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Periods of competition between weeds and soybean crop in Cerrado

Francisco de Assis Rolim Pereira1*, José Antonio Maior Bono1, José Francisco dos Reis Neto1, Denis Santos da Silveira1, Cleomar Berselli1 and Fernando Tadeu de Carvalho2

1Production and Agribusiness Management, University Anhanguera-Uniderp, Rua Alexandre Herculano, 1.400 - Bairro Jardim Veraneio - CEP 79037-280 Campo Grande, MS, Brasil.
2FEIS, UNESP, Ilha Solteira, SP – Brasil.

Received 9 April, 2015; Accepted 12 August, 2015

This paper aimed to identify the periods of weed interference in soybean cultivar P98Y12, in the 2011/2012 harvest. The importance of this paper is that soybean is a commodity of great participation of the Brazilian economy and one of the largest contributions in the balance of exports. The assay was carried out in Oxisol, in the town of Campo Grande, Mato Grosso do Sul state, Brazil. The experimental design was a randomized block with four replications and fifteen treatments that consisted of periods of coexistence between the weeds and the crop. Additionally, phytosociological research was performed among the weeds. Under the conditions of the assay the Period Previous to Interference (PPI) was recorded at 7 DAE, the Critical Period for Prevention of Interference (CPPI) between 7 and 42 DAE and the Total Period for Prevention of Interference (TPPI) between emergence and 42 DAE. The infestation that occurred in the experimental area caused 90.42% of losses in grain yield. The weed species that showed the highest Importance Value Index (IV) were Digitaria horizontalis (94.2%) and Ipomoea grandifolia (71.9%).
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INTRODUCTION

Soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merrill) is the most cultivated oleaginous crop in the world and one of the most commercialized commodities around the globe. According to Conab (2014), Brazil alone produced 86 million tons of this grain during the 2012/2013 harvest, in an area of approximately 30.1 million hectares, making the country the second largest producer of soybean worldwide. As with all crops, in order to achieve successful results and high grain yield, it is necessary to apply efficient and cost-effective production systems. Among many factors that integrate the production system, the management and control of weeds stand out, since the inefficient handling these, might decrease production (Pereira, 2004).

Pitelli (1985) classifies weeds as being those that emerge spontaneously in agricultural ecosystems, causing a series of interferences with the cultivated plants, which not only compromise productivity but also the execution of a chosen production system. Because of its soil and climate conditions, Brazil stands out as a
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country with a vast potential for soybean cultivation. However, the tropical climate is also very favourable to many weed species that interfere in the development and productivity of crops (Barros et al., 2000).

Weeds are competitive plants with aggressive characteristics that help them thrive and adapt to disturbed environments. Some of these features are the capacity to produce large quantities of seeds that can be easily disperse and have a long lifespan; and also their efficient propagation mechanisms, such as rhizomes and tubercles, that can endure long periods in soil (Lorenzi, 2008).

Oliveira Jr. et al. (2011) state that grain yield and profit in soybean cultivation are limited by weed interference, which tends to raise production costs, reduce profit margin and lower the quality of the product. Therefore, in order to manage these issues, it is necessary to study and determine the periods during which a crop can tolerate coexistence with weeds (Pitelli and Durigan, 1984).

In studies carried out by Silva et al. (2008), Costa et al. (2008) and Brigueni and Brigueni (2009) the critical periods of coexistence were established for weeds in annual crops. However, the values obtained were not agreed upon by the different authors. This lack of a pattern occurs due to the different development conditions in which the studies were conducted (crop, management, cultivation system, soil and climate conditions, as well as specific compositions of weeds).

To measure the level of interference of the invader species, Pitelli and Durigan (1984) proposed the following concepts: period previous to interference (PPI), critical period for prevention of interference (CPPI) and total period for prevention of interference (TPPI). The PPI is the period in which, after emergence, the crop coexists with the invaders before its productivity, or other characteristics, are negatively affected. The CPPI is defined as the period of the cycle when the coexistence between the crop and weeds decreases productivity of the cultivated plants. The TPPI is the period after emergence in which the crop should be kept free of weeds so that its productivity is not negatively affected (Pitelli, 2014). This study aimed to identify the periods of interference of weeds in the soybean crop, cultivar P98Y12, under soil and climate conditions found in the Cerrado (Brazilian savannah), in order to provide helpful information that would optimize the soybean production.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

It was identified five families and eight species of weeds, as shown in Table 1. The species Digitaria horizontalis from the Poaceae family and Ipomoea grandifolia from the Convolvulaceae family both stood out; presenting high values for the IVI (importance value index), 94.2 and 71.9 respectively. The density results of the same species were also relevant, showing 11.5 plants.m−2 of Digitaria horizontalis and 8.2 of Ipomoea grandifolia.

As indicated on Figure 1, along the periods of coexistence there was an expected increase in the weight of weed dry matter, and the weed growth rate started to decrease and stabilized from the 56th DAE, according to the Tukey's analysis. This happened due to the closing of the crop, which occurred at 46 days after emergence, causing shade and reducing the competitive capacity of the invaders.

On the other hand, the maximum grain yield occurred when there was absolutely no coexistence with the invaders, resulting in 3,248.2 kg.ha−1. From then on, there was no significant difference in this production until the seventh day of coexistence. Therefore, the period previous to interference, in these conditions, was recorded as being from emergence until the seventh day after emergence. However, Meschede et al. (2004) recorded the PPI as lasting until the 11th DAE, when the main infesting flora was Euphorbia heterophylla.

Following the Tukey test (Figure 1) it was observed that from the 42nd DAE there were no further significant
Table 1. Phytosociological characteristics of weeds, classified by family. Campo Grande-MS, 2012.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>Dr</th>
<th>Fr</th>
<th>Ar</th>
<th>IVI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digitaria horizontalis</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>94.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eleusine indica</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachiaria decumbens</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convolvulaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ipomoea grandifolia</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>71.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ipomoeae nil</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidens pilosa</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commelinaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commelina benghalensis</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>17.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amaranthaceae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amaranthus deflexus</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D = Density (plants.m$^{-2}$), F = Frequency (%), A = Abundance (%), Dr = Relative density (%), Fr = Relative frequency (%), Ar = Relative abundance (%) and IVI = Importance Value Index.

Differences in relation to subsequent treatments, which indicates the end of the TPPI, since from the emergence until this period it was possible to prevent the interference from weeds in the soybean crop. It is known that TPPI = PPI + CPPI, therefore the Critical Period for Prevention of Interference (CPPI) was considered to be from the 7th to the 42nd DAE. Under conditions of lower infestation, however, Meschede et al. (2004) indicates that the CPPI lasts from 17 until 44 days after emergence.

After the periods of interference were defined, it was noted that until the end of the TPPI (42 DAE) there was a linear decrease in grain yield and a linear increase in the...
dry mass of weeds. Meschede et al. (2004) obtained a daily loss of 6.45 kg.ha⁻¹ with a density of 25 plants.m² of the specie *E. heterophylla*, while Pereira (2004) obtained a daily drop of 16 kg.ha⁻¹ with an predominant infestation of *Bidens pilosa*.

This matches the data found by Blanco (1985), which stated losses between 42 to 95%, and contradicts the data by Lorenzi (2008), which indicated interference of 30 to 40%. The competition of *E. heterophylla* in studies carried by Meschede et al. (2004) reached a maximum of 38% loss. The crop stand was significantly compromised by the competition with the invaders. It dropped from 15.5 plants per linear metre to 11.50 (Figure 2). These values were similar to the data found by Meschede et al. (2004).

There was also a significant difference on the number of pods per plant (Figure 3), changing from 58.75 to
38.25 between day 0 and day 133 after exposure of the crop to weeds. Oliveira Jr. et al. (2011) also observed a significant drop in the number of pods per plant (Figure 4). There was no significant change in the average number of grains per pod, nevertheless the grain yield was seriously compromised, reaching 310 kg ha⁻¹, during critical competition along the complete crop cycle.

Conclusions
It was concluded that the Period Previous to Interference (PPI) was from crop emergence until 7 days later; the Critical Period for Prevention of Interference (CPPI) was from 7 to 42 DAE and the Total Period for Prevention of Interference (TPPI) was from emergence until 42 DAE. The weeds that presented the highest Importance Value Index (IVI) were Digitaria horizontalis (94.2) and Ipomoea grandifolia (71.9), from the families Poaceae and Convolvulaceae, respectively. The infestation conditions studied caused up to 90.42% in productivity loss.
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