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Stability and compliance level of fortified Nigerian retailed flour has not been determined. The aim of study therefore was to evaluate vitamin A stability in retailed flour and assess compliance status. Seventeen wheat flour samples were randomly selected from 12 bakeries across six Local Government Areas in Lagos, Nigeria. Pre- and post-storage retinol analyses of retailed flour stored for 30 days were carried out using high performance liquid chromatography. Stability results for flour were grouped under 1, 2, and 3 months conditions. Fortification compliance was calculated based on three assumptions, using Nigerian Industrial Standards (NIS) (≥30.0 IU/g). WHO guidelines (Feasible Fortification Level/Range (FFL)) of approximately 25% loss (22.5 -30.0 IU/g) and 50% acceptable compliance range (ACR) for vitamin A (15.0-30.0 IU/g). Sample stability and compliance were calculated in percentages. Data were analysed using T-test and ANOVA at p<.05. Mean vitamin A (retinol) contents of flour were 18,221.3 IU/kg (1 month), 9,181.9 IU/kg (2 months) and 6,432.7 IU/kg (3 months). Pre- and post-storage vitamin A stabilities in flour at 1, 2, and 3 months were 60.7, 30.6, and 21.4%. Only 11.8% of samples met NIS. Pre-storage vitamin A content compliance in flour was 23.5% and non-compliance rate was 76.5%. Post-storage compliance rate decreased to 5.9% while non-compliance rate increased to 94.1%. Significant difference existed between vitamin A content of flour and NIS. Low stability and compliance were observed in flour samples. Revised quality of premix, effective monitoring and enforcement should be ensured.
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INTRODUCTION

Micronutrient fortification of flour plays a significant role in the prevention and eradication of micronutrient deficiencies in vulnerable populations (Sun et al., 2008; Huo et al., 2011, 2012). Many countries especially in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and Asian Countries are mandatorily fortifying wheat flour, maize flour, wheat meal, corn meal, and rice with different micronutrients such as vitamin A, iron, B-complex, zinc, and folate. Currently, 19 low- and low middle –income countries are fortifying or proposing to fortify wheat flour with vitamin A (Klemm et al., 2010). There has been mandatory vitamin A fortification of wheat and maize flour in USA (1974), Venezuela (1996), and...
In Sub-Saharan Africa and Asian countries. However, there is a critical gap between fortification legislation and compliance which is affecting the impact of food fortification (Garrett and Luthringer, 2015).

Knowledge of the level of non-compliance in any study is essential for assessing impact. It is also a reminder that when any measure is adopted for routine application there will always be those who for various reasons fail to participate (McLaren and Kraemer, 2012). For effective fortification impact to be achieved, it is essential to ensure that the food vehicle consistently supplies adequate amounts of nutrients at the point of consumption to the at-risk groups (Yusufali et al., 2012). Measures to provide quality control are necessary to guarantee food fortification in pre-established concentrations. Great variation was found in the concentration of vitamins as pre-established on the labels (Liberato and Pinheiro-Sant’Ana, 2006). A serious problem is measuring errors in the vitamin doses used for fortification during food processing (Liberato and Pinheiro-Sant’Ana, 2006).

In Honduras, despite mandatory sugar fortification, vitamin A was not detected in 34% and 21% of the sugar consumed in rural and urban regions respectively (Nestel, 1993). A compliance range of 12 to 33% has been reported in wheat flour (Ogunmoyela et al., 2013). The micronutrient levels in fortified products should be monitored on a regular basis by calibrated/standardized laboratory equipment with modern analytical technology such as spectrophotometry and HPLC in order to dictate mixing errors or potency variations that would result in over- or under-fortification (Blum, 1997). A study in South Africa, found low compliance levels in bread flour and maize meal (Yusufali et al., 2012). The conclusion was that the low compliance was as a result of insufficient addition of premix at the mills as opposed to losses due to vitamin A stability. Low compliance reduces micronutrient availability and intake by vulnerable consumers of fortified products and potentially prevents the eradication of micronutrient deficiencies expected from the flour fortification programme (Yusufali et al., 2012). The average compliance pass rate for Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GHAIN)-supported staple food fortification programmes in 25 countries was approximately reported as 40% (Garrett and Luthringer, 2015). Nigeria has fortified flour with vitamin A since 2004 but the vitamin A stability and compliance level after storage have not been assessed. Assessment of vitamin A stability and industrial compliance are very important in the success of vitamin A deficiency (VAD) eradication in Nigeria. The aim of this study therefore was to determine vitamin A stability in retailed wheat flour and assess fortification compliance status.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Collection and selection of flour samples**

Seventeen wheat flour samples were randomly selected from 12 bakeries across six Local Government Areas (Agege, Ikorodu, Mushin, Ojo, Oshodi/Isolo and Lagos Island) in Lagos State, Nigeria. The following information was recorded for each sample: (i) date of production written on flour bags/labels (inserted inside some of the flour bags) in order to determine how long the sample has stayed or the post-production time; (ii) date of sampling/analysis; (iii) brand name; (iv) batch number if given and (v) Laboratory sample code for identification of each sample brand was AA, BB, CC and DD.

**Sample storage**

Wheat flour samples were kept in plastic corked containers and stored for 30 days at room temperature similar to that done by Solon et al. (1998, 1999, 2008) and Cort et al. (1976).

**Vitamin A (retinol) content**

The high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) method by AOAC (2000) reported elsewhere (Uchendu and Atinmo, 2012; Uchendu et al., 2012) was used in the vitamin A analysis of samples. Duplicate samples were analysed within 24 h of collection and mean values taken.
Table 1. Pre and post-storage vitamin A contents of wheat flour Vitamin A content (IU/Kg).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Flour brand</th>
<th>1 month</th>
<th>2 months</th>
<th>3 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>44,574.2</td>
<td>20,211.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>11,936.0</td>
<td>7,283.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>BB</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>5,266.5</td>
<td>866.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>BB</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>16,110.6</td>
<td>11,148.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>31,670.0</td>
<td>20,211.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>13,494.2</td>
<td>7,680.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>22,250.3</td>
<td>5,266.5</td>
<td>866.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>BB</td>
<td>8,720.0</td>
<td>7,283.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>BB</td>
<td>12,771.7</td>
<td>2,882.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>24,350.0</td>
<td>6,262.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>14,960.0</td>
<td>9,560.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>AA</td>
<td>27,048.5</td>
<td>18,576.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CC</td>
<td>12,256.9</td>
<td>3,316.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>CC &amp; DD</td>
<td>17,690.8</td>
<td>2,305.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>10,112.4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>7,064.40</td>
<td>1,255.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>DD</td>
<td>18,221.26</td>
<td>9,181.90</td>
<td>6,432.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>±10,698.11</td>
<td>±7,441.50</td>
<td>±5,193.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Recommended value =30,000 IU/Kg. **Samples written n/a were 2 months old at point of collection. P-value significant at p<.05.

Calculation of percentage vitamin A stability in samples

All wheat flour samples were assumed to be fortified with the vitamin A recommended value of 30,000 IU/kg (9.0 µg RE/kg). Vitamin A stability was calculated as a percentage of the recommended value as follows:

\[
\% \text{ vitamin A stability} = \frac{\text{Mean pre or post-storage vitamin A contents of flour}}{\text{Recommended value (30,000 IU/Kg)}} \times 100
\]

Calculation of vitamin A stability losses in samples

Vitamin A losses were computed by subtracting vitamin A stability values from 100%.

Calculation of compliance

Fortification compliance was calculated using the method of Ogunmoyela et al. (2013). Three assumptions were made as follows:

i) All the samples were assumed to have been fortified with current Nigerian International standard (NIS) or recommended value for flour (30 IU/g).
ii) World Health Organisation (WHO) guideline of acceptable range of 25% (Feasible Fortification Level/Range (FFL)) 25% loss (22.5-30 IU/g) due to losses during distribution and storage was applied (WHO/FAO, 2006).
iii) An acceptable range (ACR) of 50% (15-30 IU/g) was used to determine if the level of fortification was adequate taken into consideration additional factors such as premix quality and stability, in-process addition challenges.

Number of samples that had the required ranges based on the assumptions was calculated as follows:

\[
\% \text{ Compliance level} = \frac{\text{Number of samples that met the recommendations}}{\text{Total number of samples analyzed}} \times 100
\]

Samples were grouped according to compliance and non-compliance status under NIS (≥30 IU/g), FFL (29,999-30,000), ACR (15-30 IU/g), and not detected.

Statistical analysis

Stability results were grouped under 1, 2, and 3 months conditions. Descriptive statistics such as frequency counts, total, mean, percentages and standard deviation (± SD) were used to describe data. The obtained data were subjected to student T-test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Statistical Package for Social Scientists (SPSS), software (version 15 for windows SPSS Inc., Chicago) to compare and identify significance (p<.05) between means of treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Vitamin A stability in wheat flour

Table 1 shows that the pre- and post-storage vitamin A...
contents of wheat flour samples was lower than NIS minimum requirement for wheat flour in Nigeria; 30,000 IU/Kg (NIS: 121:2000). All the samples showed presence of vitamin A but only two samples (11.8 %) (AA and DD) were found to be adequately fortified, above the standard. Vitamin A concentration in wheat flour was 19-83% below the recommended value in 88% (15/17) of the samples tested and exceeded the recommended value in 12% (2/17) with overages of 5.6 to 48.6%. The mean vitamin A content at 1 month was however similar to that obtained by Cort et al. (1995) but higher than that obtained by Ogunmoyela et al. (2013). There was a significant difference between the obtained vitamin A content of wheat flour and the Nigerian recommended level (p <0.05). Also, vitamin A content of samples was significantly different at different storage periods (p<0.05).

Figure 1 shows the mean percentage vitamin A stability in wheat flour as 60.7% (1 month), 30.6% (2 months) and 21.4% (3 months). This was lower than that obtained in Philippines (Solon et al., 1998; Solon et al., 2008). Flour was able to retain 60% of the vitamin A added under one month storage probably because vitamin A is added at point of bagging with no heat application. The stability of vitamin A is affected by physical and chemical factors such as temperature, (water activity (aw) and moisture content), pH, oxygen, light, time, metallic ions, food composition and enzymes (Wirakartakusumah and Hariyadi, 1998; Manan, 1994). It has been reported that once premix is added at intended ratio concentrations to wheat flour, the stability of vitamin A continues to vary according to temperature, humidity, duration of storage, and other conditions of storage (Klemm et al., 2010). The instability might also be due to its chemical structure, having many double bonds susceptible to degradation (Wirakartakusumah and Hariyadi, 1998). The inclusion of 5% cassava flour into Nigerian wheat flour does not affect the vitamin A stability in flour because it is still 100% flour (95% wheat flour + 5% cassava flour) before vitamin A premix is added so there is no dilution effect. Another reason responsible for the low vitamin A values in the flour samples might be that the recommended vitamin A value 30,000 IU/kg was not added at the fortification point in the majority of the samples. But if the recommended value was added and the samples retained only 18,221.3 IU/kg at 30 days mean post production time, poor quality of premix ingredients could be responsible. All the flour millers used the same Standard Organisation of Nigeria (SON) standards but from different suppliers. There is evidence that some supplier’s mixes are of better quality and therefore lasts longer than others (Johnson et al., 2004). The quality of the premix is related to the quality of encapsulation. In South Africa, there is a proven indication of vitamin A source problems where there are two or three different sources using acetate instead of palmitate or using less stabilised forms which are cheaper (DOH/UNICEF, South Africa, 2009). Adjusting for 30 to 50% lost consideration during transportation, distribution, storage and processing of wheat flour and its products according to Klemm et al. (2010), it implies that the minimum acceptable range for Nigerian vitamin A content for flour is 15,000 - 21,000 IU/kg (4,504.5 – 6,306.3 µgRE). Less than half (35.3%) of the flour samples met this range at 1 month storage, 23.5% at 2 months storage and none at 3 months storage. This trend cut across the different flour brands in the market.

The sample that had the highest vitamin A content...
(44,000 IU/kg) more than the recommended value might suggest poor quality control measures in the fortification dosing process or addition of excess overage (Omar, 2005; Liberato and Pinheiro-Sant’Ana, 2006).

Figure 2 shows the post-storage vitamin A stability losses in wheat flour samples. Mean stability loss was 39.3±35.7 (1 month), 69.4±29.3 (2 months) and 78.6±17.2% (3 months). Vitamin A stability loss in wheat flour after one month storage was below forty percent (39%) and is within the normal range of losses (30 to 50%) recorded for dry fortified foods products (Dary and Mora, 2002). However, after 1 month, vitamin A stability declined. This decrease was significant with time (p<0.05) and agrees with the report that vitamin A degrades with time (Wirakartakusumah, 1998; Manan, 1994). At three months storage, wheat flour lost more than 70 % of its vitamin A content. This high level of degradation during storage calls for urgent attention because the general aim of vitamin A fortification of flour is to make vitamin A available to vulnerable groups through consumption of fortified flour products in order to eradicate vitamin A deficiency in Nigeria.

Figure 3 groups vitamin A stability in flour samples according to flour brands and indicated that the vitamin A stability by brands was sample AA, 71% (1 month), 30% (2 months), and 24% (3 months); sample BB 36% (1 month), 27% (2 months), and 24% (3 months); sample CC 90% (1 month) and 62% (2 months); sample DD 60% (1 month) and 32% (2 months); mixed samples (CC and DD) 59% (1 month) and 8% (2 months) and BB and DD samples had 24% (1 month) and 4% (2 months) respectively. For most of the flour brands, vitamin A stability was good at one month. It was best in CC (91%) followed by AA brand (71%) then DD (60%) and the least BB flour (36%). After 1 month of production, AA flour brand was leading in vitamin A stability followed by CC
Figure 4. Decrease in vitamin A stability in flour brands.

Table 2. ANOVA Table showing vitamin A stability in different flour brands stored at 1 to 3 months.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Flour brands</th>
<th>% Stability</th>
<th></th>
<th>Mean**</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>3 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AA (7)*</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>10.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BB (4)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>DD (3)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CC (1)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CC+DD(mixed) (1)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>BB+DD (mixed) (1)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers in parenthesis are number of sampling occurrences. P-value significant at p<0.05.

and DD. However, beyond 1 month, there was a sharp decline in vitamin A stability in all the samples except in BB flour brand. While others declined in geometric progression, BB decreased in arithmetic progression (Figure 4). At three months storage, CC and DD flour brands had zero vitamin A contents. BB flour brand might have a superior quality premix than the others. This comparison excluded samples that were only collected once in all the bakeries. It is also observed in this table that AA flour brand enjoyed more patronage by bakers than other brands followed by BB flour brand. Out of the 17 flour samples used in the bakeries, 41.1% samples were AA flour, 23.5% BB, 5.9% CC, 17.7% DD and 11.8% blended flour brands. Table 2 shows that vitamin A stability significantly differed in all the flour brands in Nigeria. This might be as a result of the quality of vitamin A used by each miller.

Type of matrix used for the vitamin A premix encapsulation might be one of the major factors that affected the vitamin A stability of Nigerian wheat flour. Nigeria uses 250 CWS premix which is encapsulated with modified food starch. A study has found that starch matrix has the lowest stability among other matrices. Vitamin A stability of various matrices used as vitamin A coatings were reported as mannitol (90%), lactose (89%), mannitol + sucrose (88%), mannitol + dextrose (83%), dextrose (81%), sucrose (80%), calcium sulphate (75%), kaolin (75%), aluminium hydroxide (73%), mannitol + starch (70%), mannitol + aluminium hydroxide (60%), and starch (59%) after one month storage (Kee-Neng et al., 1962). This study shows that starch as a coating matrix for vitamin A has the lowest stability (59 %) among other matrixes. The stability of flour obtained in this study at one month post-production time (60.7%) is similar to the stability of the modified starch matrix used (59%). This might explain the low stability obtained in this study and the reason Nigerian fortified products could not withstand the effect of vitamin A degrading factors.

Encapsulation of vitamin A is meant to protect it from all external degrading factors and it is successful in developed countries. However, the stability of the ingredients used in the encapsulation becomes the determining factor on the level of stability to be achieved. If the ingredients used in formulation are not stable, the premix might not be stable. Encapsulation can only provide additional moisture barrier if the formulation ingredients are stable. The form of vitamin A and premix to be used in fortification should be the highest grade,
appropriately for the intended food vehicle, stable under ambient conditions and for the duration of expected use, and introduced into the food supply in accordance with existing industry standards (Klemm et al., 2010). There is a research need to determine the level of encapsulation and ingredients in the Nigerian premix.

Nigeria is in the tropical region which is prone to high atmospheric temperature or hot weather during dry season and high humidity during rainy season. Poor handling procedures at retail level could have affected the vitamin A stability in flour especially if the vitamin A was not encapsulated and flour is not packaged adequately. Flour is exposed or displayed outside shops for customers to see and buy. Even when they are packed inside, the shops or warehouses are very hot. At retail levels, flour is tired in black nylon in small kilogram measurements for sale. Some retailers open the industrial bag (50 kg) and retail directly from it and retail selling in cups might take them some months before the bag is finished. Flour retailers should be trained on the proper ways of handling flour. Fortified flour should be packaged in 500 g, 1 kg, and 2.5 kg to prevent retailing from 50 kg bags which should be for industrial use. In the past (1970s), there used to be 2.5 kg flour bags meant for home use.

Improper packaging of wheat flour from millers might be another challenge for vitamin A stability in Nigeria. Polypropylene bags are used and this might not properly protect flour from moisture and air during transportation and storage. Only one flour brand (AA) has its polypropylene bag laminated. This might be one of the reasons why this brand had higher vitamin A stability (71%) than other brands after 30 days storage. This problem was also reported in Pakistan where different packaging materials such as jute, cotton and polypropylene are being used for packaging of flour (Butt et al., 2003). These packages do not protect the wheat flour properly from contamination by insect pests, microbes, sand, dust and environmental moisture.

**Vitamin A content compliance level in wheat flour**

Figure 5 shows the pre-storage vitamin A content compliance in wheat flour to be only 11.8 % based on WHO guidelines (Feasible Fortification Level/Range (FFL)) of approximately 25% loss (22,500-30,000 IU/Kg). Total compliance (≥30,000 IU/Kg) was 23.6% and non-compliance level was 76.47%. Out of 17 flour samples, only 29.4% were compliant at the 50% acceptable compliance range (ACR) for vitamin A (15,000-30,000 IU/Kg). Figure 6 shows that compliance after stability studies dropped from 23.6 to 5.9% while non-compliance increased from 76.5 to 94.1%. At ACR, compliance level dropped from 29.4 to 11.8%. Vitamin A content of flour obtained from analysis was lower than Nigerian recommended level. This is an indication of low compliance. This result is in agreement with that obtained by BASF (2009), Yusufali et al. (2012), Ogunmoyela et al. (2013), Garrett and Luthringer (2015). From these results, it is clear that the low compliance of ‘fortified’ samples might limit the anticipated impact of the current Nigerian fortification program. The fact that a product claims to be fortified does not really mean that it contains the specified vitamin A level. Despite the fact that fortification is mandatory for wheat/maize flour in Nigeria, the cost of high vitamin A dosage (30 IU/Kg) with other micronutrients in the fortification of wheat flour without any incentives from Government might pose a challenge to millers and these become limiting factors to total compliance. Vitamin A compounds needed for fortification of dry matrices such as flour and sugar are at least four times more expensive than the oily forms, and their stability is inferior. Hence, dry foods tend to be fortified with less vitamin A, which requires higher consumption (Dary and Mora, 2002). Vitamin A is expensive and the issue of cost-benefit analysis should be addressed by reducing vitamin A recommended level to the range of 5.0 to 7.0 IU/g.
Conclusions

Low stability and compliance were observed. Vitamin A stability was only good in 1 month wheat flour. Quality and quantity of vitamin A premix ingredient added at fortification point, high premix cost and inadequate packaging might be the major factors responsible for low stability and compliance. High quality premix, reduced NIS standard, effective regulatory monitoring and enforcement should be ensured to achieve the aims of vitamin A fortification of flour in Nigeria.
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Immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is gaining importance in the past two decades. The objective of the present work is to develop an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit for the assay of dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) in milk and milk products. Here, an ELISA method was developed using rabbit polyclonal antibodies against a hapten, DDT-OH for the detection of organochloride pesticide DDT in milk and milk products. The immunogen for raising the antiserum was prepared from BIS (4-chlorophenyl) ethanol and succinic anhydride coupled with bovine serum albumin (BSA) One ml of immunogen was inoculated subcutaneously in multiple sites of thigh region in rabbits following three boosters at weekly intervals and the antiserum was harvested after the second booster. The ELISA response of the anti DDT antiserum, diluted and undiluted was studied against the antigen (DDT) coated onto the immuno module. The homogenous response of the anti DDT anti serum was adequate to identify DDT. Fifteen samples each of milk, buttermilk, cheese, kalakhand and khoa were quantitatively analyzed for DDT using ELISA as well as GC methods. DDT was present only below detectable limit (BDL) (5 ng g⁻¹) in milk and milk products obtained from organized dairies in and around Chennai city, India. The percentage recovery was above 70% ensuring that the ELISA method developed for DDT is dependable. The developed ELISA method can be helpful in detecting the residual amount of DDT present in milk and milk products. The analysis of pesticides in samples by ELISA and GC was comparable.

**Key words:** DDT-BSA conjugate, ELISA-Kit, Hapten synthesis, milk, milk products.

**INTRODUCTION**

Food safety is one of the major concerns in every country regardless of the economic and social development. The deleterious effects of organochlorine pesticides have been witnessed phenomenally both on human and animal...
subjects (Dawood et al., 2004; Grilo et al., 2013; Adami et al., 1995). Some of them are of serious in nature causing irreversible damage at a rather low level (WHO, 2003) which necessitates the use of innovative methods to detect the low level pesticides (Bhadakar et al., 2011) present in soil, water, air, food stuffs, breast milk, blood and animal tissues. Residues of DDT have been detected in soil, water and also in air. While Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC), High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) and Gas Chromatography (GC) are commonly used methods for the detection of pesticides; they are highly laborious and require highly skilled technical expertise (Ramesh et al., 2007); however, ELISA method developed for the detection of pesticides is accurate with high sensitivity, specificity, as well as cost effectiveness for large number of samples and adaptability to field use and rapid test despite gaining wider predominance (Zhang et al., 2013).

ELISAs are widely applied for the quantification of various pesticides and insecticides such as DDT/DDE, HCH isomers, toxaphene and cyclodiene OCPs present in environment (Behnisch et al., 2001; Sherry, 1997). Earlier Amitarani et al. (2002) have reported that DDT residues measured in water samples by means of ELISA were comparable to GC method. In fact, over the years, ELISA methods are developed for the quantification of various pesticide residues in food products including milk and milk products (Hongibsong et al., 2012; El-Gendy et al., 2011; Botchkareva et al., 2003; Brandon et al., 2002).

In developed and developing countries, the use of certain pesticides like DDT and HCH was banned. However, the residual effects are still causing problems (Shaker and Elsharkawy, 2015; Zhou et al., 2014). The residues of DDT persist in the environment for long periods and enter the food chain. Hence, reports are coming from Asian, Gulf and European countries. Milk is considered as a universal food consumed by all animal species. The chances are likely that the trace levels of pesticide residues present in fodder can be transferred to the milk which may affect the consumers. In Iraq, Al-Omar et al. (1985) detected DDT concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 0.05 μg g⁻¹ in milk around Baghdad, Iraq. According to Martínez et al. (1997), one percent of milk samples in Spain were contaminated with DDT with mean level of 0.007 μg g⁻¹. Dawood et al. (2004) reported the incidence of DDT in 30% of milk samples with a mean concentration of 0.1003 ± 0.19 μg g⁻¹. ELISAs have been described that are performed in tubes, plastic-baked nitrocellulose membranes, magnetic particles, etc., but most often 96-well microtiter plates are preferred since these allow the simultaneous analysis of a large number of samples.

Depending on the immune reagent immobilized on the plate, two main formats can be distinguished when small molecules are analyzed: the direct and indirect. In the direct format, the antibody Ab is usually coated on the active surface and equilibrium is established between the analyte and the enzyme tracer for binding to the Ab. The unbound reagents are washed away and the amount of tracer is measured. The enzyme activity is inversely proportional to the amount of analyte present. In the indirect format, Antigen Ag is immobilized and the amount of analyte is indirectly measured by the quantification of the bound Ab with a second labeled Ab, the bound Ab with a second labeled Ab. There are examples of ELISA for a large number of pollutants, such as carbonates, organochlorine and organophosphorous compounds, triazines, PAHs, PCBs, etc. (Puchade and Maquieira, 1996). Organochlorine pesticides are partly banned in India; however, they are still used in many parts of India for various purposes including agricultural operations. Several reports indicate the incidence of organochlorine pesticides in milk and milk products (Devanathan et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2005; Pandit et al., 2002).

Kalra et al. (1978) studied DDT contamination of milk collected from different locations in Punjab where the DDT ranged from 0.006 to 0.13 μg g⁻¹. Kaphalia et al. (1990) found DDT in 60% of milk samples with a mean level of 0.028 μg g⁻¹. Dhanalakshmi (1995) found that among the organochlorine pesticides, DDT and DDE were present in traces in all milk samples collected from organized dairies in Chennai, India. Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) contain the antigen and free DDT. The free DDT then competes with the antibody for the binding site of AuNPs. The resultant strip will show an intense red color of AuNPs in the absence of free DDT. The intensity of the red color decreases with increasing concentration of free DDT. This strip has a LOD at 27 ng ml⁻¹. Despite the “naked eye” visible test strip, rapid detection of DDT can be done on an immuno-chemiluminescence dip strip with immobilized anti-DDT immunoglobulin Y (IgY) antibodies (Baker et al., 2012). Similarly, in the presence of DDT, DDT competes with the lumino for the antibodies' is hazardous pesticide that was used widely during World War II. It is highly stable in the environment and causes neurological changes and reproductive problems to wildlife. AuNPs based on a competitive immunoassay dip strip has been developed for the rapid testing of DDT (Lisa et al., 2009). The red AuNPs are conjugated to anti-DDT antibodies. The immunocomplex solution is then dipped on a nitro cellulose membrane strip binding site.
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The luminescence intensity is inversely proportional to the concentration of free DDT. The development of immunoassay on pesticide has become mature enough to give reliable screening within 10 min. Hence, the aim of current study was to develop an immunoassay kit for measuring DDT by raising polyclonal antibodies in rabbits.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Milk and milk product sample**

Milk, cheese, khoa, kalakhand and buttermilk samples (15 in each) were collected aseptically under refrigerated condition from organized dairies in and around Chennai, India. The representative samples were drawn as per the standard methods for examination of dairy products (Marshall, 1992).

**Chemicals and reagents**

**Solvents**

The solvents used in the study were n-hexane (95%), acetonitrile (99.7%) and methanol (99.8%) obtained from Fisher Scientific, USA. All other chemicals and reagents were analytical grade.

**Working buffer (50 mM)**

Stock phosphate buffer (PBS) was diluted five times with distilled water to get 50 mM working buffer. For every 100 ml of PBS (50 mM), 1.1 ml of fish gelatin was added. This was kept at 4°C and used for all dilutions.

**Wash buffer**

Stock PBS (250 mM) was diluted 25 times to get 10 mM wash buffer. To one liter of wash buffer, 5 ml of 10% Tween-20 was added. This was used for washing plates.

**DDT standard**

DDT standard was prepared in n-hexane (Clarke, 1986).

**Preparation of hapten**

BIS (4-chlorophenyl) ethanol (DDT-OH) was dissolved in pyridine and succinic anhydride (10 M) was added to the mixture, stirred overnight at 4°C and ethyl acetate was added. It was washed with water, 1 N HCl and brine. The organic solvent was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate and solvent evaporated off to get the hapten. NMR confirmed the structure. The chemical reaction steps are shown in Figure 1 (Karanth et al., 1998).

**Chromogen solution**

10 mg of tetra methyl benzidine (TMB) was mixed with 1 ml of dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) and stored at room temperature.

**Antibody production**

One milligram equivalent of the hapten - protein conjugate in Freund’s complete adjuvant (1:1 ratio) was injected epidermally at multiple sites to six rabbits of 3-4 months of age as a primary dose. After 30 days, the primary bleed was checked for the antibodies by mean antibody capture assay (Karanth et al., 1998). When the antibody titre was found satisfactory, booster dose was given (500 µg equivalent of protein in incomplete Freund's adjuvant) by intra muscular injection. After 8 days, 3 - 5 ml of blood was drawn from marginal ear vein and checked for antibody titre. When the titre had increased, a second booster was given in incomplete Freund's adjuvant by intra muscular injection. After 8 days, the antibody production was again checked. Since the peak antibody production was noticed at this time, 15-20 ml blood was collected and the serum was separated. Serum IgG was purified using gamma bound sepharose column (Harlow and Lane, 1988).

**Analysis of milk and milk product samples by ELISA**

Milk and milk products were analyzed using ELISA reader (Anthos HT II, Austria) as per the protocol given in the operational manual. 30 ml of milk was extracted with 150 ml of methanol and used directly for loading in the ELISA plate and followed similar procedure and detected the contamination level of DDT in milk and milk products by comparing with the standard curve.

---

**Figure 1. Chemical reaction steps.**
Analysis of milk and milk product samples by GC

Milk and milk product samples have been extracted in n-hexane and analysed using Gas Chromatography (Tracor 540, Tracor Instruments, Austin, Texas, USA) according to International Dairy Federation (IDF) standard methods (2008).

Statistical analysis

The data were statistically analysed as per the procedure adopted by Snedecor and Cochran (1994).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The absorbance values obtained from the standards were plotted against their respective concentrations (1, 10, 100 and 1000 ppb) on semi logarithmic graph to obtain the DDT standard curve which was found to be linear (Figure 2). From the standard curve, it was observed that absorbance readings obtained from ELISA were inversely proportional to the concentration of analyte in standard that is, an increase in concentration will be accompanied by a decrease in absorbance values.

Matrix effect and clean-up procedure

The uptake kinetics of an analyte during analysis is influenced by proteins and this problem is called as matrix effect (Liu et al., 2008). It is suggested that the elimination of matrix effect is necessary and important for the determination of analytes in complex samples (Liu et al., 2008; Hall et al., 2012). Clean-up is an analytical procedure involving series of steps in which the bulk of the potentially interfering compounds are removed by physical or chemical methods. Various clean-up approaches are involved to eliminate the matrix effect in milk samples (Tölgyesi et al., 2012; Xia et al., 2010, Martins et al., 2013). Especially, certain clean-up procedures were successfully employed for eliminating the matrix effect during the analysis of pesticide residues in milk samples (Spinks et al., 2001; Di Muccio et al., 1997; Di Muccio et al., 1996). Trace amounts of DDT in milk has to be dislodged by solvent extraction. In this procedure, a number of constituents from the milk (the matrix) also get partitioned along with the pesticide which may change the assay performance either negatively or positively leading to matrix effect. Milk and milk products are classified under high fat-high moisture content food stuffs. Clean-up procedure is necessary to overcome the food matrix effect (Schenck and Lehotay, 2000; Xia et al., 2010). The approach made for clean-up was derived from sample preparation methods for GC analysis of pesticides. Milk and buttermilk were extracted in acetonitrile. Acetonitrile extract of milk and buttermilk had no matrix effect (Figures 3 and 4). In an earlier work,
Table 1. Matrix clean-up in milk products (Mean ± S.E).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milk products</th>
<th>Methanol standard&lt;sup&gt;NS&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Methanol extract</th>
<th>Methanol clean-up (after sulphonation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cheese</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.26±0.01</td>
<td>1.15&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalakhand</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.82±0.02</td>
<td>1.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khoa</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.74±0.04</td>
<td>0.98&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;±0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean of four observations; <sup>NS</sup> Not significant; means bearing different superscripts in the same column differ significantly (p<0.01).

Table 2. Percentage recovery of DDT in milk and milk products by ELISA and gas chromatography techniques (Mean ± S.E)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particular</th>
<th>GC (g g&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>ELISA (ng g&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>Percentage Recovery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dairy product</td>
<td>Spiked Quantity</td>
<td>Spiked Quantity</td>
<td>GC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttermilk</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheese</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.05 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khoa</td>
<td>0.97 ± 0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.95 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalakhand</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.95 ± 0.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean of four observations; <sup>NS</sup> Not significant; * Means bearing different superscripts in the same row between two data differ significantly (p<0.01).

while studying the matrix effect during the analysis of organophosphates in milk, Erney et al. (1993) reported high percentages of recovery implicating less interference of matrix effect. The zero O.D (no pesticide) and IC<sub>50</sub> were on par with the neat solvent. The IC<sub>50</sub> values for milk and buttermilk extract differed by 2 ng g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively when compared with acetonitrile standard (IC<sub>50</sub> = 14 ng g<sup>-1</sup>). Cheese, kalakhand and khoa showed matrix interference that is attributable to high-fat content in certain milk products affecting the recovery of certain pesticides (Ranganathan et al., 2014).

Therefore, the methanol extract of the samples were treated with sulphuric acid and washed with water three times. There after the extract was partitioned with petroleum ether, the organic layer was collected, evaporated and made up in original solvent. Clean-up was achieved up to 70% using this method. The zero O.D. of the neat solvent was more or less on par with that of the samples (Table 1).

The matrix clean-up effect seen in various milk products was represented as mean ± SE in Table 1. It can be noticed that the matrix clean-up effect for methanol extract for the three milk products (cheese, kalakhand and khoa) was statistically significant (p<0.01) between the products. For the methanol clean-up (after sulphonation), the level of significant (p<0.01) were noticeable between cheese and kalakhand and between cheese and khoa. However, no statistically different changes were observed between Kalak hand and khoa.

DDT estimation in milk and milk products were carried out using Gas Chromatography in all the same samples as in ELISA. The amount of DDT recovered (mean ± SE) and percentage recovery of DDT from milk and various milk products as measured by means of GC and ELISA methods were represented in Table 2. For milk, the quantity of DDT recovered was statistically significant from the spike value, whereas ELISA data in this case did not show any significant changes between spike and
recovery. In the case of buttermilk, khoa and kalakhand, there was significant difference in the quantity of DDT recovered after spike in both ELISA and GC methods. For cheese samples, only ELISA method showed significance in the amount of DDT recovery after spike. The percentage recovery of DDT in the case of cheese and kalakhand samples was almost the same by GC and ELISA techniques. On contrary, significant variations were seen between ELISA and GC methods for the percent recovery of DDT from milk, buttermilk and khoa samples. The recovery percentage was 70 and above in both techniques. Hence, it was confirmed that ELISA technique developed for DDT was dependable. The levels of DDT in milk and milk products were found to be well below detectable limits in both methods. According to Pandit et al. (2002), organochlorine pesticide residues analyzed in milk and milk products from different regions of Maharashtra state, India were also well below the detectable limits. Moreover, they reported higher levels of DDT in butter samples when compared with cheese. Earlier, John et al. (2001) reported increased residual levels of organochlorine pesticides during winter season in milk samples collected from Jaipur city, Rajasthan, India. It is a welcoming feature that DDT was present only below detectable limit (BDL) (5 ng g⁻¹) in milk and milk products obtained from organized dairies in and around Chennai city, India.

Conclusions

Despite enormous benefits of pesticides in agronomy and food crop protection several risk factors and public health hazards are implicated due to their prolonged accumulation in soils, which is indirectly a source for contamination in milk. Since milk and milk products are essential food for children and infants, it is necessary to monitor the pesticide residues especially DDT in milk. Immunoassay method developed is more sensitive, rapid than conventional method like TLC. In the present investigation, no alarming DDT levels were found, as the samples were obtained from organized dairies. Owing to the risk factors from unorganized dairies and local vendors, the developed ELISA method can be beneficial in detecting alarming DDT levels present in milk and milk products.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors acknowledge the Department of Biotechnology (DBT), GOI, New Delhi for the financial support and the Dean, College of Food and Dairy Technology, TANUVAS, Chennai for providing the facilities to carry out this research work.

REFERENCES


World Health Organization (WHO) (2003). Persistent organic pollutants complied by the program for the promoters of chemical safety. The Division of Control of Tropical Diseases and Food Safety Unit.


African Journal of Food Science

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- African Journal of Microbiology Research
- African Journal of Plant Science
- International Journal of Genetics and Molecular Biology
- Journal of Cell and Animal Biology