Agronomic efficiency of *Bradyrhizobium* pre-inoculation in association with chemical treatment of soybean seeds
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The competitiveness of Brazilian soybean in the international market is highly dependent on biological nitrogen fixation, whose efficiency is related to factors that affect the survival of the bacteria, such as the chemicals used in the treatment of seeds. The study objective was to evaluate *Bradyrhizobium* pre-inoculation (10 days before sowing) of soybean seeds treated with fungicides and insecticide compared to the standard inoculation performed on the planting day. Four experiments were conducted in two distinct ecosystems, two in Brazilian savanna (Cerrado) and two in Cerrado/forest transition areas of Roraima state. In each ecosystem, the experiments were performed in areas without and with soybean cultivation history. The treatments were in: 1- Control without inoculation; 2- inoculation on sowing day in untreated seeds; 3- treatment with carbendazim; 4- treatment with pyraclostrobin + methyl thiophenate + fipronil; 5- treatment with fludioxonil + metalaxyl-M. Groups 3, 4, and 5 were pre-inoculated 10 days before sowing. Viable cells in the seeds were recovered on the sowing day. Nodule number and dry mass, root, and aerial part dry mass were evaluated 35 days after emergence. Grain yield was evaluated at harvest. The number of viable cells was negatively affected by seed treatment. For all evaluated variables, treatments with pre-inoculation plus fungicides/insecticide were similar to the standard sowing-day inoculation. Pre-inoculation performed 10 days before planting, along with seed treatment with fungicides/insecticides, positively affected soybean crop productivity and could be used without compromising the nitrogen fixation.

**Key words:** *Glycine max* (L.) Merr., biological nitrogen fixation, Amazon.

**INTRODUCTION**

Soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) is a plant of the Fabaceae family very important to agricultural, with significant economic and social impact and it is the main cultivated crop in Brazil (Cattelan and Dall’Agnol, 2018).
Brazil soybean production for 2017/18 is estimated at 112 million metric tons and harvested area is estimated at 35 million hectares, thus, Brazil and United States of America are currently the world’s largest producers (USDA, 2018).

Nitrogen is the most required element by soybean and it can be supplied by the use of nitrogen fertilizers and by the biological nitrogen fixation (Hungria et al., 2007). Most of nitrogen requirements to cultivation of soybean in Brazil is provide by inoculation with selected nitrogen-fixing bacteria, 

Bradyrhizobium japonicum, Bradyrhizobium elkanii and Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens (Hungria and Mendes, 2015). These bacteria can covert atmospheric nitrogen to ammonia and the inoculation with 

Bradyrhizobium is an indispensable technology in Brazilian soybean production. This technology is used in practically all soybean-producing regions in Brazil and generates an economy of over 15 billion US dollars that would have to be spent with nitrogen fertilizer each season (Hungria and Mendes, 2015; Araújo et al., 2017). It makes Brazilian soybean highly competitive in the international market (Hungria et al., 2005).

The effectiveness of symbiosis between 

Bradyrhizobium and soybean plants depends on the inoculation process carried out by the producers on the farm. For example, seed treatment with fungicides may kill the bacteria in the moment of inoculation and compromise productivity (Zilli et al., 2009; Costa et al., 2013). Given this widespread use of inoculation, Brazilian researchers have sought alternatives to maximize the efficiency of biological nitrogen fixation (BNF), through inoculation methods, compatibilization of fungicides, micronutrients, and other methods (Camp and Hungria, 1999). The treatment of seeds with fungicides, in addition to controlling important pathogens that are transmitted via seeds, is an efficient practice to ensure adequate populations of plants under soil-climatic conditions unfavorable to the germination and emergence of soybean (Balardin et al., 2011). Water deficiency, in particular, slows the germination and emergence process and lengthens seed exposure to soil fungi (Rhizoctonia solani, Pythium spp., Fusarium spp., Aspergillus spp., and others), that may cause seedling decay or death (Embrapa, 2011; Henning et al., 1997).

However, fungicides can result in mortalities of up to 60% after 2 h in contact with 

Bradyrhizobium cells and 95% after 24 hr (Campo et al., 2009). Thus, compatibilizing the application of fungicides and inoculants is necessary to guarantee a higher bacterial population in the seeds and consequently increase nodulation in the roots, thereby increasing biological nitrogen fixation efficiency and crop productivity.

Although inoculation with nitrogen-fixing bacteria is used in most Brazilian soybean crops, seed inoculation at the time of sowing is often described as an activity that reduces planting efficiency due to time spent on inoculation operations. This makes some producers to not use inoculation (Camp and Hungria, 2006). Pre-inoculation may enable faster sowing and increase the use of inoculation, particularly by producers in areas with limited planting time. Pre-inoculation of seed is an alternative method to increase the planting efficiency and new technologies are being developed to inoculate companies in Brazil (Araújo et al., 2017).

Thus, new pre-inoculants that are compatible with fungicide and/or insecticide treatment of seeds can enable faster sowing and increase the use of inoculation. The objective of this study was to compare pre-inoculation of fungicide and insecticide-treated soybean seeds 10 days before sowing with the standard inoculation performed on the sowing day.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Field experiments**

Four experiments were conducted. Two experiments were conducted at the Água Boa Experimental Field (CEAB) (02°40’10.7" N, 60°50’55.8" W) in the municipality of Boa Vista, which is in the Brazilian savanna (Cerrado) ecoregion and has dystrophic Yellow Argisol. One CEAB experiment was conducted in an area without soybean and one in an area with at least two years of soybean cultivation.

Two experiments were conducted at the Serra da Prata Experimental Field (CESP) (02°23’25.3" N, 06°58’59.8" W) in the municipality of Mucajai, which is in a Cerrado/forest transition ecoregion and has dystrophic Yellow Latosol. One CESP experiment was conducted in an area without soybean and the other in an area with at least two years of soybean cultivation. Both experimental fields belonged to Embrapa Roraima. Chemical characterization of the soil at 0 to 20 cm depth was performed for each area before the beginning of the experiments (Table 1), following the procedures described in Embrapa (1999).

Soil correction was performed two months before soybean planting. Liming was performed with dolomite limestone. The CESP area received 1500 kg ha⁻¹, and the CESP area received 2000 kg ha⁻¹, applied by scattering and incorporated via plowing and two harrows. The soil in undisturbed areas with no soybean history at both CEAB and CESP was corrected with 100 kg ha⁻¹ P₂O₅ in the form of single superphosphate, 60 kg ha⁻¹ K₂O in the form of KCl, and 50 kg ha⁻¹ of FTE BR12 Nutriplant (7.1% Ca, 5.7% S, 1.8% B, 0.8% Cu, 2.0% Mn, 0.1% Mo, and 9.0% Zn) as a source of micronutrients.

In addition to correcting the fertilization, a planting fertilization was conducted in the sowing furrow according to that recommended for the region (Embrapa, 2005). It used 100 kg ha⁻¹...
of $P_2O_5$ in the form of single superphosphate and 90 kg ha$^{-1}$ of $K_2O$ in the form of potassium chloride, the latter being applied 50% at planting and 50% at 35 days after germination (Embrapa, 2005). The experimental design for the four experiments was in randomized blocks with five treatments and four replicates. The treatments tested were:

1. Control without inoculation
2. Inoculation of untreated seeds with standard liquid inoculant on the day of planting
3. Pre-inoculation of carbendazim (500 g L$^{-1}$; Derosal® 500 sc, Bayer S.A., Brazil) fungicide-treated seeds with liquid inoculant 10 days before planting
4. Pre-inoculation of pyraclostrobin (25 g L$^{-1}$) + methyl thiophanate (225 g L$^{-1}$) fungicide-treated and fipronil (250 g L$^{-1}$; Standak Top®, BASF, Brazil) insecticide-treated seeds with liquid inoculant 10 days before planting
5. Pre-inoculation of fludioxonil (25 g L$^{-1}$) + metalaxyl-M (10 g L$^{-1}$; Maxim XL, Syngenta, Brazil) fungicide-treated seeds with liquid inoculant 10 days before planting

These fungicides and insecticide were chosen because they are widely used in soybean cultivation in Brazil. Each experimental plot measured 5 m x 5 m, with 10 furrows spaced 0.50 m apart, with a spacing of 1.0 m between plots. The soybean cultivar used was BRS Tracajá, recommended for the state of Roraima, Brazil, with a stand of 14 plants per linear meter (Gianluppi et al., 2001).

At 35 days after germination (at flowering), 10 plants were sampled from each experimental plot (from the central area of second line of each plot). First, the shoots parts were collected and stored in Kraft paper bags. Then, with the aid of a straight blade, the roots were removed along with their nodules, which were stored in plastic bags until arrival in the laboratory. The number of nodules (NN), nodule dry mass (NDM), root dry mass (RDM), shoots dry mass (SDM), and total dry mass (TDM) were evaluated in the soil microbial laboratory of Embrapa Roraima. All dry mass variables were determined by drying the material at 65°C for 72 h.

At 118 days, when the physiological maturation of the soybean was reached, the grains were harvested. For evaluation purposes, the six central rows of each plot were collected, always leaving one meter of border at the head of the rows. Grain yield was corrected to 13% moisture and is expressed in kg ha$^{-1}$.

**Seed treatment**

Ten days prior to planting, seed treatment and inoculation of groups 3, 4, and 5 were performed. Seed treatment and pre-inoculation were performed according to manufacturer recommendations (Table 2). For areas with no history of soybean cultivation, the inoculant dose was twice that recommended for cultivated areas, due to the absence of an population of *Bradyrhizobium* that could establish symbiosis with soybean, following the manufacturer's instructions. The seeds were packed in a polyethylene bag with a capacity three times the volume of the seeds. First, the fungicide was added, leaving an equivalent air volume to that occupied by the seeds, closing the bag and mixing with rotary movements to homogenize the distribution of the products. The treated seeds were transferred to a tray to dry for 2 h. The same procedure was used for seed inoculation. After drying, these were packed in a Kraft paper bag and stored at 20 to 30°C for 10 days. The liquid inoculants used in the treatments were Rizol® (standard inoculant,
lot B01038203) and Rizoliq Top® (pre-inoculant, lot T040239), both supplied by Rizobacter of Brazil. These bacterial inoculants had SEMIA 5079 and SEMIA 5080 (Bradyrhizobium japonicum) with declared concentrations of 5.0 x 10^6 (standard inoculant) and 6.0 x 10^6 (pre-inoculant) colony-forming units (CFUs) per mL. On the tenth day after pre-inoculation, field-planting of all treatments was conducted. Inoculation of group 2 (standard inoculant without seed treatment) was conducted on the day of planting according to the manufacturer’s recommendation.

### Viable cell recovery testing

For all treatments, after field planting, pre-inoculated seed samples were taken to the soil microbiology laboratory of Embrapa Roraima for viable cell recovery testing, following the specifications listed in the Regulatory Instructions No. 30, December 12, 2010 (Brazil, 2010). Samples of 100 seeds (considering the weight of 100 seeds of cultivar BRS Tracaja), were placed in sterile Erlenmeyer flasks with a capacity of 250 to 300 mL, containing 100 mL of physiological solution with Tween 80 (polyoxyethylene sorbitan monolaurate) (8.5 g of NaCl in 1.0 L of distilled water, added to 0.4 mL stock solution of Tween 80). This represented the 10^6 dilution. The Erlenmeyer flask was placed on an orbital shaker for 15 min at 150 rpm. A 1-mL aliquot of dilution 10^6 was withdrawn, placed in a sterile plate with 9 mL of physiological solution (0.85% NaCl); yielding the 10^4 dilution. The procedure was repeated until the 10^7 dilution was obtained. Samples of the 10^3 to 10^7 dilutions (0.1 mL) were plated in triplicate, by means of the spreading technique, in Petri dishes containing Yeast Extract Mannitol medium with Congo red (0.05%).

### Statistical analysis

All the evaluated variables were subjected to the tests of normality and homogeneity of the error variance. When these requirements were met and showed significance in the analysis of variance for the F test, the means of the treatments were compared by the Tukey test at the 5% significance level using the Sisvar version 4.3 program (Ferreira, 2011). The data were analyzed jointly to verify the effects of treatments (inoculations), places (Cerrado and Cerrado/forest transition), and interactions between treatments and places in areas with and without a history of soybean cultivation.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

No cells were recovered in the control treatment without inoculation, indicating that the seeds were free of Bradyrhizobium cells (Table 3). Seeds treated on the day of planting with the standard inoculant showed a higher number of cells per seed than pre-inoculated seeds treated with fungicides and insecticide, with 4.7 x 10^6 CFU seeds¹ (seeds intended for areas with no soybean history) and 1.2 x 10^6 CFU seeds¹ (seeds intended for areas already grown with soybean) recovered, respectively. These values are in accordance with the recommended inoculant dosage for soybean, which should result in 1.2 x 10^6 cells per seed (Hungria et al., 2007).

However, all products used for seed treatment drastically reduced the number of cells recovered 10 days after inoculation. The pre-inoculated group that received the pyraclostrobin + methyl thiophanate + fipronil showed the lowest number of cells recovered per seed for the two ecosystems (Table 3). These results differ from those obtained by Alcântara Neto et al. (2014), in which the product pyraclostrobin + methyl thiophanate + fipronil had the lowest deleterious effect on cell recovery in the seeds. However, those authors only evaluated for a period up to 48 h. Similar results were observed by Costa et al. (2013), they observed a drop in the concentration of cells after four days of inoculation when using seed treatment products, using similar methods, but with different active principles.

Several studies have demonstrated a reduction in the number of cells recovered in soybean seeds treated with different fungicides and inoculated with standard inoculants (Campo and Hungria, 2000; Campo et al., 2003; Campo et al., 2009; Costa et al., 2013; Alcântara Neto et al., 2014). This is the first result demonstrating the recovery of cells in soybean seeds after 10 days of inoculation with three different products to seed treatment used in Brazil, demonstrating that there is a drastic reduction but not total mortality of the cells after this period using an inoculant specific to pre-inoculation. A recent study of soybean pre-inoculation of seeds treated with pyraclostrobin + methyl thiophanate + fipronil and using a bacterial protector showed that after 35 days of inoculation it was possible to recover 1.3 x 10^3 CFU seed¹ (Araújo et al., 2017). Therefore in pre-inoculation of soybean seeds treated with fungicides/insecticides, specific inoculants with a kind of cell protection must be used.

As for the results of the four experiments under field conditions, the combined data analysis showed a significant interaction between inoculation treatments X places only for the number of nodules in areas with no history soybean cultivation (Table 4). For the experiment in the Cerrado area in the CEAB, the treatment of seeds even with a drastic reduction in the number of cells was sufficient to induce nodulation, with results similar to the standard inoculant on the day of planting. For the Cerrado/forest transition area, the environment was a determining factor for the reduction of nodulation, since all the treatments showed a low number of nodules (Table 4).

For the other variables evaluated, the pre-inoculated and seed treatments did not differ from the standard inoculant treatment performed on the day of planting, and both were superior to the group that did not receive inoculation (Table 5). Although there were no significant differences, all the pre-inoculated treatments showed a
trend of grain yield higher than the treatment using the standard inoculant. On the other hand, the control, which did not receive seed treatment or standard inoculation, was significantly lower in the number of nodules, nodule dry mass, root dry mass, shoot dry mass, total dry mass, and grain yield (Table 5). These results reinforce the importance of soybean inoculation in areas that were not previously cultivated in Brazil.

We also observed a statistically significant difference between the places (Cerrado and Cerrado/forest transition) (Table 5). The Cerrado area (CEAB) showed more than three times the nodule dry mass as the Cerrado/forest transition areas. The nodule dry mass has a direct correlation with N content (Döbereiner, 1966) and consequently with shoot dry mass and grain yield. The shoots dry mass of plants cultivated in the Cerrado showed an average of 1.9 grams plant⁻¹ more than the Cerrado/forest transition area and a yield of grain of 1461.9 kg ha⁻¹ higher (Table 5).

In areas where soybean had already been cultivated, there was no significant interaction between treatments and places. In these areas, shoot dry mass, total dry mass, and grain yield differed between treatments (Table 6). All the treatments inoculated showed superior results to the control that received neither inoculation nor addition of nitrogen.

These results reinforce the need to carry out re-inoculation in areas that have previously been cultivated with soybeans, especially in the Roraima Cerrado, where the *Bradyrhizobium* population in the dry season is drastically reduced (Zilli et al., 2013). In the areas without soybean cultivation, the pre-inoculation with seed treatment did not affect the evaluated variables, as they were similar to the treatment with standard inoculant applied on the day of planting (Table 6). Regarding the places, there was also a significant effect on shoot dry mass, total dry mass, and grain yield, being also higher in the Cerrado areas (Table 6). The deleterious effects of fungicide/insecticide application on nodulation and soybean yield have been inconsistent. Some studies have demonstrated a reduction in nodulation in inoculated and fungicide-treated plants in areas with no soybean cultivation history where there is no established *Bradyrhizobium* population (Zilli et al., 2009; Costa et al., 2013).

In soil without a history of soybean cultivation, Costa et al. (2013) demonstrated a reduction of up to 50% in nodulation of plants inoculated and treated with different fungicides/insecticides and pre-inoculation by 10 days before planting.

### Table 3. Cell concentration of *Bradyrhizobium* in recovery testing in soybean seed treated with different fungicides/insecticides and pre-inoculated by 10 days before planting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Area without cultivation</th>
<th>Cultivated area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (without inoculation)</td>
<td>0²</td>
<td>0²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard inoculant</td>
<td>$4.7 \times 10^6$ A¹</td>
<td>$1.20 \times 10^6$ A¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + carbendazim</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^5$ B</td>
<td>$7.0 \times 10^5$ B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + pyraclostrobin + methyl thiophanate + fipronil</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^5$ C</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^5$ C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + Fludioxonil + Metalaxyl-M</td>
<td>$3.1 \times 10^5$ B</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^5$ BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>5.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Table 4. Deployment of double interaction of nodule number (NN) from joint analysis of the experiments of pre-inoculation + seed treatments in areas of Cerrado and Cerrado/forest without history of soybean cultivation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments of inoculation</th>
<th>NN (n plant⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CEAB – Cerrado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (without inoculation)</td>
<td>16.6 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard inoculant</td>
<td>48.5 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + carbendazim</td>
<td>54.7 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + pyraclostrobin + methyl thiophanate + fipronil</td>
<td>50.9 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + Fludioxonil + Metalaxyl-M</td>
<td>59.3 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>28.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Means followed of the same letter in the columns do not differ by the Tukey test (5% probability). * CV: Coefficient of variation.
fungicides. When cultivated in an area where there was an established population of bacteria, that is, areas that had already been cultivated with soybeans, there was no reduction in nodulation in soybean plants grown under greenhouse conditions (Bueno et al., 2003; Costa et al., 2013). Campo et al. (2009) observed a decrease in nodulation and productivity of plants that were treated with fungicides, and these effects were higher in sandy soils. Treatment with pyraclostrobin + methyl thiophanate + fipronil did not affect nodulation compared to control without seed treatment (Alcântara Neto et al., 2014).

Concerning pre-inoculation, there were no negative effects on the development of soybean for up to five days in the Cerrado of Roraima. However, pre-inoculation together with the treatment of seeds with fungicides (carboxin + thiram) reduced the nodulation, nodule dry matter, shoot dry matter, and grain yield (Zilli et al., 2010). Analogously, Zilli et al. (2009) observed a negative effect of the application of the fungicide based on carboxin + thiram, with a significant reduction (approximately 50%) of the nodulation and more than 20% (approximately 700 kg ha⁻¹) of grain yield in the group inoculated with the strain SEMIA 587.

The negative results of the treatment of inoculated seeds on the day of planting (Bueno et al., 2003; Campo and Hungria, 2000; Campo et al., 2009; Zilli et al., 2009) with inoculation plus seed treatments (Zilli et al., 2010) were both obtained with standard inoculants. However, in this study, a new inoculant developed for the pre-inoculation was evaluated, and despite the reduction in the number of cells recovered in the seeds, these were sufficient to promote the symbiotic efficiency without

### Table 5
Nodule dry mass (NDM), root dry mass (RDM), shoot dry mass (SDM), total dry mass (TDM) and grain yield from joint analysis of the experiments of pre-inoculation + seed treatments in areas of Cerrado and Cerrado/forest without history of soybean cultivation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors¹</th>
<th>NDM</th>
<th>RDM</th>
<th>SDM</th>
<th>TDM</th>
<th>Grain yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>mg plant⁻¹</td>
<td>g plant⁻¹</td>
<td>g plant⁻¹</td>
<td>g plant⁻¹</td>
<td>kg ha⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (without inoculation)</td>
<td>140.1 b</td>
<td>0.75 b</td>
<td>3.77 b</td>
<td>4.51 b</td>
<td>1784.6 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard inoculant</td>
<td>270.7 a</td>
<td>1.12 a</td>
<td>5.35 a</td>
<td>6.48 a</td>
<td>3139.6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + carbenazim</td>
<td>243.6 a</td>
<td>1.19 a</td>
<td>5.09 a</td>
<td>6.28 a</td>
<td>3375.2 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + pyraclostrobin + methyl thiophanate + fipronil</td>
<td>281.7 a</td>
<td>1.25 a</td>
<td>5.74 a</td>
<td>6.99 a</td>
<td>3609.3 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + Fludioxonil + Metalaxyl-M</td>
<td>320.7 a</td>
<td>1.07 a</td>
<td>5.27 a</td>
<td>6.34 a</td>
<td>3187.6 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6
Nodule number (NN), nodule dry mass (NDM), root dry mass (RDM), shoot dry mass (SDM), total dry mass (TDM) and grain yield from joint analysis of the experiments of pre-inoculation + seed treatments in areas of Cerrado and Cerrado/forest already cultivated with soybean.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors¹</th>
<th>NN</th>
<th>NDM</th>
<th>RDM</th>
<th>SDM</th>
<th>TDM</th>
<th>Grain yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatments</td>
<td>n plant⁻¹</td>
<td>mg plant⁻¹</td>
<td>g plant⁻¹</td>
<td>g plant⁻¹</td>
<td>g plant⁻¹</td>
<td>kg ha⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control (without inoculation)</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>390.0</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>6.30 b</td>
<td>7.78 b</td>
<td>2886.4 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard inoculant</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>432.8</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>7.24 a</td>
<td>8.93 a</td>
<td>3399.0 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + carbenazim</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>427.6</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>8.09 a</td>
<td>9.98 a</td>
<td>3354.5 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + pyraclostrobin + methyl thiophanate + fipronil</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>437.3</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>7.86 a</td>
<td>9.51 a</td>
<td>3322.7 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-inoculation + Fludioxonil + Metalaxyl-M</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>430.9</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>7.34 a</td>
<td>8.81 a</td>
<td>3274.1 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Footnotes

1Means followed of the same letter in the columns do not differ by the Tukey test (5% probability). * CV: Coefficient of variation.
affecting the productivity. A recent study of pre-inoculation using a bacterial protector in seeds also found a reduction of *Bradyrhizobium* cells, but the nitrogen fixation and productivity in the field were not affected in areas with and without history of soybean cultivation (Araújo et al., 2017). It is a new technology which will be a valuable tool to soybean producers in Brazil and worldwide.

Pre-inoculation along with the fungicides and insecticide promoted nodulation, plant development, and grain yield similar to the standard inoculant applied on the day of planting without seed treatment. In the two environments where the evaluations were conducted (Cerrado and Cerrado/forest transition), and in both native and soybean-cultivated areas, a similar response was observed both for the treatments inoculated with the control treatment and for the treatments where standard inoculant and pre-inoculant were applied.

**Conclusions**

Application of the inoculant to pre-inoculation in seeds treated with fungicides and insecticides reduces the number of cells recovered per seed. Pre-inoculation performed 10 days before planting, along with seed treatment, positively affects soybean crop productivity. The two places tested, a without soybean cultivation and an area already cultivated with soybean, presented highly similar responses.
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