X. \\
D = A \cap B &\quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mu_D(x) = \min\{\mu_A(x), \mu_B(x)\}, \text{ for all } x \in X. \\
E = A^C &\quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mu_E(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x), \text{ for all } x \in X. \\
\end{align*}
\]

**Definition 2**

Let \(A \subseteq E\). A pair \((f, A)\) is called a soft set over \(X\) where \(f\) is a mapping from \(A\) into \(P(X)\), that is, \(f : A \rightarrow P(X)\) (Molodtsov, 1999).

In other words, a soft set is a parameterized family of subsets of the set \(X\). For \(e \in A\), \(f(e)\) may be considered as the set of \(e\)-approximate elements of the soft set \((f, A)\).

**Definition 3**

Let \(A \subseteq E\). A pair \((F, A)\) is called a fuzzy soft set over \(X\), where \(F : A \rightarrow I^X\) is a function, that is, for each \(a \in A\), \(F(a) = F_a : X \rightarrow [0,1]\) is a fuzzy set on \(X\) (Maji et al., 2001).

**Definition 4**

Let \(X\) be the universal set of elements and \(E\) be the universal set of parameters for \(X\) (Majumdar and Samanta, 2010). Let \(F : E \rightarrow I^X\) and \(\mu\) be a fuzzy subset of \(E\), that is, \(\mu : E \rightarrow I = [0,1]\). Let \(F_\mu\) be the mapping \(F_\mu : E \rightarrow I^X \times I\) be a function defined as follows: \(\widetilde{F}_\mu(e) = (F(e), \mu(e))\), where \(F(e) \subseteq I^X\) and \(\mu(e) \subseteq I^E\). Then \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\) is called a generalised fuzzy soft set (GFSS in short) over \((X, E)\).

Here, for each parameter \(e \in E\), \(\widetilde{F}_\mu(e) = (F(e), \mu(e))\) indicates not only the degree of belongingness of the elements of \(X\) in \(F(e)\) but also the degree of possibility of such belongingness which is represented by \(\mu(e)\).

**Example 1**

Let \(X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}\) be a set of three houses under consideration. Let \(E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}\) be a set of qualities where \(e_1\) = expensive, \(e_2\) = beautiful, \(e_3\) = in the green surroundings. Let \(\mu : E \rightarrow I = [0,1]\) be defined as follows: \(\mu(e_1) = 0.4, \mu(e_2) = 0.5, \mu(e_3) = 0.7\).

We define a function \(F_\mu : E \rightarrow I^X \times I\) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
F_\mu(e_1) &= ((x_1 \in 0.4, x_2 \in 0.2, x_3 \in 0.5), 0.4), \\
F_\mu(e_2) &= ((x_1 \in 0.6, x_2 \in 0.4, x_3 \in 0.7), 0.5), \\
F_\mu(e_3) &= ((x_1 \in 0.7, x_2 \in 0.5, x_3 \in 0.1), 0.7).
\end{align*}
\]
Then \( \tilde{F}_\mu \) is a GFSS over \((X, E)\).

**Definition 5**

Let \( \tilde{F}_\mu \) and \( \tilde{G}_\delta \) be two GFSS over \((X, E)\) (Majumdar and Samanta, 2010). Now \( \tilde{F}_\mu \) is said to be a GFS subset of \( \tilde{G}_\delta \) or \( \tilde{G}_\delta \) is said to be a GFS super set of \( \tilde{F}_\mu \) if \( \mu \) is a fuzzy subset of \( \delta \)
\[
F(e) \text{ is also a fuzzy subset of } G(e), \quad \forall e \in E
\]
In this case we write \( \tilde{F}_\mu \subseteq \tilde{G}_\delta \).

**Definition 6**

Let \( \tilde{F}_\mu \) be a GFSS over \((X, E)\) (Majumdar and Samanta, 2010). Then the complement of \( \tilde{F}_\mu \), is denoted by \( \tilde{F}_\mu^c \) and is defined by \( \tilde{F}_\mu^c = \tilde{G}_\delta \), where \( \delta(e) = \mu^c(e) \) and \( G(e) = F^c(e) \), \( \forall e \in E \).
Obviously \( \left( \tilde{F}_\mu^c \right)^c = \tilde{F}_\mu \).

**Definition 7**

Union of two GFSS \( \tilde{F}_\mu \) and \( \tilde{G}_\delta \), denoted by \( \tilde{F}_\mu \cup \tilde{G}_\delta \), is a GFSS \( \tilde{H}_\nu \), defined as \( \tilde{H}_\nu : E \to I^X \times I \) such that \( \tilde{H}_\nu(e) = (H(e), \nu(e)) \), where \( H(e) = F(e) \lor G(e) \) and \( \nu(e) = \mu(e) \lor \delta(e) \), \( \forall e \in E \).

Let \( \left\{ \tilde{F}_\mu, \mu \in \Lambda \right\} \), where \( \Lambda \) is an index set, be a family of GFSSs. The union of these family is denoted by \( \bigcup_{\mu \in \Lambda} (\tilde{F}_\mu) \), is a GFSS \( \tilde{H}_\nu \), defined as \( H_\nu : E \to I^X \times I \) such that \( \tilde{H}_\nu(e) = (H(e), \nu(e)) \), where \( H(e) = \bigvee_{\mu \in \Lambda} (F(e))_\mu \) and \( \nu(e) = \bigvee_{\mu \in \Lambda} (\mu(e))_\mu \), \( \forall e \in E \).

**Definition 8**

Intersection of two GFSS \( \tilde{F}_\mu \) and \( \tilde{G}_\delta \), denoted by \( \tilde{F}_\mu \cap \tilde{G}_\delta \), is a GFSS \( \tilde{M}_\sigma \), defined as \( M_\sigma : E \to I^X \times I \) such that \( \tilde{M}_\sigma(e) = (M(e), \sigma(e)) \), where \( M(e) = F(e) \land G(e) \) and \( \sigma(e) = \mu(e) \land \delta(e) \), \( \forall e \in E \).

Let \( \left\{ \tilde{F}_\mu, \mu \in \Lambda \right\} \), where \( \Lambda \) is an index set, be a family of GFSSs. The intersection of these family is denoted by \( \bigcap_{\mu \in \Lambda} (\tilde{F}_\mu) \), is a GFSS \( \tilde{M}_\sigma \), defined as \( M_\sigma : E \to I^X \times I \) such that \( \tilde{M}_\sigma(e) = (M(e), \sigma(e)) \), where \( M(e) = \bigwedge_{\mu \in \Lambda} (F(e))_\mu \) and \( \sigma(e) = \bigwedge_{\mu \in \Lambda} (\mu(e))_\mu \), \( \forall e \in E \).

**Example 2**

Consider the GFSS \( \tilde{F}_\mu \) over \((X, E)\) given in Example 1
\[
\tilde{F}_\mu = \left\{ F \left( e \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\left\{ \left( x_1, 0.4 \right), \left( x_2, 0.2 \right), \left( x_3, 0.5 \right) \right\} & , F \left( e \right) = \left\{ \left( x_1, 0.6 \right), \left( x_2, 0.4 \right), \left( x_3, 0.7 \right), 0.5 \right\}
\end{array} \right. 
\right\}.
\]

Let \( \tilde{G}_\delta \) be another GFSS over \((X, E)\) defined as follows:
\[
\tilde{G}_\delta = \left\{ G \left( e \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\left\{ \left( x_1, 0.3 \right), \left( x_2, 0.5 \right) \right\}, G \left( e \right) = \left\{ \left( x_1, 0.5 \right), \left( x_2, 0.3 \right), \left( x_3, 0.4 \right), 0.4 \right\}
\end{array} \right. 
\right\}.
\]

Then \( \tilde{F}_\mu \cup \tilde{G}_\delta = \tilde{H}_\nu \)
\[
\tilde{H}_\nu = \left\{ H \left( e \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\left\{ \left( x_1, 0.4 \right), \left( x_2, 0.5 \right), 0.4 \right\} & , H \left( e \right) = \left\{ \left( x_1, 0.6 \right), \left( x_2, 0.4 \right), \left( x_3, 0.7 \right), 0.5 \right\}
\end{array} \right. 
\right\}.
\]

Again \( \tilde{F}_\mu \cap \tilde{G}_\delta = \tilde{M}_\sigma \)
\[
\tilde{M}_\sigma = \left\{ M \left( e \right) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\left\{ \left( x_1, 0.3 \right), \left( x_2, 0.2 \right), \left( x_3, 0.3 \right), 0.2 \right\}, M \left( e \right) = \left\{ \left( x_1, 0.5 \right), \left( x_2, 0.3 \right), \left( x_3, 0.4 \right), 0.4 \right\}
\end{array} \right. 
\right\}.
\]

**Definition 9**

A GFSS is said to be a generalised null fuzzy soft set, denoted by \( \tilde{\phi}_\theta \), if \( \phi_\theta : E \to I^X \times I \) such that \( \tilde{\phi}_\theta(e) = (F(e), \theta(e)) \), where \( F(e) = \emptyset \), \( \forall e \in E \) and \( \theta(e) = 0 \), \( \forall e \in E \) (Where \( \emptyset \) denotes the null fuzzy set) (Majumdar and Samanta, 2010).
Proposition 1

Let \( \widetilde{F}_\mu \) be a GFSS over \( (X, E) \), then the following holds:

(i) \( \widetilde{F}_\mu = \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{F}_\mu \)

(ii) \( \widetilde{F}_\mu = \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{F}_\mu \)

(iii) \( \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \phi = \widetilde{F}_\mu \)

(iv) \( \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \phi = \phi \)

(v) \( \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{I}_\lambda = \widetilde{I}_\lambda \)

(vi) \( \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{I}_\lambda = \widetilde{F}_\mu \)

Proof: Straightforward.

Proposition 2

Let \( \widetilde{F}_\mu \), \( \widetilde{G}_\delta \) and \( \widetilde{H}_\nu \) be any three GFSS over \( (X, E) \), then the following holds:

(i) \( \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{G}_\delta = \widetilde{G}_\delta \cap \widetilde{F}_\mu \)

(ii) \( \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{G}_\delta = \widetilde{G}_\delta \cap \widetilde{F}_\mu \)

(iii) \( \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap (\widetilde{G}_\delta \cap \widetilde{H}_\nu) = (\widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{G}_\delta) \cap \widetilde{H}_\nu \)

(iv) \( \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap (\widetilde{G}_\delta \cap \widetilde{H}_\nu) = (\widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{G}_\delta) \cap \widetilde{H}_\nu \)

Proof: Straightforward.
(ii) Arbitrary unions of members of $T$ belong to $T$.
(iii) Finite intersections of members of $T$ belong to $T$.

The triplet $(X, T, E)$ is called a generalised fuzzy soft topological space (GFST-space, in short) over $(X, E)$.

**Definition 12**

Let $(X, T, E)$ be a GFST-space over $(X, E)$, then the members of $T$ are said to be a GFS open sets in $(X, T, E)$.

**Definition 13**

Let $(X, T, E)$ be a GFST-space over $(X, E)$. A GFSS $\tilde{F}_\mu$ over $(X, E)$ is said to be a GFS closed set in $(X, T, E)$, if its complement $\tilde{F}_\mu^c$ belongs to $T$.

**Proposition 5**

Let $(X, T, E)$ be a GFST-space over $(X, E)$. Let $T'$ be the collection of all GFS closed sets. Then

(i) $\tilde{\phi}_0$ and $\tilde{1}_X$ are in $T'$
(ii) Arbitrary intersections of members of $T'$ belongs to $T'$
(iii) Finite unions of members of $T'$ belongs to $T'$

**Proof**

Follows from the definition of GFST-space and De-Morgan’s law for GFSS which is given in Proposition 4.

**Example 3**

$T = \{\tilde{\phi}_0, \tilde{1}_X\}$ forms a GFS topology over $(X, E)$, which is said to be the GFS discrete topology over $(X, E)$.

**Example 4**

Let $T$ be the collection of all GFSS which can be defined over $(X, E)$. Then $T$ forms a GFS topology over $(X, E)$; it is called the GFS discrete topology over $(X, E)$.

**Example 5**

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$

We consider the following GFSS over $(X, E)$ defined as

$$\tilde{F}_\mu = \begin{cases} F_e(e_1) = ([x_1 \setminus 0.6, x_1 \setminus 0.5, x_1 \setminus 0.4, 0.2], E), & F_e(e_2) = ([x_1 \setminus 0.2, x_1 \setminus 0.3, x_1 \setminus 0.8, 0.5].) \\ F_e(e_3) = ([x_1 \setminus 0.7, x_1 \setminus 0.4, x_1 \setminus 0.3, 0.6]) \end{cases}$$

where $\mu \in I^E$.

Then $T$ forms a GFS topology over $(X, E)$.

**Definition 14**

Let $(X, T, E)$ and $(X, T_1, E)$ be two GFST-spaces. If each member of $T$ belongs to $T_1$, then $T_1$ is called GFS finer (larger) than $T$ or (equivalently) $T$ is GFS coarser than $T_1$.

**Example 6**

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

Consider the GFS topology $T$ over $(X, E)$ given in Example 3.7

Let us consider another GFS topology $T_1 = \{\tilde{\phi}_0, \tilde{1}_X, \tilde{F}_\mu, \tilde{G}_\delta, \tilde{H}_\nu\}$, where $\tilde{F}_\mu, \tilde{G}_\delta$ are given in Example 5.

$$\tilde{H}_\nu = \begin{cases} H_e(e_1) = ([x_1 \setminus 0.7, x_1 \setminus 0.6, x_1 \setminus 0.4, 0.5]), H_e(e_2) = ([x_1 \setminus 0.3, x_1 \setminus 0.5, x_1 \setminus 0.8, 0.6]). \\ H_e(e_3) = ([x_1 \setminus 0.7, x_1 \setminus 0.8, x_1 \setminus 0.6, 0.7]) \end{cases}$$

Then $T_1$ is finer than $T$.

**Proposition 6**

Let $(X, T_1, E)$ and $(X, T_2, E)$ be two GFST-spaces over the same universe $(X, E)$, then $(X, T_1 \sim T_2, E)$ is also a GFST-space over $(X, E)$. 
Proof

(i) \( \tilde{\phi}_0, \tilde{T} \in T_1 \cap T_2 \).

(ii) Let \( \left\{ \tilde{F}_i : i \in \Lambda, \Lambda \text{ being an index set} \right\} \) be a family of GFSS in \( T_1 \cap T_2 \). Then \( \left( \tilde{F}_i \right)_i \in T_1 \) and \( \left( \tilde{F}_i \right)_i \in T_2, \forall i \in \Lambda \), so \( \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} (\tilde{F}_i)_i \in T_1 \) and \( \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} (\tilde{F}_i)_i \in T_2 \).

Hence \( \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} (\tilde{F}_i)_i \in T_1 \cap T_2 \).

(iii) Let \( \tilde{F}_\mu, \tilde{G}_\delta \in T_1 \cap T_2 \). Then \( \tilde{F}_\mu, \tilde{G}_\delta \in T_1 \) and \( \tilde{F}_\mu, \tilde{G}_\delta \in T_2 \).

Since \( \tilde{F}_\mu \cap \tilde{G}_\delta \in T_1 \) and \( \tilde{F}_\mu \cap \tilde{G}_\delta \in T_2 \), so \( \tilde{F}_\mu \cap \tilde{G}_\delta \in T_1 \cap T_2 \). Hence \( T_1 \cap T_2 \) forms a GFS topology over \( (X, E) \).

Remark: The union of two GFS topologies over \( (X, E) \) may not be a GFS topology over \( (X, E) \), which follows from the following Example.

Example 7

Let \( X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \) and \( E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\} \).

Let us consider \( T_1 = \{\tilde{\phi}_0, \tilde{T} , \tilde{F}_\mu\} \) and \( T_2 = \{\tilde{\phi}_0, \tilde{T} , \tilde{G}_\delta\} \) be two GFS topologies over \( (X, E) \), where \( \tilde{F}_\mu, \tilde{G}_\delta \) are GFSS over \( (X, E) \) defined as follows

\[
\tilde{F}_\mu = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
F_{e_1}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.7, x_2 \mid 0.3, x_3 \mid 0.2\}, 0.0\},
F_{e_2}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.3, x_2 \mid 0.4, x_3 \mid 0.5\}, 0.3\} \right)
\end{array} \right.
\]

where \( \mu \in F^E \)

\[
\tilde{G}_\delta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
G_{e_1}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.5, x_2 \mid 0.4, x_3 \mid 0.3\}, 0.2\}\right)
G_{e_2}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.8, x_2 \mid 0.5, x_3 \mid 0.0\}, 0.4\}\right)
\end{array} \right.
\]

where \( \delta \in F^E \)

Now, \( T = T_1 \cup T_2 = \{\tilde{\phi}_0, \tilde{T} , \tilde{F}_\mu, \tilde{G}_\delta\} \)

Let \( \tilde{F}_\mu \cup \tilde{G}_\delta = \tilde{H}_\nu \)

Where

\[
\tilde{H}_\nu = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
H_{e_1}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.6, x_2 \mid 0.4, x_3 \mid 0.5\}, 0.3\}\right)
H_{e_2}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.2, x_2 \mid 0.3, x_3 \mid 0.5\}, 0.3\}\right)
\end{array} \right.
\]

Now \( \tilde{H}_\nu \notin T \).

Thus \( T \) is not a GFS topology over \( (X, E) \).

Definition 15

Let \( (X, T, E) \) be a GFST-space and \( \tilde{F}_\mu \) be a GFSS over \( (X, E) \). Then the generalised fuzzy soft closure of \( \tilde{F}_\mu \), denoted by \( \overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} \), is the intersection of all GFS closed superset sets of \( \tilde{F}_\mu \).

Clearly, \( \overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} \) is the smallest GFS closed set over \( (X, E) \) which contains \( \tilde{F}_\mu \).

Example 8

Let \( X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \) and \( E = \{e_1, e_2\} \).

Let us consider the following GFSS over \( (X, E) \).

\[
\tilde{F}_\mu = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
F_{e_1}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.7, x_2 \mid 0.3, x_3 \mid 0.2\}, 0.0\},
F_{e_2}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.3, x_2 \mid 0.4, x_3 \mid 0.5\}, 0.3\} \right)
\end{array} \right.
\]

\[
\tilde{G}_\delta = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
G_{e_1}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.3, x_2 \mid 0.4, x_3 \mid 0.5\}, 0.5\},
G_{e_2}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.5, x_2 \mid 0.7, x_3 \mid 0.9\}, 0.0\} \right)
\end{array} \right.
\]

\[
\tilde{H}_\nu = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
H_{e_1}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.3, x_2 \mid 0.6, x_3 \mid 0.5\}, 0.5\},
H_{e_2}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.5, x_2 \mid 0.7, x_3 \mid 0.6\}, 0.0\} \right)
\end{array} \right.
\]

Now, \( \tilde{H}_\nu, \tilde{G}_\delta \) are GFS closed sets. Let us consider the following GFSS over \( (X, E) \).

\[
\tilde{M}_\gamma = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
M_{e_1}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.4, x_2 \mid 0.9, x_3 \mid 0.6\}, 0.0\},
M_{e_2}(\cdot) = \left( \{x_1 \mid \{0.5, x_2 \mid 0.6, x_3 \mid 0.7\}, 0.0\} \right)
\end{array} \right.
\]

Then the GFS closure of \( \tilde{M}_\gamma \), denoted by \( \overline{\tilde{M}_\gamma} \) is the intersection of all GFS closed sets containing \( \tilde{M}_\gamma \).

That is, \( \overline{\tilde{M}_\gamma} = \tilde{N}_\delta \cap \tilde{T} = \tilde{N}_\delta \).
Theorem 1

Let $(X,T,E)$ be a GFST-space. Let $\tilde{F}_\mu$ and $\tilde{G}_\delta$ are GFSS over $(X,E)$. Then

1. $\tilde{F}_0 = \tilde{G}_\delta$,
2. $\tilde{F}_\mu \subseteq \tilde{G}_\delta$,
3. $\tilde{F}_\mu$ is GFS closed if and only if $\tilde{F}_\mu = \tilde{F}_\mu$.
4. $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} = \tilde{F}_\mu$.
5. $\tilde{F}_\mu \subseteq \tilde{G}_\delta \Rightarrow \overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} \subseteq \overline{\tilde{G}_\delta}$.
6. $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} \cap \overline{\tilde{G}_\delta} = \overline{\tilde{F}_\mu \cap \tilde{G}_\delta}$.
7. $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} \cap \overline{\tilde{G}_\delta} \subseteq \overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} \cap \overline{\tilde{G}_\delta}$.

Proof

(1) and (2) are obvious.
(3) Let $\tilde{F}_\mu$ be a GFS closed set. By (2) we have $\tilde{F}_\mu \subseteq \tilde{F}_\mu$. Since $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu}$ is the smallest GFS closed set over $(X,E)$ which contains $\tilde{F}_\mu$, then $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} \subseteq \overline{\tilde{F}_\mu}$. Hence $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} = \overline{\tilde{F}_\mu}$.

Conversely, let $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} = \overline{\tilde{F}_\mu}$. Since $\tilde{F}_\mu$ is a GFS closed set, then $\tilde{F}_\mu$ is a GFS closed set over $(X,E)$.

(4) Since $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu}$ is a GFS closed set therefore, by (3) we have $\overline{\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu}} = \overline{\tilde{F}_\mu}$.

(5) Let $\tilde{F}_\mu \subseteq \tilde{G}_\delta$. Then every GFS closed super set of $\tilde{G}_\delta$ will also contain $\tilde{F}_\mu$. That is, every GFS closed super set of $\tilde{G}_\delta$ is also a GFS closed super set of $\tilde{F}_\mu$.

Hence the intersection of GFS closed super sets of $\tilde{F}_\mu$ is contained in the GFS intersection of GFS closed super set of $\tilde{G}_\delta$. Thus $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} \subseteq \overline{\tilde{G}_\delta}$.

(6) Since $\tilde{F}_\mu \subseteq \tilde{G}_\delta$ and $\tilde{G}_\delta \subseteq \overline{\tilde{F}_\mu}$, so by (5) $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} \subseteq \tilde{G}_\delta$ and $\overline{\tilde{G}_\delta} \subseteq \tilde{F}_\mu$. Thus, $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu \cap \tilde{G}_\delta} \subseteq \tilde{F}_\mu \cap \tilde{G}_\delta$.

Conversely, as $\tilde{F}_\mu \subseteq \tilde{F}_\mu$ and $\tilde{G}_\delta \subseteq \tilde{G}_\delta$. So $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu} \subseteq \overline{\tilde{F}_\mu}$ and $\overline{\tilde{G}_\delta} \subseteq \overline{\tilde{G}_\delta}$. Thus $\overline{\tilde{F}_\mu \cap \tilde{G}_\delta} \subseteq \tilde{F}_\mu \cap \tilde{G}_\delta$.

Definition 16

Let $\tilde{F}_\mu$ be a GFSS over $(X,E)$. We say that $(e^x_x,e^\lambda_\lambda) \subseteq \tilde{F}_\mu$ read as $(e^x_x,e^\lambda_\lambda)$ belongs to the GFSS $\tilde{F}_\mu$ if $F(e)(x) = \alpha (0 < \alpha \leq 1)$ and $F(e)(y) = 0, \forall y \in X \setminus \{x\}, \mu(e) > \lambda$.

Proposition 7

Every non-null GFSS $\tilde{F}_\mu$ can be expressed as the union of all the generalised fuzzy soft points which belong to $\tilde{F}_\mu$.

Proof: Obvious.

Definition 17

A GFSS $\tilde{F}_\mu$ in a GFST-space $(X,T,E)$ is called a generalised fuzzy soft neighbourhood [GFS-nbd, in short] of the GFSS $\tilde{G}_\delta$ if there exists a GFS open set $\tilde{H}_\nu$ such that $\tilde{G}_\delta \subseteq \tilde{H}_\nu \subseteq \tilde{F}_\mu$.

Definition 18

A GFSS $\tilde{F}_\mu$ in a GFST-space $(X,T,E)$ is called a generalised fuzzy soft neighbourhood of the generalised fuzzy soft point $(e^x_x,e^\lambda_\lambda) \subseteq \tilde{1}_\lambda$ if there exists a GFS open set $\tilde{G}_\delta$ such that $(e^x_x,e^\lambda_\lambda) \subseteq \tilde{G}_\delta \subseteq \tilde{F}_\mu$. 
Proposition 8

Let \((X, T, E)\) be a GFST-space over \((X, E)\). Then

1. Each \((e^a_x, e^\lambda) \in \widetilde{I}_\lambda\) has a GFS nbhd;
2. If \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\) and \(\widetilde{G}_\delta\) are GFS nbhd of some \((e^a_x, e^\lambda) \in \widetilde{I}_\lambda\), then \(\widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{G}_\delta\) is also a GFS nbhd of \((e^a_x, e^\lambda)\);
3. If \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\) is a GFS nbhd of \((e^a_x, e^\lambda) \in \widetilde{I}_\lambda\) and \(\widetilde{F}_\mu \subseteq \widetilde{G}_\delta\), then \(\widetilde{G}_\delta\) is also a GFS nbhd of \((e^a_x, e^\lambda)\).

Proof

1. is obvious.
2. Let \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\) and \(\widetilde{G}_\delta\) be the GFS neighbourhoods of \((e^a_x, e^\lambda) \in \widetilde{I}_\lambda\), then there exist \(\widetilde{H}_\nu, \widetilde{N}_\sigma \subseteq T\) such that \((e^a_x, e^\lambda) \in \widetilde{H}_\nu \subseteq \widetilde{F}_\mu\) and \((e^a_x, e^\lambda) \in \widetilde{N}_\sigma \subseteq \widetilde{G}_\delta\). So \((e^a_x, e^\lambda) \in \widetilde{H}_\nu \cap \widetilde{N}_\sigma \subseteq \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{G}_\delta\). Thus \(\widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{G}_\delta\) is a GFS neighbourhood of \((e^a_x, e^\lambda)\).
3. Let \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\) be a GFS neighbourhood of \((e^a_x, e^\lambda) \in \widetilde{I}_\lambda\) and \(\widetilde{F}_\mu \subseteq \widetilde{G}_\delta\). By definition there exists a GFS open set \(\widetilde{H}_\nu\) such that \((e^a_x, e^\lambda) \in \widetilde{H}_\nu \subseteq \widetilde{F}_\mu \subseteq \widetilde{G}_\delta\). Thus \(\widetilde{G}_\delta\) is a GFS neighbourhood of \((e^a_x, e^\lambda)\).

Definition 19

Let \((X, T, E)\) be a GFST-space. Let \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\) be a GFSS over \((X, E)\) and \((e^a_x, e^\lambda) \in \widetilde{I}_\lambda\). Then \((e^a_x, e^\lambda)\) is said to be a generalised fuzzy soft interior point of \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\) if there exists a GFS open set \(\widetilde{G}_\delta\) such that \((e^a_x, e^\lambda) \in \widetilde{G}_\delta \subseteq \widetilde{F}_\mu\).

Definition 20

Let \((X, T, E)\) be a GFST-space. Let \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\) be a GFSS over \((X, E)\). The generalised fuzzy soft interior of \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\), denoted by \(\widetilde{F}_\mu^0\), is the union of all generalised fuzzy soft open subsets of \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\).

Clearly, \(\widetilde{F}_\mu^0\) is the largest generalised fuzzy soft open set over \((X, E)\) which contained in \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\).

Example 9

Let \(X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}\) and \(E = \{e_1, e_2\}\).

Let us consider the following GFSS over \((X, E)\):

\[
\widetilde{F}_\mu = \{\{x_1 \in [0.7, x_2 \in [0.3, x_3 \in [0.2, 0.4]\}, \widetilde{G}_\delta = \{\{x_1 \in [0.6, x_2 \in [0.4, x_3 \in [0.5]\}
\]

Let us consider the following GFST over \((X, E)\):

\[
\widetilde{N}_\nu = \{\{x_1 \in [0.6, x_2 \in [0.3, x_3 \in [0.2, 0.4]\}
\]

Then the generalised fuzzy soft interior of \(\widetilde{N}_\nu\), denoted by \(\widetilde{N}_\nu^0\), is the union of all generalised fuzzy soft open subsets of \(\widetilde{N}_\nu\).

That is, \(\widetilde{N}_\nu^0 = \widetilde{F}_\mu \cap \widetilde{G}_\delta = \widetilde{I}_\lambda\).

Theorem 2

Let \((X, T, E)\) be a GFST-space. Let \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\) and \(\widetilde{G}_\delta\) be GFSS over \((X, E)\). Then

1. \((\widetilde{F}_\mu^0)^0 = \widetilde{F}_\mu^0\)
2. \((\widetilde{G}_\delta^0)^0 = \widetilde{G}_\delta^0\)
3. \(\widetilde{F}_\mu\) is GFS open if and only if \((\widetilde{F}_\mu^0)^0 = \widetilde{F}_\mu\)
4. \((\widetilde{F}_\mu^0)^0 = \widetilde{F}_\mu^0\)
(5) $\tilde{F}_{\mu} \subseteq G_\delta \Rightarrow \bar{F}_{\mu}^0 \subseteq \bar{G}_\delta^0$

(6) $\bar{F}_{\mu}^0 \cap \bar{G}_\delta^0 = \left(\bar{F}_{\mu} \cap \bar{G}_\delta\right)^0$

(7) $\bar{F}_{\mu}^0 \cap \bar{G}_\delta^0 \subseteq \left(\bar{F}_{\mu} \cap \bar{G}_\delta\right)^0$

**Proof:** Straightforward.

**Theorem 3**

A GFSS $\tilde{F}_{\mu}$ over $(X, E)$ is a GFS open set if and only if $\tilde{F}_{\mu}$ is a GFS nbd of each GFSS $G_\delta$ contained in $\tilde{F}_{\mu}$.

**Proof**

First suppose $\tilde{F}_{\mu}$ is a GFS open and $\bar{G}_\delta$ is any GFSS contained in $\tilde{F}_{\mu}$. We have $\bar{G}_\delta \subseteq \bar{F}_{\mu} \subseteq \bar{F}_{\mu}$, it follows that $\bar{F}_{\mu}$ is a neighbourhood of $G_\delta$.

Conversely, suppose $\tilde{F}_{\mu}$ is a neighbourhood for every GFSS contained it. Since $\tilde{F}_{\mu} \subseteq \tilde{F}_{\mu}$ there exist a GFS open set $\tilde{H}_v$ such that $\tilde{F}_{\mu} \subseteq \tilde{H}_v \subseteq \tilde{F}_{\mu}$.

Hence $\tilde{F}_{\mu} = \tilde{H}_v$ and $\tilde{F}_{\mu}$ is GFS open.

**Theorem 4**

Let $(X, T, E)$ be a GFST-space and $\tilde{F}_{\mu}$ be a GFSS over $(X, E)$. Then

$$\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad \left(\tilde{F}_{\mu}^c\right)^c = \left(\bar{F}_{\mu}^c\right)^0 \\
(2) & \quad \left(\bar{F}_{\mu}^0\right)^c = \left(\bar{F}_{\mu}^c\right)^c
\end{align*}$$

**Proof**

$$\begin{align*}
(1) & \quad \left(\tilde{F}_{\mu}^c\right)^c = \left(\bigcap \{G_\delta \mid \bar{G}_\delta \subseteq \bar{F}_{\mu}\} \right)^c \\
& \quad = \left(\bigcup \{G_\delta^c \mid \bar{G}_\delta^c \subseteq \bar{F}_{\mu}\} \right)^c \\
& \quad = \left(\tilde{F}_{\mu}^c\right)^0 \\
(2) & \quad \left(\bar{F}_{\mu}^0\right)^c = \left(\bigcup \{G_\delta \mid \bar{G}_\delta \subseteq \bar{F}_{\mu}\} \right)^c
\end{align*}$$

**Definition 21**

Let $(X, T, E)$ be a GFST-space. A subfamily $\mathcal{R}$ of $T$ is said to be a GFS base for $T$ if every member of $T$ can be expressed as the union of some members of $\mathcal{R}$.

**Lemma:** Let $(X, T, E)$ be a GFST-space and $\mathcal{R}$ be a GFS base for $T$ over $(X, T, E)$. Then $T$ equals the collection of all unions of elements of $\mathcal{R}$.

**Proof:** Obvious.

**Proposition 9**

Let $(X, T, E)$ be a GFST-space and $\mathcal{R} \subseteq T$. Then $\mathcal{R}$ is a GFS base for $T$ if and only if for any $(e^a_x, e^\lambda_x) \in \tilde{1}_\lambda$ and any GFS open set $\bar{G}_\delta$ containing $(e^a_x, e^\lambda_x)$, there exists $\tilde{R}_\mu \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $(e^a_x, e^\lambda_x) \in \tilde{R}_\mu$ and $\tilde{R}_\mu \subseteq \bar{G}_\delta$.

**Proof:** Straightforward.

**GENERALISED FUZZY SOFT COMPACT SPACES**

The closed and bounded sets of real line were considered an excellent model on which to fashion the generalised version of compactness in topological space. The concept of compactness for a fuzzy topological space has been introduced and studied by many mathematicians in different ways. The first among them was Chang (1968).

In this article, we introduce and study compactness in generalised fuzzy soft topological perspective.

**Definition 22**

A family $\Omega$ of generalised fuzzy soft sets is a cover of a generalised fuzzy soft set $\tilde{F}_{\mu}$ if $\tilde{F}_{\mu}$ is contained in the union of members of $\Omega$. That is, $\tilde{F}_{\mu} \subseteq \bigcup \{G_\delta \mid G_\delta \subseteq \tilde{F}_{\mu}\}$.

It is a generalised fuzzy soft open cover if each member of $\Omega$ is a generalised fuzzy soft open set. A subcover of $\Omega$ is a subfamily of $\Omega$ which is also a cover.
Definition 23
Let \((X,T,E)\) be a generalised fuzzy soft topological space and \(\tilde{F}_\mu\) be a generalised fuzzy soft set, over \((X,E)\) is called generalised fuzzy soft compact (henceforth GFS compact) if each generalised fuzzy soft open cover of \(\tilde{F}_\mu\) has a finite sub cover. Also, generalised fuzzy soft topological space \((X,T,E)\) is called generalised fuzzy soft compact if each generalised fuzzy soft open cover of \(\tilde{I}_\Lambda\) has a finite sub cover.

Definition 24
A family \(\Omega\) of generalised fuzzy soft sets has the finite intersection property if the intersection of the members of each finite sub family of \(\Omega\) is not the generalised null fuzzy soft set.

Theorem 5
A generalised fuzzy soft topological space is GFS compact if and only if each family of GFS closed sets with the finite intersection property, has a generalised non-empty fuzzy soft intersection.

Proof
Let \((X,T,E)\) be a GFS compact space and let \(\tilde{I}_\Lambda = \{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\) be a collection of GFS closed subsets of \((X,T,E)\) with the FIP and suppose, if possible, \(\tilde{\cap} \{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \tilde{\phi}_0\). Then \(\tilde{\cap} \{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}^c = \tilde{I}_\Lambda\) or \(\tilde{\cup} \{\tilde{G}_\lambda^c : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \tilde{I}_\Lambda\) by De-Morgan law. This means that \(\{\tilde{G}_\lambda^c : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\) is open cover of \(\tilde{I}_\Lambda\), since \(\{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\) are GFS closed. Since \((X,T,E)\) is GFS compact, we have that \(\tilde{\cup} \{\tilde{G}_\lambda^c : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \tilde{I}_\Lambda\) and so by De-Morgan law \(\tilde{\cap} \{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \tilde{I}_\Lambda\) which implies that \(\tilde{\cap} \{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \tilde{\phi}_0\). However, this contradicts the FIP. Hence we must have \(\tilde{\cap} \{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} \neq \tilde{\phi}_0\).

Conversely, let \(\Omega = \{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\) be a GFS open cover of \(\tilde{I}_\Lambda\) so that

\[
\tilde{I}_\Lambda = \tilde{\cup} \{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\} = \tilde{\phi}_0
\]

Thus, \(\{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}\) is a collection of GFS closed sets with generalised non-null fuzzy soft intersection and so by hypothesis this collection does not have the FIP. Hence there exists a finite number of GFS

\[
\tilde{\phi}_0 = \tilde{\cap} \{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda = 1,2,\ldots,n\}
\]

This implies that \(\tilde{I}_\Lambda = \tilde{\cup} \{\tilde{G}_\lambda : \lambda = 1,2,\ldots,n\}\) is a GFS compact space.

Hence \((X,T,E)\) is GFS compact.

Conclusion
The proposed work is basically a theoretical one. If these theoretical back-ups are properly nurtured and fruitfully developed, it will definitely usher in various nice applications in the fields of Engineering Science, Medical Science and Social Sciences, by skilfully analyzing and interpreting imprecise data mathematically.
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In this paper we discuss possible applications of ig, dg and bg - closed type sets in topological ordered spaces.
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INTRODUCTION

Algebraic structures play a prominent role in mathematics with wide ranging applications in many disciplines such as theoretical physics, computer sciences, control engineering, information sciences, coding theory, topological spaces, and the like.

Nachbin (1965) initiated the study of topological ordered spaces. Levine (1970) introduced the class of g-closed sets, a super class of sets in 1970. Veera Kumar (2002) introduced a new class of sets, called g*-closed sets in 2000, which is properly placed in between the class of closed sets and the class of g-closed sets. Veera Kumar (2002) introduced the concept of i-closed, d-closed and b-closed sets in 2001. Srinivasarao introduced ig-closed, dg-closed, bg-closed, ig*-closed, dg*-closed and bg*-closed sets in 2014. In this paper, Srinivasarao discusses the possible applications of ig, dg and bg - closed type sets in topological ordered spaces.

A topological ordered space is a triple \((X, \tau, \leq)\), where \(\tau\) is a topology on \(X\), Where \(X\) is a non-empty set and \(\leq\) is a partial order on \(X\).

Definition 1

For any \(x \in X\), \(\{y \in X : y \leq x\}\) will be denoted by \([x, \rightarrow]\) and \(\{y \in X : y \leq x\}\) will be denoted by \([\leftarrow, x]\). A subset \(A\) of a topological ordered space \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is said to be increasing if \(A = i(A)\) where \(i(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} [a, \rightarrow]\) (Veera Kumar, 2002).

Definition 2

For any \(x \in X\), \(\{y \in X : y \leq x\}\) will be denoted by \([\leftarrow, x]\). A subset \(A\) of a topological ordered space \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is said to be decreasing if \(A = d(A)\), where \(d(A) = \bigcup_{a \in A} [a, \leftarrow]\) (Veera Kumar, 2002).

PRELIMINARIES

Definition 1

A subset \(A\) of a topological space \((X, \tau)\) is called

1) a generalized closed set (briefly g-closed) (Levine, 1970) if 
\[ \text{cl}(A) \subseteq U \text{ whenever } A \subseteq U \text{ and } U \text{ is open in } (X, \tau). \]
2) a $g^*$-closed set (Veera Kumar, 2000) if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U$ is $g$-open in $(X, \tau)$.

**Definition 2**

A subset $A$ of a topological space $(X, \tau, \leq)$ (Veera Kumar, 2002; Srinivasarao, 2014) is called

1) an i-closed set if $A$ is an increasing set and closed set.
2) a d-closed set if $A$ is a decreasing set and closed set.
3) a b-closed set if $A$ is both an increasing and decreasing set and a closed set.
4) ig-closed set if $icl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U$ is open in $(X, \tau)$.
5) dg-closed set if $dcl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U$ is open in $(X, \tau)$.
6) bg-closed set if $bcl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and $U$ is open in $(X, \tau)$.

**Theorem 1: Every closed set is a g-closed set**

The following example supports that a g-closed set need not be closed set in general (Veera Kumar, 2000).

**Example 1**

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_2, \leq_1)$ is a topological ordered space. Closed sets are $\phi$, $X$, $\{b\}$, $\{c\}$, $\{a, b\}$, $\{b, c\}$, $\{c, a\}$. Let $A = \{c\}$. Clearly $A$ is a g-closed set but not a closed set (Veera Kumar, 2000).

**Theorem 2: Every $g^*$-closed set is a g-closed set**

The following example supports that a g-closed set need not be a $g^*$-closed set in general (Veera Kumar, 2000).

**Example 2**

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $2\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_2, \leq_2)$ is a topological ordered space. g-closed sets are $\phi$, $X$, $\{b\}$, $\{c\}$, $\{a, b\}$, $\{b, c\}$, $\{c, a\}$. g*-closed sets are $\phi$, $X$, $\{b, c\}$. Let $A = \{c\}$. Then $A$ is a g-closed set but not a g*-closed set (Veera Kumar, 2000).

**Theorem 3: Every i-closed set is an ig-closed set**

The following example supports that an ig-closed set need not be an i-closed set in general (Srinivasarao, 2014).

**Example 3**

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $2\tau = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_2, \leq_2)$ is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014).

ig-closed sets are $\phi$, $X$, $\{b\}$, $\{b, c\}$. i-closed sets are $\phi$, $x$. Let $A = \{b\}$ or $\{a, b\}$. Clearly, $A$ is an ig-closed set but not an i-closed set.

**Theorem 4: Every d-closed set is a dg-closed set**

The following example supports that a dg-closed set need not be d-closed set in general (Srinivasarao, 2014).

**Example 4**

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_2, \leq_2)$ is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). dg-closed sets are $\phi$, $X$, $\{c\}$, $\{b, c\}$. d-closed sets are $\phi$, $X$, $\{b, c\}$. Let $A = \{c\}$. Clearly, $A$ is a dg-closed set but not a d-closed set.

**Theorem 5: Every b-closed set is a bg-closed set**

The following example supports that a bg-closed set need not be a b-closed set in general (Srinivasarao, 2014).

**Example 5**

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_2, \leq_2)$ is a topological ordered space. bg-closed sets are $\phi$, $X$, $\{c\}$. b-closed sets are $\phi$, $X$. Let $A = \{c\}$. Clearly $A$ is a bg-closed set but not a b-closed set (Srinivasarao, 2014).

**Theorem 6: Every bg-closed set is an ig-closed set**

The converse of the above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 6**

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014).

Let $A = \{c\}$. Clearly $A$ is an ig-closed set but not a bg-closed set.
Theorem 7: Every bg-closed set is a dg-closed set

The converse of the above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 7**

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}\} \) and \( \leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c)\} \). Clearly \( (X, \tau_1, \leq_1) \) is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). Let \( A = \{a, c\} \). Clearly \( A \) is a dg-closed set but not a bg-closed set.

Theorem 8: Every b-closed set is an i-closed set

The converse of above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 8**

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}\} \) and \( \leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c)\} \). Clearly \( (X, \tau_1, \leq_1) \) is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). i-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X, \{c\}\). b-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X \). Let \( A = \{c\} \) or \( \{b, c\} \). Clearly \( A \) is an i-closed set but not a b-closed set.

Theorem 9: Every b-closed set is a d-closed set

The converse of above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 9**

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}\} \) and \( \leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c)\} \). Clearly \( (X, \tau_1, \leq_2) \) is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). d-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X, \{c\}\). b-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X \). Let \( A = \{c\} \) or \( \{b, c\} \). Clearly \( A \) is a d-closed set but not a b-closed set.

Theorem 10: Every ig*-closed set is an ig*-closed set

The converse of above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 10**

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\} \) and \( \leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\} \). Clearly \( (X, \tau_2, \leq_1) \) is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). ig*-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X, \{c\}\). ig*-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X, \{b, c\}\). Let \( A = \{c\} \). Clearly \( A \) is an ig*-closed set but not a ig* -closed set.

Theorem 11: Every dg*-closed set is an dg-closed set

The converse of above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 11**

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\} \) and \( \leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c)\} \). Clearly \( (X, \tau_2, \leq_2) \) is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). dg-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X, \{c\}\). dg* -closed sets are \( \emptyset, X, \{b, c\}\). Let \( A = \{c\} \). Clearly \( A \) is an dg*-closed set but not a dg*-closed set. So the class of dg*-closed sets properly contains the class of all dg*-closed sets.

Theorem 12: Every bg*-closed set is a bg-closed set

The converse of above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 12**

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\} \) and \( \leq_3 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c)\} \). Clearly \( (X, \tau_2, \leq_3) \) is a topological ordered space. bg*-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X \). bg*-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X, \{c\} \) (Srinivasarao, 2014). Let \( A = \{c\} \). Clearly \( A \) is bg*-closed set but not a bg*-closed set. So the class of bg*-closed sets properly contains the class of all bg*-closed sets.

Theorem 13: Every bg*-closed set is an ig*-closed set

The converse of above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 13**

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_3 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\} \) and \( \leq_3 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c)\} \). Clearly \( (X, \tau_3, \leq_3) \) is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). Let \( A = \{b\} \). Clearly \( A \) is an ig*-closed set but not a ig*-closed set.

Theorem 14: Every bg*-closed set is an dg*-closed set

The converse of above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.
Example 14

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\} \) and \( \leq_3 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c), (b, a)\} \). Clearly \((X, \tau_1, \leq_3)\) is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). Let \( A = \{a, c\} \). Clearly \( A \) is a \( \text{dg}^- \)-closed set but not an \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed set. The class of all \( \text{dg}^- \)-closed sets properly contains the class of all \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed sets.

Theorem 15: Every \( \text{i-closed} \) set is an \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed set

The converse of above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

Example 15

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_3 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\} \) and \( \leq_4 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b)\} \). Clearly \((X, \tau_3, \leq_4)\) is a topological ordered space. \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X, \{b, c\} \). \( \text{i-closed} \) sets are \( \emptyset, X \). Let \( A = \{b, c\} \). Clearly \( A \) is a \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed set but not an \( \text{i-closed} \) set (Srinivasarao, 2014). The class of all \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed sets properly contains the class of all \( \text{i-closed} \) sets.

Theorem 16: Every \( \text{d-closed} \) set is a \( \text{dg}^- \)-closed set

The converse of above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

Example 16

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_4 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\} \) and \( \leq_5 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (a, b), (c, b), (a, c)\} \). Clearly \((X, \tau_4, \leq_5)\) is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). \( \text{dg}^- \)-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X, \{b, c\} \). \( \text{d-closed} \) sets are \( \emptyset, X \). Let \( A = \{b, c\} \). Then \( A \) is a \( \text{dg}^- \)-closed set but not a \( \text{d-closed} \) set. The class of all \( \text{dg}^- \)-closed sets properly contains the class of all \( \text{d-closed} \) sets.

Theorem 17: Every \( \text{b-closed} \) set is a \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed set

The converse of above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

Example 17

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_6 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\} \) and \( \leq_7 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (b, c), (c, a), (b, a)\} \). Clearly \((X, \tau_6, \leq_7)\) is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X \). \( \text{b-closed} \) sets are \( \emptyset, \{b\} \). \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed set but not a \( \text{b-closed} \) set. The class of all \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed sets properly contains the class of all \( \text{b-closed} \) sets.

Theorem 18: Every \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed set is an \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed set

Then every \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed set is an \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed set (Srinivasarao, 2014). The converse of above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

Example 18

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\} \) and \( \leq_3 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (a, b), (a, c), (b, a)\} \). Clearly \((X, \tau_1, \leq_3)\) is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X \). \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{b, c\} \). Let \( A = \{c\} \) or \( \{b, c\} \). Clearly \( A \) is an \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed set but not a \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed set. The class of all \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed sets properly contains the class of all \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed sets.

Theorem 19: Every \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed set is a \( \text{dg}^- \)-closed set

The converse of above theorem need not be true (Srinivasarao, 2014). This will be justified from the following example.

Example 19

Let \( X = \{a, b, c\} \), \( \tau_3 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\} \) and \( \leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (a, b), (c, a), (b, a)\} \). Clearly \((X, \tau_3, \leq_2)\) is a topological ordered space (Srinivasarao, 2014). \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X \). \( \text{dg}^- \)-closed sets are \( \emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{b, c\} \). Let \( A = \{c\} \) or \( \{b, c\} \). Clearly \( A \) is a \( \text{dg}^- \)-closed set but not a \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed set.

APPLICATIONS OF \( \text{g-CLOSED SETS} \)

We introduce the following definitions.

Definition 1

A topological ordered space \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is called

i) a \( \text{i}T_{1/2} \) space, if every \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed set is closed.

ii) a \( \text{d}T_{1/2} \) space, if every \( \text{dg}^- \)-closed set is closed.

iii) a \( \text{b}T_{1/2} \) space, if every \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed set is closed.

Theorem 1: Every \( \text{i}T_{1/2} \) space is \( \text{b}T_{1/2} \) space

Proof

Let \((X, \tau, \leq)\) be \( \text{i}T_{1/2} \) space. Let \( A \) be \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed subset of \( X \). Then \( A \) is an \( \text{ig}^- \)-closed set. Since \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is an \( \text{i}T_{1/2} \) space then ‘\( A \)’ is a closed set. Therefore every \( \text{bg}^- \)-closed set is a
closed set. Hence \((X, \tau_3)\) is a \(sT_{1/2}\) space. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 1**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}\), \(\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}\) and \(\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_2, \leq_1)\) is a topological ordered space. bg-closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\). Closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\), \([b, c]\). Here every bg-closed set is a closed set. Therefore \((X, \tau_2, \leq_1)\) is \(sT_{1/2}\) space.

**Theorem 2:** Every \(sT_{1/2}\) space is \(sT_{1/2}\) space

**Proof**

Let \((X, \tau, \leq)\) be \(sT_{1/2}\) space. We show that \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(sT_{1/2}\) space. Let \(A\) be bg-closed subset of \(X\). Then \(A\) is a dg-closed subset of \(X\). Since \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is \(sT_{1/2}\) space, we have \(A\) is a closed set. Thus every \(sT_{1/2}\) space is \(sT_{1/2}\) space. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 2**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}\), \(\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}\) and \(\leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_2, \leq_2)\) is a topological ordered space. bg-closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\). Closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\). Here every bg-closed set is a closed set. Hence \((X, \tau_2, \leq_2)\) is \(sT_{1/2}\) space. dg-closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\), \([c]\), \([b, c]\). Here \([c]\) or \([b, c]\) is not a closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_2, \leq_2)\) is not a \(sT_{1/2}\) space.

**Theorem 3:** \(sT_{1/2}\) space and \(sT_{1/2}\) space are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

**Example 3**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}\), \(\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}\) and \(\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_2, \leq_1)\) is a topological ordered space. Closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\), \([b, c]\). ig-closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\), \([c]\), \([b, c]\) and dg-closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\). Here every dg-closed set is a closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_2, \leq_1)\) is \(sT_{1/2}\) space. Let \(A = \{c\}\). Clearly \(A\) is an ig-closed set but not a closed set. Hence \((X, \tau_2, \leq_1)\) is not a \(sT_{1/2}\) space.

**Example 4**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}\), \(\tau_3 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}\) and \(\leq_3 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_3, \leq_3)\) is a topological ordered space. Closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\), \([a]\), \([b, c]\). ig-closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\), \([b, c]\) and closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\). Here every ig-closed set is a closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_3, \leq_3)\) is \(sT_{1/2}\) space. Let \(A = \{c\}\). Clearly \(A\) is a closed set but not a b-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_3, \leq_3)\) is \(sT_{1/2}\) space but not \(sT_{1/2}\) space.

**Definition 2**

The topological ordered space \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is called

1. \(T_{1/2}\) space if every ig-closed set is an i-closed set.
2. \(sT_{1/2}\) space if every dg-closed set is an d-closed set.
3. \(sT_{1/2}\) space if every bg-closed set is a b-closed set.
4. \(T_{1/2}\) space if every closed set is an i-closed set.
5. \(sT_{1/2}\) space if every closed set is a b-closed set.
6. \(sT_{1/2}\) space if every d-closed set is a b-closed set.

**Theorem 4:** Every \(sT_{1/2}\) space is \(sT_{1/2}\) space

**Proof**

Let \((X, \tau, \leq)\) be \(sT_{1/2}\) space. We show that \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(sT_{1/2}\) space. Let \(A\) be a closed set. Since \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is \(sT_{1/2}\) space, then \(A\) is a b-closed set. Then \(A\) is an i-closed set. Therefore every closed set is an i-closed set. Then \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(sT_{1/2}\) space. Hence every \(sT_{1/2}\) space is a \(sT_{1/2}\) space. The converse of above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 5**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}\), \(\tau_3 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}\) and \(\leq_3 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_2, \leq_3)\) is a topological ordered space. Closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\), \([b, c]\). ig-closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\), \([b, c]\) and closed sets are \(\emptyset, X\). Here every ig-closed set is an i-closed set. Let \(A = \{b, c\}\). Clearly \(A\) is a closed set but not a b-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_2, \leq_3)\) is \(sT_{1/2}\) space but not \(sT_{1/2}\) space.

**Theorem 5:** Every \(sT_{1/2}\) space is \(sT_{1/2}\) space

**Proof**

Let \((X, \tau, \leq)\) be \(sT_{1/2}\) space. We show that \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(sT_{1/2}\) space. Let \(A\) be a closed set. Since \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is \(sT_{1/2}\) space, then
A is a b-closed set. Then A is a d-closed set. Therefore every closed set is a d-closed set. Then \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(\tau_d\) space. Hence every \(\tau_b\) space is a \(\tau_d\) space. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 6**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_2 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}\) and \(\leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_2, \leq_2)\) is a topological ordered space. Closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{b, c\}\). D-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{b, c\}\) and b-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). Here every closed set is a d-closed set. Let \(A = \{b, c\}\). Clearly \(A\) is a closed set but not a b-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_2, \leq_2)\) is a \(\tau_d\) space but not a \(\tau_b\) space.

**Theorem 6:** Every \(\tau_b\) space is a \(\tau_b\) space

**Proof**

Let \((X, \tau, \leq)\) be a \(\tau_b\) space. We show that \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(\tau_b\) space. Let \(A\) be an \(i\)-closed set. Then \(A\) is a closed set. Since \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(\tau_b\) space, then \(A\) is a b-closed set. Therefore every \(i\)-closed set is a b-closed set. Then \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is an \(\tau_b\) space. Hence every \(\tau_b\) space is a \(\tau_b\) space. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be seen in the following example.

**Example 7**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\) and \(\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_1, \leq_1)\) is a topological ordered space. Closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\). i-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). B-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). Clearly every i-closed set is a b-closed set where as every closed set is not a b-closed set. Let \(A = \{c\}\) or \(\{a, c\}\) or \(\{b, c\}\). Clearly \(A\) is a closed set but not a b-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_2, \leq_2)\) is a \(\tau_d\) space but not a \(\tau_b\) space.

**Theorem 7:** Every \(\tau_b\) space is a \(\tau_b\) space

**Proof**

Let \((X, \tau, \leq)\) be a \(\tau_b\) space. We show that \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(\tau_b\) space. Let \(A\) be a d-closed set then \(A\) is a closed set. Since \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(\tau_b\) space then \(A\) is a b-closed set. Thus every d-closed set is a b-closed set. Thus every \(\tau_b\) space is a \(\tau_b\) space. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 8**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\) and \(\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b)\}\). Here closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\). d-closed sets are \(\phi, X\) and b-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). Let \(A = \{c\}\) is not a b-closed set. Every d-closed sets is b-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_1, \leq_1)\) is a \(\tau_d\) space but not a \(\tau_b\) space.

**Theorem 8:** The spaces \(\tau_i\) and \(\tau_d\) are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

**Example 9**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\) and \(\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_1, \leq_1)\) is a topological ordered space. Closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\). i-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). B-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). Clearly, every i-closed set is a b-closed set where as every closed set is not a b-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_1, \leq_1)\) is an \(\tau_d\) space but not a \(\tau_b\) space.

**Example 10**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\) and \(\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b), (a, c)\}\). Here closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\). d-closed sets are \(\phi, X\) and b-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). Let \(A = \{c\}\) is not a b-closed set. Every d-closed sets is b-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_1, \leq_1)\) is a \(\tau_d\) space but not a \(\tau_b\) space.

**Theorem 9:** The spaces \(\tau_b\) and \(\tau_d\) are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

**Example 11**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\) and \(\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_1, \leq_1)\) is a topological ordered space. D-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). i-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). B-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). Clearly every d-closed set is a b-closed set where as every i-closed set is not a b-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_1, \leq_1)\) is an \(\tau_d\) space but not a \(\tau_b\) space.

**Example 12**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\) and \(\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_1, \leq_1)\) is a topological ordered space. D-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). i-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). B-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). Clearly every d-closed set is a b-closed set where as every i-closed set is not a b-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_1, \leq_1)\) is an \(\tau_d\) space but not a \(\tau_b\) space.
a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b)}. Clearly (X, τ₁, ≤₂) is a topological ordered space. Here i-closed sets are φ, X. d-closed sets are φ, X, {c}, {b, c} and b-closed sets are φ, X. Let A = {c} or {b, c}. Clearly A is a d-closed set but not a b-closed set. Every i-closed sets is a b-closed set where as every d-closed set is not a b-closed set. Thus (X, τ₁, ≤₁) is a T₄ space but not T₅ space.

Theorem 10: The spaces T₄ and T₅ are independent notions as will be seen in the following example

Example 13

Let X = {a, b, c}, τ₁ = { φ , X , {a}, {b}, {a, b}} and ≤₂ = {(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b), (a, c), (b, c), (a, c)}. Clearly (X, τ₁, ≤₁) is a topological ordered space. Here i-closed sets are φ, X. Closed sets are φ, X, {c}, {b, c} and b-closed sets are φ, X. Let A = {c} or {b, c}. Clearly A is a closed set but not a b-closed set. Every i-closed sets is a b-closed set where as every closed set is not a b-closed set. Thus (X, τ₁, ≤₁) is a T₄ space but not T₅ space.

Example 14

Let X = {a, b, c}, τ₂ = { φ , X , {a}} and ≤₁ = {(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)}. Clearly (X, τ₂, ≤₁) is a topological ordered space. Closed sets are φ, X, {b, c }. i-closed sets are φ, X, {b, c} and b-closed sets are φ, X. Here every closed set is an i-closed set. Let A = {b, c}. Clearly A is an i-closed set but not a b-closed set. Thus (X, τ₂, ≤₁) is T₁ space but not T₅ space.

Theorem 11: The spaces T₄ and T₅ are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

Example 15

Let X = {a, b, c}, τ₁ = { φ , X , {a}} and ≤₁ = {(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b), (a, c), (b, c), (a, c)}. Clearly (X, τ₁, ≤₁) is a topological ordered space. Here closed sets are φ , X, {b, c}. d-closed sets are φ, X and b-closed sets are φ, X. Let A = {b, c}. Clearly A is a closed set but not a d-closed set. Every d-closed sets is a b-closed set. Thus (X, τ₁, ≤₁) is a T₄ space but not T₅ space.

Example 16

Let X = {a, b, c}, τ₂ = { φ , X, {a}} and ≤₁ = {(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b), (a, c), (b, c), (a, c)}. Clearly (X, τ₂, ≤₁) is a topological ordered space. Closed sets are φ, X, {b, c }. d-closed sets are φ, X and b-closed sets are φ, X. Clearly every closed set is not a d-closed set. Thus (X, τ₂, ≤₁) is T₄ space but not T₅ space.

Theorem 12: The spaces T₄ and T₅ are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

Example 17

Let X = {a, b, c}, τ₆ = { φ , X, {a}, {b}, {a,b}, {a, c}} and ≤₁ = {(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b), (a, c), (b, c), (a, c)}. Clearly (X, τ₆, ≤₁) is a topological ordered space. Here i-closed sets are φ, X, {a}, {c} . ig-closed sets are φ, X, {a, c} and b-closed sets are φ, X bg-closed sets are φ, X, {b}. Clearly every ig-closed set is an i-closed set. So(X, τ₆, ≤₁) is T₄ space. Let A = {b}. Clearly A is a bg-closed set but not a b-closed set. Thus (X, τ₆, ≤₁) is T₄ space but not T₅ space.

Example 18

Let X = {a, b, c}, τ₇ = { φ , X, {a}} and ≤₁ = {(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)}. Clearly (X, τ₇, ≤₁) is a topological ordered space. i-closed sets are φ, X, {b, c}. ig-closed sets are φ, X, {c}, {b, c} and b-closed sets are φ, X. Clearly every bg-closed set is a b-closed set. Let A = {c}. Clearly A is an ig-closed set but not an i-closed set. Hence (X, τ₇, ≤₁) is T₄ space but not T₅ space.

Theorem 13: The spaces T₄ and T₅ are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

Example 19

Let X = {a,b,c}, τ₂ = { φ , X, {a}} and ≤₁ = {(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b), (a, c), (b, c), (a, c)}. Clearly (X, τ₂, ≤₁) is a topological ordered space. d-closed sets are φ, X, {b, c}. dg-closed sets are φ, X, {c}, {b, c} and b-closed sets are φ, X. Clearly every bg-closed set is a b-closed set. Let A = {c}. Clearly A is a dg-closed set but not a d-closed set. Hence (X, τ₂, ≤₁) is T₄ space but not T₅ space.

Example 20

Let X = {a, b, c}, τ₆ = { φ , X, {a}, {b}, {a,b}, {a, c}} and
\( \leq T = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (b, c), (a, b), (b, a)\} \). Clearly \((X, \tau_6, \leq)\) is a topological ordered space. Here \(d\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{b\}, \{b, c\} \). \(dg\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{b\}, \{b, c\}\) and \(b\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{b\}\). Clearly every \(dg\)-closed set is a \(d\)-closed set. \(\text{So}(X, \tau_6, \leq)\) is a \(T_{d,1/2}\) space. Let \(A = \{b\}\). Clearly \(A\) is a \(bg\)-closed set but not a \(b\)-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_6, \leq)\) is not a \(T_{b,1/2}\) space.

**Theorem 14:** The spaces \(T_{1/2}\) and \(T_{b,1/2}\) are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

**Example 21**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_6, = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, a\}, \{a, c\}\} \) and \(\leq T = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (b, c), (a, b), (b, a)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_6, \leq)\) is a topological ordered space. Here \(i\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{a\}\). \(ig\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{b, c\}\) and \(b\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{b\}\). Clearly every \(ig\)-closed set is an \(i\)-closed set. So \((X, \tau_6, \leq)\) is a \(T_{1/2}\) space. Let \(A = \{b\}\). Clearly \(A\) is a \(bg\)-closed set but not a \(b\)-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_1, \leq)\) is not a \(T_{b,1/2}\) space.

**Example 22**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_2, = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\} \) and \(\leq I = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_2, \leq)\) is a topological ordered space. \(i\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{b, c\}\). \(ig\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{c\}\), \(b\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). Clearly every \(bg\)-closed set is a \(b\)-closed set. Let \(A = \{c\}\). Clearly \(A\) is an \(ig\)-closed set but not an \(i\)-closed set.

Hence \((X, \tau_2, \leq)\) is a \(T_{b,1/2}\) space but not a \(T_{1/2}\) space.

**Theorem 15:** Every \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space is an \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space

**Proof**

Let be \((X, \tau, \leq)\) be a \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space. Now we \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space. Let \(A\) be a \(bg\)-closed set. Then \(A\) is an \(ig\)-closed set. Since \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space then \(A\) is a \(b\)-closed set. Therefore every \(bg\)-closed set is a \(b\)-closed set. Hence every \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space is an \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 23**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1, = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}\) and \(\leq I = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}\). Here \(i\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{c\}\), \(\{b, c\}\). \(b\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). Now let \(A = \{c\}\) or \(\{b, c\}\). Clearly \(A\) is an \(i\)-closed set but not a \(b\)-closed set. Every \(bg\)-closed set is a \(b\)-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_1, \leq)\) is a \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space but not a \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space.

**Theorem 16:** Every \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space is an \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space

**Proof**

Let be \((X, \tau, \leq)\) be a \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space. Now we \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space. Let \(A\) be a \(bg\)-closed set. Then \(A\) is an \(ig\)-closed set. Since \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space then \(A\) is a \(b\)-closed set. Therefore every \(bg\)-closed set is a \(b\)-closed set. Hence every \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space is an \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space. The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 24**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1, = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, a\}\}\) and \(\leq I = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}\). Clearly \((X, \tau_1, \leq)\) is a topological ordered space. Here \(b\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). \(d\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{c\}\), \(\{b, c\}\) and \(bg\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). Let \(A = \{c\}\) or \(\{b, c\}\). Clearly \(A\) is a \(d\)-closed set but not a \(b\)-closed set. Every \(bg\)-closed set is a \(b\)-closed set where as every \(d\)-closed set is not a \(b\)-closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_1, \leq)\) is a \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space but not a \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space.

**Theorem 17:** Every \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space is an \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space

**Proof**

Let be \((X, \tau, \leq)\) be a \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space. We show that \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space. Let \(A\) be an \(ig\)-closed set. Since \((X, \tau, \leq)\) is a \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space then \(A\) is a \(b\)-closed set. Then \(A\) is a closed set. Thus every \(i\)-closed set is a closed set. Hence every \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space is an \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

**Example 25**

Let \(X = \{a, b, c\}, \tau_1, = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b, a\}\}\) and \(\leq I = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}\). Here \(ig\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X, \{c\}\), \(\{b, c\}\). \(b\)-closed sets are \(\phi, X\). Now let \(A = \{c\}\) or \(\{b, c\}\). Clearly \(A\) is an \(ig\)-closed set but not a \(b\)-closed set. Every \(ig\)-closed set is a closed set. Thus \((X, \tau_1, \leq)\) is a \(\mathcal{T}_{b,1/2}\) space but not a \(\mathcal{T}_{b}\) space.
Theorem 18: Every $T_b$ space is an $T_{1/2}$ space

Proof

Let $(X, \tau, \leq)$ be a $T_b$ space. We show that $(X, \tau, \leq)$ is a $T_{1/2}$ space. Let $A$ be a dg-closed set. Since $(X, \tau, \leq)$ is $T_b$ space then $A$ is a $b$-closed set. Then $A$ is a closed set. Thus every dg-closed set is a closed set. Thus every $T_b$ space is a $T_{1/2}$ space.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

Example 26

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b), (a, c), (b, c), (c, a)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a topological ordered space. Here $b$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$. $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{a\}$ and closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{c\}$, $\{b, c\}$, $\{a\}$. Let $A = \{c\}$ or $\{b, c\}$. Clearly $A$ is a dg-closed set but not a $b$-closed set. Every $\emptyset$-closed set is a closed set where as every $d$-closed set is not a $b$-closed set. Thus $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a $T_{1/2}$ space and not a $T_b$ space.

Theorem 19: The spaces $T_1$ and $T_b$ are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

Example 27

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b), (a, c), (b, c), (c, a)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a topological ordered space. Here $b$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{c\}$, $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{a\}$, $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{a\}$, $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{c\}$, $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{c\}$, $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{c\}$. Let $A = \{a\}$. Clearly $A$ is a closed set but not an $i$-closed set. Every $d$-closed set is a $b$-closed set where as every closed set is not an $i$-closed set. Thus $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a $T_b$ space and not a $T_1$ space.

Example 28

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_8 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$ and $\leq_8 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, a), (a, c), (b, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_8, \leq_8)$ is a topological ordered space. Here $b$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{c\}$. $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{c\}$, $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{c\}$, $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{c\}$. Let $A = \{b, c\}$. Clearly $A$ is a $d$-closed set but not a $b$-closed set. Every closed set is an $i$-closed set where as every $d$-closed set is not a $b$-closed set. Thus $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a $T_b$ space and not a $T_1$ space.

Theorem 20: The spaces $T_{d,1/2}$ and $T_{1/2}$ are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

Example 29

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_4 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$ and $\leq_4 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_4, \leq_4)$ is a topological ordered space. $d$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{b\}$, $d$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{b\}$, $d$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{c\}$, $d$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{b\}, \{c\}$. Clearly every $d$-closed set is an $i$-closed set. Let $A = \{a, b\}$. Clearly $A$ is a $d$-closed set but not a $b$-closed set. Hence $(X, \tau_4, \leq_4)$ is a $T_{1/2}$ space but not a $T_{d,1/2}$ space.

Example 30

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_2, \leq_2)$ is a topological ordered space. $d$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{b\}$, $d$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{b\}$, $d$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{b\}$, $d$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{b, c\}$. Clearly every $d$-closed set is an $i$-closed set. Let $A = \{a\}$. Clearly $A$ is an $i$-closed set but not a $d$-closed set. Hence $(X, \tau_2, \leq_2)$ is a $T_{d,1/2}$ space but not a $T_{i,1/2}$ space.

Theorem 21: The spaces $T_{d,1/2}$ and $T_b$ are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

Example 31

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_4 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\leq_4 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c), (b, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_4, \leq_4)$ is a topological ordered space. $d$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{b\}$, $d$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{b\}$, $d$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{b\}, \{c\}$. Clearly every $d$-closed set is a $b$-closed set. Let $A = \{a, b\}$. Clearly $A$ is a $d$-closed set but not a $b$-closed set. Hence $(X, \tau_4, \leq_4)$ is a $T_b$ space but not a $T_{d,1/2}$ space.

Example 32

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\leq_4 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (c, b), (a, c), (b, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a topological ordered space. Here $b$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{a\}$, $\{b\}$, $\{a, b\}$, $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{a\}$, $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{a\}$, $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{a\}$, $\emptyset$-closed sets are $\emptyset$, $X$, $\{a, c\}$. Let $A = \{a, c\}$. Clearly $A$ is a $d$-closed set but not a $b$-closed set. Every $d$-closed set is a $d$-closed set where as every $d$-closed set is not a $b$-closed set. Thus $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a $T_b$ space and not a $T_{d,1/2}$ space.
Theorem 22: The spaces $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ and $\mathcal{T}_4$ are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

Example 33

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a,b\}\}$ and $\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a topological ordered space. Here d-closed sets are $\emptyset, X$. i-closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{c\}$. ig-closed sets are $\{a\}, \{a, b\}$, $\{a, c\}$. Clearly A is a closed set but not a d-closed set. Every ig-closed set is an $i$-closed set where as every closed set is not a d-closed set. Thus $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space and not a $\mathcal{T}_4$ space.

Example 34

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), \}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_2, \leq_2)$ is a topological ordered space. ig-closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}$. d-closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}$. i-closed sets are $\emptyset, X$ and closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}$. Clearly every closed set is a d-closed set. Let $A = \{b\}$ or $\{a, b\}$. Clearly A is an ig-closed set but not an $i$-closed set. Hence $(X, \tau_2, \leq_2)$ is a $\mathcal{T}_4$ space but not a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space.

Theorem 23: The spaces $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ and $\mathcal{T}_b$ are independent notions as will be seen in the following examples

Example 35

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\leq_2 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), \}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_2, \leq_2)$ is a topological ordered space. ig-closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}$. b-closed sets are $\emptyset, X$. i-closed sets are $\emptyset, X$. Clearly every closed set is a $\mathcal{T}_b$ space. Let $A = \{b\}$ or $\{a, b\}$. Clearly A is an ig-closed set but not an $i$-closed set. Hence $(X, \tau_2, \leq_2)$ is a $\mathcal{T}_b$ space but not a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space.

Example 36

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_1 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\leq_1 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a topological ordered space. Here b-closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}$, ig-closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}$. Let $A = \{b\}$ or $\{a, b\}$. Clearly A is an ig-closed set but not an $i$-closed set. Every i-closed set is a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space. Thus $(X, \tau_1, \leq_1)$ is a $\mathcal{T}_b$ space and not a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space.

Theorem 24: Every $\mathcal{T}_4$ is a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space

Proof

Let $(X, \tau, \leq)$ be $\mathcal{T}_4$ space. we show that $(X, \tau, \leq)$ is a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space. Let A be a $\text{ig}$-closed set. Since $(X, \tau, \leq)$ is $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space then A is a $\text{d}$-closed set. Thus A is a closed set. Thus every $\text{ig}$-closed set is a closed set. Thus every $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space is $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

Example 37

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_4 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$ and $\leq_4 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), (a, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_4, \leq_4)$ is a topological ordered space. $\text{dg}$-closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b\}$. Closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{b, c\}$, d-closed sets are $\emptyset, X$. Clearly every $\text{dg}$-closed set is a closed set. Let $A = \{b\}, \{b, c\}$. Clearly A is a $\text{dg}$-closed set but not a $\text{d}$-closed set. Hence $(X, \tau_4, \leq_4)$ is a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space but not a $\mathcal{T}_{4,1/2}$ space.

Theorem 25: Every $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ is a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space

Proof

Let $(X, \tau, \leq)$ be $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space. we show that $(X, \tau, \leq)$ is a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space. Let A be an ig-closed set. Since $(X, \tau, \leq)$ is $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space then A is an i-closed set. Then A is a closed set. Thus every ig-closed set is a closed set. Thus every $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space is $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be justified from the following example.

Example 38

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_4 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$ and $\leq_4 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (b, c), \}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_4, \leq_4)$ is a topological ordered space. ig-closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b\}$. Closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{b, c\}$. i-closed sets are $\emptyset, X$. Clearly every ig-closed set is a closed set. Let $A = \{b\}, \{b, c\}$. Clearly A is an ig-closed set but not an i-closed set. Hence $(X, \tau_4, \leq_4)$ is a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space but not a $\mathcal{T}_b$ space.

Theorem 26: Every $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ is a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space

Proof

Let $(X, \tau, \leq)$ be $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space. We show that $(X, \tau, \leq)$ is a $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space. Let A be a $\text{bg}$-closed set. Since $(X, \tau, \leq)$ is $\mathcal{T}_{1,1/2}$ space
then $A$ is a $b$-closed set. Then $A$ is a closed set. Thus every $b$-closed set is a closed set. Thus every $bT_{b,1/2}$ space is $bT_{1/2}$ space.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true. This will be seen in the following example.

**Example 39**

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, X, \{a, c\}\}$ and $\leq_3 = \{(a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, b), (a, c)\}$. Clearly $(X, \tau_2, \leq_3)$ is a topological ordered space. $b$-closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{c\}$. Closed sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}$. $b$-closed sets are $\emptyset, X$. Clearly every $dg$-closed set is a closed set. Let $A = \{b, c\}$. Clearly $A$ is a $dg$-closed set but not a $d$-closed set. Hence $(X, \tau_4, \leq_2)$ is a $dT_{1/2}$ space but not a $dT_{d,1/2}$ space.

**CONCLUSION**

In this paper, we introduced $T_{1/2}, dT_{1/2}, bT_{b,1/2}, iT_{1/2}, dT_{1/2}, bT_{1/2}$, new class of spaces using $g$-closed type sets in topological ordered spaces and studied various relationships between them.
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