

Review

Applications of genomic copy number variations on livestock: A review

Habtamu Abera Goshu^{1,2*}, Min Chu¹ and Ping Yan¹

¹Department Animal Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Science, Lanzhou Institute of Husbandry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Key Laboratory of Yak Breeding Engineering of Gansu Province, Lanzhou, 730050, Gansu, China.

²Oromia Agricultural Research Institute, Bako Agricultural Research Center, P. O. Box 03, Bako, Ethiopia.

Received 27 March, 2018; Accepted 25 October, 2018

Genomic copy number variations (CNVs) have significantly modified genomic regions during inversions, translocations, duplications and deletions that keep shaping phenotypic variation through changes in gene dosage, regulation and transcript structure. The objective of this review was to discuss the current application of CNVs on domestication, adaptations, productions, coat colour, morphology and diseases of livestock, and also address implication of CNV on genotyping selections, limitation and in the future, directions of livestock breeding. The CNVs are a key role in generating essential variation in the livestock population and disease phenotype. The association of CNVs with phenotypic traits was utilized for the determination of feed conversion ratios in beef cattle, growth, milk production, reproduction, coat color, health, domestication and morphology. Genomic CNVs are an important type of genetic variation in livestock development in different environments. This knowledge will be extremely pertinent from a molecular perspective and practical applications of genomic CNVs in animal breeding and allowed breeders to consider genomic selection of young animals at earlier ages. However, in livestock species, there are still open questions remaining about the genome frequency of CNVs. Therefore, application of genomics CNVs in livestock breaks the bridging between breeders and livestock producers; however, there are still gaps on genotypes and overlapping genes.

Key words: Adaptation, association, production, coat color and morphology.

INTRODUCTION

A key area of research in livestock genetics is the investigation of DNA variants which highly influence the phenotype of individuals (Clöp et al., 2012). Chromosomal rearrangements have significantly modified genomic regions during inversions, translocations, duplications and deletions that is constantly shaping phenotypic variation through changes in gene dosage, regulation and

transcript structure (Bickhart and Liu, 2014; Clöp et al., 2012). According to the reviews of Freeman et al. (2006), genomic divergence can be observed in several forms, including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), variable numbers of tandem repeats, transposable elements and structural variations such as deletions, duplications and inversions. As Dekkers (2004) report,

*Corresponding author. E-mail: habtamu2016@yahoo.com.

genetic markers have provided opportunities to enhance the selection for economically crucial quantitative traits in beef cattle, especially for traits that are difficult to improve by conventional selections. The main goal in the application of genetic markers towards livestock breeding goals is the detection of genes which are important for quantitative traits. These are implicated in phenotypes variations and their interaction with the gene-environment or economic traits. In previous studies, genetic variation in livestock has been described using microsatellites (Curi et al., 2005) and SNPs (Utsunomiya et al., 2013). Until recently, SNPs were considered nucleotide variations detected during genome sequencing, and the most important cause of genetic variation by the livestock research community. For this reason, many studies have utilized SNP markers for genetic improvement of beef and dairy cattle (Hayes et al., 2009; Van Raden et al., 2009; Wiggans et al., 2009). For instance, the development of yak gene specific SNPs for adaptation mechanisms to hypoxia and growth, and detection of the causal mutation of polledness in yak breeds have been reported (Liang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2014; WU Xiao-Yun et al., 2015). However, compared with SNPs, Henrichsen et al. (2009a) reported that CNVs are significant sources of genetic variation and play a major role in phenotypic diversity and evolutionary adaptation (Hou et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2009). Also, variation in the number of gene copies in the genome (Redon et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2009) affects the expression of genes as well as having a large-scale influence on the transcriptome (Henrichsen et al., 2009b). Gene dosage, gene interruption, generation of a fusion gene, position effects, unmasking of recessive coding region mutations, and other functional SNPs are predominant molecular mechanisms by which CNVs convey diverse phenotypes and genotype along with complex diseases (Beckmann et al., 2007; Feuk et al., 2006; Lupski and Stankiewicz, 2005; Redon et al., 2006; Reymond et al., 2007; Weischenfeldt et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2009).

Copy number variations (CNVs), a segment of DNA, that is 1 kb or larger in size and is present at a variable copy number in comparison with a reference genome, namely, deletions and duplications; as well as insertions, inversions and translocations (Feuk et al., 2006), contributes significantly to genetic and phenotypic variation among individuals of a species (Beckmann et al., 2007; Conrad et al., 2010; Feuk et al., 2006; Ghosh et al., 2014; Mills et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2016; Weischenfeldt et al., 2013). The application of CNVs animal breeding had long been held back due to the lack of reliable genotyping methods for CNVs (Zhou et al., 2016). Besides, in recent years, advances in genomic technologies have allowed the construction of maps of CNVs for cattle (Liu et al., 2010), horses (Ghosh et al., 2014), goats (Fontanesi et al., 2010), sheep (Liu et al., 2013), pigs (Paudel et al., 2013), dogs (Alvarez and Akey, 2012), chickens (Zhang et al., 2014), and yaks

(Zhang et al., 2016), using different methods including whole-genome sequencing, array-based comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH), SNP array, and next-generation sequencing (NGS) and providing a very significant resource for determining how CNVs convey economically important phenotypic traits in livestock species. The effects of CNVs on phenotype traits have been elucidated in different domestic animals. The association of CNVs with phenotypic traits was utilized for the determination of feed conversion ratios in beef cattle (de Almeida Santana et al., 2016), milk somatic cell scores in Holstein cattle (Ben Sassi et al., 2016; Durán Aguilar et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2014) and quantitative traits in a Brown Swiss population (Prinsen et al., 2017). A further study using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) in Chinese cattle revealed that CNVs in the genes MICAL-like 2 (*MICAL-L2*) (Xu et al., 2013), leptin receptor (*LEPR*) (Shi et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017), myosin heavy chain 3 (*MYH3*) (Xu et al., 2014), potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily J member 12 (*KCNJ12*) (Zhou et al., 2016) mitogen-activated protein kinase 10 (*MAPK10*) (Liu et al., 2016), phospholipase A2 group IID (*PLA2G2D*) (Zhang et al., 2014) and cytochrome P450 family 4 subfamily A member 11 (*CYP4A11*) (Yang et al., 2017) are associated with gene expression and growth traits. On the other hand, the association of CNVs with growth traits was explored for the muscle development (Lin et al., 2018) and growth traits (Rao et al., 2016) in chickens and meat tenderness, feed conversion ratio, shear force, residual feed intake and marbling score in a Nelore Cattle (Da Silva et al., 2016a). The objective of this review was to discuss the current application CNVs on domestication, adaptations, productions, coat colour, morphology and diseases of livestock and also address implication CNV on genotyping selections, limitation and in the future directions of livestock breeding.

DOMESTICATION AND COPY NUMBER VARIATIONS

Notable changes in behavior, morphology, physiology and reproduction of the evolution of wild species into tame forms are domestication (Darwin, 1859). Domestications have shaped the genome of all living things in our globe. After domestication, human adjust animals by selecting important traits to further add to the complexity of evolution. The plants and animals domestication was one of the most prominent roles in cultural and evolutionary changes over the past 20,000 decade (Larson et al., 2014). Zebu and taurine breeds are differentiated primarily by the presence or absence of a hump, taurine are domesticated in the Fertile Crescent ~8,000–10,000 years ago and indicine are domesticated in the Indus Valley ~6,000–8,000 years ago (Larson et al., 2014; Loftus et al., 1994). Qiu et al. (2015) reported that domestication of yaks occurred approximately 7,300

years before now, which coincides with two early human population expansions on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau (QTP) of China during the early-Neolithic age and the late-Holocene, respectively. Guo et al. (2006) revealed that all domestic yaks may have originated from a single wild population (or gene pool); however, their divergence occurred before the domestication. Indeed, Lai et al. (2007) suggested that the Chinese yak was domesticated from two distinct matrilineal sources or from a heterogeneous pool containing both divergent lineages, with occasional gene introgression from cattle. This indicates that it is slightly later than the domestication of many livestock species (10,000-8,000 year before present) (Larson et al., 2014; Zeder, 2008). The divergence times of *Bos* and *Poephagus* (yaks) are 0.57–1.53 million years after the present (Qiu et al., 2012). Moreover, the diverged time for yak and cattle, yak and water buffalo, yak and sheep/goat were approximately 4.9, 12.2 and 20.6 million years ago, respectively (Qiu et al., 2012). However, divergence times of two cattle (*Bos taurus* and *Bos indicus*) are estimated 1.7-2.0 million years ago (Hiendleder et al., 2008). During domestication, the breeds were mostly selected based on coat colour and polled phenotypes (Bickhart et al., 2016). Therefore, bovine genomes were modified by human and livestock interaction in the surroundings environment. Ajmone-Marsan (2010) revealed that after domestication, human selected traits that enabled easy management and breeders wanted to improve production traits by using artificial selection (artificial insemination) which affects genetic variability within breeds.

In past few years, genomic changes of domestications history of the cattle and yaks breeds were identified using different markers, such as SNPs (Decker et al., 2009; McTavish et al., 2013), whole-genome resequencing (Qiu et al., 2015), mtDNA (Wang et al., 2010) and CNVs (Bickhart et al., 2012, 2016; Liu et al., 2009, 2010; Zhang et al., 2016) which are accompanied by breed specific genomic differentiation, hybridization, and introgression (Zhang et al., 2015). Qiu et al. (2015) detected signals of selection in 209 genes of domestic yaks which is associated with behaviour and tameness. Similarly, Zhang et al. (2016) revealed that CNVs was the potential contributions in the process of yak domestication, although Wang et al. (2010) identified about 38 genes related to domestication of yaks by using SNP. Moreover, Zhang et al. (2016) found that 46 CNVs genes delineate between domestic and wild yaks that is correlated with behavior, brain and nervous system development. For instance, the CNVs of the *GRIN2D*, *SHANK3*, *KCNJ14*, *CA11* and *NTF4* genes were associated with normal brain development, learning, working memory and behavior (Zhang et al., 2016). Thus, Carneiro et al. (2014) argued on the effect of strong selection on reducing aggressive behavior and neurological traits.

Domestication is a change reproductive efficiency of livestock breeds; for example, in yak CNVs of the

TSEG2, *AKR1C3* and *IZUMO1* genes were associated reproductive performance traits, such as age of puberty, sperm production, ovulation rate and embryonic mortality (Zhang et al., 2016). Domestic and wild CNVs are significantly different in nutrition metabolism and mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation (Zhang et al., 2016). Biological processes such as neurobiology, growth and metabolism, and immunobiology play significant roles in the domestication and subsequent evolution of European *Bos taurus* cattle, which is accompanied by behavioral selection and phenotypic traits (meat and milk) (Park et al., 2015). CNVs have been playing significant roles in the behavioral changes of cattle due to domestication (Shin et al., 2014). Comparative analyses of the olfactory receptor (OR) genes of cattle, pigs, humans, mice, and dogs showed that 6.0% of functional OR cattle genes were species-specific because OR gene subfamilies that are important for the survival of the species are likely to expand in the genome through evolution (Lee et al., 2013).

ADAPTATION AND COPY NUMBER VARIATIONS

In modern livestock breeds across the world, genetics adaptations have been affected by selective (natural and human-imposed) and non-selective forces (demographic events and introgression) (Bickhart et al., 2016). Selection pressures from environment and handlers influences a nuanced evolutionary history of livestock species (Bickhart and Liu, 2014). Compared with cattle, yaks graze throughout the year on diverse natural grasslands and have evolved morphological characteristics enabling them to consume a wide variety of plant species, thereby making them better adapt to the typically harsh characteristics of their pastures (Shao et al., 2010). Lower methane emissions from yak can be compared with cattle in rumen simulation technique (RUSITEC) fermenters (Mi et al., 2017) because the yaks have a unique rumen microbial ecosystem that is significantly different from that of cattle and has great potential as an “energy-saving” animal as many researchers around the world aim to find “low carbon” livestock (Huang et al., 2012). Qiu et al. (2012) reported that 81 genes detected in yak were related to the hypoxia response and energy metabolism. Hypoxia-inducible factors (HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α) expressions were higher in yak tissues than in cattle which indicated involvement of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α genes in oxygen homeostasis in response to hypoxia (Xiong et al., 2015). The *PGM1*, *PKLR*, *ATP5F1*, and *NDUFA10* genes were under positive selection in hypoxia-tolerant Tibetan yak (Tian et al., 2017). Lan et al. (2018) detected that 339 genes which are related to physiological rhythm and histones, provided a basis for the evolution, molecular origin, and unique traits of Jinchuan yak. Recent studies (Xiao-Yun et al., 2015) indicated that *EPAS1* was

identified as a selective target gene and contribute to the hypoxia adaptation at high altitudes of Tibetan mastiffs (Li et al., 2014), indigenous dogs (Gou et al., 2014), Tibetan sheep (Wei et al., 2016) and yaks (Xiao-Yun et al., 2015). Yang et al. (2016) suggested that energy metabolism is a possible adaptive mechanism for ruminants that inhabit the plateau environments. For instance in yak, Zhang et al. (2016) revealed that CNVs of the *MOGAT2*, *GYS1*, *DHDH*, *HSD17B14*, *CPT1B*, *BCAT2* and *MIOX* genes are involved in metabolism of sugars, lipid, amino acid and carbohydrate during adaptation. The CNVs of *MRP4*, *DCC* and *DEXI* genes are associated with the hypoxia response in high and low altitude domestic yak (Zhang et al., 2016).

On the other hand, genomic differences between zebu and taurine cattle are due to their original geographic distribution. Taurine cattle are more adapted to temperate climate, while zebu cattle are better adapted to tropical environments; therefore, differences between these two cattle could be linked to genomic adaptation to the environment (Porto-Neto et al., 2013). CNVs of the *SCP2*, *IFNT*, *ULBP2* and ORs genes were related to adaptation of cattle (Hou et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2010). CNV genes are ORs that play an important role in food foraging and mate recognition in pig (Paudel et al., 2015) as well as detection of volatile chemicals in the environment (Bickhart and Liu, 2014), which provides rapid adaptation to different environments. In addition, gene families involved in sensory perception are usually fast evolving due to their importance in the organism responding to rapid changes in the environment (Zhang et al., 2016). The ORs are critical for transmitting the effects of odorants, contributing to the sense of smell, and have been implicated in participating in appetite regulation (Zhou et al., 2018). However, comparative genome study has revealed that gene families related to sensory perception were significantly expanded in yak compared to other mammals (Qiu et al., 2012). Animal genomes display enrichment of CNVs in genes which is associated to immune response and environmental interaction such as sensory perceptions of smell and chemical stimuli (Bickhart et al., 2012, 2016; Keel et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2010; Upadhyay et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2016, 2017; Yang et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2016). Regarding Qinghai-Tibet plateau in north-western China, Yang et al. (2017) observed that CNVs of the *MRV11*, *ABO*, *GLRB* and *EPHA3* genes were associated with adaptation of the arid environment exhibiting dry, hypoxia, and low air pressure.

ASSOCIATION OF CNVs WITH REPRODUCTION AND PRODUCTION TRAITS

The association between CNVs and economically important phenotypic traits had been investigated in different breeds of domesticated animals (Chen et al.,

2012; Shi et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2014). Recent studies (da Silva et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014) underlined that CNVs are associated with health, meat, milk production and reproduction. CNVs of *PLA2G2D* gene play significant roles in lipid metabolism, fat deposition and gonadotropin-releasing hormone signalling in Back Angus cattle (Stothard et al., 2011). Also, CNV of the *PHLDA2* gene is essential for normal embryo development during early development and pre-implantation embryos (da Silva et al., 2016a). A further study using NGS in dairy cattle revealed that CNVs in *INS*, *IGF2*, *FOXO3*, *TH*, *SCD5*, *GALNT18*, *GALNT16*, *ART3*, *SNCA*, and *WNT7A* genes are potentially associated with milk protein and fat traits (Gao et al., 2017). In the works of Xu et al. (2014), Ben Sassi et al. (2016), Jiang et al. (2016) and Upadhyay et al. (2017), CNVs were significantly associated with milk production traits. In addition, CNVs of the genes are associated with reproduction and milk phenotype in cattle (Durán Aguilar et al., 2017; Da Silva et al., 2016b; McCarthy et al., 2012; Prinsen et al., 2017; Sermyagin et al., 2016; Shin et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016; Yue et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2018). In chickens, novel CNV of the *TGFβ3* gene is associated with duration of fertility traits (Gu et al., 2017). The study of Sasaki et al. (2016) identified a deleted-type CNV encompassing *ANXA10* in cows that was associated with embryonic mortality at 30–60 days after artificial insemination.

In past several studies, bovine CNVs of the *LEPR* (Shi et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2017), *GBP2* (Zhang et al., 2018), *MYH3* (Xu et al., 2013) and *MAPK10* (Liu et al., 2016) genes have been reportedly associated with growth traits of cattle. Indeed, Shin et al. (2014) showed that CNVs of the *TTN*, *HDAC4*, *TSHR*, and *CCDC141* genes were associated with meat production and were strongly related to muscle in Hanwoo cattle (Shin et al., 2014) and marbling in Japanese Black beef cattle (Yamada et al., 2009). Copy number variable regions (CNVRs) existing in a QTL is related to meat quality, feed conversion ratio, shear force, residual feed intake and marbling score in Nelore Cattle (Da Silva et al., 2016b). In addition, genome CNVs are associated with meat tenderness in Nellore Cattle (Da Silva et al., 2016b). Xu et al. (2017) suggested that the CNV of the *LEPR* gene contributed to fat deposition in muscles and desirable trait for meat production in Qinchuan cattle breeds. CNV of the *ABCA12* gene was associated with growth and development in Holstein and Jersey cattle breeds (Mesbah-Uddin et al., 2018).

On the other hand, Zhang et al. (2018) indicated that gain CNV type of the *GBP2* gene has high growth traits in body height and body length than loss/median CNV types in Pinan cattle, whereas the median CNV type of the *GBP2* showed better body length in Jian and Chaidamu cattle and yak (Zhang et al., 2018). In Jiaxian cattle, gain CNV type of the *CYP4A11* gene had higher adult hucklebone width than loss types, while in Qinchuan and

Jinnan cattle gain CNV type of the *CYP4A11* gene had higher adult heart girth, chest depth and body weight than loss types (Yang et al., 2017). The *MICAL-L2* CNVs were significantly associated with body weight, height, and length in Nanyang cattle at 6 and 12 months old (Xu et al., 2013). The individuals with copy number gain of the *MYH3* gene showed better growth trait than the loss and/or median copy number types in Chinese cattle (Xu et al., 2014). The gain/normal CNV type of the *LEPR* gene performed better in traits of body weight, height and length than the loss type in Nanyang (Shi et al., 2016). Similarly, the gain CNV type of the *MAPK10* gene performed better in traits of body weight, body height and chest girth than the loss type in Nanyang (Liu et al., 2016). Further, Bouwman et al. (2018) found that missense variant of *LCOR* (ligand-dependent co-repressor) is associated with variation in height and body size in mammalian.

Although the individuals with copy number gain in *LEPR* gene has high body weight, hucklebone width and rump length than loss or median (Xu et al., 2017), heart girth and body length are significantly associated with CNV types in CNVR (Zhang et al., 2014) in Chinese cattle. According to the finding of Liang et al. (2010), it was indicated that genotype *IGF1R* gene is associated with body weight, body height and body slanting length in yak breeds. Consistently, gain and normal copy number types has high heart girth, body length, weight and height at cattle ages of 12, 18 and 24 months (Shi et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2013); Conversely, no significant association was found at CNVs *LEPR* locus with growth traits of cattle age of 2 years (Shi et al., 2016), maybe due to breed differences and/or relatively small numbers of samples (Xu et al., 2013). Significant difference in CNV of the *LEPR* gene was determined in the Nanyang and Qinchuan cattle breeds in China (Xu et al., 2017). CNVs of the *PLA2G2D* and *MYH3* genes are significantly associated with body height, body length, heart girth, hucklebone width, and body weight (Zhang et al., 2014). Zhou et al. (2016) detected 17 CNVs overlapped with QTLs that were significantly associated with seven growth traits and one of the CNV may be involved in growth traits through *KCNJ12*. In yak, CNVs of the *KLF6*, *CHKB*, *GPC1* and *CHRM3* are associated with growth traits (Zhang et al., 2016).

ASSOCIATION OF CNVs WITH COAT COLOR

In farm animal breeds, coat colour is one of the most significant characters that can be used to distinguish them. This is influenced by different genes that are involved in determining the presence, distribution and biochemical activities of the melanocytes (Fontanesi et al., 2010). The *ASIP*, *TYR*, *TYRP1*, *KIT*, *KITLG*, *PMEL*, *MC1R*, and *MIT* genes play major roles in determining coat colour variation in livestock breeds (Brenig et al.,

2013; Fontanesi et al., 2010, 2012; Fontanesi and Russo, 2013). On the other hand, CNVRs covering the *MC1R* gene has been involved in coat color of Black Angus cattle (Hou et al., 2012).

Coat colours in sheep and goat breeds are determined by duplication CNVs of the *ASIP* gene (Fontanesi et al., 2009, 2011; Han et al., 2015; Norris and Whan, 2008, Figure 1). Similarly, Norris and Whan (2008) reported that tandem duplication of a 190-kb portion of the ovine genome is responsible for the dominant white coat color (A^{wt}) allele of domestic sheep. The duplication of *ASIP* is linked to the typical white coat colour sheep species (Bickhart and Liu, 2014), because the white coats are favoured in sheep for wool production that is easily dyed. Moreover, the duplications of the *KIT* gene in the pigs are determined by the dominant white locus (Pielberg et al., 2002; Rubin et al., 2012). Moreover, Brenig et al. (2013) identified three major duplication *KIT* locus variations in White Galloway and White Park cattle that could represent the fundamental mutation for dominant white, patch and belts phenotypes. However, colour sidedness in cattle is determined by a first allele on chromosome 29 (Cs29), which results from the translocation of a 492-kilobase chromosome 6 segment encompassing *KIT* to chromosome 29 through a process of micro homology mediated end joining, and a second allele on chromosome 6 (Cs6), derived from the first by repatriation of fused 575-kilobase chromosome 6 and 29 sequences to the *KIT* locus via non-allelic homologous recombination (NAHR) in several Brown Swiss and Belgian Blue cattle (Durkin et al., 2012). In White Galloway and White Park cattle, the effects of the modified *KIT* locus result in mottled markings rather than color sidedness (Brenig et al., 2013). Additionally, Durkin et al. (2012) found that colour sided Dutch witrik and Ethiopian Fogera cattle were shown to carry chromosome 29 allele; Austrian psteraler sprinzen, Czech red-spotted cattle and French vosgienne the brown Swiss carry chromosome 6 allele; and Irish moiled, Swedish mountain and domestic yak carried both the chromosome 29 and 6 alleles. Similarly, the coloured side and white color of yak breeds are caused by the sequential translations of *KIT* chromosome 6 and 29 alleles (Zhang et al., 2014), due to introgression in yak after domestication via well-documented hybridization of *Bos taurus* and *Bos grunniens* (Durkin et al., 2012; Medugorac et al., 2017).

COPY NUMBER VARIATIONS AND MORPHOLOGY

The feather growth and comb shape are the defining morphological traits of the chicken and are caused by CNVs. Dominant mutation in pea comb of chickens significantly reduces the size of the comb and wattles (Wright et al., 2009; Figure 2). Chickens dissipate up to 15% of their body heat through the comb and wattles due



Figure 1. Copy number variation of the *ASIP* (Sheep) and *KIT* (cattle) genes contribute to phenotype (coat colours). Sources: Durkin et al. (2012), and Norris and Whan (2008).

to lack of sweat (Whittow, 2000); therefore, chicken reduce heat loss through pea comb phenotype to adaptive cold environments (Wright et al., 2009). Bickhart and Liu (2014) reported that important genes identified in chickens that have been modified by CNVs are the pea comb phenotype. Duplication of the first intron of the *SOX5* gene in male and female chickens of pea comb phenotype keeps reducing the size of combs (Wright et al., 2009). In developing countries like Ethiopia, farmers' selects indigenous chicken for breeding and marketing purpose based on comb and wattle types (Bekele et al., 2016). For instance, the market price of double comb (Pea-comb) is more than single comb (Wild-type) of chickens (Bekele et al., 2016). A sex-linked late feathering allele K containing two copies of *PRLR* has been introduced to commercial flocks and used widely for sexing hatchlings (Wang et al., 2010). The late feathering locus has been linked to a CNV positioned at the Z chromosome, where duplication of partial copies *PRLR* and *SPEF2* genes show mutant K alleles (Wang et al., 2010). This mutation causes reduced fertility and retarded development of fly feathers. Recent work indicated that *PRLR* expression in the skin of one-day old early feathering and late feathering chicks did not show significant difference, whilst *SPEF2* expression in the skin of one-day old displayed a significant difference between early feathering and late feathering chicks (Zhao et al., 2016), suggesting that *SPEF2* gene is good candidate genes for chicken feathering, but *PRLR* is not.

ASSOCIATION OF CNV WITH DISEASES

In the history of livestock evolution, CNVs of the genes is associated with immune system in different environments. Bickhart et al. (2016) found that CNVs duplication of the *KRTAP9-1* gene paralog of *KRTAP9-2* involved tick resistance in indicine cattle. Similarly, the CNVs of the

ULBP17 gene encoded was related to the immune system in yak (Zhang et al., 2016) as well as pathogen and parasite resistance in cattle (Alvarez and Akey, 2012; Bickhart et al., 2012; da Silva et al., 2016a). Although CNVs of the *BoLA-DQA2*, *BoLA-DQA3* and *BoLA-DQB* genes are associated with immune response in yak (Zhang et al., 2016), the CNVR of *TICAM1* is candidate gene for response to *Trypanosoma congolense* infection (Noyes et al., 2011). The *MFG8*, *COL13A1*, *CFTR*, *BDKRB1*, *PTGS2*, *MR1*, *PECAM1*, and *LRFN5* genes are involved in immune system response of South African Nguni cattle (Wang et al., 2015). Genomic CNVs are an important type of genetic variation in livestock immune development in different environments.

IMPLICATION OF GENOMIC CNV ON LIVESTOCK

Livestock genomics and marker-assisted selection enhances genetic progress through increased genetic variation, accurate predictions of breeding values, high intensities of selection and shorter generation intervals (Pryce and Daetwyler, 2011). For instance, with genomic selection, the generation interval of dairy cattle from five years could be reduced to two years (Pryce and Daetwyler, 2011). This indicates the vital roles of genomic selection dairy industries worldwide (Hayes et al., 2013). Molecular genetics research began in livestock nearly 33 years have been passed, nevertheless, the livestock breeder have been focused on gene sequencing of all the major livestock species (Rothschild and Plastow, 2014). Recent advances in genomics studies use new genotyping tools that allowed breeders to identify specific genomic segments (Bickhart and Liu, 2014). Consequently, human population grew from an estimated 7.52 billion people to approximately 9.73 billion people in 2050



Figure 2. Copy number variation of the *SOX5* gene contributes to phenotype (pea-comb chickens) (A) Wild-type male, (B) wild-type female, (C) pea-comb male and (D) pea-comb female. Source: Wright et al. (2009).

(<http://www.world-ometers.info/world-population>). Thus, this is an opportunity for animal's breeders to apply genomics selection in livestock in response to demand for livestock products, especially those that have high nutrition (meat and milk). In addition, the development of the Illumina BovineHD BeadChip (Wu et al., 2015; Durán Aguilar et al., 2016; Prinsen et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2016), the Illumina BovineSNP50 BeadChip (Ben Sassi et al., 2016), next-generation sequencing (Bickhart et al., 2012; Keel et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2016) and whole genome sequence (Keel et al., 2016) have allowed breeders to apply genomic in livestock selection by low cost genotyping (Van Raden et al., 2009). As a result, this genotyping array gives breeders an opportunity to consider young bulls at earlier ages (Bickhart and Liu, 2014).

CONCLUSIONS AND LIMITATION

Copy number variations (CNVs) can cause radical changes in phenotype variation, gene expression, and

evolutionary adaptation, through gene dosage effects, disruption of transcript arrangement, and regulatory polymorphisms. The CNV distributed within and among livestock could be modified by mutation, selection, domestication and demographic history. Several studies revealed that copy number variable regions (CNVRs) could be potentially associated with growth (*LEPR*, *MICAL-L2*, *KCNJ12*, *CHKB*, *KLF6* and *MYH3*), milk production (*PLA2G2D*, *NIBP*, *DGAT1*, and *VPS28*), reproduction (*TSEG2*, *AKR1C3* and *IZUMO1*), coat color (*ASIP*, *TYR*, *TYRP1*, *KIT*, *KITLG*, *PMEL*, *MC1R* and *MIT*), morphology (*SOX5*, *SPEF2*) and health (*TICAM1*, *ULBP17*, *MHC*) in livestock. This knowledge will be extremely pertinent from a molecular perspective and practical applications of genomic CNVs in animal breeding.

Several studies have investigated CNV in the livestock genome by using comparative genomic hybridization arrays, the Illumina BovineHD BeadChip, the Illumina BovineSNP50 Bead Chip, whole genome sequence and next-generation sequencing. However, in previous studies, CNVRs comprise ~2–7% of the cattle genome (Keel et

al., 2016). Therefore, in livestock species, questions remain about the genome frequency of CNVs. In addition, in most of livestock species, the extent to which CNV affect production, reproduction, health, coat color and morphology is not well characterized such as, sizes, and locations, chromosomal properties, along with evolutionary processes. Most importantly, there is little or no works on genomic CNVs of tropically adapted livestock, particularly those adapted to hot climate (Camel, goats), survival under low feed (East African Boran cattle), and disease resistance (Sheko, NDama cattle breeds). Therefore, application of genomics CNVs in livestock break the bridging between breeders and livestock producer, however, there are still gaps on genotypes and overlapping genes.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Dr. Wu Xiao Yun for guidance and technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- Ajmone-Marsan P (2010). On the origin of cattle: how aurochs became cattle and colonized the world. *Evolutionary Anthropology Issues News and Reviews* 19:148-157.
- Alvarez CE, Akey JM (2012). Copy number variation in the domestic dog. *Mammalian Genome*, 23: 144-163.
- Beckmann JS, Estivill X, Antonarakis SE (2007). Copy number variants and genetic traits: closer to the resolution of phenotypic to genotypic variability. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 8:639-646.
- Bekele D, Abera H, Bodena F (2015). Characterization of Indigenous Chicken Production and Utilization in western Oromia, Ethiopia. *British Journal of Poultry Science* 4:53-59.
- Ben Sassi N, González-Recio Ó, de Paz-del RR, Rodríguez-Ramilo ST, Fernández AI (2016). Associated effects of copy number variants on economically important traits in Spanish Holstein dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science* 99:6371-6380.
- Bickhart DM, Hou Y, Schroeder SG, Alkan C, Cardone MF, Matukumalli LK, Song J, Schnabel RD, Ventura M, Taylor JF, Garcia JF (2012). Copy number variation of individual cattle genomes using next-generation sequencing. *Genome Research* 22:778-790.
- Bickhart DM, Liu GE (2014). The challenges and importance of structural variation detection in livestock. *Frontiers in Genetics* 5:37.
- Bouwman AC, Daetwyler HD, Chamberlain AJ, Ponce CH, Sargolzaei M, Schenkel FS, Sahana G, Govignon-Gion A, Boitard S, Dolezal M, Pausch H, Brøndum RF, Bowman PJ, Thomsen B, Gulbrandsen B, Lund MS, Servin B, Garrick DJ, Reecy J, Vilkki J, Bagnato A, Wang M, Hoff JL, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF, Vinkhuyzen AAE, Panitz F, Bendixen C, Holm LE, Gredler B, Hozé C, Boussaha M, Sanchez MP, Rocha D, Capitan A, Tribout T, Barbat A, Croiseau P, Drögemüller C, Jagannathan V, Vander Jagt C, Crowley JJ, Bieber A, Purfield DC, Berry DP, Emmerling R, Götz KU, Frischknecht M, Russ I, Sölkner J, Van Tassell CP, Fries R, Stothard P, Veerkamp RF, Boichard D, Goddard ME, Hayes BJ (2018). Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for cattle stature identifies common genes that regulate body size in mammals. *Nature Genetics* 50(3):362-367.
- Brenig B, Beck J, Floren C, Bornemann-Kolatzki K, Wiedemann I, Hennecke S, Swalve H, Schütz E (2013). Molecular genetics of coat colour variations in White Galloway and White Park cattle. *Animal Genetics* 44:450-453.
- Carneiro M, Rubín CJ, Di Palma F, Albert FW, Alfoldi J, Barrio AM, Pielberg G, Rafati N, Sayyab S, Turner-Maier J, Younis S, Afonso S, Aken B, Alves JM, Barrell D, Bolet G, Boucher S, Burbano HA, Campos R, Chang JL, Duranthon V, Fontanesi L, Garreau H, Heiman D, Johnson J, Mage RG, Peng Z, Queney G, Rogel-Gaillard C, Ruffier M, Searle S, Villafuerte R, Xiong A, Young S, Forsberg-Nilsson K, Good JM, Lander ES, Ferrand N, Lindblad-Toh K, Andersson L (2014). Rabbit genome analysis reveals a polygenic basis for phenotypic change during domestication. *Science* 345:1074-1079.
- Chen C, Qiao R, Wei R, Guo Y, Ai H, Ma J, Ren J, Huang L (2012). A comprehensive survey of copy number variation in 18 diverse pig populations and identification of candidate copy number variable genes associated with complex traits. *BMC Genomics* 13:733.
- Clop A, Vidal O, Amills M (2012). Copy number variation in the genomes of domestic animals. *Animal Genetics* 43:503-17.
- Conrad DF, Pinto D, Redon R, Feuk L, Gokcume, O, Zhang Y, Aerts J, Andrews TD, Barnes C, Campbell P, Fitzgerald T, Hu M, Ihm CH, Kristiansson K, MacArthur DG, Macdonald JR, Onyiah I, Pang AW, Robson S, Stirrups K, Valsesia A, Walter K, Wei J; Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium, Tyler-Smith C, Carter NP, Lee C, Scherer SW, Hurles ME (2010). Origins and functional impact of copy number variation in the human genome. *Nature* 464:704-12.
- Curi R, Oliveira H, Silveira A, Lopes C (2005). Effects of polymorphic microsatellites in the regulatory region of IGF1 and GHR on growth and carcass traits in beef cattle. *Animal Genetics* 36:58-62.
- da Silva JM, Poliana FG, da Silva LO, Carrizo Cintra L, Rezende PS, Michel EBY, Rodrigues Caetano A (2016). Genome-wide copy number variation (CNV) detection in Nelore cattle reveals highly frequent variants in genome regions harbouring QTLs affecting production traits. *BMC Genomics* 17:454.
- Da Silva VH, Regitano LC de A, Geistlinger L, Pétille F, Giachetto PF, Brassaloti RA, Morosini NS, Zimmer R, Coutinho LL (2016b). Genome-Wide Detection of CNVs and Their Association with Meat Tenderness in Nelore Cattle. *PLoS ONE* 11(6): e0157711.
- Darwin C (1859). *On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or, the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life*. 6th Edn. London: John Murray.
- de Almeida Santana MH, Oliveira Junior GA, Mello Cesar AS, Freua MC, Gomes RC, Silva SL, Leme PR, Fukumasu H, Carvalho ME (2016). Copy number variations and genome-wide associations reveal putative genes and metabolic pathways involved with the feed conversion ratio in beef cattle. *Journal of Applied Genetics* 57:495-504.
- Decker JE, Pires JC, Gavin CC, Stephanie DM, Michael PH, Kefei C, Alan C, Johanna V, Christopher MS, Alexandre RC, Gary SJ, Rick AB, Olivier H, Lori SE, Pamela W, Jong-Joo K, Kwan SK, Tad SS, Curt PT, Holy LN, John CM, Rudiger B, Luiz LC, Masroor EB, Gregory AW, Matthew CM, Megan MR, JaeWoo K, Robert DS, Jeremy FT (2009). Resolving the evolution of extant and extinct ruminants with high-throughput phylogenomics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 106:18644-18649.
- Dekkers JCM (2004). Commercial application of marker-and gene-assisted selection in livestock: Strategies and lessons. *Animal Science* 82:313-328.
- Durán Aguilar MD, Rom Ponce SI, Ruiz Lopez, FJ, Gonzalez Padilla E, Vasquez Pelaez, CG, Bagnato A, Strillacci MG (2016). Genome-wide association study for milk somatic cell score in Holstein cattle using copy number variation as markers. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics* 134:49-59.
- Durkin K, Coppieters W, Drögemüller C, Ahariz N, Cambisano N, Druet T, Fasquelle C, Hile A, Horin P, Huang L, Kamatani Y, Karim L, Lathrop M, Moser S, Oldenbroek K, Rieder S, Sartelet A, Sölkner J, Stålhammar H, Zelenika D, Zhang Z, Leeb T, Georges M, Charlier C (2012). Serial translocation by means of circular intermediates underlies colour sidedness in cattle. *Nature* 482:81-84.
- Feuk L, Carson AR, Scherer SW (2006). Structural variation in the

- human genome. *Nature Reviews Genetics* 7:85-97.
- Fontanesi L, Russo V (2013). Molecular genetics of coat colour in pigs. *Acta agriculturae Slovenica* 4:15-20.
- Fontanesi L, Beretti F, Riggio V, Dall'Olio S, Davoli R, Russo V, Portolano B (2009). Copy number variation and missense mutations of the agouti signalling protein (ASIP) gene in goat breeds with different coat colors. *Cytogenetic Genome Research* 126:333-347.
- Fontanesi L, Dall'Olio S, Beretti F, Portolano B, Russo V (2011). Coat colours in the Massese sheep breed are associated with mutations in the agouti signalling protein (ASIP) and melanocortin 1 receptor (MC1R) genes. *Animal* 5: 8-17.
- Fontanesi L, Martelli PL, Beretti F, Riggio V, Dall'Olio S, Colombo M, Casadio R, Russo V, Portolano B (2010). An initial comparative map of copy number variations in the goat (*Capra hircus*) genome. *BMC Genomics* 11:639.
- Fontanesi L, Scotti E, Russo V (2012). Haplotype variability in the bovine MIF gene and association with piebaldism in Holstein and Simmental cattle breeds. *Animal Genetics* 43:250-256.
- Freeman JL, Perry GH, Feuk L, Redon R, McCarroll SA, Altshuler DM, Aburatani H, Jones KW, Tyler-Smith C, Hurler ME, Carter NP, Scherer SW, Lee C (2006). Copy number variation: new insights in genome diversity. *Genome Research* 16(8):949-961.
- Gao Y, Jiang J, Yang S, Hou Y, Liu GE, Zhang S, Zhang Q, Sun D (2017). CNV discovery for milk composition traits in dairy cattle using whole genome resequencing. *BMC Genomics* 18:265.
- Ghosh S, Qu Z, Das PJ, Fang E, Juras R, Cothran EG, McDonnell S, Kenney DG, Lear TL, Adelson DL, Chowdhary BP, Raudsepp T (2014). Copy number variation in the horse genome. *Plos Genetics* 10: e1004712.
- Gou X, Wang Z, Li N, Qiu F, Xu Z, Yan D, Yang S, Jia J, Kong X, Wei Z, Lu S, Lian L, Wu C, Wang X, Li G, Ma T, Jiang Q, Zhao X, Yang J, Liu B, Wei D, Li H, Yang J, Yan Y, Zhao G, Dong X, Li M, Deng W, Leng J, Wei C, Wang C, Mao H, Zhang H, Ding G, Li Y (2014). Whole-genome sequencing of six dog breeds from continuous altitudes reveals adaptation to high-altitude hypoxia. *Genome Research* 24:1308-1315.
- Gu L, Sun C, Gong Y, Yu M, Li S (2017). Novel copy number variation of the *TGFβ3* gene is associated with *TGFβ3* gene expression and duration of fertility traits in hens. *PLoS ONE* 12(3):e0173696.
- Guo S, Savolainen P, Su J, Zhang Q, Qi D, Zhou J, Zhong Y, Zhao X, Liu J (2006). Origin of mitochondrial DNA diversity of domestic yaks. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 6:73.
- Han JL, Yang M, Yue YJ, Guo TT, Liu JB, Niu CE, Yang BH (2015). Analysis of agouti signaling protein (*ASIP*) gene polymorphisms and association with coat color in Tibetan sheep (*Ovis aries*). *Genetics and Molecular Research* 14: 1200-1209.
- Hayes BJ, Bowman PJ, Chamberlain AJ, Goddard ME (2009). Invited review: Genomic selection in dairy cattle: progress and challenges. *Journal of Dairy Science* 92:433-443.
- Hayes BJ, Lewin HA, Goddard ME (2013). The future of livestock breeding: genomic selection for efficiency, reduced emissions intensity, and adaptation. *Trends in Genetics* 29:206-213.
- Henrichsen CN, Chaignat E, Reymond A (2009a). Copy number variants, diseases and gene expression. *Journal of Human Molecular Genetics* 18:(R1):R1-8.
- Henrichsen CN, Vinckenbosch N, Zöllner S, Chaignat E, Pradervand S, Schütz F, Ruedi M, Kaessmann H, Reymond A (2009b). Segmental copy number variation shapes tissue transcriptomes. *Nature Genetics* 41:424-429.
- Hiendleder S, Lewalski H, Janke A (2008). Complete mitochondrial genomes of *Bos Taurus* and *Bos indicus* provide new insights into intra-species variation, taxonomy and domestication. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* 120(1-2):150-6.
- Hou Y, Liu GE, Bickhart DM, Cardone MF, Wang K, Kim ES, Matukumalli LK, Ventura M, Song J, VanRaden PM, Sonstegard TS, Van Tassell CP (2011). Genomic characteristics of cattle copy number variations. *BMC Genomics* 12:127.
- Hou Y, Liu GE, Bickhart DM, Matukumalli LK, Li C, Song J, Gasbarre LC, Van Tassell CP, Sonstegard TS (2012). Genomic regions showing copy number variations associate with resistance or susceptibility to gastrointestinal nematodes in Angus cattle. *Functional and Integrative Genomics* 12:81-92.
- Huang XD, Tan HY, Long R, Liang JB, Wright A-DG (2012). Comparison of methanogen diversity of yak (*Bos grunniens*) and cattle (*Bos Taurus*) from the Qinghai-Tibetan plateau, China. *BMC Microbiology* 12:237.
- Jiang J, Gao Y, Hou Y, Li W, Zhang S, Zhang Q, Sun D (2016). Whole-Genome Resequencing of Holstein Bulls for Indel Discovery and Identification of Genes Associated with Milk Composition Traits in Dairy Cattle. *PLoS ONE* 11(12): e0168946.
- Keel BN, Lindholm-Perry AK, Snelling WM (2016). Evolutionary and Functional Features of Copy Number Variation in the Cattle Genome. *Frontiers in Genetics* 7:207.
- Lai SJ, Chen SY, Liu YP, Yao YG (2007). Mitochondrial DNA sequence diversity and origin of Chinese domestic yak. *Animal Genetics* 38:77-80.
- Lan D, Xiong X, Mipam T-D, Fu C, Li Q, Ai Y, Hou D, Chai Z, Zhong J, Li J (2018). Genetic Diversity, Molecular Phylogeny, and Selection Evidence of Jinchuan Yak Revealed by Whole-Genome Resequencing. *G3: Genes Genomes Genetics* 8(3):945-952.
- Larson G, Piperno DR, Allaby RG, Purugganan MD, Andersson L, Arroyo-Kalin M, Barton L, Climer Vigueira C, Denham T, Dobney K, Doust AN, Gepts P, Gilbert MT, Gremillion KJ, Lucas L, Lukens L, Marshall FB, Olsen KM, Pires JC, Richerson PJ, Rubio de Casas R, Sanjurjo OI, Thomas MG, Fuller DQ (2014). Current perspectives and the future of domestication studies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111:6139-6146.
- Lee K, Nguyen DT, Choi M, Cha S-Y, Kim J-H, Dadi H, Seo HG, Seo K, Chun T, Park C (2013). Analysis of cattle olfactory subgenome: the first detail study on the characteristics of the complete olfactory receptor repertoire of a ruminant. *BMC Genomics* 14:596.
- Li Y, Wu DD, Boyko AR, Wang GD, Wu SF, Irwin DM, Zhang YP (2014). Population Variation Revealed High-Altitude Adaptation of Tibetan Mastiffs. *Molecular biology and evolution* 31:1200-1205.
- Liang C, Wang L, Wu X, Wang K, Ding X, Wang M, Chu M, Xie X, Qiu Q, Yan P (2016). Genome-wide Association Study Identifies Loci for the Polled Phenotype in Yak. *PLoS ONE* 11(7):e0158642.
- Liang C, Yan P, Yao Y, Pei J, Guo X, Zheng Y, Bao PJ, Chu M (2010). A novel single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) of the *IGF1R* gene and the association with growth traits in yak (Brief Report). *Archiv Tierzucht* 5:626-628.
- Lin S, Lin X, Zhang Z, Jiang M, Rao Y, Nie Q, Zhang X (2018). Copy Number Variation in *SOX6* Contributes to Chicken Muscle Development. *Genes* 9(1):42.
- Liu GE, Hou YL, Zhu B, Cardone MF, Jiang L, Cellamare A, Mitra A, Alexander LJ, Coutinho LL, Dell'Aquila ME, Gasbarre LC, Lacalandra G, Li RW, Matukumalli LK, Nonneman D, Regitano LC, Smith TP, Song J, Sonstegard TS, Van Tassell CP, Ventura M, Eichler EE, McDanel TG, Keele JW (2010). Analysis of copy number variations among diverse cattle breeds. *Genome Research* 20:693-70.
- Liu GE, Ventura M, Cellamare A, Chen L, Cheng Z, Zhu B, Li C, Song J, Eichler EE (2009). Analysis of recent segmental duplications in the bovine genome. *BMC Genomics* 10:571.
- Liu J, Zhang L, Xu L, Ren H, Lu J, Zhang X, Zhang S, Zhou X, Wei C, Zhao F, Du L (2013). Analysis of copy number variations in the sheep genome using 50 K SNP Bead Chip array. *BMC Genomics* 14:229.
- Liu M, Lia B, Huang Y, Yang M, Lana X, Lei C, Qub W, Bai Y, Chena H (2016). Copy number variation of bovine MAPK10 modulates the transcriptional activity and affects growth traits. *Livestock Science* 194:44-50.
- Liu WB, Liu J, Liang CN, Guo X, Bao PJ, Chu M, Ding XZ, Wang HB, Zhu XS, Yan P (2014). Associations of single nucleotide polymorphisms in candidate genes with the polled trait in Datong domestic yaks. *Animal Genetics* 45:138-141.
- Loftus RT, MacHugh DE, Bradley DG, Sharp PM, Cunningham P (1994). Evidence for two independent domestications of cattle. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 91(7):2757-2761.
- Lupsk JR, Stankiewicz P (2005). Genomic Disorders: Molecular Mechanisms for Rearrangements and Conveyed Phenotypes. *PLoS Genetics* 1(6):e49.
- McCarthy SD, Roche JF, Forde N (2012). Temporal changes in

- endometrial gene expression and protein localization of members of the IGF family in cattle: effects of progesterone and pregnancy. *Physiological Genomics* 44:130-140.
- McTavish EJ, Decker JE, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF, Hillis DM (2013). New World cattle show ancestry from multiple independent domestication events. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 110:E1398-E1406.
- Medugorac I, Graf A, Grohs C, Rothhammer S, Zagdsuren Y, Gladyr E, Zinovieva N, Barbieri J, Seichter D, Russ I, Eggen A, Hellenthal G, Brem G, Blum H, Krebs S, Capitan A (2017). Whole-genome analysis of introgressive hybridization and characterization of the bovine legacy of Mongolian yaks. *Nature Genetics* 49(3):470-475
- Mesbah-Uddin M, Guldbbrandtsen B, Iso-Touru T, Vilkki J, De Koning D-J, Boichard D, Lund MS, Sahana G (2018). Genome-wide mapping of large deletions and their population-genetic properties in dairy cattle. *DNA Research: An International Journal for Rapid Publication of Reports on Genes and Genomes* 25(1): 49-59.
- Mi J, Zhou J, Huang X, Long R (2017). Lower Methane Emissions from Yak Compared with Cattle in Rusitec Fermenters. *PLoS ONE* 12(1):e0170044.
- Mills RE, Walter K, Stewart C, Handsaker RE, Chen K, Alkan, C, Abyzov A, Yoon SC, Ye K, Cheetham RK (2011). Mapping copy number variation by population-scale genome sequencing. *Nature* 470:59-65.
- Norris BJ, Whan VA (2008). A gene duplication affecting expression of the ovine *ASIP* gene is responsible for white and black sheep. *Genome Research* 18(8):1282-1293.
- Noyes H, Brass A, Obara I, Anderson s, Archibald AL, Bradley DG, Fisher P, Freeman A, Gicheru m, Hall L, Hanotte O, Hulme H, McKeever D, Murray C, Oh SJ, Tate C, Smith K, Tapio m, Wamburgu J, Williams DJ, Agaba M, Kemp SJ (2011). Genetic and expression analysis of cattle identifies candidate genes in pathways responding to *Trypanosoma congolense* infection. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108:9304-9.
- Park RW, Kim TM, Kasi S, Park PJ (2015). Identification of rare germline copy number variations over-represented in five human cancer types. *Molecular Cancer* 14:25.
- Paudel Y, Madsen O, Megens HJ, Laurent AF, Mirte F, John B, Bastiaansen WM, Richard PM, Crooijmans A, Groenen MAM (2013). Evolutionary dynamics of copy number variation in pig genomes in the context of adaptation and domestication. *BMC Genomics* 14(1):449.
- Paudel Y, Madsen O, Megens HJ, Frantz LAF, Bosse M, Crooijmans RPMA, Groenen MAM (2015). Copy number variation in the speciation of pigs: a possible prominent role for olfactory receptors. *BMC Genomics* 16:330.
- Pielberg G, Olsson C, Syva'nen AC, Andersson L (2002). Unexpectedly high allelic diversity at the *KIT* locus causing dominant white color in the domestic pig. *Genetics* 160:305-311.
- Porto-Neto LR, Sonstegard TS, Liu GE, Bickhart DM, Da Silva MV, Machado MA, Utsunomiya YT, Garcia JF, Gondro C, Van Tassell CP (2013). Genomic divergence of zebu and taurine cattle identified through high-density SNP genotyping. *BMC Genomics* 14:876.
- Prinsen RTMM, Strillacci MG, Schiavini F, Santus E, Rossoni A, Maurer V, Bieber A, Gredler B, Dolezal M, Bagnato A (2016). A genome-wide scan of copy number variants using high-density SNPs in Brown Swiss dairy cattle. *Livestock Science* 191:153-160.
- Prinsen RTMM, Rossoni A, Gredler B, Bieber A, Bagnato A, Strillacci MG (2017). A genome-wide association study between CNVs and quantitative traits in Brown Swiss cattle. *Livestock Science* 202:7-12.
- Pryce JE, Daetwyler HD (2011). Designing dairy cattle breeding schemes under genomic selection: a review of international research. *Animal Production Science* 52:107-114.
- Qiu Q, Wang L, Wang K, Yang Y, Ma T, Wang Z, Zhang X, Ni Z, Hou F, Long Abbott R, Lenstra J, Liu J (2015). Yak whole-genome resequencing reveals domestication signatures and prehistoric population expansions. *Nature Communication* 6:10283.
- Qiu Q, Zhang G, Ma T, Qian W, Wang J, Ye Z, Cao C, Hu Q, Kim J, Larkin DM, Auvil L, Capitanu B, Ma J, Lewin HA, Qian X, Lang Y, Zhou R, Wang L, Wang K, Xia J, Liao S, Pan S, Lu X, Hou H, Wang Y, Zang X, Yin Y, Ma H, Zhang J, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Zhang D, Yonezawa T, Hasegawa M, Zhong Y, Liu W, Zhang Y, Huang Z, Zhang S, Long R, Yang H, Wang J, Lenstra JA, Cooper DN, Wu Y, Wang J, Shi P, Wang J, Liu J (2012). The yak genome and adaptation to life at high altitude. *Nature Genetics* 44:946-949.
- Rao YS, Li J, Zhang R, Lin XR, Xu JG, Xie L, He J, Zhang XQ (2016). Copy number variation identification and analysis of the chicken genome using a 60K SNP Bead Chip. *Poultry Science* 95:1750-1756.
- Redon R1, Ishikawa S, Fitch KR, Feuk L, Perry GH, Andrews TD, Fiegler H, Shapero MH, Carson AR, Chen W, Cho EK, Dallaire S, Freeman JL, González JR, Gratacòs M, Huang J, Kalaitzopoulos D, Komura D, MacDonald JR, Marshall CR, Mei R, Montgomery L, Nishimura K, Okamura K, Shen F, Somerville MJ, Tchinda J, Valsesia A, Woodwork C, Yang F, Zhang J, Zerjal T, Zhang J, Armengol L, Conrad DF, Estivill X, Tyler-Smith C, Carter NP, Aburatani H, Lee C, Jones KW, Scherer SW, Hurles ME (2006). Global variation in copy number in the human genome. *Nature* 444(7118):444-454.
- Reymond A, Henrichsen CN, Harewood L, Merla G (2007). Side effects of genome structural changes. *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development* 17:381-386.
- Rothschild MF, Plastow GS (2014). Applications of genomics to improve livestock in the developing world. *Livestock Science* 166:76-83.
- Rubin CJ, Megens HJ, Martinez BA, Maqbool K, Sayyab S, Schwochow D, Wang C, Carlborg O, Jern P, Jorgensen CB, Archibald AL, Fredholm M, Groenen MA, Andersson L (2012). Strong signatures of selection in the domestic pig genome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 9:19529-19536.
- Sasaki S, Ibi T, Akiyama T, Fukushima M, Sugimoto Y (2016). Loss of maternal ANNEXIN A10 via a 34-kb deleted-type copy number variation is associated with embryonic mortality in Japanese Black cattle. *BMC Genomics* 17:968.
- Sermyagin AA, Gladyr EA, Kharitonov, SN, Ermilov AN, Strekozov, NI, Strekozov NI, Brem G, Zinovieva NA (2016). Genome-wide association study for milk production and reproduction traits in Russian Holstein cattle population. *Agricultural Biology* 51:182-193
- Shao B, Long R, Ding Y, Wang J, Ding L, Wang H (2010). Morphological adaptations of yak (*Bos grunniens*) tongue to the foraging environment of the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Journal of Animal Science* 88:2594-2603.
- Shi T, Yao X, Mingjuan Y, Yongzhen H, Xianyong L, Chuzhao L, Xinglei Q, Xiaoming Y, Hong C (2016). Copy number variations at LEPR gene locus associated with gene expression and phenotypic traits in Chinese cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 87:336-343.
- Shin DH, Lee HJ, Cho S, Kim HJ, Hwang JY, Lee CK, Jeong JY, Yoon D, Kim H (2014). Deleted copy number variation of Hanwoo and Holstein using next generation sequencing at the population level. *BMC Genomics* 15:240.
- Stothard P, Choi JW, Basu U, Sumner-Thomson JM, Meng Y, Liao X, Moore SS (2011). Whole genome resequencing of Black Angus and Holstein cattle for SNP and CNV discovery. *BMC Genomics* 12:559.
- Tian R, Yin D, Liu Y, Seim I, Xu S, Yang G (2017). Adaptive Evolution of Energy Metabolism-Related Genes in Hypoxia-Tolerant Mammals. *Frontiers in Genetics* 8:205.
- Upadhyay M, da Silva VH, Megens H-J, Visker MHPW, Ajmone-Marsan P, Bâlteanu VA, Dunner S, Garcia JF, Ginja C, Kantanen J, Groenen MAM, Crooijmans RPMA (2017). Distribution and Functionality of Copy Number Variation across European Cattle Populations. *Frontiers in Genetics* 8:108.
- Utsunomiya YT, Do Carmo AS, Carvalho R, Neves HH, Matos MC, Zavarez LB, O'Brien AMP, Sölkner J, McEwan JC, Cole JB (2013). Genome-wide association study for birth weight in Nelore cattle points to previously described orthologous genes affecting human and bovine height. *BMC Genetics* 14:52.
- VanRaden PM, Van Tassell CP, Wiggans GR, Sonstegard TS, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF, Schenkel FS (2009). Invited review: reliability of genomic predictions for North American Holstein bulls. *Journal of Dairy Science* 92(1):16-24.
- Wang MD, Dzama K, Hefer CA, Muchadeyi FC (2015). Genomic population structure and prevalence of copy number variations in South African Nguni cattle. *BMC Genomics* 16:894.
- Wang X, Nahashon S, Feaster TK, Bohannon-Stewart A, Adefope N (2010). An initial map of chromosomal segmental copy number

- variations in the chicken. *BMC Genomics* 11:351.
- Wang ZF, Shen X, Liu B, Su JP, Yonezawa T, Yu Y, Guo SC, Ho SYW, Vila C, Hasegawa M, Liu J (2010). Phylogeographical analyses of domestic and wild yaks based on mitochondrial DNA: new data and reappraisal. *Journal Biogeography* 37: 2332-2344.
- Wei C, Wang H, Liu G, Zhao F, Kijas JW, Ma Y, Lu J, Zhang L, Cao J, Wu M, Wang G, Liu R, Liu Z, Zhang S, Liu C, Du L (2016). Genome-wide analysis reveals adaptation to high altitudes in Tibetan sheep. *Scientific Reports* 6:26770.
- Weischenfeldt J, Symmons O, Spitz F, Korbel JO (2013). Phenotypic impact of genomic structural variation: insights from and for human disease. *Nature Reviews of Genetics* 14:125-138.
- Wiggans GR, Sonstegard TS, VanRaden PM, Matukumalli LK, Schnabel RD, Taylor JF, Schenkel FS, Van Tassell CP (2009). Selection of single-nucleotide polymorphisms and quality of genotypes used in genomic evaluation of dairy cattle in the United States and Canada. *Journal of Dairy Science* 92:3431-3436.
- Whitlow GC (2000). *Sturkie's Avian Physiology*. 5th Edn. Manoa, Honolulu, Hawaii
- Tian R, Yin D, Liu Y, Seim I, Xu S, Yang G (2017). Adaptive Evolution of Energy Metabolism-Related Genes in Hypoxia-Tolerant Mammals. *Frontiers in Genetics* 8:205.
- Wright D, Boije H, Meadows JRS, Bed'hom B, Gourichon D, Vieaud A, Tixier-Boichard M, Rubin CJ, Imsland F, Hallböök F, Andersson L (2009). Copy Number Variation in Intron 1 of SOX5 Causes the Pea-comb Phenotype in Chickens. *PLoS Genetics* 5:e1000512.
- WU Xiao Y, Ding X, Chu M, Guo X, Bao PJ, Liang CN, Yan P (2015). Novel SNP of EPAS1 gene associated with higher haemoglobin concentration revealed the hypoxia adaptation of yak (*Bos grunniens*). *Journal of Integrative Agriculture* 14(4):741-748.
- Wu Y, Fan H, Jing S, Xia J, Chen Y, Zhang L, Gao X, Li J, Gao H, Ren H (2015). A genome-wide scan for copy number variations using high-density single nucleotide polymorphism array in Simmental cattle. *Animal Genetics* 46:289-298.
- Xiong X, Fu M, Lan D, Li J, Zi X, Zhong J (2015). Yak response to high-altitude hypoxic stress by altering mRNA expression and DNA methylation of hypoxia-inducible factors. *Animal Biotechnology* 26(3):222-229.
- Xu L, Bickhart DM, Cole JB, Schroeder SG, Song J, Tassell CP, Sonstegard TS, Liu GE (2015). Genomic Signatures Reveal New Evidences for Selection of Important Traits in Domestic Cattle. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32(3):711-725.
- Xu L, Hou Y, Bickhart DM, Zhou Y, Hay EH, Song J, Sonstegard TS, Van Tassell CP, Liu GE (2016). Population-genetic properties of differentiated copy number variations in cattle. *Scientific Reports* 6:23161.
- Xu L, Cole JB, Bickhart DM, Hou Y, Song J, VanRaden PM, Sonstegard TS, Van Tassell CP, Liu GE (2014). Genome wide CNV analysis reveals additional variants associated with milk production traits in Holsteins. *BMC Genomics* 15: 683.
- Xu Y, Jiang Y, Shi T, Cai H, Lan X, Zhao X, Plath M, Chen H (2017). Whole-genome sequencing reveals mutational landscape underlying phenotypic differences between two widespread Chinese cattle breeds. *PLoS ONE* 12(8):e0183921.
- Xu Y, Shi T, Cai H, Zhou Y, Lan X, Zhang C, Lei C, Qi X, Chen H (2014). Associations of MYH3 gene copy number variations with transcriptional expression and growth traits in Chinese cattle. *Genetics* 535:106-111.
- Xu Y, Zhang LZ, Shi T, Zhou Y, Cai HF, Lan X, Zhang C, Lei C, Chen H (2013). Copy number variations of MICAL-L2 shaping gene expression contribute to different phenotypes of cattle. *Mammalian Genome* 24:508-516.
- Yamada T, Sasaki S, Sukegawa S, Yoshioka S, Takahagi Y, Morita M, Murakami H, Morimatsu F, Fujita T (2009). Association of a single nucleotide polymorphism in *titin* gene with marbling in Japanese Black beef cattle. *BMC Research Notes* 2:78.
- Yang J, Li WR, Lv FH, He SG, Tian SL, Peng WF, Sun YW, Zhao YX, Tu XL, Zhang M, Xie XL, Wang YT, Li JQ, Liu YG, Shen ZQ, Wang F, Liu GJ, Lu HF, Kantanen J, Han JL, Li MH, Liu MJ (2016). Whole-Genome Sequencing of Native Sheep Provides Insights into Rapid Adaptations to Extreme Environments. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 33(10):2576-2592.
- Yang L, Xu L, Zhu B, Niu H, Zhang W, Miao J, Shi X, Zhang M, Chen Y, Zhang L, Gao X, Gao H, Li L, Liu GE, Li J (2017). Genome-wide analysis reveals differential selection involved with copy number variation in diverse Chinese Cattle. *Scientific Reports* 7:14299.
- Yang M, Lv J, Zhang L, Li M, Zhou Y, Lan X, Lei C, Chen H (2017). Association study and expression analysis of *CYP4A11* gene copy number variation in Chinese cattle. *Scientific Reports* 7:46599.
- Yue XP, Chang TC, DeJarnette JM, Marshall CE, Lei CZ, Liu WS (2013). Copy number variation of PRAMEY across breeds and its association with male fertility in Holstein sires. *Journal of Dairy Science* 96:8024-8034.
- Zeder MA (2008). Domestication and early agriculture in the Mediterranean Basin: Origins, diffusion, and impact. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105:11597.
- Zhang MQ, Xu X, Luo SJ (2014). The genetics of brown coat color and white spotting in domestic yaks (*Bos grunniens*). *Animal Genetics* 45:652-659.
- Zhang F, Gu W, Hurler ME, Lupski JR (2009). Copy number variation in human health, disease, and evolution. *Annual Review Genomics of Human* 10:451-481.
- Zhang GM, Zheng L, He H, Song CC, Zhang ZJ, Cao XK, Lei CZ, Lan XY, Qi XL, Chen H, Huang YZ (2018). Associations of *GBP2* gene copy number variations with growth traits and transcriptional expression in Chinese cattle. *Gene* 647:101-106.
- Zhang H, Du Z-Q, Dong J-Q, Wang H-X, Shi H-Y, Wang N, Wang SZ, Li H (2014). Detection of genome-wide copy number variations in two chicken lines divergently selected for abdominal fat content. *BMC Genomics* 15:517.
- Zhang L, Jia S, Yang M, Xu Y, Li C, Sun J, Huang Y, Lan X, Lei C, Zhou Y (2014). Detection of copy number variations and their effects in Chinese bulls. *BMC Genomics* 15:480.
- Zhang X, Wang K, Wang L, Yang Y, Zhengqiang N, Xiuyue X, Xuemin S, Jin H, Dongshi W, Qiang Q (2016). Genome-wide patterns of copy number variation in the Chinese yak genome. *BMC Genomics* 17:379.
- Zhao J, Yao J, Li F, Yang Z, Sun Z, Qu L, Wang K, Su Y, Zhang A, Montgomery SA, Geng T, Cui H (2016). Identification of candidate genes for chicken early- and late-feathering. *Poultry Science* 95:1498-1503.
- Zhou Y, Connor EE, Wiggans GR, Lu Y, Tempelman RJ, Schroeder SG, Chen H, Liu GE (2018). Genome-wide copy number variant analysis reveals variants associated with 10 diverse production traits in Holstein cattle. *BMC Genomics* 19:314.
- Zhou Y, Utsunomiya YT, Xu L, Hay el HA, Bickhart DM, Alexandre PA, Rosen BD, Schroeder SG, Carvalheiro R, de Rezende Neves HH, Sonstegard TS, Van Tassell CP, Ferraz JB, Fukumasu H, Garcia JF, Liu GE (2016). Genome-wide CNV analysis reveals variants associated with growth traits in *Bos indicus*. *BMC Genomics* 17:1-9.