About IJEAPS

The International Journal of Educational Administration and Policy Studies (ISSN 2141-6656) is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

The International Journal of Educational Administration and Policy Studies (IJEAPS) is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as Educational Governance, Policy and Administration, Educational Ethics, Law and Special Education, Computer Applications in Educational Administration and Policy Studies etc.

The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published approximately one month after acceptance. All articles published in IJEAPS are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us

Editorial Office: ijeaps@academicjournals.org
Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org
Website: http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/IJEAPS
Submit manuscript online http://ms.academicjournals.me/
Editors

Prof. Amalia A. Ifanti
Department of Educational Sciences and Early Childhood Education, University of Patras, Rion-Patras 26504, Greece.

Dr. Suseela Malakunthu
Department of Educational Management and Policy
50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Prof. Ugur Demiray
Anadolu University,
Faculty of Communication Sciences,
26470 Eskisehir, Turkey

Dr. Richard J.M. Smith
Policy and Leadership Studies,
National Institute of Education,
Nanyang Technological University,
1 Nanyang Walk, Singapore 6367616,
Republic of Singapore

Dr. Napoleon B.
Social Development Staff (SDS),
National Economic and Development Authority (NEDA),
Amber Avenue,
Pasig City, Metro Manila
Philippines.

Dr. H. Gülru Yüksel
Yildiz Technical University,
Faculty of Education,
ELT Dept., Davutpasa-İstanbul - 34210
Turkey

Dr. Christopher Joseph Conlan
School of Education,
Curtin University of Technology
Australia

Dr. Terrence Edward Paupp
0597 Porto Court
San Diego, California 92124
USA.

Dr. Barbara Lieb
Adjunct Professor, College of Arts and Sciences,
George Mason University,
Fairfax, Virginia
USA
Editorial Board

Prof. Victor Yu  
_Cavalry Education Group_
_Singapore_

Dr. Scott Eacott  
_School of Education,_
_Faculty of Education and Arts,_
_The University of Newcastle,_
_Callaghan NSW Australia 2308_
_Australia_

Dr. Shoki O. Godwin  
_University of Ibadan,_
_Oyo State_
_Nigeria_
ARTICLES

Examination of the competencies of the pre-service teachers studying at the education faculties about the educational program literacy
Okan Sarıgöz and Yavuz Bolat

Applying business models to higher education
Sibel Ahi
Examination of the competencies of the pre-service teachers studying at the education faculties about the educational program literacy

Okan Sarıgöz and Yavuz Bolat*

Department of Curriculum and Instruction, Faculty of Education, Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay, Turkey.

Received 3 June 2018, Accepted 30 August, 2018

Teaching programs are the basic elements and guides of the education-teaching processes. The correct understanding of the content of the teaching programs reveals the concept of the educational program literacy. The aim of this research is to study to identify the competencies of the educational program literacy of the pre-service teachers studying in the education faculties by arithmetic mean of the answers given to the scale items by department type, class level demographic variables and gender. In accordance with this purpose; a Teaching Program Literacy scale consisting of 29 items, developed by Bolat (2017) was used in the reading and writing dimensions about education programs and teaching literacy in order to collect data. In addition to the descriptive statistics of the collected data with the Education Program Literacy Scale, analyzes such as t-test, Tukey and Anova were also conducted. In the study, the general scanning model, which is one of the descriptive scanning methods, was used. The universe of the research is composed of all the pre-service teachers who are studying in different programs of Mustafa Kemal University Faculty of Education, the sample of the research constitutes a total of 785 pre-service teachers studying in Turkish Teaching, English Language Teaching, Science Education and Classroom Teaching programs of Mustafa Kemal University Faculty of Education. As a result of the research, the curriculum of the pre-service teachers has been differentiated in favor of female pre-service teachers in terms of literacy of writing by gender. However, gender change, from the general angle of the scale, did not cause any statistical differentiation. It has also been found that the opinions of pre-service teachers are close to each other on program literacy, depending on the program they are studying. It has been determined that there are statistically significant differences in favor of pre-service teachers studying at the upper class among the class levels in which the pre-service teachers have studied. In addition, the results of the study showed that the pre-service teachers felt themselves sufficient in terms of understanding, interpreting and evaluation in terms of program literacy and felt themselves inadequate in terms of goals.
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INTRODUCTION

Education is undoubtedly one of the most important factors that keep communities alive and transfer their knowledge and skills to new generations. Education, which has a social prescription, emerges in different ways
Education is an expected enculturation study that is progressing with programmatic steps and including targeted applications. In culturing studies, purpose of education is to be a part of knowledge and skill, to promote personal and professional development as human values (Özen and Hendekçi, 2016). The most important element regulating these studies is undoubtedly the education programs. In addition, education and teaching programs are the most important elements that determine and direct the quality of education (Baş and Sarıgöz, 2018). These elements make clear the guidance of the teaching leaders and the expected behaviors of the beneficiaries of the teaching. In addition to all these benefits, a teaching program defines the political, economic, ideological etc. expectations of the societies and the characteristics of the individuals identified by taking into account the needs of the individuals and learning and teaching experiences that will enable them to gain these expected characteristics (Senemoğlu, 2018; Varış, 1996; Ertürk, 1998; Demirel, 1999; Ornstein and Hunkins, 2017). The teaching program guides how to do what is the most important aspect of the education system, which is the practice dimension (Batd, 2016). Thus, the teaching programs guide the whole of the teaching activities. The Meyers and Nulty (2009) focuses on five different elements that a teaching program should have. These are; 1) The teaching program should be about real world needs and related to real world situations; 2) the subjects taught must be constructive, sequential and interconnected; 3) should provide high-grade cognitive skills to students in turn; 4) all topics and outputs must be related to each other and 5) should motivate and inspire students.

The fact that the above teaching program features specified by Meyers and Nulty (2009) take place in a program indicates that the program designers and the educators who will be using this teaching program should have sufficient command of the area, that is, should be a good education program literacy.

Individual differences with directly affecting the learning process, both leads the learning process and causes the individual to be affected differently from the learning process. The differences in the individuals involved in the learning process are determined by a number of factors such as their cultural background, socio-economic status, gender, disability status. Besides the views that the learning style will not change, there are also preferred tendencies in the way information is processed differently. While some individuals are learning, problem solving, thinking or just responding to an educational situation, some individuals react to possible learning styles that they like, dislike, prefer (Veznedaroğlu and Özgür, 2005). In the minimizing of the differences in learning, a well-designed or well-developed teaching program is always needed. The presence of proficient educators who will recognize this process and
contribute to the development of teaching programs and their success will affect the education of the country positively. Of course, the competencies of these proficient should be at the highest level in all aspects of the teaching program literacy competencies.

When educational programs are considered in a systematic structure, it is mentioned that a teaching program has four dimensions. These are objectives, content (scope), learning-teaching processes (educational situations), and measurement and evaluation (Özçelik, 2010; Baş, 2013; Çelik, 2006; Ertürk, 1998; Gütekin, 2003; Demirel and Kaya, 2012; Taba, 1962; Wiles and Bondi, 2014; Ornstein and Hunkins, 2017). Since there is a close relationship between these items of educational programs, any disruption or change that occurs in any of these items affects the entire program (Demirel, 2017). The fact that a pre-service teacher’s having basic knowledge of these dimensions, being able to recognize the importance of dimensions in education program, designing and evaluating teaching processes form basic skills of educational program literacy. There are many definitions in the literature on the concept of literacy and the concept of literacy forms the basis of literacy activities (Güneş, 1997). In the concept of educational program literacy, there is a need for high-level skills that require analyzing and understanding concepts apart from the basic reading and writing skills.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Purpose of the research

To try to identify the competencies of the education program literacy of the pre-service teachers studying at the education faculties by arithmetic mean of the answers which have been given

\[
OR = \frac{HV - LV}{NO} = \frac{5 - 1}{5} = 0.8
\]

to the scale items by department type, class level demographic variables and gender, is the aim of this research. Based on the obtained data, some suggestions about the effect of educational program literacy on academic achievement in the research will be tried to be brought.

Problem of research

At what level are the opinions of the pre-service teachers who are studying at the faculty of education about the literacy of the curricula? Do the literacy levels of pre-service teachers differ considering department type, class level demographic variables and gender?

Research model

In this study, the general survey model, that is one of the descriptive scanning methods, was used. The general survey model is a screening of the whole universe or a set of samples or samples taken from it to arrive at a judgment about the universe in an environment composed of a large number of elements (Karasar, 2010: 79).

This research was applied to identify the qualifications of the pre-service teachers studying at education faculties on education program literacy by considering department type, class level demographic variables and gender. To this end, the Education Program Literacy Scale developed by Bolat (2017) on educational programs and teaching literacy was used in this research with the permission of the researcher. The scale developed by Bolat (2017), consists of 29 subdimensions, 15 matter of which are reading subdimensions and 14 matter of which are writing subdimensions. The scale is also a type of 5 likert scale.

The validity and reliability coefficients of the Education Program Literacy Scale to be used in the study were recalculated and the Cronbach Alpha internal reliability coefficient of the scale consisting of 29 items was identified as 0.87. The responses of the survey participants to the questionnaire considering the demographic variables were calculated using the SPSS 20 statistical package program, the F test, the t-test, and the ANOVA test, which is a one-way analysis of variance. The scale used in the research consists of 29 items in the form of five likert types: (1) Strongly disagree (2) Disagree, (3) Undecided, (4) Agree (5) Strongly agree. The general assessment of the scale used in the research is as follows (Dönger et al. 2016, 2017):

- OR= Option Range; HV= Highest Value; LV=Lowest Value; NO= Number of Options; 1.00 - 1.80= Strongly disagree; 1.81 - 2.60= Disagree; 2.61 - 3.40= Undecided; 3.41 - 4.20= Agree; 4.21 - 5.00= Strongly agree

Universe and sample

The universe of this research is composed of all the pre-service teachers studying in different programs of Mustafa Kemal University Faculty of Education, the sample of the research constitutes a total of 785 pre-service teachers studying in Turkish Teaching, English Language Teaching, Science Education and Classroom Teaching departments of Mustafa Kemal University Faculty of Education.

FINDINGS

In this section, the literacy levels of the curriculum of the pre-service teachers depending on the department type, class level demographic variables and gender and also the responses of the pre-service teachers to the scales were identified, tabled and interpreted.

From the analysis of the data in Table 1, depending on the answers of the pre-service teachers participating in the research to the Instructional Programs Literacy Scale, it was found that there is a meaningful difference in opinion between female and female pre-service teachers depending on the statistical aspect of writing scale. However, it was found that pre-service teachers’ views on program literacy are close to or equal to each other in terms of reading and general of the scale.

From the analysis of the data in Table 2, it was identified that there is no statistically significant difference between reading, writing and the general of the scale among the pre-service teachers studying at different types of programs as a result of the Anova test conducted with the answers of the pre-service teachers.
Table 1. The results of the t-test analysis considering the gender variable of the answers of the pre-service teachers to the educational program literacy scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>$\bar{X}$</th>
<th>Ss</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>-t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>61.69</td>
<td>5.43</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>61.93</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Writing</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>57.45</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>2.036</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>56.70</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>119.14</td>
<td>9.09</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>118.63</td>
<td>8.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Analysis results of Anova test considering department type variable of answers of pre-service teachers given to education program literacy scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Program Type</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>$\bar{X}$</th>
<th>Ss</th>
<th>Source of Variance</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Sd</th>
<th>Avg. of Squares</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>62.00</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>B/w Groups</td>
<td>98.86</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32.95</td>
<td>1.099</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELT</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>61.22</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>Inter Groups</td>
<td>23420.57</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>29.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>62.01</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>23519.43</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>53.38</td>
<td>2.122</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>62.01</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>61.78</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>57.07</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>B/w Groups</td>
<td>160.14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53.38</td>
<td>2.122</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELT</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>57.66</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>Inter Groups</td>
<td>19641.67</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>25.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>56.22</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19801.81</td>
<td>784</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>57.19</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>57.17</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>119.07</td>
<td>10.11</td>
<td>B/w Groups</td>
<td>82.27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27.42</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ELT</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>118.89</td>
<td>8.17</td>
<td>Inter Groups</td>
<td>61541.49</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>78.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>118.23</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>61623.75</td>
<td>784</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>119.19</td>
<td>9.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>118.95</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

given to the Instructional Programs Literacy Scale. Therefore, it was found that pre-service teachers' opinions about program literacy are close to or equal to each other depending on the department type.

From the analysis of the data in Table 3, we can see that in the general sense of reading, writing and scale it was found that there are some statistically significant differences in the result of the Anova test between pre-service teachers studying at different grades.

In the Tukey test results obtained to learn the source of this difference, it was found that there is a statistically significant difference in opinion between the pre-service teachers studying at the 4th grade and the pre-service teachers who study at the 1st grade in the dimension of reading scale in favor of the pre-service teachers who study at the 4th grade. It can be said that the reason for this difference is due to the fact that the pre-service teachers who study at the 4th grade are from the different education courses they have taken until the 4th grade level, or from the courses they have taken for the proficiency exam they are going to enter for teaching. In other words, it can be said that pre-service teachers studying at the 4th grade have more knowledge about program literacy than pre-service teachers studying at the
It was found that there was a statistically significant difference in opinion about the writing dimension in favor of pre-service teachers in the 4th grade between the pre-service teachers who study in the 4th grade and the pre-service pre-service teachers who study at the 1st grade. It can be said that the reason for this difference comes from the information and education they have taken from the different teaching courses they have taken to the 4th grade level as well as from the courses they have taken for the qualification examination to be a teacher.

In terms of the general of the scale, it was found that there is a statistically significant difference between 4th, 3rd and 5th grades in opinion in favor of pre-service teachers who are studying at the 4th grade. It can be said that the reason for this difference is due to the knowledge obtained from the courses that the pre-service teachers studying at the 4th grade have gone from the knowledge level to the qualification examination they will have.

Table 4 shows the arithmetic mean and skill levels of the answers of the pre-service teachers in the Faculty of Education to the Educational Program Literacy Scale.

As the arithmetic mean of the answers of the pre-service teachers given to the items in the 1st sub-dimension of the scale; the reading sub-dimension is examined; it was found that the 8th matter 'I can determine the limits of the targets.' ($\bar{x} = 4.85$), the 11th matter 'I can choose the appropriate evaluation method.' ($\bar{x} = 3.90$) and the 4th matter 'I can determine the consistency of goals with each other.' ($\bar{x} = 3.90$) have the lowest arithmetic mean in the reading sub-dimension. It was found from the interviews made with the pre-service teachers and the arithmetic average of the answers given to the items of the reading subscale, it was found that the pre-service teachers found themselves to be most successful in understanding, interpreting and evaluating them.

As the arithmetic mean of the answers given to the items in the 1st sub-dimension of the scale; the reading sub-dimension was examined; it was found that the 7th matter 'I can design educational materials that the 16th matter 'I can design educational materials suitable for learning-teaching processes.' ($\bar{x} = 4.46$), the 17th matter 'I can prepare a measurement tool suitable for the target.' ($\bar{x} = 4.29$) and the 29th matter 'I can enrich
Table 4. The arithmetic mean and skill levels of answers of pre-service teachers given to the program literacy scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education program literature scale</th>
<th>( \bar{x} )</th>
<th>Skill Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reading</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. I can understand what the target behavior needs.</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. I can evaluate the effectiveness of learning-teaching processes.</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. I can interpret the results of the assessment and evaluation process.</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. I can determine the level of relationship between content and goals.</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. I can determine which target dimension the given target behavior relates to.</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. I can determine the appropriate teaching technique to the target.</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. I can determine the suitable teaching content for the target.</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. I can determine the appropriate teaching method to the target.</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. I can understand the assessment tools.</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. I can determine the consistency of goals with each other.</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. I can choose educational materials suitable for learning-teaching processes.</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. I can choose the appropriate evaluation method.</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. I can choose content that is appropriate for the target.</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. I can choose the appropriate target for the student level.</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. I can choose the content that is appropriate for the target.</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. I can choose the limits of the targets.</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Writing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24. I can design educational materials suitable for learning-teaching processes.</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. I can prepare a measurement tool suitable for the target.</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. I can design educational activities appropriate to teaching-learning processes.</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27. I can design the content according to the target of the subject.</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28. I can design the context according to the target.</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29. I can design the learning-teaching processes according to the teaching method I choose.</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30. I can design the learning-teaching processes in accordance with the chosen teaching technique.</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31. I can design the parallel targets for the course / subject area.</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32. I can design the parallel targets for the course / subject area.</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33. I can design the parallel targets for the course / subject area.</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34. I can design the parallel targets for the course / subject area.</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35. I can design the parallel targets for the course / subject area.</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36. I can write the evaluation criterion appropriate to the target.</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37. I can write the evaluation criterion appropriate to the target.</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The General Arithmetic Mean of the Scale: 4.10 (Strongly agree).

The content considering the target.' (\( \bar{x} = 4.24 \)) have the highest arithmetic mean in writing the sub-dimension. It has been determined from the interviews made with the pre-service teachers and the arithmetic average of the answers given to the scale items that the pre-service teachers find themselves successful in the subjects of preparing the materials, preparing the questions and enriching the samples in the writing sub-dimension of the educational program literacy scale. As the arithmetic mean of the answers given to the items in the 2nd sub-dimension of the scale; the writing sub-dimension, is examined; it was identified that the 20th matter 'I can write goals based on expected student behavior.' (\( \bar{x} = 3.81 \)), the 19th matter 'I can write the evaluation criterion appropriate to the target.' (\( \bar{x} = 3.86 \)) and the 21st matter 'I can write the appropriate target for the student level.' (\( \bar{x} = 3.90 \)) have the lowest arithmetic mean in the writing sub-dimension. It has been determined from the interviews made with the pre-service teachers and the arithmetic mean of the answers given to the scale items that the pre-service teachers felt themselves inadequate in the target dimension in the writing dimension of the educational program literacy.

**RESULTS**

A total of 785 pre-service teachers studying at Mustafa
Kemal University Faculty of Education’s different programs studying in Turkish Language Teaching, Science Teaching, English Language Teaching, and Classroom Teaching programs participated in this research. When the answers of the pre-service teachers to the Education Program Literacy Scale are examined, it is concluded that the pre-service teachers’ education program is different in favor of female in terms of writing. However, the reading sub-dimension and the general of the scale have also reached the result that the gender variable was not statistically different. It was identified that there was no statistically significant difference in reading, writing and scale among the pre-service teachers participating in the research and those are studying in different types of programs. Depending on the type of program that the pre-service teachers have studied, it was concluded that the opinions about the program literacy in the research are close or equal to each other.

It was found that there are some statistically significant differences between the levels of the grade where the pre-service teachers have been studying at. It has been found that this variation is in favor of higher classes. In interviews with pre-service teachers, pre-service teachers who study at the higher classes have more information about the curriculum and items, which is the result of the fact that they are the result of teaching courses taught at universities. Thus, it has been found that, as pre-service teachers get more and more instructional courses as the grade level rises, both the level of knowledge about the curriculum, the items and the literacy and the awareness of the class have increased.

It was found from the interviews made with the pre-service teachers and the arithmetic average of the answers which have been given to the scale items that the pre-service teachers find themselves successful in the areas of reading comprehension, interpretation and evaluation in the reading sub-dimension of the educational program literacy scale. It was found from the interviews made with the pre-service teachers and the arithmetic average of the answers given to the scale items that the pre-service teachers felt themselves inadequate in the target dimension at the most in the educational program literacy. The results of interviews with pre-service teachers and arithmetic averages of responses to scale items, and the result that pre-service teachers felt themselves inadequate at the most target dimension in the writing dimension of educational programs literacy.

In this study, the reading sub-dimension’s overall arithmetic mean is calculated as 4.12 (strongly agree), the overall arithmetic mean of the writing sub-dimension as 4.08 (strongly agree), and the scale’s the overall arithmetic mean as 4.10 (strongly agree). However, it has been expected that the general arithmetic average of the scale as well as the sub-dimensions of the scale would be 4.21-5.00 (I fully agree). In interviews with pre-service teachers to learn the reason for this situation, it has been found that the pre-service teachers do not feel that they are adequately concerned with the items of the curriculum, especially about the items of the goals.

**Suggestions**

In this study, it was found that the education program was different in favor of female in terms of literacy competence. Therefore, male pre-service teachers consider program literacy less than female pre-service teachers. Therefore, in order for male pre-service teachers to take account of program literacy, studies should be conducted to attract more attention to male candidates.

The teaching program forms the basis of the target dimension items in terms of literacy competence. In this study, it has been determined that the pre-service teachers do not feel enough in the target dimension. Therefore, in the courses such as teaching principles and methods in education faculty language programs, special teaching methods, program development, program evaluation, the target dimensions of the program should be reprocessed and the dimensions of goals for better understanding and conception of pre-service teachers should be explained both practically and applied.

The teaching program should be carried out by at least graduate or doctoral specialists specialized in the field of education-based courses in order to be able to develop literacy competence in pre-service teachers.

The resources of curriculum development courses taught at universities are usually above the level of pre-service teachers. Therefore; appropriate resources should be created for the levels of teacher candidates so that the curriculum, items or literacy of the teacher candidates can be increased to the desired level.
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The purpose of this qualitative study is to understand how operating models and organizational structure impact the effectiveness of higher education institutions for students, faculty, administrators and the broader community. In this case study, the researcher uses the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award Criteria (MBNQAC) to understand core values and the concepts of those seven criteria which are: 1) leadership 2) strategic planning 3) student, stakeholder and market focus 4) measurement, analysis, and knowledge management 5) faculty and staff focus 6) process management and 7) organizational performance results. These criteria are analyzed with visionary leadership and a comparison of the strategic plans of Alvernia University and Kutztown University. The changes imperatives for higher education institutions include the redesign of education, more flexible faculty, increased efficiency, removal of boundaries, and entry into new markets. In addition, challenges and conflicts facing the change process are also discussed in the context of Kotter's Change Theory. This paper advocates a pragmatic approach to analyze what works and also what are the viable solutions to known problems.

Key words: Leadership, educational leadership, higher education, business models, academic excellence, strategic plan.

INTRODUCTION

Based on U.S. Census Bureau data, hundreds of new higher education institutions joined the American education system in recent years. In 2017, there were 4,627 colleges and universities in the United States (National Center for Education Statistics, 2018). Within this big education system, universities can be categorized as either public or private institutions. Public institutions receive some funds directly from their state’s governments so they need to comply with the state government regulations on tuition costs and performance. Private institutions are more independent in their ability to make financial decisions than are public institutions (Adams et al., 2010). In addition, both public and private colleges and universities have several distinguishing characteristics in terms of their mission, vision and values as organizations.

The organizational structures of both public and private higher education institutions tend to be complex. This complexity in structure has resulted in university and college presidents having a myriad of roles. Part of their job is to satisfy and convince board of trustee members about the strategic direction of their institutions. In addition they have to show that they are upholding and improving the reputation of their institutions with faculty, staff and external constituents. The presidents have to work closely with their administrative staffs to manage
daily operations, to analyze and evaluate policies and get data and feedback on performance. They lead decision making and policy making processes with faculty and other senior administrators. The core values of the institution's president, and how well they maintain and enforce these values have a major impact on the reputation and public image of their institution.

Internal affairs of the institutions generally are supported by the provost or an executive vice president. All of the deans who lead colleges and departments generally report to a vice president for academic affairs. The deans are responsible for developing budgets and preparing inputs on the institution’s policies. At most institutions, the faculty plays a crucial role in all aspects of the decision-making process (the exception being at for-profit institutions where a large percentage of the faculty are adjunct professors and instructors). Faculty can be a political force on their campuses. And the power of the student body cannot be disregarded. Appropriate separation of the roles played by academic faculty and administrative personnel and the sharing of authority and responsibility in a flattened hierarchy leads to a more efficient and effective organizational structure in higher educational institutions (Bess and Dee, 2012).

The purpose of this qualitative study will be to understand how operating models and organizational structure impact the effectiveness of higher education institutions for students, faculty, administrators and the broader community. In this case study, the researcher used the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award Criteria (MBNQAC) to understand core values and the concepts of those seven criteria which are: 1) Leadership 2) Strategic planning 3) Student, stakeholder and market focus 4) Measurement and knowledge management 5) Faculty and staff focus 6) Process management, and 7) Organizational performance results. These criteria are analyzed with visionary leadership and a comparison of the strategic plans of Alvernia and Kutztown Universities.

This paper is organized as follows: The first section provides a review of the literature of business related organizational structures with MBNQA criteria in higher education institutions. The second section provides a description of the methodologies used to analyze current literature and the strategic plans of Alvernia and Kutztown Universities. The third section includes additional discussion about the subject and findings, and the last section briefly explains the case study.

LITERATURE REVIEW

In recent literature, there are a lot of studies with different perspectives about the appropriateness and benefits of considering academic higher education institutions as businesses. The main concern about higher education is that institutions are not using their resources effectively. Thus, researchers point to a lack of efficiency problem, which raises the cost of education, impedes performance excellence, and possibly also has a negative impact on the quality of education. Incidentally, the quality of education was explained by the Wingspread Group (1993) as technical proficiency in the field, improving abilities to be capable to apply new knowledge as needed, be able to form their opinions appropriately, play a role in a global community, have a mind-set for diversity and an innovative environment, being able to be problem-solvers in the real-world (Oblinger and Verville, 1998:). Performance excellence in higher education institutions is profiled by Sorensen et al. (2005) in a book that applied MBNQA criteria which cannot be separated when considering the performance excellence of higher education institutions. Seven categories with core values and concepts for internal self-assessment of MBNQA criteria are listed as: 1) Visionary leadership 2) Strategic planning of learning-centered education 3) Student, stakeholder, and market focus for organizational and personal learning 4) Measurement, analysis and knowledge management for valuing faculty, staff, and partners 5) Focus performance of faculty and staff in increasing their knowledge, skills, and capabilities 6) Process management with identifying and managing of key processes for maximizing student learning through a results-oriented perspective and 7) Organizational performance results which are recorded as student learning, student and stakeholder satisfaction, financial, faculty and staff performance and satisfaction, organizational effectiveness, and governance and social responsibility (p. 3).

Sorensen et al. (2005) emphasize the importance of an academic institution leader’s visionary leadership styles because senior leaders guide their institutions and evaluate their institutions’ quality performance for purposes of continuous quality improvement. In addition, lack of assurance by senior leadership was identified as one of the five major barriers to implementing continuous quality improvement in higher education institutions based on the results of a survey of 160 colleges and universities. Others were listed as changing organizational culture, gaining faculty support, finding implementation time amidst busy schedules, and the financial cost and time required for staff training. To overcome these barriers, businesses began to formally recognize the importance of strategic management in the 1960s and 1970s. In the following years, results of applying strategic planning and management, and their positive impacts on organizations were observed. In today’s world, leaders have increasingly been attempting to apply strategic management to apply not-for-profit, government agencies, other public institutions, and colleges and
University (Rowley et al., 1997). Nickel (2011) emphasizes that the higher education institutions need to use strategic management as a tool to understand and the organizational procedures of the higher education institutions.

According to Chance (1992), visionary leadership recognizes the social climate in which it lives and works, takes risks, and copes with the effort of transforming the vision into detailed measures and action plans. Therefore, visionary education leaders need to notice what is currently happening in the higher education marketplace and at their own institutions, evaluate what is the most important for the future, and focus activities and resources accordingly. While deans and senior administrators operate with physical resources such as capital, skills, and technology, college or university leaders operate on emotional and spiritual resources such as values, commitment, and ambitions (Bennis and Nanus, 1985). Benoit and Graham (2005) mention that visionary leadership skills are important in higher education institution, and are a characteristic that peers assign to excellent administrators.

Keller (1983) recommended three internal dimensions for leaders of academic institutions to consider: 1) Concentrate on traditions, values and aspirations; 2) Institutional strengths and weaknesses; and 3) Leadership’s abilities and priorities. At the same time, considering the external effects of trends and opportunities, recognizing market preferences and understanding competitive dynamics are suggested as prerequisites for visionary leadership in academic institutions (Almog-Bareket, 2012).

Rowley et al. (1997) in Mercer (1993). mentioned that there is growing concern among legislators, parents and students about rapid tuition increases. Therefore, education leaders seek new ways of keeping costs in line to reduce the need for tuition increases, and to find other sources of funding. On the other hand, organizational performance is considered as the measure of profitability of higher education institutions. However, a higher education institution’s profitability cannot be considered in the same ways that shareholders look at the profitability of a manufacturing or service corporation. One of the main reasons for this is that corporations are customer satisfaction orientated and mostly use customer-motivated business processes and structures. In contrast, higher education institutions need to satisfy not only employees (administrative staff and faculty) but also customers (students and their parents and future employers), and the reputation of the higher education institutions in the eyes of students’ families, the community, and all other stakeholders. Consequently, Rowley’s book argues that strategic planning cannot be effective in universities and colleges because the nature of businesses is different from that of colleges and universities. The study emphasized that colleges need to follow their legislative mandates regardless of the economic consequences. Therefore, he feels that mission-driven planning should be applied instead of strategic planning. The mission statements should become guidelines for an institution’s daily operations and serve as key inputs to the planning process (Rowley et al., 1997).

When an academic institution has visionary leadership and a strategic plan, there is more clarity about how the institution measures, evaluates and improves students’ performance and the performance of the institution at every level. If learning progress is available information to gauge students’ improvement and that is the main reason that an academic institution exists, then learning needs to be useful to build a career path and be applicable to future work environments. Therefore, providing a standard of quality education or conducting enough research might not be enough to guarantee good performance of an institution. Providing job opportunities and having capable students for those opportunities locally, nationally and globally is considered a key indicator of an academic institution’s performance.

For instance, during 2010-2011, Alvernia University in Berks County, PA spent $4 million on campus catering, maintenance services, consulting, insurance, purchasing library books and materials, technological tools, travel and entertainment, utilities and postage expenses. The study assumed that on average, fully local spending comprised 50% of the total expenditures of Alvernia University. In addition, Berks County received $1 million in federal and state grants related to Alvernia University initiatives. A total $90 million operating budget brings about $127 million to the county indirectly, and $72 million to the local economy (Tiglioglu, 2012). For that reason, the county’s economic growth is significantly impacted by the contributions of alumni of its higher education institutions, and perhaps more so in the cases of Alvernia and Kutztown, since a high percentage of their alumni reside in Berks County.

When we consider higher education institutions as a business-generator in local communities, all the direct expenditures and attributable indirect spending, distance education would, as an alternative to the traditional higher education setting, produce negative economic effects. Local universities exist not only to provide capable employees which attracts more businesses to their region. The fact that they are also major providers of well-paying jobs in the local community also needs to be included as a performance measure of higher education institutions.

Last August, President Obama released a plan to combat rising college costs and make college more affordable for American families (White House, 2013). The president’s plan outlined three proposals: 1) Tying federal student aid to college performance (based on yet-to-be developed college rankings) 2) Promoting innovation and completion by instituting a college scorecard that would give consumers clear, transparent
information on college performance to help them make the decisions that work best for them; and 3) Ensuring that student debt remains affordable by expanding eligibility for the “Pay As You Earn” repayment program. The State of California has already implemented a similar performance measurement and accountability approach for its community colleges (California Community Colleges, 2013).

While the government is seeking to hold higher education institutions more accountable for their performance, every university system has different values and definitions and expectations of performance, and uses a performance management process that is unique in its own right. Ongoing communication between senior leaders, faculty, students and other stakeholders sets expectations. Alignment with the mission, vision and values of the institution requires implementing a set of metrics and a measurement system. A higher education institution’s leadership needs to have an effective process to communicate strategic priorities of enrollment, retention, learning and satisfaction. Thus, the strategic plan of an academic institution can be described in terms of clarifying the mission, vision and core values; developing new facilities; increasing the number of sources of funding; integrating entrepreneurial culture together with delivering high quality academic performance.

Peking University in China provides a really good example of innovative strategic management. It is a very prestigious academic institution which runs a successful software company and other enterprises to increase their school funding. Academically, Peking University is one of the top performers and it is a very well-known global academic institution (Oblinger and Verville, 1998; Altbach 1998). Visionary education leaders need to look for new sources to fund for their continuous improvement of education quality, strategic investments that will improve institutional performance, and the professional development of their faculty and staff. Nevertheless, the change process to adopt a strategic planning and management works more smoothly in business organizations than it does in universities, largely because of the established culture of academia.

Reducing costs by introducing continuous quality improvement into the higher education administrative system is the biggest challenge. Carlson and Fleisher (2002) stressed that increasing the number of students to improve efficiency results in something like a “college factory” and that running a college like a business decreases the meaning of a higher-education learning community. In addition, the same study continues with a comparison of faculty requirement for teaching versus research. Faculty are expected to get grants while maintaining long teaching hours, otherwise they might be replaced with cheaper contract instructors. A constant anxiety exists on many campuses because of the competing demands of teaching, which is needed for high quality education and research, which is needed to generate grant funding. Candidly, Newport University’s study recommended that accepting that higher education is a business and that supply and demand of higher education is driven by the economic considerations of students, student’s parents, teachers, administrators, college professors, university presidents, and government lending institutions will help to create a collective mission and vision and respond to the needs of all higher education stakeholders.

MBNQA listed criteria of process management to identify and manage institutions’ key processes to maximizing student learning, and additionally organizational performance which is the last criteria of MBNQA and described as student and stakeholder satisfaction, using financial sources efficiently, faculty and staff performance and satisfaction, organizational effectiveness and also governance and social responsibility. These process management and operational performance criteria need to be considered in some form within the strategic plans of an academic institution, so that the plan can be more feasible. Hence, the researcher compared strategic plan of two local universities, one of which is a public university, Kutztown University (9,800 undergraduate and graduate students) which is part of the Pennsylvania State System of Higher Education (PASSHE), and the other a private university, Alvernia University (3,000 undergraduate and graduate students) (Alvernia and Kutztown, 2014).

Appendix A Table 1 provides some key operational and financial facts about these two universities.

In Table 1, Alvernia and Kutztown universities are similar in a few areas, including their annual revenue and the size of their endowments. Both of these universities have coed campuses with a majority of students being women, a majority of students being PA state residents, and only a very small percentage of international students. In addition, the admissions standards, in terms of High School grade point average (GPA) at Kutztown are moderate, while those at Alvernia are even less stringent. In recent years, both universities have raced declining enrollments and 4-year graduation rates of less than 40 percent. The average freshman retention rate is also a key indicator of students’ satisfaction. There are significant differences in some of the key operational measures, some of which reflect the difference between a public university and a faith-based private college. Alvernia’s tuition and fee cost to students is significantly higher than that at Kutztown, however, a much higher percentage of Alvernia students receive financial aid. The percentage of Alvernia employees that are members of the faculty (80%) is much higher than Kutztown’s (less than 60%). And Alvernia has a larger percentage of its student body comprising non-traditional students.

In terms of strategy, both of these institutions have a stated priority of educating life-long learners, being ethical and social with academically high quality in their
mission statement. In addition, their visions include statements about being dedicated, integrated, community-based and comprehensive, ethical learning institutions. But stemming from this similarity in mission and vision, they have some key distinctions in their strategic plans. One of the reasons for this is that in 2007, Alvernia University changed its president to make changes in operating principles and improve performance. The new president’s purposes were to emphasize fiscal soundness, a dedication to organizational efficiency and integration, cautious and ongoing investment in technology infrastructure, and developing facilities efficiently. Based on this vision, a seven year strategic plan was prepared and published in 2011. In contrast, Kutztown University developed and published a strategic plan that covers only three years, 2013 to 2016. Kutztown’s strategic plan was not very detailed, but it does provide information about 2012 expectations and results, and stated goals for the following three years. In addition, being part of PASSHE, Kutztown University is subject to governance by the PASSHE Chancellor’s office, which in 2013 published a strategic plan covering through the year 2020.

Alvernia’s strategic plan was more detailed with both external and internal challenges, possible opportunities, and discussion of how to build academic strength, how to increase student satisfaction and success, how to improve operating effectiveness and resource development, and how to expand community engagement and increase institutional visibility. Additionally, guiding principles and strategic priorities such as identity, educational quality, student communities, community and external engagement and resource development were analyzed with new and revised versions to observe progress and identify areas that need to be improved. The documented strategic goals in the latest strategic plans published by Alvernia, Kutztown and PASSHE are listed in the Appendix A Table 2. And also, extended list based on these strategic goal statements, providing additional details about each goal, the problems to be addressed by each goal and the action plan to achieve each goal in the Appendix B. Both of the strategic plans include some specific performance indicators, and in some cases, the plan shows the baseline (current performance) as well as the targeted performance level.

Kutztown University has more students and faculty than Alvernia University. However, one of the strengths that lead to high academic performance is the percentage of tenured and tenure-track faculty. Alvernia has 66 of 105 academic staff comprising tenured and tenure-track faculty (63%), while Kutztown has 88% of tenure and tenure-track faculty who hold their doctorate or other terminal degree. In 2011, Alvernia added 20 faculty members to improve tenure and promotion standards, but for the following years there was no update about the results of this strategic action. On the other hand, Alvernia University’s stated objectives for educational quality in the strategic plan for the next seven years did not describe an intention to change tenure standards or the tenure-track faculty percentage. Kutztown’s strategic objectives included having tenured/tenure-track faculty include 17.95% minority race, and they did state that they increased by 1% the diversity of faculty recruited and retained (Alvernia University and Kutztown University, 2014).

**IMPLICATIONS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION LEADERS**

Even though the stability of higher education (relative to most industries) tends to make the institutions’ leadership overconfident, change will be required to steadily improve and remain relevant in the increasingly competitive market for higher education. Oblinger and Verville (1998) listed the main required changes for higher education institutions as the redesign of education, more flexible faculty, increased efficiency, removal of boundaries, and entry into new markets. The two largest universities in Berks County both mentioned these big five main changes in their institutions’ plans. Redesign of education change is all about updating technological tools. Kutztown has a high value for a technologically-advanced education delivery environment, and Alvernia University also has invested resources to develop new education delivery technologies.

The second main change is to have a more flexible faculty. This can be made possible by creating and maintaining professional faculty with a clear tenure track. On the other hand, the change process might result in fewer full professors within the academic staff. This will likely mean that faculty will resist the changes, but market forces will have more influence over time. Because of those market forces, driven by short term fiscal realities and longer term economic competitiveness considerations, efficiency, effectiveness and accountability will be more crucial for the leaders of academic institutions. Traditional boundaries need to be removed and additional entrepreneurial and creative opportunities should be part of the vision and strategic plan of the institutions (Oblinger and Verville, 1998: 156-157).

One of the entrepreneurial and creative opportunities is globalization and increasing diversity in the community on campuses. According to the strategic plans of the universities, Alvernia has expanded the boundaries with study abroad and international mission programs, and specifically mentions the need for increased diversity in its strategic plan. But Kutztown does not include internationalization in their strategic plan other than having a goal of increasing the diversity of faculty members.

Changing the institutional model and preparing strategic plans to succeed in a rapidly changing global environment might fail to deliver transformation in the academic institutions. Kotter’s change theories explain
five common reasons for failures in change processes: 1) a high complacency level with low sense of urgency 2) lack of a vision 3) poor communication of the vision 4) failing to remove obstacles and 5) creating a new organizational culture. Higher education institutions might have had high success levels in their past, and they sometime consequently have a high level of complacency. However, distance learning and global education will challenge the local universities more and more in the coming years. For that reason, they might turn their academic institutions’ challenges into new opportunities in this uncertain economic environment. Otherwise, it might be too late to create a sense of urgency.

The second common reason that strategic transformations fail is the lack of vision to help direct, align and inspire actions. Both of the universities have their mission of change and describe a vision in their strategic plans. But even though some organizations develop a good vision, they fail to motivate action on that vision, do a poor job of communicating the vision internally, and this might cause the transformation to fail. Overt or covert resistance to change by faculty or administrative staff might be a difficult obstacle to overcome. If the change effort does not confront these organizational challenges, the likelihood of success of the institution’s strategic plan is greatly diminished. In addition to all these challenges, anchoring the organizations’ new culture, which is possible as the outcome of sharing positive performance results of the change process in an academic institution, takes time and effort – But if success stories are not publicized and discussed, change will be slower to take hold. The higher education institution needs to have a visionary leader play the main role in establishing the new culture which needs to be achieved for a successful transformation (Oblinger and Verville, 1998: pp. 160-161). Otherwise, success of local universities such as Alvernia or Kutztown University as a provider of capable employees or attracter of more business locally might not be realistic for next decade.

METHODOLOGY
The research question in this case study is what are the conflicts and challenges that academic institutions face in the efforts to improve efficiency? How can we have more effective academic institutions? The researcher analyzed and compared two universities, one of them a private institution and the other a state university. Both of these have academic excellence highlighted in their mission statements. The strategic plans of both universities were analyzed based on what the universities published on their own websites. Further study might include interviewing senior leaders about their strategic plans to get more precise information for the universities’ visions and to ask for leadership perspectives on new, more efficient academic institutional models. The study uses a pragmatic approach, in which reasoning and its consequences matters a great deal. In the pragmatic worldview, the most important part is what works and also what are the viable solutions to known problems. Therefore, MBNOAC criteria of leadership, strategic planning, student, stakeholder and market focus, measurement, analysis, and knowledge management, faculty and staff focus, process management and organizational performance results were analyzed through a detailed review of the strategic plans of Alvernia and Kutztown Universities. In addition, the change process of the academic institutions can be defined as a transformation that includes redesign of education, more flexible faculty, increased efficiency, removal of boundaries, and entry into new markets. In addition, reasons underlying any failure of the transformations can be examined with Kotter’s change theory based on their strategic plans.

RESULTS
Many industrial organization models and processes of control, management and leadership are not directly transferrable from the business world to the world of higher education, but both of them have operate within similar external forces; namely rapidly changing markets. Business strategies are driven by the importance of customer satisfaction. Academic institutions operate in several different markets, including the market for faculty and staff, the student recruitment market, the research funding market, and the post-graduation career market. These have all been changing and are facing heightened competition, an avalanche of new technologies and increased demands for accountability. In constantly changing markets in which businesses and academic institutions operate, visionary education leaders can produce very positive impacts by applying business models and applicable change theories to improve the quality of education and the performance of their academic institutions. They can thus address the needs that students and other stakeholders have for the institution to produce graduates that are ready to succeed in a highly competitive marketplace.

Strategic plans need to be developed, published, discussed and monitored in order to position a higher education institution for transformational changes. On the other hand, business models adopted “verbatim” might not be as successful in higher education institutions, because of their different structure. However, visionary education leaders will be able to distinguish what is applicable and what is not applicable in business model practices. The institutions are a learning community, and in order to be economically viable, life-long learning must become as a core objective of higher education institutions. In addition, the institutions should be more cognizant of the fact that they are key economic agents – both suppliers to businesses and generators of demand for their local communities.

Oblinger and Verville (1998) discuss the business of higher education. They questioned the prevalent opposition that academics have for characterizing higher education institutions as businesses. The book mentioned Keller (1983)’s study which describes higher education as a $2 billion per year industry with substantial and assets; no more a sector dominated by
small community colleges. Therefore, colleges and universities were characterized as often large, complex, expensive organizations which need to feed themselves, change in time and adapt to their environments (p.138). Therefore, the researcher listed above the main required changes of higher education institutions as including redesign of education, more flexible faculty, increased efficiency, removing boundaries, and entering new markets. In contrast, the challenges of these transformations which were examined using Kotter’s framework need to be analyzed when developing strategic plans.

Tigiloğlu (2012)’s study estimates how much money Alvernia brings to the local community. However, if future generations of Berks County residents decide to move to other regions of the United States or to different countries, or to gain their higher education via online universities, traditional universities like Alvernia will most likely struggle to maintain their relevance within the local economy. These types of “bad scenarios” need to be evaluated by leaders of traditional higher education institutions. These threats need to be turned into opportunities. For example, even if a student’s parents move from Berks County, local universities can make the area more attractive for a new young generation, or be proactive about diversifying their student bodies, or develop not only local but also national and global marketing strategies, or maintain market share by offering distance education. This type of approach to addressing the issues is a pragmatic one.

Conclusion

This study did not set out to create a business model for higher education. In contrast, the study discussed how higher education has different drivers and market forces than do business organizations. The paper described the main success factors for higher education institutions, such as the quality of their education, and the effectiveness of the institutions’ strategies. Two local universities were analyzed- One which is a private university (Alvernia) and the other which is a public university (Kutztown)- based on a detailed review of their current strategic plans. In addition, visionary leadership was analyzed in terms of effective goal setting for academic excellence and other institutional performance measures. Economic impacts were considered and potential changes in academic institutions were examined in order to find opportunities for efficiency gains and other benefits of strategic management.

Strategic plans are the most important vehicle to set expectations and develop performance indicators and targets for the future. Using a strategic planning process, a higher education institution can observe current performance, identify opportunities for tangible improvements, and communicate their current and expected performance both academically and organizationally. Strategic planning includes developing, documenting and communication a mission, vision and core values, and a comparison of current and expected performance indicators, and open discussion of potential future threats and opportunities. Strategic planning can provide the foundation for a successful change process at a higher education institution. Since there are many challenges and conflicts that can impede the change process, they need to be identified as be part of strategic planning, and addressed with specific leadership actions.
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FOOTNOTES

1 A “non-traditional undergraduate” generally differs from a traditional undergraduate by part-time status or age. In a 1996 study, the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) included anyone who satisfies at least one of the following as a non-traditional student:
   • Delays enrollment (does not enter postsecondary education in the same calendar year that he or she finished high school)
   • Attends part-time for at least part of the academic year
   • Works full-time (35 hours or more per week) while enrolled
   • Is considered financially independent for purposes of determining eligibility for financial aid
   • Has dependents other than a spouse (usually children, but may also be caregivers of sick or elderly family members)
   • Is a single parent (either not married or married but separated and has dependents)
   • Does not have a high school diploma (completed high school with a GED or other high school completion certificate or did not finish high school)

2 In addition, the Kutztown University Foundation had approximately $10 million in annual revenue, $60 million in assets and 20 employees.
APPENDIX

Appendix A Table 1. Comparison of Alvernia University and Kutztown University.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University Profile Information</th>
<th>Alvernia University</th>
<th>Kutztown University</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year Founded</td>
<td>1958</td>
<td>1866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number Students Enrolled in 2014; Number Undergraduates</td>
<td>2,891; 2,347</td>
<td>9,804; 9,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance Rate; Average High School GPA</td>
<td>80.5%; 2.9</td>
<td>67.5%; 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Accepted who Enroll; Freshman Retention Rate</td>
<td>39%; 73%</td>
<td>30%; 76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-Year Graduation Rate</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Women Undergraduates</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student to Faculty Ratio</td>
<td>12 / 1</td>
<td>20 / 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Faculty with Terminal Degrees</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014 PA Resident on Campus Tuition and Fees</td>
<td>$23,240</td>
<td>$8,833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Faculty; Number of Full-Time Permanent Employees</td>
<td>235; 293</td>
<td>490; 844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Undergraduates Receiving Financial Aid</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% 1st Year Students Living on Campus; % Total Students</td>
<td>77%; 57%</td>
<td>89%; 46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Out-of-state First-year Enrollment</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% International Students</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Traditional Undergraduates¹</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Revenue</td>
<td>$64.7 million</td>
<td>$76.3 million²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 Endowment</td>
<td>$19.7 million</td>
<td>$16.5 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Appendix A Table 2. Summary of Alvernia University, Kutztown University and PASSHE Strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alvernia University</th>
<th>Kutztown University</th>
<th>PASSHE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) Building Academic Strength</td>
<td>(i) Academic Excellence: Kutztown University will promote, enhance, and recognize excellence in teaching, learning, creativity, scholarship, and research.</td>
<td>(i) Ensure academic program excellence and relevance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Enhancing Student Satisfaction and Success</td>
<td>(ii) Community Engagement: Kutztown University will partner with the community to serve the needs of the people of the commonwealth and the region.</td>
<td>(ii) Enable more students to obtain credentials that prepare them for life, career, and the responsibilities of citizenship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Improving Operating Effectiveness and Resource Development</td>
<td>(iii) Caring Campus Community: Kutztown University will value and respect all campus constituents, celebrate diversity, and embrace shared governance.</td>
<td>(iii) Develop new funding strategies, diversify resources, and manage costs to preserve affordability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv) Expanding Community Engagement and Institutional Visibility</td>
<td>(iv) Stewardship of the University's Infrastructure: Kutztown University will maintain and enhance physical, financial, and human resources necessary to fulfill its mission.</td>
<td>(iv) Increase accountability and transparency; focus on results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Alvernia University, 2014; Kutztown University, 2014; PASSHE, 2013.
Appendix B

Alvernia University

Goal 1 - Building Academic Strength
(i) Two named Centers of Excellence - O’Pake Institute for Ethics, Leadership and Public Service and the Holleran Center for Community Engagement
(ii) Endowed Neag Professorships and Faculty Excellence Grants / Framework for Faculty Excellence
(iii) Higher average SAT scores (but still only 980 average)

Goal 2 - Enhancing Student Satisfaction and Success
(i) Freshmen retention still lags below the average for both the peer group and other appropriate comparisons.
(ii) Graduation rates represent a similar challenge, as do program-specific and overall pass and post-graduate placement rates.

Goal 3 - Improving Operating Effectiveness and Resource Development
(i) Annual budgets include two “Best Practices” – Full funding of depreciation and a base-line 2% operating surplus.
(ii) 24/7 telephone-based technology support for students and faculty is in place for email, network login, Blackboard, and Self-Service.
(iii) Aggressive, yet strategically targeted, land-purchase program has already greatly expanded the campus footprint.
(iv) Major emphasis on human resource development.

Goal 4 - Expanding Community Engagement and Institutional Visibility
(i) Expanded community engagement efforts have played a pivotal role in raising Alvernia’s profile and reputation.
(ii) Major initiatives, such as the Blessing Exhibit and the Updike Conference.
(iii) Ethics, Leadership, and Community Lecture Series
(iv) Arts and Culture at Alvernia Series.
(v) University’s membership in a nationally known Division III athletic conference.
(vi) The University’s four Days of Service build on a large network of community partnerships (Alvernia, 2014).

Kutztown University

Goal 1 - Academic Excellence: Kutztown University will promote, enhance, and recognize excellence in teaching, learning, creativity, scholarship, and research.
(i) Improve baccalaureate degrees awarded per FTE undergraduate enrollment to 25.27% (less than 25% of undergraduates earn their degrees in 4 years). This is much higher than the university and National averages.
(ii) Increase number of STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math) degrees conferred by 10%.
(iii) Increase continuation rate to 68%.
(iv) The actions that the university will undertake to improve the degree completion rate will take several years to yield results. In addition, degree completion rates of 25% or higher would indicate an average time to degree of four years. This is much higher than the university and National averages.

Goal 2 - Community Engagement: Kutztown University will partner with the community to serve the needs of the people of the commonwealth and the region.
(i) Closing the Access Gap for Pell Recipients by 20%.
(ii) Closing the Access Gap for underrepresented minority students by 20%.
(iii) Closing the Access Gap for transfer students who are Pell Recipients by 20%.
(iv) Closing the Access Gap for transfer students who are underrepresented minority by 20%.

Goal 3 - Caring Campus Community: Kutztown University will value and respect all campus constituents, celebrate diversity, and embrace shared governance.
(i) Increase percentage of students who are federal Pell Grant recipients to 28.48%.
(ii) Increase percentage of students who are non-majority to 19.23%.
(iii) Increase percentage of tenure/tenure track faculty who are non-majority to 17.95%.

Goal 4 - Stewardship of the University’s Infrastructure: Kutztown University will maintain and enhance physical, financial, and human resources necessary to fulfill its mission.
(i) Fundraising $2,535,000 in private sponsorship through collaboration with the KU Foundation. The KU Foundation raised a total of $1,999,676 in 2012-13, $535,324 short of the goal.
(ii) Increase the Sightlines Facilities Investment Score to 64.20%.
(iii) Continue to improve programs and services by performing annual assessments of key units and using the results in institutional planning, institutional renewal, and resource allocation.
(iv) Implementation Team for Institutional Effectiveness monitors strategic plan and participation by all university functions (Kutztown, 2014).
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