

# Scientific Research and Essays

Volume 10 Number 24 30 December, 2015  
ISSN 1992-2248

## Science



*Academic  
Journals*

## ABOUT SRE

The **Scientific Research and Essays (SRE)** is published twice monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

**Scientific Research and Essays (SRE)** is an open access journal with the objective of publishing quality research articles in science, medicine, agriculture and engineering such as Nanotechnology, Climate Change and Global Warming, Air Pollution Management and Electronics etc. All papers published by SRE are blind peer reviewed.

### Contact Us

Editorial Office: [sre@academicjournals.org](mailto:sre@academicjournals.org)

Help Desk: [helpdesk@academicjournals.org](mailto:helpdesk@academicjournals.org)

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/SRE>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>.

## Editors

### **Dr. N.J. Tonukari**

*Editor-in-Chief  
Scientific Research and Essays  
Academic Journals  
E-mail: sre.research.journal@gmail.com*

### **Dr. M. Sivakumar Ph.D. (Tech).**

*Associate Professor  
School of Chemical & Environmental Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering University of Nottingham  
Jalan Broga, 43500 Semenyih  
Selangor Darul Ehsan  
Malaysia.*

**Prof. N. Mohamed El Sawi Mahmoud** *Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, King Abdul Aziz University, Saudi Arabia.*

### **Prof. Ali Delice**

*Science and Mathematics Education Department, Atatürk Faculty of Education, Marmara University, Turkey.*

### **Prof. Mira Grdisa**

*Rudjer Boskovic Institute, Bijenicka cesta 54, Croatia.*

### **Prof. Emmanuel Hala Kwon-**

**Ndung** *Nasarawa State University Keffi Nigeria  
a PMB 1022 Keffi,  
Nasarawa State.  
Nigeria.*

### **Dr. Cyrus Azimi**

*Department of Genetics, Cancer Research Center,  
Cancer Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Keshavarz Blvd.,  
Tehran, Iran.*

### **Dr. Gomez, Nidia Noemi**

*National University of San Luis,  
Faculty of Chemistry, Biochemistry and Pharmacy,  
Laboratory of Molecular Biochemistry Ejercitodelos Andes 950-5700 San Luis  
Argentina.*

### **Prof. M. Nageeb Rashed**

*Chemistry Department - Faculty of Science, Aswan  
South Valley University,  
Egypt.*

### **Dr. John W. Gichuki**

*Kenya Marine & Fisheries Research Institute,  
Kenya.*

### **Dr. Wong Leong Sing**

*Department of Civil Engineering, College of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Nasional,  
Km 7, Jalan Kajang-Puchong,  
43009 Kajang, Selangor Darul Ehsan, Malaysia.*

### **Prof. Xianyi Li**

*College of Mathematics and Computational Science  
Shenzhen University  
Guangdong, 518060  
P.R. China.*

### **Prof. Mevlut Dogan**

*Kocatepe University, Science Faculty, Physics Dept. Afyon/Turkey.  
Turkey.*

### **Prof. Kwai-**

**Lin Thong** *Microbiology Division,  
Institute of Biological Science*

*Faculty of Science, University of Malaya, 50603, Kuala Lumpur,  
Malaysia.*

### **Prof. Xiaocong He**

*Faculty of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Kunming University  
of Science and Technology, 253 Xue Fu Road, Kunming,  
P.R. China.*

### **Prof. Sanjay Misra**

*Department of Computer Engineering  
School of Information and Communication Technology  
Federal University of Technology, Minna,  
Nigeria.*

### **Prof. Burtram C. Fielding Pr. Sci. Nat. De**

*Department of Medical BioSciences University of the Western Cape Private Bag X17  
Modderdam Road  
Bellville, 7535,  
South Africa.*

### **Prof. Naqib Ullah Khan**

*Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics  
NWFP Agricultural University Peshawar 25130,  
Pakistan*

## Editorial Board

**Prof. AhmedM.Soliman**

*20MansourMohamedSt.,Apt51,Z  
amalek,Cairo,  
Egypt.*

**Prof. JuanJoséKasperZubillaga**

*Av.Universidad1953Ed.13depto304,  
MéxicoD.F.04340,  
México.*

**Prof. ChauKwok-wing**

*UniversityofQueenslandInstitut  
oMexicanodelPetroleo,EjeCentr  
alLazaroCardenasMexicoD.F.,  
Mexico.*

**Prof. Raj Senani**

*Netaji Subhas Institute of Technology,  
AzadHind Fauj Marg,  
Sector3, Dwarka, New  
Delhi 110075, India.*

**Prof. RobinJ Law**

*CefasBurnhamLaboratory,  
RemembranceAvenueBurnhamonCrouch,E  
ssexCM08HA,  
UK.*

**Prof. V. Sundarapandian**

*IndianInstitute ofInformation Technologyand  
Management-Kerala  
ParkCentre,  
TechnoparkCampus,KariavattomP.O.,  
Thiruvananthapuram-695581,Kerala,India.*

**Prof. Tzung-PeiHong**

*Department of Electrical Engineering,  
Andat the Department of Computer Science and  
Information Engineering  
NationalUniversity ofKaohsiung.*

**Prof.ZulfiqarAhmed**

*DepartmentofEarthSciences,box5070,  
Kfupm,dhahran-  
31261,SaudiArabia.*

**Prof. Khalifa Saif Al-Jabri**

*Department of Civil and Architectural Engineering  
College of Engineering,  
Sultan Qaboos University  
P.O.Box33,Al-Khod123,Muscat.*

**Prof. V.Sundarapandian**

*IndianInstitute ofInformationTechnology&Management-  
Kerala  
ParkCentre,  
Technopark,KariavattomP.O.  
Thiruvananthapuram-  
695581,KeralaIndia.*

**Prof. ThangaveluPerianan**

*DepartmentofMathematics,AditanarCollege,Ti  
ruchendur-628216India.*

**Prof. Yan-zePeng**

*DepartmentofMathematics,  
HuazhongUniversityofScience and  
Technology,Wuhan430074,P.R.  
China.*

**Prof. KonstantinosD.Karamanos**

*UniversiteLibredeBruxelles,  
CP231Centre ofNonlinear Phenomena  
AndComplexsystems,  
CENOLIBoulevarddeTriomphe  
B-1050,  
Brussels,Belgium.*

**Prof. XianyiLI**

*SchoolofMathematicsandPhysics,Nanhu  
aUniversity,HengyangCity,HunanProvinc  
e,  
P.R.China.*

**Dr. K.W.Chau**

*HongKongPolytechnicUniversity  
DepartmentofCivil&StructuralEngineering,Ho  
ngKongPolytechnicUniversity,Hunghom,Kowl  
oon,HongKong,  
China.*

**Dr. AmadouGaye**

*LPAO-SF/ESPPoBox5085Dakar-FannSENEGAL  
UniversityCheikhAntaDiopDakarSE  
NEGAL.*

**Prof. MasnoGinting**

*P2F-LIPI,Puspipitek-Serpong,  
15310IndonesianInstituteofSciences,  
Banten-Indonesia.*

**Dr. Ezekiel Olukayode Idowu**

*Department of Agricultural Economics,  
Obafemi Awolowo University, Ife-Ife,  
Nigeria.*

**Fees and Charges:** Authors are required to pay a \$550 handling fee. Publication of an article in the Scientific Research and Essays is not contingent upon the author's ability to pay the charges. Neither is acceptance to pay the handling fee a guarantee that the paper will be accepted for publication. Authors may still request (in advance) that the editorial office waive some of the handling fee under special circumstances.

**Copyright: © 2012, Academic Journals.**

All rights Reserved. In accessing this journal, you agree that you will access the contents for your own personal use But not for any commercial use. Any use and or copies of this Journal in whole or in part must include the customary bibliographic citation, including author attribution, date and article title.

Submission of a Manuscript Implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

**Disclaimer of Warranties**

In no event shall Academic Journals be liable for any special, incidental, indirect, or consequential damages of any kind arising out of or in connection with the use of the articles or other material derived from the SRE, whether or not advised of the possibility of damage, and on any theory of liability.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications does not imply endorsement of that product or publication. While every effort is made by Academic Journals to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statements appear in this publication, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Academic Journals makes no warranty of any kind, either express or implied, regarding the quality, accuracy, availability, or validity of the data or information in this publication or of any other publication to which it may be linked.

# Scientific Research and Essays

Table of Contents: Volume 10 Number 24 30 December, 2015

## ARTICLES

### Research Articles

- Studies on chemical solutions and storage duration on keeping quality of Cut gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) spikes ('White Prosperity')** 655  
Z. A. Bhat and M. Q. Sheikh
- Influence of fertility levels and weed management practices on yield and yield attributes of rain-fed maize** 659  
S. Gul and B. A. Khanday

Full Length Research Paper

# Studies on chemical solutions and storage duration on keeping quality of cut gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) spikes ('White Prosperity')

Z. A. Bhat\* and M. Q. Sheikh

Floriculture, Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Faculty of Horticulture Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, (J&K) India.

Received 10 August, 2015; Accepted 26 August, 2015

The experiment was carried out to investigate the effect of different chemical solutions (C<sub>1</sub>= sucrose (20%) + [Al<sub>2</sub> (SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> .16H<sub>2</sub>O 300 ppm], C<sub>2</sub>= sucrose (20%) + [Al<sub>2</sub> (SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> .16H<sub>2</sub>O] 300 ppm + GA<sub>3</sub> 50 ppm and C<sub>3</sub>= Control (Distilled water)) and five storage durations viz., (S<sub>0</sub> = 0 days, S<sub>1</sub> = 7 days, S<sub>2</sub> = 14 days, S<sub>3</sub> = 21 days and S<sub>4</sub> = 28 days) on keeping quality of cut spikes of gladiolus 'White Prosperity'. The results showed that chemical solutions as well as storage durations significantly influenced the studied parameters. Among the chemical solutions, C<sub>2</sub> was significantly superior with regard to days to open basal floret, vase life, number of florets opened, floret size, longevity of open florets and fresh weight gain/loss, while the lowest values of these parameters were recorded in control treatment. Similarly, shorter storage durations of 0 days (S<sub>0</sub>) recorded higher vase life, longevity of open floret and total water absorption, while days to open the basal floret and floret size were superior in S<sub>3</sub> storage and rest of the parameters were significantly higher in S<sub>2</sub> storage duration. The spikes stored in S<sub>4</sub> treatment did not open either pulsed with chemical solutions or in control.

**Key words:** Aluminium sulphate, gladiolus, gibberellic acid, sucrose, storage duration, quality parameters.

## INTRODUCTION

Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) is an important ornamental and commercial flower known as queen of the bulbous plants, belongs to *Iridaceae* family and is valued for its wide range of flower colours, attractive shapes, varying sizes, large number of florets per spike, excellent keeping quality and popular as cut flower in the domestic and international market. Gladiolus is grown

under varying climatic conditions and its spikes possess good vase life with variously-coloured elegant florets, which open in an acropetal succession.

Keeping quality is an important parameter for the evaluation of cut flower quality, for both domestic and export markets. One of the greatest problems in postharvest flower physiology is the blockage of vascular

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [zahoorflori2003@gmail.com](mailto:zahoorflori2003@gmail.com).

system, due to air or bacterial growth, which reduces water uptake and this blocks xylem vessels leading to water stress (Van Meetern et al., 2001) that expresses in the form of early wilting of flowers (Henriette and Clerkx, 2001), as a result of premature loss of cell turgidity and might appear when water uptake and transpiration are out of balance during a lasting. Adding chemical preservatives to the holding solution is recommended to prolong the vase life of the cut flowers. All holding solutions must contain essentially two components; sugar and germicides. The sugar provides a respiratory substrate, while the germicides control harmful bacteria and prevent plugging of the conducting tissues. Among all the different types of sugars, sucrose has been found to be the most commonly used sugar in prolonging vase life of cut flowers. The exogenous application of the sucrose supplies the cut flowers with substrates needed for respiration, and enables cut flowers harvested at the bud stage to open, which otherwise could not occur naturally (Pun and Ichimura, 2003). Cut flowers should be free of any deterioration, as this is one of the principal entry points for decay organisms. A major form of deterioration in cut flowers is the blockage of xylem vessels by air and microorganisms that cause xylem occlusion (Hardenburg, 1968). The  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  is a very important germicide in preservatives used in floral industry and acts as an antimicrobial agent which can lead to increase water uptake. Its application increases the vase life as well as the fresh weight (percentage of initial) of the cut flowers and prevent the growth of the microorganisms in xylem vessels of the cut flower stems and maintained water uptake and becomes more effective when sugars particularly sucrose are coupled with it (Pun and Ichimura, 2003). On the other hand, gibberellic acid ( $\text{GA}_3$ ) helps in breaking dormancy, stimulates the synthesis of specific RNA for protein metabolism. Cut flowers are living actively metabolizing plant parts and petals are an excellent model system for the study of fundamental senescence processes. If flowers are to provide their longest possible decorative role, controlled rate of opening or their development is needed with colour stability. The objective of this work was to investigate the effect of storage duration and chemical solutions on keeping quality of cut gladiolus 'White Prosperity' spikes especially when used for flower arrangements and interior decorations.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present work was conducted at the Laboratory of Floriculture, Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Faculty of Horticulture, S. K. University of Agricultural Sciences and technology of Kashmir, Srinagar, J&K, India during 2014. Experiment was arranged in a complete randomized design with four replications. Five spikes were used per replication. Spikes of gladiolus 'White Prosperity' were harvested from the field-grown crop, at tight bud stage (when colour was visible in basal 1-2 florets) and stored under wet refrigerated conditions (3.5 to 4.0°C temperature; 85 to 90% R.H.)

for 0, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days. The spikes were subjected to three treatments with chemical solution. In treatment 1 ( $C_1$ ) the spikes were subjected to pre-storage treatment with pulsing solution containing sucrose (20%) +  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (300 ppm) for 20 h at  $23 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  temperature, 60 to 70% relative humidity and 24 h illumination (1000 lux intensity) provided by white fluorescent tubes, in an air-conditioned laboratory, held in water during storage. In treatment 2 ( $C_2$ ), the spikes were treated with chemical solution containing sucrose (20%) +  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (300 ppm) +  $\text{GA}_3$  50 ppm and in treatment 3 ( $C_3$ ) the spikes were subjected to distilled water i.e. control treatment. The treatments were given by dipping basal 5 to 7 cm portions of the spikes in the respective solution.

The observations were recorded on days for basal floret to open, vase life (days), florets opened (%), floret size (cm), longevity of open floret (days) and per cent change in fresh weight after storage. The results were interpreted according to Steel and Torrie (1980) and the differences between the means of the treatments were considered significant when they were equal or more than the least significant difference (L.S.D.) at the 5% level.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Effect of chemical solution

The results of the experiment revealed that pre-storage pulse treatment recorded superior keeping quality characters of gladiolus cut spikes as compared to control treatment. Perusal of data (Table 1) showed that  $C_2$  treatment took significantly less days to open basal florets which was followed by  $C_1$  and maximum days were taken by  $C_3$  (control). It has earlier been reported that pulsing treatment with 20% sucrose as well as vase solution containing 4% sucrose took significantly less days to open basal florets of cut gladiolus spikes (Singh et al., 2007). Sucrose is reported to promote microbial growth in vase solution but when applied in combination with  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3 \cdot 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (400 mg  $\text{l}^{-1}$ ), it significantly improved vase life and opening of florets in gladiolus due to inhibition of microbial contamination of vase solution (Singh et al., 2000). Among the treatments vase life was enhanced significantly more than double by  $C_1$  (8.57 days) as compared to 4.06 days recorded by control ( $C_3$ ), while  $C_2$  recorded 7.53 days vase life. Significantly highest percentage of florets opened and floret size was recorded in  $C_2$ , whereas minimum values of these parameters were exhibited by control treatment. These results might be due to the presence of sucrose in the solution that had acted as a food source or respiratory substrate and delayed the degradation of proteins and improved water balance of cut flowers. Steinitz (1982) opined that addition of sucrose to the solution increased the mechanical rigidity of the stem inducing cell wall thickening and lignifications of vascular tissues. Sucrose antagonized the effect of ABA, which promoted senescence. Sugars alone, however, tend to promote microbial growth, therefore, the combination of  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  improved the vase life of cut flowers as  $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$  is a very effective biocide, which completely inhibited the microbial growth. However, dilute solution of sucrose



**Table 1.** Effect of dry refrigerated storage of cut gladiolus 'White Prosperity' spikes with pre-storage pulsing treatment for 24 h).

Treatment	Days for basal floret to open	Vase life (days)	No. of florets opened (%)	Floret size (cm)	Longevity of open floret (days)	Per cent gain/loss in FW after storage	Total water absorbed (ml spike <sup>-1</sup> )
<b>Chemical solution (C)</b>							
*Su (20%)+ **AS 300 ppm - (C <sub>1</sub> )	1.19	8.57	63.66	9.02	3.88	1.12	42.40
Su (20%)+AS 300 ppm+ GA <sub>3</sub> 50 ppm - (C <sub>2</sub> )	1.02	7.53	66.86	9.28	4.30	1.23	45.09
Control (Distilled water) - (C <sub>3</sub> )	1.58	4.06	57.37	7.87	2.79	0.38	28.90
<b>CD (P = 0.05)</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>1.23</b>
<b>Storage duration (S)</b>							
0 days (S <sub>0</sub> )	2.36	10.16	84.66	11.61	6.03	0.00	69.11
7 days (S <sub>1</sub> )	1.80	9.66	89.22	11.97	5.28	2.98	55.64
14 days (S <sub>2</sub> )	1.30	8.33	77.88	10.64	4.13	2.00	44.61
21 days (S <sub>3</sub> )	0.86	5.33	61.38	9.39	2.85	0.94	19.63
28 days (S <sub>4</sub> )	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-1.35	5.00
<b>CD (P = 0.05)</b>	<b>0.10</b>	<b>0.21</b>	<b>1.07</b>	<b>0.27</b>	<b>0.17</b>	<b>0.15</b>	<b>2.13</b>

\*Su = Sucrose, \*\* AS = Aluminum Sulphate = Al<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>.

provided ideal media for microbial growth. The microbes entered into the vascular bundles and might block the water uptake, thus affecting the keeping quality of cut spikes in vase solution. Further, Grover (2001) reported that maximum floret opening might be due to the higher soluble sugars in the buds and cell division and cell elongation by GA<sub>3</sub> by promoting DNA synthesis in the cell. The present findings are in close agreement with the earlier reports of Namita and Singh (2006); Nelofar and Paul (2008); Marandi et al. (2011); Mehraj et al. (2013) and Mahawar et al. (2015).

Longevity of the open floret was significantly higher in C<sub>2</sub> over other treatments. Such increase in longevity might be attributed that aluminum sulfate inhibited the action of ethylene and leading to a decrease in lipoxygenase (Lox) activity as well as served as an antibacterial component and prevented the growth of microorganism in the xylem and thus maintained water uptake by flower

stems (Sarkka, 2005), while sucrose reduced the initial water uptake due to the decrease in osmotic potential of sucrose solution. In addition, sucrose inhibited ethylene synthesis as well as promoting bud opening and inhibiting flower senescence (Ichimura and Hisamatsu, 1999). The present findings are in conformity with the earlier reports of Singh et al. (2007), Nelofar and Paul (2008) and Seyf et al. (2012).

Treatment C<sub>2</sub> exhibited significantly higher gain in fresh weight over other treatments. Similarly, the same treatment recorded the highest total water absorption, while the lowest water absorption was recorded in C<sub>3</sub> (control) treatment. Nelofar and Paul (2008) also reported gain in fresh weight of gladiolus 'White Prosperity' spikes in combined treatment of sucrose and aluminum sulphate. These results might be due to maximum uptake of water by the flowers as influenced by pulsing and germicidal properties of aluminum sulphate in addition to inhibition of ethylene

biosynthesis which resulted in gain in fresh weight.

#### Effect of storage duration

Pulsed spikes of gladiolus held in different dry storage durations varied significantly for keeping quality characters, however, cut spikes kept for 28 days long duration (S<sub>4</sub>) did not open florets, hence data on most of the floret quality parameters wasn't recorded for this treatment. The data presented in Table 1 revealed that S<sub>3</sub> storage took minimum 0.86 days to open the basal floret which was closely followed by S<sub>2</sub>, whereas, S<sub>4</sub> spikes did not open either pulsed with chemical solutions or in control. It has earlier been reported that the florets of gladiolus lose the ability to open with advancement in the duration of storage (Arora et al., 2001). Significantly maximum vase life was exhibited by S<sub>0</sub> (10.16 days), followed by S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>

and S<sub>3</sub> recording 9.66, 8.33 and 5.33 days, respectively. Highest percentage of florets opened was recorded in S<sub>1</sub> (89.22%) treatment and the same treatment exhibited significantly highest floret size (11.97 cm), whereas the lowest floret size was measured in S<sub>3</sub> treatment (9.39 cm). The storage duration of S<sub>0</sub> was significantly superior with regard to the longevity of open floret (6.03 days) over other treatments which was closely followed by S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub> with 5.28, 4.13 and 2.85 days, respectively. Percent gain in fresh weight was observed maximum by S<sub>1</sub> (2.98%) while S<sub>4</sub> spikes recorded loss in fresh weight (-1.35%) after storage. Similarly, total water absorbed was measured significantly highest by S<sub>0</sub> (69.11 ml spike<sup>-1</sup>). The present findings are in agreement with the earlier reports of Nelofar and Paul (2008).

### Conflict of Interest

The authors have not declared any conflict of interest.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are thankful to Director, Directorate of Floriculture, Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi and Director Research, SKUAST-K, Srinagar, J&K, India for providing necessary funding facilities and consistent encouragement for conducting the experiment.

### REFERENCES

- Arora S, Singh K, Singh PJ (2001). Standardization of postharvest package technology of cut flowers. Final report of ICAR Funded ADHOC Project, P. 124.
- Grover J (2001). Modified atmospheric packaging and storage of cut flowers in polymeric films. PhD Thesis, PAU, Ludhiana.
- Hardenburg RE (1968). The commercial storage of fruits, vegetables and florist and nursery stock. In: Lutz JM (Ed.), Agricultural Handbook No. 66. Department of Agriculture. Agricultural Research Services, United State. P. 130.
- Henriette MC, Clerckx ACM (2001). Anatomy of cut rose xylem observed by scanning electron microscope. *Acta Hort.* 547:329-339.
- Ichimura K, Hisamatsu T (1999). Effects of continuous treatment with sucrose on the vase life, soluble carbohydrate concentrations and ethylene production of cut snapdragon flowers. *J. Jpn Soc. Hortic. Sci.* 68(1):61-66.
- Mahawar TC, Mahawar LN, Bairwa HL (2015). Response of storage duration, harvest stages and polymeric packaging films on postharvest life of gladiolus cut spikes cv. White Prosperity. *Indian J. Hortic.* 72(1):100-106.
- Marandi RJ, Hassani A, Abdollahi A, Hanafi S (2011). Improvement of the vase life of cut gladiolus flowers by essential oils, salicylic acid and silver thiosulfate. *J. Med. Plants Res.* 5(20):5039-5043.
- Mehraj H, Ona AF, Taufique T, Mutahera S, Jamal UAFM (2013). Vase life quality improvement of white snowball using vase life extending solutions. *Bangladesh Res. Pub. J.* 8(3):191-194.
- Namita KR, Singh K (2006). Response of vase solution on keeping quality of cut spikes of gladiolus. *J. Ornamental Hortic.* 9(4):296-297.
- Nelofar B, Paul TM (2008). Postharvest management of gladiolus. *J. Ornamental Hortic.* 11(1):69-71.
- Pun UK, Ichimura K (2003). Role of sugars in senescence and biosynthesis of ethylene in cut flowers. *JARQ*, 4:219-224.
- Sarkka L (2005). Yield, quality and vase life of cut roses in year round greenhouse production. Academic Dissertation, University of Helsinki, Finland. 64 pp.
- Seyf M, Khalighi A, Mostofi Y, Naderi R (2012). Study on the effect of aluminum sulfate treatment on postharvest life of the cut rose 'Boeing' (*Rosa hybrida* cv.Boeing). *J. Hortic. Forest. Biotechnol.* 16(3):128-32.
- Singh K, Kumar R, Singh R (2007). Studies on wet refrigerated storage of gladiolus spikes in relation to pre- and post-storage treatments. *Asian J. Hortic.* 2(2):218-222.
- Steel RGD, Torrie JH (1980). Principles and Procedures of Statistics, second ed. McGraw Hill Book Co., New York. pp. 336-354.
- Steinitz B (1982). Role of sucrose in stabilization of cut gerbera flower stalk. *Gartenbauwissenschaft.* 47:77-81.
- Van Meetern U, Van Iberen W, Nijssse J, Keijzer K (2001). Processes and xylem antimicrobial properties involved in dehydration dynamics of cut flowers. *Acta Hort.* 543:207-211.

Full Length Research Paper

# Influence of fertility levels and weed management practices on yield and yield attributes of rain-fed maize

S. Gul<sup>1\*</sup> and B. A. Khanday<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dryland (Karewa) Agricultural Research Station, India.

<sup>2</sup>Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, (J&K), India.

Received 12 August, 2015; Accepted 9 September, 2015

Investigating the response of rain-fed maize to fertility levels and weed management practices, a field trial was undertaken in 2012 and 2013 at Experimental Farm, D(K)ARS, SKUAST-Kashmir, (J&K). The experiment consisted of 3 fertility levels and 4 weed management practices. The results revealed that fertility levels  $F_3$  and  $F_2$  at par with one another recorded significant increase in cob length, number of cobs plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of grains cob<sup>-1</sup>, 100-seed weight, grain yield, and stover yield as against  $F_1$  during both the years, however, number of rows cob<sup>-1</sup>, cob diameter showed significant and consistent improvement with increase in fertility level from  $F_1$  to  $F_3$  during both years of study. Further, fertility levels  $F_3$  recorded significant increase in biological yield over  $F_1$ , however, increase in fertility level from  $F_1$  to  $F_2$  increased the harvest index significantly during both years of study. Weed management practices  $W_2$  being at par with  $W_3$  recorded significant improvement in all yield contributing characters over  $W_1$  and  $W_0$ . Both grain and stover yields were significantly higher with  $W_2$  over  $W_1$  and  $W_0$ , however, it was at par with  $W_3$  during 2012 and 2013.  $W_3$  and  $W_2$  at par with one another recorded significantly higher biological yield and harvest index over  $W_1$  and  $W_0$  during both the years of experimentation.

**Key words:** Fertility levels, weed management, yield, yield attributes, rain-fed maize.

## INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.), belonging to the grass family Gramineae, is believed to have originated from Mexico or Central America and spread to West Africa with early European traders in the 16<sup>th</sup> century. It is the third most important cereal in the world after rice and wheat. It is produced throughout the country under diverse environments. About 54% of the world is suitable for rain-fed agriculture, whereas 80% of agricultural production is

from rain-fed areas (Valipour, 2013). Maize is generally grown as rain-fed crop in most of the areas of the world and its productivity is lower, compared to the crop grown under irrigated conditions, however, under irrigated conditions its productivity and intensity can be increased due to maximum utilization of inputs (Valipour, 2014). It is an important source of proteins (10.4%), fat (4.5%), starch (71.8%), fiber (3%), vitamins and minerals like Ca,

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: [shamimgul324@gmail.com](mailto:shamimgul324@gmail.com)

P, S and small amounts of Na. Its flour is considered to be a good diet for heart patients due to its low gluten (protein) content (Hamayun, 2003).

Plant nutrition is a key input to increase the productivity of maize crop. Out of several nutrients provided to plants, nitrogen is a major and limiting nutrient for better plant growth and yield, as this crop is exhaustive in nature and requires more energy. It is considered as most important nutrient for the crop to activate the metabolic activity and transformation of energy, chlorophyll and protein synthesis. It governs better utilization of potassium, phosphorus and other elements and constitutes 40 to 50% of protoplasm of plant cell on dry weight basis and can be a limiting factor under such conditions. Phosphorous is another fascinating plant nutrient. It is involved in wide range of plant processes right from cell division to development of good root system. It plays major role in hastening crop maturity and ensures timely and uniform ripening of the crop. It is constituent of ADP and ATP, the most important substance in the life processes. Potassium is an essential nutrient and is also the most abundant cation in plants. It plays essential roles in enzyme activation, protein synthesis, photosynthesis, osmo-regulation, stomatal movement, energy transfer, phloem transport, cation-anion balance and stress resistance. The major limitation for plant growth and crop production under rain-fed condition is soil water availability. Plants that are continuously exposed to drought stress can form reactive oxygen species (ROS), which leads to leaf damage and, ultimately, decreases crop yield. During drought stress, root growth and the rates of  $K^+$  diffusion in the soil towards the roots are both restricted, thus limiting K acquisition. The resulting lower K concentrations can further depress the plant resistance to drought stress, as well as K absorption. Maintaining adequate plant K is, therefore, critical for plant drought resistance.

Control of weeds is yet another important practice to increase the productivity of this crop under rain-fed conditions. Farmers usually give prime importance to few cultural practices and neglect other factors like weed control. As the maize is usually grown during the hot summer months of May and June when manual method of weed control is difficult to employ therefore, other methods of weed control are more feasible, less laborious, cost effective and economical. Weed management strategies attempt to limit the deleterious effects of weeds growing with crop plants. These effects could be quite variable, but the most common is competition for available resources. The quantities of growth factors used by weeds are thus unavailable to the crop. As there are limitations of every weed control method therefore integrated weed management is a good option for sustainable agriculture. Keeping in view the above points, the present study was undertaken to determine the effect of fertility levels and weed management practices on yield and yield attributes of

rain-fed maize.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigation was conducted during *kharif* 2012 and 2013 at Dryland (Kerawa) Agriculture Research Station, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Budgam. The area lies between  $34^{\circ} 08' N$  latitude and  $74^{\circ} 83' E$  longitude at an altitude of 1587 m above the mean sea level. The mean maximum temperature ranged from 24.3 to 31.5°C and minimum from 9.7 to 17.60°C during first growing season and 21.22 to 32.2 and 8.2 to 19.8°C during second growing season. The total rainfall received during the entire growing season of 2010-11 and 2011-12 amounted to 383.70 and 426.10 mm, respectively. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with combination of 3 fertility levels (viz.,  $F_1 = 60:40:20$ ,  $F_2 = 75:50:30$  and  $F_3 = 90:60:40$ , N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 4 weed management practices (viz.  $W_0 =$  No weeding,  $W_1 =$  Hand weeding 20 and 50 days after sowing,  $W_2 =$  Atrazine at 1.0 kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (pre-emergence) + hand weeding 20 days after sowing and  $W_3 =$  Atrazine at 1.0 kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (pre-emergence) + Isoproturon at 1.0 kg a.i. ha<sup>-1</sup> (post-emergence) with 3 replications. Prior to sowing, the field site was three times ploughed approximately 30 cm deep using a cultivator to destroy all types of the growing vegetation and then planking was done to prepare fine seed bed for sowing the seed. The maize variety "C6" was sown at a spacing of 75 cm x 20 cm between rows and plants. The trial was irrigated when required. Full dose of phosphorus and potassium and 1/3<sup>rd</sup> of nitrogen were band placed as per the treatments just before sowing of seed. Remaining nitrogen was top dressed in two equal splits at knee high and tasselling stages. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were applied through urea, diammonium phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively.

For recording of data on yield attributes viz., cob length (cm), cobs plant<sup>-1</sup>, grains cob<sup>-1</sup>, cob diameter (cm), number of rows cob<sup>-1</sup> and 100-grain weight (g) number of cobs of five randomly selected plants from each plot were used. After harvesting the crop, cobs and stalks were properly sun dried and bundled. The bundle weight of each net plot was recorded and was expressed as biological yield in q ha<sup>-1</sup>. The grain yield of each net plot was thoroughly cleaned and sun dried. The yield from each plot was recorded separately as kg plot<sup>-1</sup> and then converted in q ha<sup>-1</sup>. After removal of the cobs from stalks in each net plot, the stalks were weighed to determine the stover yield in q ha<sup>-1</sup>. Harvest index (%) was determined by dividing the weight of grains per plot at 15% moisture content by total produce per plot and multiplying by 100.

$$\text{Harvest index} = \frac{\text{Grain yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} \times 100$$

The data obtained in respect of various observations were statistically analyzed by the method described by Cochran and Cox (1963). The significance of "F" and "t" was tested at 5% level of significance. The critical difference was determined when "F" test was significant.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Fertility levels

The investigation revealed that yield contributing character viz., cob length and diameter, number of cobs

per plant, grain rows and number of grains per cob and 100-grain weight increased significantly upto  $F_2$  (75:50:30) level beyond which difference was unmarked (Table 1). Higher cob length and diameter obtained at  $F_2$  (75:50:30) level might be due to sufficient supply of nitrogen to the crop because nitrogen being as essential constituent of plant tissue is involved in cell division and cell elongation. Moreover, higher cob length and diameter values noticed at  $F_2$  (75:50:30) level means the production of more photosynthates leading to increase in grain number and weight of grains. Rasheed et al. (2003) and Onasanya et al. (2009) have also reported similar findings. Besides increase in 100-grain weight might be due to enhancement in source efficiency as well as sink capacity (Maqsood et al., 2000).

The study revealed that seed yield increased significantly upto fertility level  $F_2$  (75:50:30) beyond which level the difference was unmarked (Table 2). The yield components viz., cobs per plant, grains per cob and grain weight increased significantly upto  $F_2$  (75:50:30) level thereby the combined effect of these components resulted in yield increase. Similar effect of fertilizer levels on maize yield and its components was reported by Maqsood et al. (2000). The stover yield also showed increasing trends as that of grain yield. The higher uptake of nutrients by the crop produced healthy plants meaning more production of photosynthates leading to higher dry matter production in terms of grain and stover/biological yield. Abdullah et al. (2007) and Ghaffar et al. (2012) also reported similar findings. The harvest index showed significant improvement with increase in fertility level from  $F_1$  (60:40:20) to  $F_2$  (75:50:30) but significantly decreased with increase in fertility level from  $F_2$  (75:50:30) to  $F_3$  (90:60:40). Harvest index reflects physiological efficiency of the crop. Higher harvest index obtained with  $F_2$  (75:50:30) level means that the capacity of photosynthates to translocate from source to economic part (grain) was higher. Mahmood et al., (1999), Bakht et al. (2007) and Onasanya et al. (2009) also reported an increase in harvest index with application of N, P and NPK.

### Weed management practices

Various yield contributing characters viz., cob length and diameter cobs per plant grain rows and number of grains per plant and 100-seed weight (Table 1) recorded under  $W_2$  (atrazine at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  pre-emergence + hand weeding 20 DAS) and  $W_3$  (atrazine at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  pre-emergence + isoproturon at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  post emergence) treatment as well as unweeded treatments were significantly higher than other weed control treatment as well as unweeded treatment. In fact reduced weed competition due to application of atrazine as pre-emergence allowed the crop stand growth better and utilize the available nutrients especially nitrogen which is

because of its cell division and cell elongation role improved cob length and diameter as well as number of cobs per plant. Higher number of grains per cob could be attributed to better translocation of metabolites for seed development and decrease in number of grains in  $W_1$  (hand weeding 20 and 50 days after sowing) and  $W_0$  (no weeding) treatments was due to increase in weed competition (Bibi, 2010). Patel et al. (2006) reported that maximum 100-seed weight was recorded with pre-emergence application of atrazine at 0.50 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  in combination with pendimethalin at 0.25 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$ .

The results of the investigation reveal that the lowest grain yield was found under unweeded treatments (Table 2). This could be attributed to greater renewal of nutrients and moisture by weeds and a severe crop weed competition resulted in poor source and sink development with poor yield components. The results could be collaborated with the findings of Sinha et al. (2003) and Kolage et al. (2004). Among weed control treatments  $W_2$  (atrazine at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  pre-emergence + hand weeding 20 DAS) followed by  $W_3$  (atrazine at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  pre-emergence + isoproturon at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  post emergence) recorded maximum grain yield which could be attributed to improved yield component viz.; higher number of cobs/plant, grains per cob and 100-grain weight. This improvement in turn was due to higher dry matter production and distribution in different parts (Kamble et al., 2005). This implies that with effective and efficient weed control, more plant nutrients are made available to the crop for enhanced leaf area formation that increases solar radiation interception thereby favouring better utilization of photosynthesis for higher grain yield.

Both stover and biological yield were also significantly higher under  $W_2$  (atrazine at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  pre-emergence + hand weeding 20 DAS) and  $W_3$  (atrazine at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  pre-emergence + isoproturon at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  post emergence) treatments (Table 2). Higher biological yield and stover yield is the effect of higher plant height, more number of functional leaves and higher dry matter production. Harvest index is defined as a ratio of yield biomass to the total biomass at harvest (Worku and Zelleke, 2007). During the study it was found that lowest harvest index was observed under no weeding  $W_0$  (no weeding) treatment which could be attributed to higher partitioning of assimilates to vegetative biomass at the expense of sink (grains). Significantly higher harvest index was observed under  $W_2$  (atrazine at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  pre-emergence + hand weeding 20 DAS) treatment though at par with  $W_3$  (atrazine at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  pre-emergence + isoproturon at 1.0 kg a.i.  $ha^{-1}$  post emergence). This could be attributed to adequate suppression of weed growth as well as more availability of plant nutrients to maize crop which favoured better utilization of photo-assimilates for grain yield formation. Similar results have been discussed by Subhan et al. (2007), Riaz et al. (2007) and Khan et al. (2012).

**Table 1.** Yield contributing characters of maize as affected by fertility levels and weed management practices.

Treatment	Cob length (cm)	Cobs plant <sup>-1</sup>	Grains cob <sup>-1</sup>	Cob diameter (cm)	No. of rows cob <sup>-1</sup>	100-grain weight (g)	Biological yield	Harvest index
<b>Fertility levels (2012)</b>								
F <sub>1</sub>	11.49	1.06	318.94	1.73	14.29	19.81	108.35	39.44
F <sub>2</sub>	13.96	1.12	332.94	2.09	16.31	20.66	115.16	40.31
F <sub>3</sub>	14.23	1.12	334.94	2.14	17.10	20.71	117.27	40.07
SE(m) ±	0.10	0.01	1.84	0.09	0.25	0.27	1.89	0.03
CD (p=0.05)	0.31	NS	5.67	0.28	0.75	0.85	5.83	0.09
<b>Weed management (2012)</b>								
W <sub>0</sub>	11.83	1.06	312.00	1.58	12.83	18.70	101.88	39.31
W <sub>1</sub>	12.91	1.10	327.37	1.93	15.94	20.02	110.40	39.59
W <sub>2</sub>	14.11	1.12	340.53	2.23	18.63	22.27	122.69	40.71
W <sub>3</sub>	14.06	1.11	337.46	2.21	16.19	21.26	119.40	40.66
SE(m) ±	0.06	0.02	1.86	0.09	0.26	0.29	2.06	0.04
CD (p=0.05)	0.20	NS	5.74	0.28	0.78	0.92	6.36	0.14
<b>Fertility levels (2013)</b>								
F <sub>1</sub>	14.49	1.08	330.40	1.84	14.88	19.83	109.45	40.26
F <sub>2</sub>	15.85	1.13	336.80	2.17	16.48	21.58	117.06	41.00
F <sub>3</sub>	16.19	1.14	340.00	2.25	17.22	21.83	119.07	40.55
SE(m) ±	0.27	0.01	1.68	0.04	0.23	0.31	2.09	0.05
CD (p=0.05)	0.84	0.02	5.18	0.13	0.67	0.97	6.43	0.15
<b>Weed management (2013)</b>								
W <sub>0</sub>	14.05	1.09	319.24	1.78	13.92	18.59	103.58	40.03
W <sub>1</sub>	15.09	1.11	335.20	2.06	16.11	20.29	112.12	40.24
W <sub>2</sub>	16.45	1.13	346.40	2.31	17.92	22.38	124.25	41.13
W <sub>3</sub>	16.21	1.13	343.20	2.20	16.80	22.07	120.82	41.03
SE(m) ±	0.30	0.01	2.05	0.05	0.24	0.32	2.33	0.05
CD (p=0.05)	0.94	0.03	6.34	0.15	0.69	1.01	7.20	0.16

**Table 2.** Seed and stover yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) of maize as affected by fertility levels and weed management practices.

Treatment	Seed yield		Stover yield	
	2012	2013	2012	2013
<b>Fertility levels (N:P:K kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)</b>				
F <sub>1</sub> (60:40:20)	44.60	45.68	67.75	67.78
F <sub>2</sub> (75:50:30)	46.58	47.99	68.59	69.07
F <sub>3</sub> (90:60:40)	46.99	48.28	70.27	70.79
SE(m) ±	0.19	0.17	0.73	0.59
CD (p=0.05)	0.59	0.53	1.85	1.04
<b>Weed management</b>				
W <sub>0</sub>	40.83	42.27	63.05	63.31
W <sub>1</sub>	44.89	46.32	68.51	68.80
W <sub>2</sub>	49.54	50.69	72.14	72.56
W <sub>3</sub>	48.96	49.99	71.45	71.83
SE(m) ±	0.24	0.26	0.75	0.65
CD (p=0.05)	0.74	0.82	1.94	1.21

## Conflict of Interest

The authors have not declared any conflict of interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to Division of Agronomy and Dryland (Karewa) Agricultural Research Station, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Kashmir, Srinagar (J&K, India) for extended research and financial support.

## REFERENCES

- Abdullah GH (2007). Effect of planting methods and herbicides on yield and yield components of maize. M.Sc (Hons) Thesis, Agricultural University of Peshawar, Pakistan.
- Bakht J, Siddique MF, Shafi M, Akbar H, Tariq M, Khan N, Zubair M, Yousef M (2007). Effect of planting methods and nitrogen levels on the yield and yield components of maize. *Sarhad J. Agric.* 23(3):553-559.
- Bibi Z, Khan N, Akram M, Khan Q, Khan MJ, Batool S, Makhdum K (2010). Integrating cultivars with reduced herbicides rates for weed management in maize. *Pak. J. Bot.* 42(3):1923-1929.
- Cochran WG, Cox GM (1967). Planning and analysis of non-experimental studies. In: Proceedings of the Twelfth Conference on the Design of Experiments in Army Research and Testing, ARO-D Report. 67(2):319-36.
- Ghaffar A, Ehsanullah N, Akbar SH, Khan K, Jabran RQ, Hashmi AI, Ali MA (2012). Effect of trench spacing and micronutrients on growth and yield of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.). *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 6:1-9.
- Hamayun M (2003). Effect of spacing and weed free periods on the productivity of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.* 9(3&4):179-184.
- Kamble TC, Kakade SU, Nemade SU, Pawar RV, Apotikar VA (2005). A integrated weed management in hybrid maize. *Crop Res. Hisar.* 29(3):396-400.
- Khan NW, Khan N, Khan IA (2012). Integration of nitrogen fertilizer and herbicides for Efficient weed management in maize crop. *Sarhad J. Agric.* 28(3):457-63.
- Kolage AK, Shinde SH, Bhilare RL (2004). Weed management in *kharif* maize. *J. Maharashtra Agric. Univ.* 29(1):110-111.
- Mahmood T, Saeed M, Ahmad R (1999). Water and potassium management for enhanced maize (*Zea mays* L.) productivity. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.* 1(4):314-317.
- Maqsood M, Ali R, Nawaz N, Yousaf N (2000). The effect of NPK application in different proportions on the growth and yield of spring maize. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci.* 3(2):356-357.
- Onasanya RO, Aiyelari OP, Onasanya A, Oikeh S, Nwilene FE, Oyelakin OO (2009). Growth and yield response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to different rates of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers in Southern Nigeria. *World J. Agric. Sci.* 5(4):400-407.
- Patel VJ, Upadhyay PN, Patel JB, Patel BD (2006). Evaluation of herbicide mixtures for weed control in maize (*Zea mays* L.) under Middle Gujarat conditions. *J. Agric. Sci.* 2(1):15-20.
- Rasheed M, Hussain A, Tariq MT (2003). Growth Analysis of Hybrid Maize as Influenced by Planting Techniques and Nutrient management. *Int. J. Agric. Bio.* 5(2):169-171.
- Sinha SP, Prasad SM, Singh SJ, Sinha KK (2003). Integrated weed management in winter maize (*Zea mays*) in North Bihar. *Indian J. Weed. Sci.* 35(3/4):273-274.
- Subhan F, Din N, Azim A, Shah Z (2007). Response of maize crop to various herbicides. *Pak J. Weed Sci. Res.* 13(1-2):9-15.
- Valipour M (2013). Necessity of irrigated and rainfed agriculture in the world. *Irrigat. Drainage Sys. Eng.* S9: e001. pp. 1-3, doi:10.4172/2168-9768.S9-e001.
- Valipour M (2014). Land use policy and agricultural water management of the previous half of century in Africa. *Appl. Water Sci.* DOI 10.1007/s13201-014-0199-1.
- Worku M, Zelleke H (2007). Advances in improving harvest index and grain yield of maize in Ethiopia. *East African J. Sci.* 1(2):112-119.

# Scientific Research and Essays

## Science

### Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- African Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science Research
- International Journal of Physical Sciences
- Journal of Oceanography and Marine Science
- International Journal of Peace and Development Studies
- International NGO Journal

**academicJournals**