

A person wearing a bright yellow protective hazmat suit and black gloves is working in a field. The person is bent over, possibly sampling or examining the ground. The background shows a grassy area with some trees and a cloudy sky.

Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences

Volume 9 Number 4 April 2017
ISSN 2006 - 9820



*Academic
Journals*

ABOUT JTEHS

The **Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences (JTEHS)** is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

The **Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences (JTEHS)** is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as toxic genomics, enzyme inhibition, drug overdose, Children's Environmental Exposure Research Study etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in JTEHS are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us

Editorial Office: jtehs@academicjournals.org

Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/JTEHS>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>.

Editors

Dr. Hazem Mohammed Ebraheem Shaheen

Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of
Veterinary Medicine, Damanhur University,
Behera – Dalangat – Elbostan,
Egypt

Dr. Jianbo Xiao

College of Life & Environment Science,
Shanghai Normal University 100 Guilin Rd,
Shanghai 200234,
PR China

Dr. Adriana Maria Neghina

Victor Babes University of Medicine and Pharmacy
Biochemistry Department
2 Eftimie Murgu Square
RO - 300041, Timisoara
Romania

Dr. Rouabhi Rachid

Biology Department
University of Tebessa 12000.
Algeria.

Prof. YongXun Pang

Endemic center, Harbin Medical University 157 BaoJian
Road,
NanGang District, Harbin, P. R.
China

Dr. M.Mahadeva Swamy

Mysore – 570 006, Karnataka,
India

Dr. Shashank Shah

"40/29 Bhonde Colony, 14 Shwe Off Karve Road,
Erandwane,
Pune, Maharastra,
India

Dr. Necati Celik

Karadeniz Technical University, Dept. of Phys. 61080
Trabzon,
Turkey

Prof. Yangfeng Wu

"Suite B1302, No 6, Zhichunlu Rd., Haidian District,
Beijing, 100088,
China

Dr. Ashim Kumar Biswas

Department of Livestock Products Technology, COVS,
Ludhiana- 141004 (Punjab)
India

Dr. Iliia Yarmoshenko

Institute of Industrial Ecology of
Ural Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences
620219 S. Kovalevskoy Str., 20, Ekaterinburg,
Russia

Dr. Şifa Türkoğlu

Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Art and Science,
Department of Biology, Sivas,
Turkey

Dr. Juan Antonio Riesco Miranda

Pneumology Department. San Pedro
Alcantara Hospital Cáceres
Spain

Dr. Norazmir Md Nor

Department of Nutrition & Dietetics
Faculty of Health Sciences MARA University of
Technology Puncak Alam Campus42300
Puncak Alam Selangor,
Malaysia

Dr. Helal Ragab Moussa

Bahnay, Al-bagour, Menoufia,
Egypt

Prof. Dr. Mamdouh Moawad Ali

33 El-Tahrir Street, Dokki 12622, Cairo,
Egypt

Reza Hosseinzadeh

Shahid Beheshty Ave., Urmia University,
Jahad-E-Daneshgahi, P. O. Box No. 165, Urmia,
Iran

Moustafa Hossein El-Naggar

Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science,
King Abdulaziz University,
Jeddah, KSA

Hasan TÜRKEZ

Division of Molecular Biology and Genetics,
Faculty of Science,
Erzurum Technical University, Erzurum,
Turkey

ARTICLE

**Evaluation of biomedical waste in Kogi State University Teaching Hospital, Anyigba,
Kogi State, Nigeria**

29

Sawyer H. O., Adeolu A. T., Salami O. O., and Adejoh P. A.

Full Length Research Paper

Evaluation of biomedical waste in Kogi State University Teaching Hospital, Anyigba, Kogi State, Nigeria

Sawyerr H. O.^{1,3}, Adeolu A. T.^{1,3*}, Salami O. O.^{2,3} and Adejoh P. A.¹

¹Department of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Health, Allied and Environmental Sciences, College of Pure and Applied Sciences, Kwara State University, Malete, Nigeria.

²Department of Environmental Management and Toxicology, School of Health, Allied and Environmental Sciences, College of Pure and Applied Sciences, Kwara State University, Malete, Kwara State, Nigeria.

³Centre for Ecological and Environmental Research Management Studies, Kwara State University, Malete, Nigeria.

Received 9 September 2016 Accepted 11 October, 2016

Adequate handling, efficient treatment and effective methods of biomedical waste play a vital role in the hospital infection control programme. Inadequate management of healthcare waste is a serious concern in many developing countries due to the risks posed to human health and environment. This study evaluates the biomedical waste generated at the Kogi State University Teaching Hospital, Anyigba. Seven wards were selected within the health facilities to determine the quantities of waste. The result showed that total waste generated in seven consecutive days was 19.89 kg/week. The average waste generated in seven wards was 2.8 kg/week and average waste generated per day was 0.4 kg/day. The quantity of waste increased as the number of patients and visitors increased. There were non-availability of bags in all the bins used for waste collection, storage and the bins were not colour coded, neither was there segregation of waste in the seven units. The study equally showed that the waste collected from the hospital are subjected to open burning which may affect the health of the workers, patients, visitors and the residents. There should be proper management of healthcare waste which can be improved through employment and retraining of staff, provision of colour coded bins and at least an incinerator for waste treatment before final disposal.

Key words: Biomedical waste, Kogi State University Teaching Hospital, Anyigba, waste generation, waste segregation, waste disposal.

INTRODUCTION

Biomedical waste originates from human or animal health care, medical research, medical teaching facilities, funeral establishments, laboratories and other facilities. A portion of that waste stream is infectious or potentially

infectious and presents a potential hazard to the public health and the environment. They arise from diagnosis, monitoring and preventive, curative or palliative activities in the field of veterinary and human medicine (Bhatia,

*Corresponding author. E-mail: deetee4all@yahoo.com.

2009). Waste management has continually generated global concerns, where the industrialized nations are progressively solving their solid waste management problems; little progress has been recorded in most of the developing countries about biomedical waste management (Afon, 2005). There has always been the need for man to dispose the waste generated in the course of his daily activities, and biomedical waste is part of the total waste streams generated in the built environment. Although, biomedical waste represent a relatively small portion of the total waste generated in a community, its management is considered an important issue world-wide (Cheng et al., 2009). Biomedical or hospital waste represents a high risk to doctors, technicians, nurses, sweepers, hospital visitors and patients due to arbitrary management (Becher and Lichtnecker, 2002).

Wastes generated in the process of health care consists of variety of wastes including hypodermic needles, scalpels, blades, surgical cottons, gloves, bandages, clothes, discarded medicine and body fluids, human tissues and organs, chemicals and others. The concern regarding medical waste is mainly due to the presence of pathogenic organisms and organic substances in hospital solid wastes in significantly high concentrations. Improper handling of solid waste in the hospital may increase the airborne pathogenic bacteria, which could adversely affect the hospital environment and community at large (Toyobo et al., 2012).

Medical waste management is the prime step taken towards the regulation of the generation, handling, storage, treatment and disposal of medical waste with the overall objective of protecting the care seekers, care givers, the general public and the environment from potentially infectious diseases causing agents. Effective health management should start from effective management of the waste generated from the sector for safety of the patients, the workers and the community. Waste produced in the course of healthcare activities carries a higher potential for infection and injury than any other type of waste. It is therefore a necessity to make medical waste management an integral feature of healthcare services as inadequate and inappropriate handling of it may have serious impact on the environment (Chitnis et al., 2004). Although, medical waste constitutes a small fraction of the municipal solid waste, the potential environmental and health hazards could be dangerous if not properly handled, with its disposal posing more difficulties with the appearance of disposable needles, syringes and other similar items (Askarian et al., 2006).

Biomedical waste management has received little attention in waste management process in Nigeria. Neither the hospital authorities nor government pay proper attention to its management. Unwholesome waste disposal by healthcare institutions pose serious health hazard to the city residents in general and people living

within their vicinity. Medical wastes are disposed into municipal dumpsites without pre-treatment, leading to an unhealthy and hazardous environment around the health institutions, affecting patients and staff. Scavengers who collect waste from dustbins or the dumpsites are at risk of injury from sharp instruments and direct contact with infectious materials. Liquid medical wastes are disposed directly into the municipal sewer system without paying proper attention to its effect on the environment and the health. Direct disposal of faces and urine of infectious patients in municipal sewer system may cause outbreak of epidemic diseases (Chitnis et al., 2000; Coker et al., 2009).

In Nigeria, the international policy that the generator of waste is responsible for the proper management, treatment and disposal of waste is yet to be implemented. The notion that waste management is the sole responsibility of the government authorities has not enabled waste generators to appreciate the negative impact of improper waste disposal especially to its hazardous nature and disease transmission characteristics of some of the wastes (WHO, 1999). Health care establishment generates waste by-products which can be classified into infectious and non-infectious waste, most of which are not properly handled but rather, get mixed with other municipal wastes. This encourages the propensity for the growth of various pathogens and vectors and its ability to contaminate other non-hazardous/toxic wastes (Akter et al., 1999; Patil and Shekdar, 2001).

The rate at which population is increasing in Anyigba metropolis in Kogi State, Nigeria calls for an increase in health care facilities to meet the health challenges, then the paramount reason for the establishment of the teaching hospital in Anyigba. This has led to increase in volume and types of medical waste generated. It is upon this platform that this study sought to investigate the obstacles to adequate and efficient medical waste management in Kogi State University Teaching Hospital, Anyigba with respect to sources of biomedical waste, composition and quantity of waste generated per day, current management practice, and to assess the level of environmental pollution.

METHODOLOGY

The study employed a cross-sectional design to assess the hospital for waste generation, segregation, disposal and management practices conducted in the Kogi State University Teaching Hospital, Anyigba, Kogi State, Nigeria, which lies between latitudes 7°29' and 7° 21' and longitudes 7° 11' and 7° 55'. Kogi State University Teaching Hospital, Anyigba, envisaged as a premier referral and research hospital with the latest cutting edge technology, a 250-bed hospital comprising the major clinical areas of medicine, surgery, pediatrics, outpatient department (OPD), obstetrics and gynecology. Others are orthopedic, accident and emergency (A&E), dentistry, pathology, bulk store and administration. Other units are intensive care unit, special baby care unit and a central medical gas plant

Table 1. Quantity of waste generated in each ward of the hospital (kg/day).

Days	Wards								Total	Average
	Medical	Orthopedic	Maternity	OPD	A&E	Surgery	Pediatrics			
Day 1	0.71	0.42	0.84	0.10	0.45	0.32	0.39	3.22	0.46	
Day 2	0.67	0.40	0.80	0.09	0.43	0.31	0.37	3.06	0.44	
Day 3	0.78	0.46	0.92	0.11	0.49	0.35	0.42	3.53	0.50	
Day 4	0.65	0.38	0.77	0.09	0.41	0.30	0.36	2.96	0.42	
Day 5	0.62	0.37	0.73	0.08	0.39	0.28	0.34	2.82	0.40	
Day 6	0.48	0.29	0.57	0.07	0.31	0.22	0.26	2.2	0.31	
Day 7	0.46	0.27	0.55	0.06	0.29	0.21	0.25	2.10	0.30	
Waste generated in kg/week	4.38	2.59	5.17	0.60	2.78	1.99	2.39	19.89	2.84	
Average waste generated/kg/day	0.63 (22)*	0.37 (13)	0.74 (26)	0.09 (3)	0.39 (14)	0.28(10)	0.34 (12)	2.84	0.41	

* = Values in parenthesis are the percentages of waste generation.

with oxygen manifold and medical gas piping to all central areas.

Using a random sampling technique, seven wards were selected within the hospital for weighing and estimation of waste. The waste generated were collected, weighed and recorded on a special data sheet for seven consecutive days. A validated weighing scale was used to measure and generate data on the waste generated in the hospital. Data were compiled so as to enable the estimated of the generated quantity. The quantities of hospital waste were presented in terms of kg/day of dry weight. Questionnaire was designed and used to elicit information on the waste generation rates, as well as waste handling and disposal practices and the physical observation. Out of the 80 staff in seven selected wards/units in the health facility, only 65 consented to participate in the study and were administered with questionnaires during the survey stage of the study. The questionnaire (structured) was pretested in another state hospital which have similar characteristics with selected hospital but not in the study group and the reliability was found to be 0.78 using Cronbach alpha. The data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 and presented in tables and figures.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The waste generation rate of each ward of the hospital was determined and results are given in Table 1. The average rate of waste generated in the hospital ranges from 0.06 to 0.92 kg/day. The categories of waste generated were hypodermic needles, scalpels, blades, surgical cottons, gloves, bandages, clothes, discarded medicine and body fluids, human tissues and organs, chemicals and others. The waste generation rate of each ward of the hospital was different. The variation was because of the characteristics of each unit, which requires a different kind of diagnosis and treatment. It should be noted that the nature of treatment determines the types of material needed, which invariably dictates the amount of waste to be generated.

Also, the highest percentage (26%) of waste generation occurred in maternity ward. This was due to frequent use of general wastes, pharmaceuticals, sharps, cotton wools

and sanitary pad by women that just delivered babies. The least percentage (3%) of waste generation occurred at the out-patient department (OPD). The considerable smaller quantities of solid wastes generated at the OPD is not surprising given the fact that the kind of therapy usually prescribed here does not lead to generation of much wastes within the hospital but are rather prescribed and taken away for subsequent use at homes. The variation in the waste generation rate from wards is expected, since this depends on the nature of activities in a specific ward (Basseyy et al., 2006). In this study, the quantities of medical wastes generated in these wards are relatively small. Conversely, Etusim et al. (2013) in his study on the solid waste generation and characterization in some selected hospitals in Okigwe, Imo State-Nigeria generate very high (total of 95.5 kg) waste from the selected hospitals. The relative abundance of waste from the three hospitals followed the same pattern of relative composition.

Fifty-two (80%) of the respondents disclosed that waste bins were available, which shows that there were waste bins in the facility which enhance proper storage of the wastes generated before disposal (Table 2). The waste bins provided were not colour coded which gave no room for segregated waste to be collected differently. The collection, storage and transportation of these wastes reflected the good intention of management, much improvement is still required to segregate wastes; to train waste handlers regularly and provide them with suitable and adequate personal protective equipment such as clothing and other covers for body, mouth and nose.

These findings were similar to that of Toyobo et al. (2012) on the appraisal of University Teaching Hospital Medical Waste management in Nigeria using University College Hospital (UCH), Ibadan and Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospital (OAUTH), Ile-Ife. He observed that as a result of a lack of waste segregation practices in most hospitals, many of these hazardous

Table 2. Waste handling and disposal practices of the biomedical waste in the hospital.

Variables	Male workers	Female workers
Collection of waste through the bin		
Yes	22 (73.3)*	30 (85.7)
No	8 (14.7)	5 (14.3)
Segregation of waste before disposal		
Yes	9 (30)	10 (28.6)
No	21 (70)	25 (71.4)
Treatment of the waste within the hospital		
Yes	3 (10)	2 (5.7)
No	27 (90)	33 (94.3)
Open dumping and burning as a means of disposal		
Yes	28 (93.3)	34 (97.1)
No	2 (6.7)	1(2.9)

* = Values in parenthesis are the percentages of respondents



Figure 1. Openly dumped waste for treatment.

materials are flushed down as waste water drain that flows directly to an open sewer or river, are mixed into general solid waste for disposal in municipal bins or are mixed into wastes which are incinerated as potentially infectious waste. It is generally acceptable that when non-hazardous waste is mixed with hazardous ones, the mixture becomes hazardous (Fadipe et al., 2011; Ngwuluka et al., 2009; Rao et al., 2004). It has been observed that barriers to the segregation in developing countries include inadequate budgetary provision for waste management activities, limited space for multiple receptacles at service areas and non-continuous training opportunities for healthcare workers in their various cadres (Tudor et al., 2009; Adeolu et al., 2014).

Nearly all (95%) of the respondents agreed to open dumping and burning as means of treatment and disposal of waste within the hospital (Table 2). This was in line with Marshal (1995) study on the impacts of biomedical waste on the environment and that open dumpsites are a major problem to the environment, especially on air that we breathe. Also, Wrensh (1990) reported that biomedical waste dumpsites should be far from human habitat since the releasing smokes and gases are mobile and could travel a long distance to avoid air contamination diseases which could be both airborne and waterborne in nature. Also, Dolk (1997) observed that dumpsite closer to residential area are always feeding places for pets together with rodents, they can both carry diseases with them to the nearby home stands.

There were no incinerators or properly designed landfills. The hospital practiced open dump method of waste treatment, which create an eye sore to the inhabitants and workers of the fairly-densely populated hospital; thereby exposing them to its adverse effect (Figures 1 to 2). The cleaners collect wastes produced in each ward in plastic buckets and dump them at designated pit, where the wastes are burnt here without regards to the effect of the action on the environment. The open burning enhances the release of smoke and dangerous gases into the atmosphere with very serious health implications, one of which is encouraging proliferation of multidrug resistant microbes in the environment (Chitnis et al., 2000). Burning of wastes has also been linked to severe public health threat and environmental pollution resulting in the release of dioxin, mercury and other toxic substances into the environment. These substances produce a remarkable variety of



Figure 2. Dumped waste without segregation.



Figure 4. Partially burnt waste for the facility.



Figure 3. Ashes of burnt wastes before burying.

adverse effects in humans at extremely low doses (Peele et al., 1981). Partially burnt wastes were buried within the pit (Figures 3 to 4). This has the potential to pollute ground water, which may therefore expose the population to health hazards. Putrefaction occurs in portions of refuse, which have not been fully burnt and add to air pollution through foul smells (Bassey et al., 2006).

Conclusion

It was found that conditions of biomedical waste management were not better because the administrators and other hospital staff were not concerned with the damage done to the environment and society due to inappropriate handling and disposal of biomedical waste. Lesser amount of biomedical waste means lesser burden on waste workers and the environment. Hence, hospital care provider should always try to reduce waste generation in day to day activities in hospitals. The liquid waste management needs more attention and effluent

treatment facilities need to be installed and viewed seriously for hospitals in general. The segregation of waste at source is the key step and reduction, re-use, recycling should be considered in proper perspectives. Therefore, the ministries of Environment and Health must put in place a legislation that will regulate medical waste generation and management and also adopt a multidisciplinary approach to medical waste management in Nigeria.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Adeolu AT, Enesi DO, Adeolu MO (2014). Assessment of Secondary School Students' Knowledge, Attitude and Practice towards Waste Management in Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. *J. Res. Environ. Sci. Toxicol.* 3(5):66-73.
- Afon AO (2005). Waste Management in Selected Cities of Oyo State, Nigeria. Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria. pp. 289-306.
- Akter N, Kozi MNA, Chudhury MR (1999). Environmental Investigation of Medical Waste Management System in Bangladesh with Special Reference to Dhaka City. BRAC, Research and Evaluation Division, 75 Mokakhali, Dhaka 1212, Bangladesh.
- Askarian M, Vakili M, Kabir G (2006). Results of a Hospital Waste Survey in Private Hospitals in Fars Province, Iran. *J. Waste Manage.* 24:347-352.
- Bassey BE, Benka-Coker MO, Aluyi HS (2006). Characterization and management of solid medical wastes in the Federal Capital Territory, Abuja Nigeria. *Afr. Health Sci.* 6(1):59-63.
- Becher S, Lichtnecker H (2002). Immunological Aspects and Infectious of Rubbish Collectors Cause by bioaerosols. *Occup. Health* 44:125-130.
- Bhatia SC (2009). Environmental Pollution and Control in Chemical Process Industries, Khanna Publishers Nai Sarak, Delhi.
- Cheng YW, Sung FC, Yang Y, Lo YH, Chung YT, Li KC. (2009). Medical Waste Production at Hospitals and Associated Factors. *J. Waste Manage.* 29:440-444.

- Chitnis V, Chitnis S, Vaidya K, Ravikant S, Patil S, Chitnis DS (2004). Bacteria Population Changes in Hospitals Effluent Treatment Plant in Central India. *Water Res.* 38:441-447.
- Chitnis V, Chitnis D, Patil S, Kant R (2000). Hospital effluent: a source of multiple drug-resistant bacteria. *Curr. Sci.* 79(7):989-991.
- Coker A, Sangodoyin A, Sridhar M, Booth C, Olomolaiye P, Hammond F (2009). Medical waste management in Ibadan, Nigeria: Obstacles and prospects. *Waste Manag.* 29(2):804-811. <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0956053X08002365>
- Dolk M (1997). Residents near Waste Landfill Sites and Risks of Non-chromosomal Congenital Malfunctions. Eurohaz: Collaboration Study Group, New York.
- Etusim PE, Ijere AO, Melariri PE, Ogwo PA, Ikonne U (2013). A Study on Solid Waste Generation and Characterization in Some Selected Hospitals in Okigwe, Imo State-Nigeria. *J. Educ. Soc. Res.* 3(4):37-43.
- Fadipe OO, Oladepo KT, Jeje JO, Ogedengbe MO (2011). Characterization and analysis of medical solid waste in Osun State, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 5(12):1027-1038.
- Marshal E (1995). Analytical Study to Evaluate Association between Dumpsites and Birth effects. ATSDR Co. Ltd. Atlanta.
- Ngwuluka N, Ochekepe N, Odumosu P, John SA (2009). Waste Management in Health Care Establishments within Jos Metropolis, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 3(12):459-465.
- Patil AD, Shekdar AV (2001). Healthcare Waste Management in India. *J. Environ. Manage.* 63(2): 211-220.
- Peele ER, Singleton FL, Deming JW, Cavari B, Colwell RR (1991). Effects of pharmaceutical waste on microbial populations in surface waters at the Puerto Rico dumpsite in Atlantic Ocean. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 41:873 -879.
- Rao SK, Ranyal RK, Bhatia SS, Sharma VR (2004). Biomedical waste management: An infrastructural survey of hospitals. *Med. J. Armed Forces India* 60(4):379-382.
- Toyobo AE, Baba AO, Oyeniya AB (2012). Appraisal of university teaching hospital medical waste management in Nigeria: Case Studies of University College Hospital (UCH) Ibadan and Obafemi Awolowo University Teaching Hospital (OAUTH) Ile-Ife. *Univers. J. Educ. Gen. Stud.* 1(9):290-297.
- Tudor TL, Townend WK, Cheeseman CR, Edgar JE (2009). An overview of arisings and large-scale treatment technologies for healthcare waste in the United Kingdom. *Waste Manage. Res.* 27:374-383.
- World Health Organisation (WHO) (1999). Technet Subcommittee Meeting on the Disposal Destruction of Sharps and Other Infectious Wastes. Geneva World Health Organisation.
- Wrensh DI (1990). Blood Borne Pathogens Exposure Incident Fact Sheet, OSHA 3186.



Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Sciences

Related Journals Published by Academic Journal

- *Journal of Clinical Pathology and Forensic Medicine*
- *Journal of Infectious Diseases and Immunity*
- *Journal of Clinical Virology Research*
- *Clinical Reviews and Opinions*
- *Medical Case Studies*
- *Medical Practice and Reviews*

academicJournals