Enzymatic and biological assessment of sulfonylurea herbicide impact on soil bacterial communities
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Soil microorganisms play an important role in the decomposition of organic and inorganic matter in soil, being capable of breaking down different types of xenobiotic substances in soil. Application of herbicides in crops is commonly used in agriculture to increase agricultural productivity and weed destruction. Two sulfonylurea herbicides (tribenuron methyl and nicosulfuron) were applied to the soil samples in different doses. The effect of the herbicides on soil enzymatic activities and on the main groups of microorganisms involved in the soil nitrogen cycle (ammonifying, nitrifying and denitrifying bacteria) was studied under laboratory conditions. As far as the values of enzymatic activities are concerned, it was determined that they were strongly inhibited by the application of these two herbicides. Values of the dehydrogenase and urease activities from the experimental variants, most closely reflects the inhibitory effect of herbicides. Enzymatic indicator of soil quality shows values recorded between 0.390 to 0.541 for soils treated with tribenuron-methyl, respectively 0.401 to 0.431 in those treated with nicosulfuron. The results showed that nicosulfuron had a lower inhibitory effect on the enzymatic activity of soil from tribenuron-methyl. Ammonifying, nitrifying, and denitrifying bacteria responded differently to the action of herbicides, depending on the dose that was applied. Bacterial indicator of soil quality recorded values between 1.645 to 1.706 for soils treated with tribenuron-methyl and 1.651 to 1753 in those treated with nicosulfuron. Tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron affect negative bacterial metabolic activity and bacterial communities.
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INTRODUCTION

Most xenobiotic substances used in agriculture have more or less toxic effects on living organisms. Studies attempt to determine the impact of xenobiotic substances on soil microorganism communities, given the role these...
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communities play in the biogeochemical cycle in nature. Application of xenobiotic substances in arable crops to destroy weeds and pests results in irreversible soil pollution. This process has toxic effects on soil microorganism communities, which are essential players in organic matter decomposition. Among the negative effects of xenobiotic substances applied on soil are their quantitative and qualitative reduction, biochemical activity inhibition and changes in community structure which affect its diversity and weight of microbial communities. The present study comparatively investigates the impact of two sulfonylurea herbicides, that is, tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron, on microorganisms that are involved in the nitrogen cycle in the soil.

Sulfonylurea herbicides are a distinctive group of herbicides that are widely used in arable crops to destroy weeds. They have become very popular, due to their low toxicity to small mammals, low application rates and effective weed control (Sarmah and Sabadie, 2002).

The application of herbicides to destroy weeds in arable crops has beneficial effects on agricultural production, but negatively influences soil microorganism growth, metabolic activity, and population dynamics (Sannino and Gianfreda, 2001; Zhou et al., 2009). Such negative effects were regularly reported for other xenobiotic substances, such as fungicides (Latijnhouwers et al., 2000), insecticides (Yao et al., 2006; Cycon et al., 2010) or heavy metals (Avidano et al., 2005; Gülser and Erdoğan, 2008), when investigating their impact on soil ecosystems.

The impact of sulfonylurea herbicides on soil microorganism communities has been studied for clorsulfuron, thifensulfuron, amidosulfuron (Borozan et al., 2010), tribenuron-methyl, thifensulfuron-methyl (Andersen et al., 2001), benisulfuron-methyl (Saeki and Toyota, 2004) and metsulfuron-methyl (Wang et al., 2010).

Cinosulfuron and bensulfuron applied in normal rates and 100 times higher had no effect on the total bacteria number and respiratory activity in the soil, but inhibited nitrification. Of the two sulfonylurea herbicides applied, bensulfuron showed more toxic effects than cinosulfuron on 17 bacteria strains and 12 fungal strains used for analysis (Gigliotti and Allievi, 2001).

A combination of two sulfonylurea herbicides (60% bromoxynil + 3% prosulfuron) used to provide a broad spectrum weed control in arable crops indicated effects on microorganism growth and activity. Their impact on bacterial communities (heterotrophic bacteria, but mostly nitrifiers), actinomycetes and fungi was demonstrated. The dehydrogenase activity proved to be an important indicator of the side effects of these herbicides (Pampulha and Oliveira, 2006).

Cinosulfuron, another sulfonylurea herbicide, causes the inhibition of microorganism community development in the soil. Aerobic bacteria, autotrophic nitrifying bacteria, Azotobacter bacteria and fungi showed increased sensitivity to this herbicide (Allievi and Gigliotti, 2001).

Enzymatic activities of soil biota are reliable biomarkers for assessing the effects of herbicide application on ground and below-ground ecosystems. Consequently, certain enzymes, such as oxidases (e.g., dehydrogenase, catalase) or hydrolases (e.g., protein phosphatase, urease, inverters), are routinely used for this purpose (Benítez et al., 2004). Among various bacterial enzymes, the dehydrogenase activity provides the most ‘natural’ approach for understanding the impact of herbicides on agricultural ecosystems because it is directly related to soil respiratory processes; therefore, this effect criterion is often employed in toxicity tests with herbicides.

Xenobiotic biodegradation takes place mainly within rhisosphere, wherein the populational diversity and abundance of bacterial biotas are higher than in the upper or lower soil horizons. This allows an effective decomposition of various chemical compounds, such as metsulfuronmethyl (Ghani and Wardle, 2001), dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (Shaw and Burns, 2004), and atrazine (Plotti et al., 2002). Several groups of soil bacteria are able to use herbicides as an energy source for their growth and development. For example, gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria (van Eerd et al., 2003; Gimsing et al., 2004) as well as heterotrophic bacteria (Merini et al., 2007; Zabaloy et al., 2010) were shown to use glyphosate as nitrogen, carbon, or phosphor source. As a result, assessing the structure of local soil microbiota may allow researchers to identify anthropic-induced soil disturbance.

This study involves highlighting the action of xenobiotic substances (herbicides of the sulfonylureas group), tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron on the community of microorganisms implicated in biogeochemical cycle of nitrogen in soil and on the main enzymatic activities that decompose organic matter.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Uncontaminated soil (cambic chernozem) was sampled to 0 to 20 cm from the experimental fields of the Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Timisoara (Romania). The samples were spiked, under laboratory conditions, with two sulfonylureic herbicides, namely tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron. Tribenuron-methyl, also known as 2-methoxy-6 -[[[4-methyl-1 -3,5-triazine-2-ii] methylamino] carbon] sulfone] benzoic amino acid is also present in herbicides from local markets under the trade name "Helmstar" (Tellurium Chemical, Romania). Nicosulfuron known as 2 - [([4,6-dimethoxy pyrimidin-2-ii] aminocarbon) aminosulfon-N]. N-dimethyl-3-pyridinecarboxamide is also present in herbicides from local markets under the trade name "Mistral" (ISK Biosciences Europe, Romania).

Soil treatment with herbicides

The soil was passed through a 2 mm sieve and put in polyethylene bags. Different doses of herbicides were prepared using distilled water, and then used for spiking the soil samples so that the soil humidity was 40%. The dose of herbicide applied onto the dry soil was calculated assuming a uniform distribution in the plow layer.
(Atlas et al., 1978). Apart from the control group (R: 0 μg tribenuron-methyl, 0 μg nicosulfuron) the following herbicide concentrations were considered in the present study: (1) group A, A1: 0.6 μg tribenuron-methyl, A2: 0.4 μg nicosulfuron; (2) group B, B1: 1.2 μg tribenuron-methyl, B2: 0.8 μg nicosulfuron; (3) group C, C1: 1.8 μg tribenuron-methyl, C2: 1.2 μg nicosulfuron; (4) group D, D1: 3 μg tribenuron-methyl, D2: 2 μg nicosulfuron; (5) group E, E1: 4.2 μg tribenuron-methyl, E2: 2.8 μg nicosulfuron. After herbicide application, the samples were incubated for 7 days, at 28°C.

**Enzymatic activity**

The following enzymatic activities were assessed: catalase activity (CA), actual dehydrogenase activity (ADA), potential dehydrogenase activity (PDA), and urease activity (UA).

CA was determined by using the permanganometric method, that is, titration with a 0.05 N KMnO4 solution until a weak pink coloration occurred. The enzymatic activity was expressed as milligrams of undecomposed oxygenated water (H2O2) per gram of soil (Dragan-Bularda, 2000).

Actual dehydrogenase activity (ADA) and potential dehydrogenase activity (PDA) were detected using the method described by Casida et al., (1964). This technique involves the incubation of soil samples with TTC (2, 3, 5-triphenyltetrazolium chloride) for 48 h, at 37°C. ADA and PDA was shown as milligrams formazan per gram of sediment/soil. The absorbance of reduced TTC was measured at 485 nm.

Urease activity (UA) assesses the rate of urea decomposition in ammonia (NH3) and carbon dioxide (CO2). The reaction mixture was incubated at 37°C, for 24 h. The ammonia was extracted with a 2 N potassium chloride (KCl) solution, and determined by spectrophotometric nesslerization at 445 nm; the corresponding calibration curve was created using an ammonium chloride (NH4Cl) solution (Dragan-Bularda, 2000).

**Ecophysiological groups of bacteria**

Serial dilutions were performed from the herbicide-spiked soil samples (viz. 10^-1 to 10^-6). These solutions were incubated on selective culture media for 7 to 21 days (28°C), depending on the preferences of investigated groups of bacteria.

Samples were incubated for a week at 27°C on Ashby culture medium to determine the number of nitrogen-fixing bacteria (NFB). The presence of aerobic NFB resulted in formation of a fine veil on culture medium surface or/and in marked and adherent rings on test-tube walls. Depending on the species, the veil was either greenish yellow (A. vinelandii) or brown (A. chroococcum). In contrast, anaerobic NFB (Clostridium sp.) induced the formation of gas bubbles in positive test tubes (Zanmee, 1994).

The culture medium for ammonifying bacteria (AMB) had the following chemical composition: NaCl (0.5 g), peptone (2 g), distilled water (1000 ml). The samples were incubated under anaerobic conditions for 14 days, at 22°C. AMB number was assessed based on the reaction between ammonia and Nessler reagent; the Alexander table was used as screening benchmark (Cusa, 1996).

The culture medium for nitrifying bacteria (NB) consisted of standard saline traces (50 ml), (NH4)2SO4 (0.5 g), CaCO3 (1 g), and distilled water (950 ml). Samples were incubated for 20 days, at 28°C. Tubes containing nitrate were identified with diphenylamine sulphuric acid. A blue colour reaction showed that nitrite and nitrate were formed, and therefore, the tube was scored positive (Dunca et al., 2007).

The denitrifying bacteria (DNB) were grown in selective culture medium, which contained: standard saline solution (50 ml), KNO3 (20 g), glucose (10 g), KCO3 (5 g), oligoelement solution (1 ml), and distilled water (1000 ml). The samples were incubated at 28°C, for 7 to 15 days, and diphenylamine-sulphuric acid was added in each test tube. Positive samples were colourless due to nitrate metabolization by DNB (Dunca et al., 2007).

**Indicators of soil quality**

The enzymatic indicator of soil quality (EISQ) and the bacterial indicator of soil quality (BISQ) were calculated by using the formulas (1) and (2), as proposed by Muntean (1995-1996, 1996):

EISQ = 1/n Σ Vr (s)/Vmax (i)   

(1)

where: EISQ - enzymatic indicator of soil quality, n - number of enzymatic activities, Vr (i) – individual, real value, Vmax (i) – theoretical, maximum, individual value.

BISQ = 1/n x Σ log10 N   

(2)

where: BISQ - bacterial indicator of soil quality, n - number of ecophysiological groups, N - number of bacteria belonging to each ecophysiological group.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical data interpretation was conducted with Microsoft Excel 2003 and Statistica 10 software packages.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Our study showed that PDA and ADA are both inhibited by tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron application (Figure 1). However, ADA values were higher than PDA values, and therefore, this biomarker is more reliable when assessing the effect of these two herbicides on soil bacterial communities. A recent study showed that metsulfuron-methyl and 2,4-D (syn. 2,4 dichlorophenoxyacetic acid) greatly inhibited dehydrogenase activity (Zabaloy et al., 2008). Similarly, glyphosate application resulted in a significant decrease for the same enzymatic activity (Araújo et al., 2003; Zabaloy et al., 2008). Moreover, dehydrogenase activity proved to be an important indicator of side effects that are associated with the use of sulfuronureic herbicides (Pampulha and Oliveira, 2006). This information suggests that ADA may serve as relevant field biomarker for assessing the effect of herbicide application on soil bacterial biota.

The current experiment revealed that CA can be either stimulated or inhibited by herbicide application, depending on the herbicide type and exposure dose. UA and PDA, however, were, by far, less sensitive when applying different doses of tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron. Field studies found that metsulfuron-methyl reduced urease, amylase, and protease activity (Ismail et al., 1998). Similar results were reported for other herbicides, such as glyphosate or glufoximate, which displayed a dose-dependent effect on soil enzymatic activity (Accinelli et al., 2002; Accinelli et al., 2007; Lupwayi et al., 2007). In contrast, bromine application
had discrepant effects on different soil enzymes (that is, cellulase, alkaline phosphatase, acid, sulphatase); thus, this compound was found to significantly reduce cellulase and sulphatase activity, but to increase phosphatase activity, as a potential resistance mechanism to herbicide action (Omar and Abdel-Sater, 2001).

Enzymatic indicator of soil quality shows values recorded between 0.390 to 0.541 for soils treated with tribenuron-methyl, respectively 0.401 to 0.431 in those treated with nicosulfuron. The measured values of EISQ were higher for tribenuron-methyl than for nicosulfuron, thereby indicating that nicosulfuron is more toxic for soil bacterial biota than tribenuron-methyl (Figure 2).

Our result indicated that herbicide application diminished in a dose-dependent manner AMB, NB, and NFB (Clostridium genus) number in soil. In contrast, DNB
displayed a more heterogeneous pattern of variation (Figure 3), and hence, the quantitative population dynamics for this bacterial group cannot serve as a pertinent biomarker of herbicide-induced soil disturbance. The bacteria of the *Clostridium* genus are the most sensitive bacterial group to tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron impact, with the strongest effect being reported when the exposure dose was seven-fold higher than the normal dose. In addition, quantitative population dynamics for these bacteria revealed a linear trend with exposure dose.

In this study, NFB of the *Clostridium* genus showed a distinctly different behaviour to herbicide exposure when compared to AMB, NB, and DNB. Thus, CA graphical representation revealed that highest dissimilarity between the herbicide type and exposure dose for these bacteria (0.000 to 0.109), whereas AMB and NB displayed similar responses to tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron exposure, irrespective of exposure dose: AMB: 0.320 to 0.646; NB: 0.290 to 0.782 (Figure 4). This observation is supported by the results of cluster analysis (Figure 5).

The bacterial indicator of soil quality (BISQ) reflects the effects of tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron on soil bacterial biota. Bacterial indicator of soil quality recorded values between 1.645 to 1.706 for soils treated with tribenuron-methyl and 1.651 to 1.753 in those treated with...
nicosulfuron. In our study, BISQ values were lower for nicosulfuron (range: 1.645 to 1.706) than those reported for tribenuron-methyl (range: 1.651 to 1.753). This suggests that tribenuron-methyl is more toxic than nicosulfuron for bacterial communities that are involved in nitrogen cycle in soil (Figure 6).

**Conclusions**

The effects of nicosulfuron on soil bacteria enzymatic activity are lower as compared to tribenuron-methyl. The actual and potential dehydrogenase activities, as well as urease activity are essential for assessing the effects of xenobiotics substances on metabolic activity of soil microbial biota. Tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron induce a dose-dependent inhibition of enzymatic activity of ammonifying, nitrifying and denitrifying bacteria in soil. Tribenuron-methyl and nicosulfuron affect negative bacterial metabolic activity and bacterial communities.
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