# academicJournals

Vol. 10(33), pp. 3292-3295, 13 August, 2015 DOI: 10.5897/AJAR2015.9745 Article Number: 765BD4654931 ISSN 1991-637X Copyright ©2015 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJAR

African Journal of Agricultural Research

Full Length Research Paper

# Effect of date of sowing and crop geometry on growth and yield parameters of forage mustard (Var. *Chinese Cabbage*)

S. C. Gawariya<sup>1</sup>\*, N. K. Chopra<sup>2</sup>, N. Chopra<sup>2</sup> and A. S. Harika<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy (Forage production), NDRI Karnal-132001, India. <sup>2</sup>Regional Research Station, IARI, Karnal (Haryana), India.

Received 23 March, 2015; Accepted 20 May, 2015

A field experiment was conducted during *rabi* 2011-2012 at Forage Management and Research Centre, National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal to study the "Effect of date of sowing and crop geometry on seed yield and quality of forage mustard (var. Chinese cabbage)". The soil texture of the experimental site was clay loam with available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium were 212, 20 and 256 kg/ha, respectively. There were 24 treatment combinations consisting of four dates of sowing (1<sup>st</sup> October, 16<sup>th</sup> October, 31<sup>st</sup> October and 15<sup>th</sup> November) and six crop geometry (60×15, 45×15, 45×20, 45×25, 30×20 and 30×25 cm) and the experiment was laid out in split plot design with four replications. From the result, sowing during 1<sup>st</sup> October recorded significantly higher crop yield attributing characters viz. no. of primary, secondary and tertiary branches, number and weight of siliquae /plant and ultimately seed yield (2013 kg/ha) with better growth and higher dry matter accumulation in yield components compared to 31<sup>st</sup> October and 15<sup>th</sup> November. Crop geometry of 30×20 cm recorded significantly higher seed yield (1829 kg/ha) with better utilization of space, nutrients, water and sunshine resulting in higher dry matter translocation to yield components as compared to 60×15, 45×15, 45×20, 45×25 and 30×25 cm crop geometry.

Key word: Crop geometry, date of sowing, forage mustard, seed yield.

# INTRODUCTION

Mustard [*Brassica juncea* L.], an important edible oil seed crop of India belongs to family Brassicaceae. It is known to Greeks, Romans, Indians and Chinese 2000 years ago. Genus *Brassica* comprises of five cultivated species *viz.*, *Brassica juncea* (Indian mustard), *Brassica campestris* (Toria), *Brassica nigra* (Banarasi rye), *Brassica napus* (Gobhi sarson) and *Brassica carinata*  (Abyesinian mustard) predominantly grown in China, India ,Canada, Pakistan, USSR and Europe. Among these, *Brassica compestris* (Toria) var. Chinese cabbage is grown as fodder crop in India. Rapeseed mustard crop in India is grown in diverse agro climatic conditions ranging from northeastern / north western hills to down south under irrigated/rainfed, timely/late sown, saline

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: scgawariya200@gmail.com Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u> soils and mixed cropping. In India, Chara Sarson (Chinese cabbage) occupies 10,000 ha area and productivity is 15 to 35 tonnes/ha green fodder (Handbook of Agriculture, 2010). Rapeseed-mustard follows C<sub>3</sub> pathway for carbon assimilation. Therefore, it has efficient photosynthetic response at 15 to 20°C temperature. At this temperature the plant achieve maximum CO<sub>2</sub> exchange range which declines thereafter (Singh et al., 2001). Mustard oilseed cake is used as livestock feed and green leaves and stem are used as a green fodder for livestock. The competitive ability of a rapeseed- mustard plant depends greatly upon the density of plants per unit area and soil fertility status. The optimum plant population density/unit area varies with the environment, the genotype, the seeding time and the season. Uniform distribution of crop plants over an area results in efficient use of nutrients, moisture and suppression of weeds leading to high yield (Sonani et al., 2002). Mustard sown on 14<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> October took significantly more days to 50% flowering (55 and 57) and maturity (154 and 156) compared to 7<sup>th</sup> October planting (Kumar et al., 2001). Delayed sowing resulted in poor growth, low yield and oil content. Date of sowing influence the incidence of insect-pest and disease also. Sowing on 21<sup>st</sup> October resulted in least Sclerotina incidence (Gupta et al., 2004). So in this backdrop the present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of date of sowing on growth and seed yield of forage mustard (var. Chinese cabbage).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at Forage Research and Management Centre (FRMC), National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal, India during *rabi* 2011-2012. The soil was clay loam in texture, low in available N, medium in organic carbon, available P, and available K. The experimental treatment consist of 4 date of sowing (1<sup>st</sup>Oct.,16 <sup>th</sup> Oct.,30<sup>st</sup> Oct.,15<sup>th</sup> Nov.) and 6 crop geometry (60×15, 45×15, 45×20, 45×25, 30×20, 30×25 cm). The experiment was laid out in a split plot design with 24 treatment combinations with four replications. The forage mustard was raised by following recommended agronomic cultural practices. The growth and yield parameters were taken for at different date of sowing. During October 2011 to March 2012, mean weekly maximum temperature ranged from 33.1°C (10-16<sup>th</sup> October) to 15.5°C (16-22<sup>nd</sup> January), while the mean weekly minimum temperature ranged from 20.8°C (3-9<sup>th</sup> October) to 3.8°C (19-25<sup>th</sup> December).

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Date of sowing as well as crop geometry affected yield and yield parameters in forage mustard (Var. Chinese Cabbage). No. of siliguae/plant, weight of siliguae/plant 1<sup>st</sup> October significantly affected Sowing during (1391.47/plant) recorded significantly higher No. of  $1\tilde{6}^{th}$ siliquae/plant as compared to October (1227.96/plant) and, 31<sup>st</sup> October (847.41/plant) and 15<sup>th</sup> November (656.75/plant) sowing, respectively (Table 1).

These were mainly due to favorable temperature and nutrient dynamics (Dotaniya and Meena, 2013) enhanced higher number of primary, secondary and tertiary branches at harvest (Kurmi, 2002). Whereas crop geometry of 60×15 cm (1526.52/plant) recorded significantly higher number of siliquae/plant as compared to 45×15 cm (906.58/plant), 45×20 cm (988.90/plant), 45x25 cm (1291.41/plant), 30x20 cm (745.76/plant) and 30x25 cm (727.21/plant), respectively. This was mainly due to wider spacing less competition for space and Increasing spacing enhanced the nutrients. root extension and better uptake of nutrients by crop roots (Singh et al., 2001, 2002; Shivani and Kumar, 2002). The weight of siligua/plant and weight of siligua/m<sup>2</sup> was found significantly with respect to dates of sowing and crop geometry. Sowing during 1<sup>st</sup> Oct. significantly higher value in (D1)> (D2)> (D3) > (D4). These results are in conformity with results of Panda et al. (2004).

Stover yield was found significant with respect to dates of sowing and crop geometry. Stover yield remained at par between 1<sup>st</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> October sowings and recorded significantly higher yield as compared to 31st October (D3) (6217.20 kg/ha) and 15<sup>th</sup> November (D4) (4774.3 kg/ha) sowing, respectively. Whereas, crop geometry of 30x20 cm (8125 kg/ha) recorded significantly higher Stover yield as compared to 45×20 cm (7418.98 kg/ha), 45x25 cm (7410.3 kg/ha) and 30x25 cm (8043.98 kg/ha), respectively. It may be due to higher plant height at 30, 60 DAS and at harvest, number of primary, secondary and tertiary branches. Singh and Singh (2002) also reported higher Stover yield in the early sowings. It might be due to higher GDD, PTU, and day taken to attain physiological maturity stage in these sowing dates. The detrimental effect of heat at a later stage of crop development and earing in delayed sowing had an adverse effect on grain yield (Amrawat et al., 2014). This was mainly due to higher plant density. These results are in conformity with the results of Kumar et al. (1997).

The Stover seed yield was found significant with respect to dates of sowing and crop geometry. Sowing during on (D1) recorded significantly higher grain yield as compared to (D3), (D4). There was 18% increase in seed yield with 1<sup>st</sup> October sowing over 31<sup>st</sup> October sowing. The decrease in seed yield with delay in sowing occurred coupled with primarily due to poor dry matter built up which led to reduced bearing capacity. Slower growth at low temperature during early vegetative growth phase and the overall shorter crop duration. Reduced seedfilling duration caused by forced maturity because of sudden rise in temperature during maturity phase, resulted in poor sink strength (Angrej et al., 2002). Crop geometry of 30x20 cm (1829.16 kg/ha) recorded significantly higher seed yield as compared to 60×15 cm (1504.65 kg/ha), 45×15 cm (1640.28 kg/ha) and 45×20 cm (1659.43 kg/ha) spacing, respectively. Wider spacing could not fully utilized the available soil nutrients, moisture and light consequently reducing the seed yield

Treatments		No. of siliquae/ plant	wt. of siliquae/plant (g)	wt. of siliquae/m <sup>2</sup> (g)	Stover yield (kg/ha)	Seed yield (kg/ha)
Date of sow	ving					
D1	1 <sup>st</sup> Oct.	1391.47	96.89	988.50	10021.21	2013.48
D2	16 <sup>th</sup> Oct.	1227.96	86.08	889.46	10147.57	1837.96
D3	31 <sup>st</sup> Oct.	847.41	64.66	659.71	6217.20	1649.69
D4	15 <sup>th</sup> Nov.	656.75	43.12	467.45	4774.30	1216.62
CD (5%)		16.74	10.41	106.01	318.48	240.09
Crop geom	etry (cm)					
S1	60x15	1526.52	97.63	785.80	8000.58	1504.65
S2	45x15	906.58	65.96	806.16	7741.60	1640.28
S3	45x20	988.90	71.27	689.93	7418.98	1659.43
S4	45x25	1291.41	89.32	677.00	7410.30	1706.36
S5	30x20	745.76	56.71	848.64	8125.00	1829.16
S6	30x25	727.21	55.24	700.16	8043.98	1736.74
CD (5%)		25.94	8.18	91.98	266.98	146.09

Table 1. Effect of date of sowing and crop geometry on crop growth and yield parameters.

(Momoh et al., 2004). Increased competition in higher density plants caused reduction in the number of pods on all branches. However high density resulted in higher yield compared to wider spacing whereas per plant branches and no. of pods were high. The results are in conformity with the findings of Sahoo et al. (2000) and Chaniyara et al. (2002).

# Conclusion

In conclusion, sowing of forage mustard as early as in the present study (1<sup>st</sup> October) seems to be a feasible strategy for increasing yield and controlling weeds and conservation of soil moisture and, better nutrient dynamics during crop growth. Crop geometry affected the crop physiology and yield parameters. Ideal spacing (30×25 cm) provide better aeration, soil moisture, plant nutrient and improved the microclimate for crop growth and ultimately seed yield.

# **Conflict of Interest**

The authors have not declared any conflict of interest.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to Director and supporting staff of Department of Agronomy (Forage Production), NDRI, for valuable help during the research period. They are highly thankful to Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi for financial support during the course of investigation.

#### REFERENCES

- Angrej S, Dhingra KK, Jagroop S, Singh M P, Singh J, Singh A (2002). Effect of sowing time and plant density on growth, yield and quality of Ethiopian mustard (*Brassica carinata* A.Br.). J. Res. Punjab Agril. Uni. 39(4): 471-475
- Amrawat T, Solanki NS, Sharma SK, Jajoria DK, Dotaniya ML (2013). Phenology growth and yield of wheat in relation to agrometeorological indices under different sowing dates. Afr. J. Agric. Res. 8(49):6366-6374. DOI: 10.5897/AJAR2013.8019.
- Chaniyara NJ, Solanki RM, Bhalu VB (2002). Effect of inter and intra row spacing's on yield of mustard. Agric. Sci. Dig. 22(1):48-50.
- Dotaniya ML, Meena VD (2013). Rhizosphere effect on nutrient availability in soil and its uptake by plants -A review. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., India Sec. B: Biol. Sci. 85(1):1-12. DOI 10.1007/s400.
- Gupta R, Avasthi RP, Kolte SJ (2004). Influence of sowing dates on the incidence of *Sclerotinia* stem rot of Rapeseed mustard. Ann. Plant Protection Sci. 12(1):223-224.
- Kumar A, Singh B, Yashpal, Yadava JS (2001). Effect of sowing time and crop geometry on tetralocular Indian mustard. Indian J. Agric. Sci. 62(4):258-262.
- Kumar S, Singh J, Dhingra KK (1997). Leaf area index with solar radiation interception and yield of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) as influenced by plant population and nitrogen. Ind. J. Agron. 42(2):348-351.
- Kurmi K (2002). Influence of sowing date on the performance of rapeseed and mustard varieties under rainfed situation of Southern Assam. J. Oilseeds Res. 19(2):197-198.
- Momoh OM, Tule JJ, Nwosu CC (2004). Backward integration in natural incubation of local chickens using the basket system. Proceedings of the 29th annual conference of the Nigerian society for Animal production. 21-25<sup>th</sup> March 2004. Usman Danfodio University Sokoto. 29:36-39.
- Panda BB, Shivay YS, Bandyopadhyay SK (2004) Growth and development of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) under different levels of irrigation and date of sowing. Ind. J. Plant Physiol. 9(4):419-425.
- Singh R, Patidar M, Singh B (2001). Response of Indian mustard cultivars to different sowing time. Indian J. Agron. 46(2):292-295.
- Sahoo RK, Abdul Khalak SGM, Sheriff RA (2000). Influence of spacing regimes and nitrogen levels on yield and quality of mustard cultivars. Res. Crops 1(1):50-54.
- Shivani, Kumar S (2002). Response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) to sowing date and row spacing in mid hills of Sikkim under

rainfed conditions. Indian J. Agron. 47(3):405-410.

- Singh A, Dhingra KK, Singh J, Šingh MP (2002). Effect of sowing time and plant density on growth, yield and quality of Ethopian mustard (*Brassica carihata* L.). J. Res. 39(4):471-475.
- Singh R, Rao VUM, Singh D, Kant S (2002). Effect of sowing date and plant density on phonological behaviour, yield and its attributes in oilseed *Brassicae*. J. Oilseeds Res. 19(1):119-121.
- Singh SK, Singh G (2002). Response of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) varieties to nitrogen under varying sowing dates in eastern Uttar Pradesh. Indian J. Agron. 47(2):242-248.
- Sonani V V, Patel PT, Patel GG (2002). Performance of mustard under different dates of sowing in Bhal and Coastal Agro-climatic zone of Gujarat. J. Oilseeds Res. 19(1):122.