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*Epidermolysis Bullosa Simplex* (EBS) is a genodermatosis characterized by the production of superficial bullous lesions on the skin as a result of minor mechanical or frictional injury. It is caused by mutation in either the Keratin 5 (*KRT5*) or Keratin 14 (*KRT14*) gene. This paper used various computational approaches to understand the effect of select missense mutations in KRT5. The crystal structure of the 2B domain of the KRT5 protein has been determined. Missense mutations present in this domain were selected for further analysis. To predict the pathogenicity of the selected mutants, seven web-based algorithms were employed, resulting in the identification of nine mutants predicted to be pathogenic. Stability predictions for these mutants revealed that all of them destabilize the protein structure and function. Evolutionary conservation of these mutants was assessed using the ConSurf web server, which demonstrated that, except for two mutants, all the other pathogenic mutants were found to be highly conserved. The electrostatic potential of mutants E418K and E475K revealed that change led to the replacement of net negative to net positive and favoured domain interaction with negatively charged receptors. Molecular dynamics studies revealed that E475G shows high fluctuation compared with the wild type, and there is loss of interaction with other residues.

**Keywords:** *Epidermolysis bullosa simplex* (EBS), missense mutations, seven web-based algorithms and mutants.

**INTRODUCTION**

*Epidermolysis bullosa simplex* (EBS) is a group of rare genetic skin diseases characterized by the production of superficial bullous lesions on the skin as a result of minor mechanical or frictional injury caused by an absence of basement membrane components due to gene mutations (NHS, 2022; Paduano et al., 2021). The skin has three layers namely epidermis, dermis, and hypodermis (Subcutaneous layer) (Agarwal and Krishnamurthy, 2022). EBS mainly affects the epidermis layer of the skin (Malchin et al., 2016). In the cytoskeleton, intermediate filaments (IF) constitute a crucial element. The primary function of IF is to promote cell cohesiveness and stop epithelial cell sheets from abruptly disintegrating under strain. The two major groups of IF proteins are keratin (Types 1 and Type) (Tan et al., 2016). Through hemidesmosomes and desmosomes, the keratin filaments bind extracellular skin cells to the matrix (ECM) at their base and to neighboring cells at their sides (Sanghvi-Shah and Weber, 2017). The layer of dead skin cells that accumulates as these skin cells decay serves as a crucial water loss barrier (van Smeden and Bouwstr, 2016). The IF network and hemidesmosomal/desmosomal components are primarily
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disrupted in EBS, as reported by Chen et al. (2021). The disease is chiefly caused by mutation(s) in either the KRT5 (Keratin 5) or KRT14 (Keratin 14) gene, as mentioned in Medscape Reference (2018). EBS is the most common form of the disease, accounting for 70% of cases, and it is a well-known genodermatosis, as highlighted by Mariath et al. (2019). In Homin sapiens, KRT5 is located at 12q 13.13.

This gene encodes the Keratin 5 protein, consisting of 590 amino acids with a molecular mass of 56-70 kDa. Keratin 5 dimerizes with another protein, keratin 14 (produced by the KRT14 gene), to form parallel coiled-coil heterodimers with their α-helical rod domains to generate keratin intermediate filaments (Schmidt et al., 2017). These filaments form strong networks that help connect keratinocytes and link the epidermis to the skin's deeper layers. The skin's keratin intermediate filament network provides strength and durability and protection from friction and other physical forces (Haimov et al., 2020). Mutations in these genes have been linked to EBS. KRT5 consists "α-helical rod" domain made up of four subdomains (helix 1A, 1B, 2A, and 2B) and are connected by three short linker regions (L1, L1-2, and L2) and a stuffer region. The core rod domain's ends, helix initiation peptide (HIP) and helix termination peptide (HTP), are much conserved. They are particularly crucial for the assembly of filaments, heterodimers, and helices (Zhang et al., 2016).

The most prevalent form of genetic variation observed in the human genome is single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). A single base change in the DNA sequence. SNPs are mainly found in DNA between genes or coding or noncoding regions of genes [Single nucleotide polymorphisms (2023)]. Synonymous and non-synonymous SNPs are the types of SNPs present in the coding region. Synonymous SNPs do not change the sequence of proteins, whereas non-synonymous SNPs (NsSNPs) do change the sequence and function of proteins. The NsSNPs are divided into two types: Missense and Nonsense. A missense SNP is a single base change that leads to a change in the codon, resulting in a change in the amino acid at a given position in the protein sequence, which may cause disease (Emadi et al., 2020). A nonsense SNP is a single base change in the DNA sequence that leads to a change in the stop codon, resulting in a nonfunctional protein (Sukhumvirichart, 2018). This paper investigated the role of single point missense mutations in KRT5 to understand how they might exert their effects at the molecular level. Selected point missense mutations were analyzed to predict the pathogenicity of the mutants. Mutations predicted to be pathogenic were subjected to phylogenetic and evolutionary conservation analysis. We predicted three-dimensional structure of the selected mutants and studied the effect(s) of the mutations, thus providing a framework to explain the changes in the genotype with the alteration in phenotype at the molecular level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Preparing dataset for targeted genes

The UniProt database (https://www.uniprot.org/) was used to retrieve the amino acid sequence of the KRT5 protein (UniProtKB ID P13647) and single point missense mutation information was retrieved from the dbSNP database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/).

Pathogenicity predictions of selected missense mutants

Seven algorithms were used to predict the pathogenicity of the selected mutants. Due to the occurrence of a single missense mutation that results in amino acid substitutions, mutations in the targeted gene can have functional and structural impacts.

PhD-SNP (https://snps.biofold.org/phd-snp/phd-snp.html) - Predictor of human Deleterious SNP (PhD-SNP) is designed to determine whether a single point mutation is disease-related (Disease) or a neutral polymorphism (Neutral). Protein sequence, the position of amino acid exhibiting change, and novel residues are all required as inputs (Capirotti and Fariselli, 2017).

PON-P2 (http://structure.bmc.lu.se/PON-P2/) - It is a novel computational approach to predict the tolerance of variants. This approach categorizes variations as pathogenic, neutral, or unknown along with the probability of pathogenicity. It takes FASTA files of both the protein sequence and variants as input (Niroula and Vihinen, 2017).

PMUT (http://mmb.irbbarcelona.org/PMut/) uses neural networks to predict the pathogenic aspects of single-point amino acid mutations quickly and accurately. The scores from 0 to 0.5 are classified as Neutral, and scores from 0.5 to 1 are classified as Pathological. It takes FASTA files of both protein sequence and mutants as input (López-Ferrando et al., 2017).

POLYPHEN-2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/) is a software tool that uses simple physical and evolutionary comparative considerations to anticipate the influence of amino acid alterations on the structure and function of human proteins. The likelihood of being harmful, perhaps harmful, or benign, as well as numerical values ranging from 0.0 (harmless) to 1.0 (harmful (damaging) (Adzhubei et al., 2013).

SIFT (https://sift.bii.a-star.edu.sg/). It is based on sequence homology and physical features of amino acids. It detects naturally occurring non-synonymous polymorphism from lab re-occurrence (Sim et al., 2012). The SIFT score above 0.05 value is considered neutral, whereas scores below this value are considered deleterious (Kumar et al., 2009).

I-MUTANT-2.0 (https://folding.biofold.org/i-mutant/i-mutant2.0.html) can predict the effect on stability upon single point mutation in the protein structure. It determines whether the mutation is classified as disease-related (Disease) or as neutral-polyorphism (Neutral). It takes the protein sequence, position of mutation and altered amino acid as input (Capirotti et al., 2005).

PANTHER (http://www.pantherdb.org/tools/csnpScoreForm.jsp) determines the probability that a certain point mutation affect how the protein functions. The likelihood that a mutation will have a detrimental effect increases with how long it has been conserved throughout the evolution (Tang and Thomas, 2016).

Protein stability prediction of selected mutations

To predict whether the single missense mutations are increasing or decreasing the protein stability, various other algorithms have been used:

MUpro- (http://mupro.proteomics.ics.uci.edu/) predicts the stability
of the protein structure upon single point mutation. It takes mutation position, original and mutated amino acid as well as protein sequence as input. A score of less than 0 indicates that the mutation reduces protein stability. A score greater than 0 indicates that the mutation improves protein stability (Cheng et al., 2005).

DynaMut (http://biosig.unimelb.edu.au/dynamut/) is a mutation analysis window tool that analyzes the impact of mutation on protein dynamics and stability. It the protein sequence and list of mutations as inputs. If the $\Delta\Delta G \geq 0$ are stabilizing, and $\Delta\Delta G < 0$ are destabilizing the protein structure (Rodrigues et al., 2018). I-Mutant 3.0- (http://gpcr2.biocomp.unibo.it/cgi/predictors/I-Mutant3.0/I-Mutant3.0.cgi).

Prediction of phylogenetic and evolutionary conservation

Evolutionary information is a strong aspect in studies of protein structure and function, and it’s especially useful for identifying residues with important functional roles (Ben Chorin et al., 2020).

To predict the phylogenetic and evolutionary conservation of the selected missense mutation we have used Consurf database. ConSurfDB (https://consurf.tau.ac.il/consurf_index.php)-. Using the phylogenetic relationships between homologous sequences, one may assess the evolutionary conservation of amino acid positions within a protein molecule. An amino acid position's structural and functional significance has a significant impact about how evolutionary conserved it is. The conservation score varies from 1-9 where 1 is the most variable and 9 is the most conserved amino acid (Goldenberg et al., 2009; Asthenazy et al., 2016).

Structure Prediction of selected missense mutations

The missense mutation which was predicted deleterious by the servers were further studied by modeling them using SWISS MODEL (https://swissmodel.expasy.org/). It is a server for automated three-dimensional (3D) protein structure homology modeling. It is often preferable to employ structures established by high-resolution X-ray crystallography as templates in homology modelling (Waterhouse et al., 2018). A normalized BLOSUM62 substitution matrix is used to calculate target-template sequence similarity.

Validation of the predicted structure

The authors used PROCHECK (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/thornton-srv/software/PROCHECK/) to (i) analyze the stereochemical quality of protein structure by creating a set of PostScript charts that analyze its overall and residue-by-residue geometry and (ii) by creating Ramachandran plots for structure validations. Using the residue-by-residue listing, we analyzed the areas of the structure that may be incorrect or require more investigation (Dym et al., 2012).

Subsequently, they calculated the Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) (https://zhanggroup.org/TM-align/), between matching atoms in the two protein chains to compare the similarity of two proteins. A lesser the RMSD between two structures, suggests more similarity between them. The RMSD between predicted and experimental structures is required in protein structure prediction before a prediction may be deemed accurate when the RMSD is low (typically 3Å; RMSD for homologous proteins) (Zhang and Skolnick, 2005).

Determining the effect of mutations in protein structure

To determine the effect of a single missense mutation in the protein structure fully automated server HOPE (Have (y)Our Protein Explained; https://www3.cmbi.umcn.nl/hope/) was used to examine the impact of point mutations on structure and function. FASTA format or PDB ID of the protein sequence and the data mutations were used as inputs (Venselaar et al., 2010).

Molecular dynamics

A molecular dynamics simulation was carried out to examine changes in the native and mutant KRT5 at the atomic level across various time periods. It is a method used to analyze the physical movements of atoms and molecules in a protein structure. To determine the molecular dynamics of the protein structure CABS flex 2.0 (http://biocomp.chem.uw.edu.pl/CABSflex2) server was used. It is based on CABS coarse-grained protein model. PDB ID or Protein structure of wild type and the mutants are used as inputs (Kuriata et al., 2018).

Visualization of PDB files

Furthermore, to visualize the PDB files, PYMOL (https://pymol.org/2/) is used, which is widely employed for the 3D visualization of macromolecules. Using this tool, we analyzed the electrostatic potential of the mutants and predicted how they affect the protein structure, as described by Yuan et al. (2017).

RESULTS

Selection of missense mutations in KRT5

In human KRT5 gene, a total of 627 single missense mutations were obtained from the dbSNP database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/snp/?term=Homo%20sapiens%20KRT5%20%5B%5D). The crystal structure of 2B domain of KRT5 has been determined (PDB ID: 6JFV). Therefore, missense mutations were selected which were present in this domain and were associated with Epidermolysis Bullosa disease. Most of the selected missense mutations were present in the 2B domain and HTP of the $\alpha$-helical coil.

Pathogenicity prediction of the selected missense mutations

Pathogenicity was predicted using seven algorithms namely Polyphen 2.0, SIFT, Pmut, I-Mutant, PHD-SNP, PON-P2 and Panther. The impacts of missense mutations on KRT5 protein were categorized on the basis of the results of these algorithms, which are presented in Table 1.

Of these seven predictive algorithms, mutations predicted to be pathogenic by at least four algorithms were selected for further studies. From the prediction of pathogenicity using various algorithms (Table 1), it was observed that missense mutations K404E, E418K, A428T, A438D, L463P, I467T, T469P, E475G, and E475K were predicted to be pathogenic by most predictive
Table 1. Pathogenicity prediction of selected missense mutations using different algorithms protein stability prediction of the selected mutants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>PON-P2</th>
<th>PHD-SNP</th>
<th>I-MUTANT</th>
<th>PolyPhen-2</th>
<th>SIFT</th>
<th>PMut</th>
<th>Panther</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S387T</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>Possibly damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K404E</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>Possibly damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E418K</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>Possibly damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A428T</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>Possibly damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A438D</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>Possibly damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L463P</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>Possibly damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I467T</td>
<td>0.877</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>Possibly damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T469P</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>Possibly damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E475G</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>Possibly damaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E475K</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>Disease</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>Possibly damaging</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Pathogenicity of mutants are predicted using:
- PON-P2 (http://structure.bmc.lu.se/PON-P2/)
- PHD-SNP (https://snps.biofold.org/phd-snp/phd-snp.html)
- I-Mutant (https://folding.biofold.org/i-mutant/imutant2.0.html)
- PolyPhen-2 (http://genetics.bwh.harvard.edu/pph2/)
- SIFT (https://sift.bii.a-star.edu.sg/)
- Pmut (http://mmb.irbbarcelona.org/PMut/)
- Panther (http://www.pantherdb.org/tools/csnpScoreForm.jsp)

Table 2. Stability prediction of the selected missense mutations was conducted using the MuPro, DynaMut, and I-Mutant servers, and the results are represented as ΔΔG. The servers predicted K404E, E418K, A428T, A438D, L463P, I467T, T469P, E475G, and E475K as damaging.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>MuPro (Kcal/mol)</th>
<th>DynaMut (Kcal/mol)</th>
<th>I-Mutant (Kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K404E</td>
<td>-0.2406877</td>
<td>-0.462</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E418K</td>
<td>-1.541757</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A428T</td>
<td>-1.0481313</td>
<td>-0.229</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A438D</td>
<td>-0.7216499</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L463P</td>
<td>-2.404273</td>
<td>-0.218</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I467T</td>
<td>-2.1155996</td>
<td>-0.573</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T469P</td>
<td>-1.5658598</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E475G</td>
<td>-1.316334</td>
<td>-0.605</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E475K</td>
<td>-1.005491</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Pathogenicity of mutants are predicted using:
- MuPro (http://mupro.proteomics.ics.uci.edu/)
- DynaMut (http://biosig.unimelb.edu.au/dynamut/)
- I-Mutant (https://folding.biofold.org/i-mutant/imutant2.0.html)

Approaches. The missense mutation S387T was excluded from the study as it was predicted to be non-pathogenic.

Missense mutations can impact the protein structure either by stabilizing or destabilizing the protein structure. To estimate the impact of these select mutations on the protein structure, protein stability was evaluated using MuPro, DynaMut, and I-Mutant (Table 2).

I-Mutant algorithm anticipates protein structural changes by observing changes in Gibbs free energy (ΔΔG) in response to the presence of neutral (-1.0 kcal/mol – 1 kcal/mol), stabilizing (>1.0 kcal/mol), and destabilizing (<-1.0 kcal/mol) mutations. All mutations in this study were predicted to destabilize the KRT5 protein structure.

In the MuPro algorithm [score < 0.0 kcal/mol means a decrease in protein stability whereas the score >0.0 kcal/mol indicates an increase in stability], all mutations were predicted to decrease the stability of the KRT5 protein structure.

The scoring of the DynaMut algorithm [score < 0.0 kcal/mol indicates a decrease in stability whereas the score >0.0 kcal/mol indicates an increase in stability] predicted that six mutations were associated with decreased stability, whereas the remaining mutations were predicted to be associated with increased stability of the KRT5 protein structure.

It is clearly evident from Table 2, mutations K404E, E418K, A428T, A438D, L463P, I467T, T469P, and E475K destabilize the protein structure.
Multiple sequence alignment of selected mutants

Amino acids in conserved regions are important for biological and functional studies of protein structure. Therefore, mutations caused in conserved regions are more likely to be dangerous than mutations caused outside the conserved region. The Consurf database was used to analyze the Multiple Sequence Alignment of KRT5, which showed a huge amount of sequence identity between various homologs. The crystal structure available for KRT5 ranges from 379-476 amino acids.

Multiple Sequence Alignment of Human KRT5 was generated using homologous sequences from Rattus norvegicus (Brown Rat), Bos taurus (Cattle), Mus musculus (House mouse), and Rattus norvegicus (Brown Rat). This revealed that a significant amount of the sequence of KRT5 is evolutionarily conserved.

The authors examined nine mutations that had already been identified as potentially pathogenic to determine whether they were located inside crucial conserved regions. We found that missense mutations at positions S387T, K404E, and A428T are weakly conserved, whereas E418K, A438D, L463P, I467T, T469P, E475G, and E475K are highly conserved, with score of 7, 8 and 9 within the functional domain of the KRT5 domain (Figure 1).

Three-dimensional structure prediction of the selected mutants

The structural stability of the protein might be altered by the mutations. Consequently, the structural differences between the wild-type and mutant proteins were predicted using 3D protein models (residue 379-476). To predict the structure of the selected mutation, the SWISS-MODEL server was used. The predicted protein models (E418K, L463P, I467T, T469P, E475G, E475K, and wild-type) had template modeling (TM)-scores of more than 0.9 (Table 3). TM-score greater than 0.5 indicate accurate topological similarities between the predicted protein models and the template proteins. All of the structures of the native and mutant models have TM score of above 0.5.

Validation of the predicted protein structures

The predicted protein structure was validated using the PROCHECK online server. It checks the quality of the protein model by checking the Ramachandran plot. From the Ramachandran plot, it was determined that in all mutant models, no residues were located in the disallowed region. The Ramachandran plot was used to evaluate the quality of each protein model, and the core residues for all of the built models were projected to be greater than 95%. We also examined the RMSD values of our protein models. Table 4 shows the RMSD values of the mutants. The RMSD values with respect to the wild-type crystal structure were quite low.

Understanding effect of single point missense mutations on protein structure

The effect of single missense mutations in the KRT5 protein was evaluated using the HOPE project, a web-based server for analyzing and interpreting the effect of point mutations on protein structure. Mutations introduced at positions L463P, I467T, and E475G are small as compared to the wild-type residues at these positions, resulting in loss of external interactions and are too small to make multimeric contacts whereas the mutations at position E418K, A438D and E475K are large in terms of the size of the side chain as compared to the wild type.
which might disturb and destabilize the protein domain and may impact its function negatively. Mutations at positions L463P, I467T, T469P, and E475K, represent highly conserved positions in the protein family and thus must have critical structural and/or functional role.

Thus these point mutations can have a deleterious impact on the protein, which is evident from the implication of these mutations to disease. E418K lies in 2B domain stutter region, and this mutation disrupts the keratin network. A438D and L463P lie in the IF rod region of 2B domain. As the mutations are present in the middle of the α-helix region they can be more disruptive. E475K introduces a positive charge along the extended side chain in place of a negative charge and small side chain. Therefore, this mutation can influence protein fold and protein-protein interaction.

Calculating the electrostatic potential of single missense mutations in protein structure

Any protein's trajectory, from its production and folding to its disintegration, is influenced by hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions. The representation of the molecule is a surface that changes color depending on the electrostatic potential. The red color (negative potential) results from an overabundance of negative charges close to the surface, whereas the blue color (positive potential) occurs when the surface is positively charged. The white areas represent nearly neutral potentials (Figure 2). It was found that in the case of E418K and E475K, negatively charged Glu418 and Glu475 are replaced with positively charged Lys. In the case of E475G, there is loss of net negative charge at this position. The substitution of negative charge with positive charge along with loss of charge on the surface of protein can severely impact its hydration, solubility, and ability to interact with other proteins. These mutations significantly changed the landscape of surface charge distribution. This may favor domain interaction with the negatively charged receptor, which, in turn, would increase affinity for the receptor.

Molecular dynamics

To obtain an in-depth understanding of the effect of mutation on KRT5 protein, MD simulation was performed. CABS flex 2.0 web-based server was used to analyze the molecular dynamics of the protein structure. The disruptive effects of mutations on the structural stability of the KRT5 protein were investigated. In the molecular dynamics study, the dynamic nature of mutant and wild-type proteins was analyzed. Root mean square fluctuations (RMSF) values are used to analyze the flexibility and movement of different regions of protein structure. It measures the average deviation of set of values from its mean value. A higher RMSF value indicates high flexibility of the protein structure, and a lower RMSF value indicates rigidity of protein structure. The fluctuation remained stable throughout the simulation. The fluctuation of E475K and E475G shows the greatest deviation at position 475 (Figure 3).

The contact map displays the pairwise interactions between two residues in a protein. Higher values indicate a greater likelihood of interaction, while residues with lower values are associated with higher flexibility. In the case of the E475K mutation, the interaction value for residues 475-476 is significantly lower compared to other mutants. Similarly due to mutation in E475G, the value of interaction of 475-472 has been decreased, hence leading to an increase in the flexibility of the mutants E475K and E475G. Mutation in T469P has been observed to gain an interaction of 469-467 with a very low value of 0.002 (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

Intermediate filaments (IFs) contribute to mechanical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>TM-align score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E418K</td>
<td>0.99935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A438D</td>
<td>0.99935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L463P</td>
<td>0.99941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I467T</td>
<td>0.99935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T469P</td>
<td>0.99942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E475G</td>
<td>0.99934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E475K</td>
<td>0.99934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: To indicate accurate topological similarities TM score are predicted using TM-Align (https://zhanggroup.org/TM-align/)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>RMSD values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E418K</td>
<td>0.184 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A438D</td>
<td>0.115 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L463P</td>
<td>0.112 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I467T</td>
<td>0.116 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T469P</td>
<td>0.109 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E475G</td>
<td>0.116 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E475K</td>
<td>0.116 Å</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: To predict the RMSD score Procheck was used (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/thornton-srv/software/PROCHECK/)

Table 3. TM-Align scores of the predicted protein models.

Table 4. RMSD values of KRT5 missense mutation.
support and promote cellular architecture (Nishimura et al., 2019). Keratin 5 and Keratin 14 IFs serve as tissue architecture in the epidermis. Mutations in either KRT5 or KRT14 are associated with EBS. KRT5 is a 590- amino acid protein. A fraction of the protein is disordered (residues 1-20,566-590) while there are significant coiled regions, (residues 168-203, 223- 315,339-477). Amino acid residues 1-167 form the head, whereas residues 478-590 form the tail.

The crystal structure of 2B domain of KRT5 (379-476) in complex with KRT14 (327-421) is available (PDB id: 6JFV). The structure is composed of a central α-helical rod domain of conserved length and a substructure comprising four heptad repeat– containing segments (1A, 1B, 2A and 2B) interrupted by three short linker sequences (L1, L12 and L2) at conserved locations. The variable nonhelical domains located at the N-terminal (head) and C-terminal (tail) ends of intermediate filament proteins play an important role in the assembly, organization, and regulation of intermediate filaments (Lee et al., 2020).

Among mutations studied in this study are K404E, A428V, L463P, I467T. These residues in wild-type KRT5 interact with KTR14. The lysine at 404 in KRT5 interacts with glutamate 344 of KRT14 (Schuilenga-Hut et al., 2003). Mutation K404E will lead to the loss of this specific interstrand interaction between the KRT5-14 heterodimer. Alanine at 428 in KRT5 interacts with isoleucine373 in KRT14 (Rugg et al., 2007). Mutation A428V again will lead to another loss of interstrand interaction. Leucine at 463 interacts with glutamate 409 and isoleucine 412 of KRT14 (Dong et al., 1993). Mutation of leucine at this position to proline will lead to the loss of two interstrand interactions. Additionally, isoleucine at position 467 in KRT5 interacts with tyrosine 415 of KRT14, as documented by Arin et al. (2010). All these mutations result in the loss of interstrand interactions between KRT5 and KRT14, thereby destabilizing the heterodimer. In the case of mutation T469P, this led to replacement of a proline, which led to replacement of a polar, hydrophilic surface exposed amino acid with a non-polar, hydrophobic amino acid.
which destabilized the coiled coil structure of KRT5. The mutation E475G is the conserved helix termination peptide of KRT5, which is essential for filament assembly. In addition, E475 has a specific electrostatic interaction with R335 of KRT14, and mutation to either glycine or lysine will lead to loss of interaction or electrostatic repulsion, leading to destabilization of the heterodimer assembly.

**Conclusion**

The present study deals with the structural-functional aspects of certain select missense mutations in the KRT5 protein. Majority of the mutations in this study disrupt interstrand interactions between KRT5-KRT14, and shall lead destabilization of the heterodimer. Few mutations like E475G, T469P impact the overall change in free energy of KRT5 by putting entropic strain. This study provides an important aspect of understanding the correlation between molecular genotype and phenotype in terms of protein structure and function and shall aid understanding of human disease in a bottom-up approach.
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Table 5. Pairwise interaction between two residues in a protein.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wild type</th>
<th>E418K</th>
<th>L463P</th>
<th>I467T</th>
<th>T469P</th>
<th>E475K</th>
<th>E475G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B418</td>
<td>B414</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.301</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B418</td>
<td>B415</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>0.095</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>0.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B418</td>
<td>B417</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>0.359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B418</td>
<td>B419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B418</td>
<td>B421</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B418</td>
<td>B422</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B463</td>
<td>B459</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B463</td>
<td>B460</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B463</td>
<td>B462</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.558</td>
<td>0.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B463</td>
<td>B464</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.808</td>
<td>0.557</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B463</td>
<td>B466</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.694</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.609</td>
<td>0.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B463</td>
<td>B467</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B467</td>
<td>B463</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B467</td>
<td>B464</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.928</td>
<td>0.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B467</td>
<td>B466</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B467</td>
<td>B468</td>
<td>0.748</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.719</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.905</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B467</td>
<td>B469</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B467</td>
<td>B470</td>
<td>0.155</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B467</td>
<td>B471</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>0.662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B467</td>
<td>B465</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B469</td>
<td>B465</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B469</td>
<td>B466</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B469</td>
<td>B467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B469</td>
<td>B468</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B469</td>
<td>B470</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B469</td>
<td>B472</td>
<td>0.792</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>0.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B469</td>
<td>B473</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B475</td>
<td>B471</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B475</td>
<td>B472</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B475</td>
<td>B473</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B475</td>
<td>B474</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B475</td>
<td>B476</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Pairwise interaction between two residues in a protein KRT5 was analyzed using CABS-flex 2.0 (http://biocomp.chem.uw.edu.pl/CABSflex2)
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