Comparative evaluation of anti-oxidative potentials of fermented locust bean condiment and its moringa fortified variant
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The need to boost daily intake of natural antioxidants to combat free radicals causing degenerative diseases has become more imperative. Fermented locust bean condiment was fortified with moringa leaf powder (rich in antioxidants) at 20, 30 and 50% substitution levels. Proximate composition, phenolic content, total flavonoid, reducing power, and the sensory properties of the condiments were determined using standard methods. Data obtained were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) at p≤0.05 and compared with catechin as standard. Possible correlations between trends in the proximate components and antioxidative properties were examined. Proximate components of the fortified condiments: Moisture (6.92 to 7.17%), crude protein (39.23 to 42.91%), crude fibre (5.21 to 5.63%), total ash (4.24 to 6.27%), and carbohydrate (12.21 to 16.31%) compared favorably in most parameters with those of the unfortified. The phenolic content, total flavonoid, and reducing power of the fortified condiments (with rising substitution level (p≤0.05) increased significantly ranging from 13.5 to 20.20, 5.35 to 18.3, and 10.6 to 22.1 µg/g, respectively at concentration of 1000 µg/g. Apparently, crude fibre and ash contents correlated positively with reducing power potential across several concentration gradients. Mean sensory scores [taste (5.00 to 5.29) and mouth feel (4.86 to 5.43)] for the fortified variant was not significantly different from those of the control. Condiment fortified with 20% moringa leaf exhibited the highest antioxidant capacity among the samples and may be a sustainable means of meeting the recommended daily requirement of antioxidants among the populace.
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INTRODUCTION

Many humans are exposed to free radicals virtually every day. This emanates mostly from ultraviolet (UV) light, cigarette smoke, ionizing radiation, certain organic solvents, pollutants and industrial waste (Boonchum et al., 2011). Free radicals have generally been recognized as harmful to health, negatively impacting several cellular
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Figure 1. Production of fermented African locust bean (dawadawa, iru) (Aworh, 2008).

Phytochemicals such as phenols and flavonoids have for long been known to protect body cells by mopping up reactive oxygen species, scavenging free radicals, and chelating metal catalysts, thereby preventing diseases linked to oxidative stress (Oboh and Akindahunsi, 2004; Alia et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2001).

A regimen of robust antioxidant supplementation has been advocated as an important strategy in improving protection against free radicals (Percival, 1998). *Moringa oleifera* plant has since been identified as a natural source of antioxidants (Iqbal and Bhanger, 2006; Siddharaju and Becker, 2003), but largely underexploited. The leaves are rich in essential pro-vitamins including ascorbic acids, carotenoids (Lako et al., 2007) and tocopherols (Sanchez-Machado et al., 2006; Gomez-Conrado et al., 2004). The use of condiments as a ‘carrier’ or ‘vehicle’ to enhance the intake of these antioxidants inherent in moringa leaves evidently appears intriguing but unexplored. For instance, a traditional fermented condiment (Dawadawa, Iru, Ogiri and Ugba) are consumed by different ethnic groups in the West African sub-region, and has long been the pride of culinary tradition for centuries. In Nigeria, Togo and Ghana, the estimated average consumption of the locust bean condiment are 10, 4 and 2 g/body weight/day, respectively (Simonyan, 2012). They are generously added to soups sometimes as low-cost meat substitute by low-income families in some parts of Nigeria (Eka, 1980; Odunfa, 1981). Fortification of such a food condiment with moringa leaves in appropriate proportion might enhance the intake of these natural antioxidants. The aim of this work therefore, is to evaluate comparatively the anti-oxidative and sensory properties of fermented locust beans in relation to its moringa leaf fortified variant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Source

African locust bean seeds (*Parkia biglobas*) were purchased from Oja Oba market in Ilorin, Kwara State, while moringa leaves (*M. oleifera*) was purchased from the Botanical gardens, University of Ibadan, Nigeria. All chemical reagents used were of analytical grade (Sigma-Aldrich, United States).

Preparation of samples

Fermented locust beans

Production of fermented locust beans was carried out according to the method described by Aworh (2008). African locust bean seeds were weighed, sorted and winnowed to remove extraneous matter. The cleaned seeds were boiled in water for 12 h until soft and were next dehulled by gentle pounding in a mortar creating abrasion for proper removal of the hull. A softening agent called ‘kuru’ containing sunflower seed and ‘kaun’ (sodium sesqui-carbonate) were added before the second boiling to enhance further softening of the cotyledons. The de-hulled seeds were thereafter boiled for 30 min, molded into small balls, wrapped in paw-paw leaves, placed in covered calabash and allowed to ferment for 2 days (Figure 1).
Dehydration of fermented locust bean

Oven drying of fermented locust bean samples were carried out according to the method described by Ijarotimi and Keshinro (2012). Fermented locust beans were dried in hot air oven dryer (Carbolite PF 200, England) at 60°C for 20 h. The dried fermented locust beans were ground into fine powder and packaged in polythene bags for subsequent processing.

Drying of moringa leaves

The fresh leaves were cleaned dust free using distilled water and then dried in hot air dryer (Carbolite PF 200, England) at a temperature of 53°C for 6 h. These were milled using Marlex blender (Model no: 7962804) to fine particle size of about 125 to 212 μm.

Formulation of the fortified locust bean condiment

The fermented locust bean powder and the moringa leaf powder were blended in the ratio of 50:50, 70:30, and 80:20, respectively. The aforementioned mixing ratios were adopted in order to achieve the recommended daily requirement for phenols (>500 mg/day) and flavonoids (150 to 300 mg/day) (Williamson and Holst, 2008) and to increase intake of antioxidant to 1/10 of the daily requirement, since the total phenol in fermented locust bean is 1.02 mg/g (Oboh et al., 2008). The formulations were weighed in required quantities of 20 g and thoroughly blended in a mini planetary mixer (N-50, Hobart, Sweden) for 2 min.

Proximate composition of the samples

The proximate compositions (done in three replicates) of the samples were determined using the method of AOAC (2000).

Determination of antioxidant activities

Preparation of the samples extract

The sample extracts were prepared following the method of Kumar et al. (2006). Ten grams of each formulated condiment were poured into 100 ml of boiling distilled water and vortexed for 10 min. The samples were cooled and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min at room temperature (27±3°C) and the supernatants (aqueous extracts) of the condiments were stored in the refrigerator at 4°C for further analysis.

Total phenol content

The total phenol contents of the samples were carried out according to the modified method described by Singleton and Rossi (1965). Folin ciocalteau reagent (1 ml) was added into a test tube containing 1 ml of sample extract of appropriate dilutions (10 to 1000 μg), some 3 min thereafter, the reaction was neutralized by adding 1 ml of saturated solution of 15% sodium carbonate (Na2CO3). The overall mix was made up to 5 ml using 2 ml distilled water and then incubated for 20 min at 40°C. The absorbance was read at a wavelength of 760 nm in UV-visible spectrophotometer (Spectrum lab 752s). This procedure was repeated for catechin as standard and results were in triplicate.

Determination of total flavonoid content

The total flavonoid content of the samples was determined by the Aluminum chloride colorimetric method according to Jia et al. (1999). Aliquot amounts (10 to 1000 μg) of the extract in 1 ml of distilled water were added to 75 μl of 5% sodium nitrite. Some 5 min later, 150 μl of 10% Aluminium chloride was added, followed by 500 μl of 1 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and 275 μl of distilled water after 6 min. The absorbance of the mixture was read at 510 nm and catechin was used as standard.

Reducing power assay

The reducing powers of the condiments were determined according to the modified method of Yen and Chen (1995). Different concentrations of the condiments extracts (10 to 1000 μg) in 1 ml distilled water were added to 2.5 ml of phosphate buffer (2.5 ml, 0.2 M, pH 6.6) and potassium ferricyanide (K3Fe(CN)6; 2.5 ml, 1%). The mixture was incubated at 50°C for 20 min. A portion (2.5 ml) of trichloroacetic acid (10% Concentration) was added to the mixture to terminate the reaction and thereafter centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The upper layer of solution (2.5 ml) was mixed with equal portion of distilled water and aliquot (0.5 ml) of FeCl3 (0.1%). The resulting reaction mixture was next allowed to stand for 10 min at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 700 nm and compared with the catechin standard.

DPPH (2, 2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) radical scavenging activity

The DPPH free radical scavenging activity assay was performed using the modified method of Brand-Williams et al. (1995). Various concentrations of the extracts (40 to 2000 μg/ml) in 4 ml of distilled water were added to the methanol solution of DPPH (1 mM). One millilitre (1 ml) of the resulting mix was shaken and allowed to stand for 30 min at room temperature. Catechin standards were also prepared at similar concentrations along with the blank using methanol and DPPH. They were then incubated in a water bath at 37°C for 30 min after which absorbance of samples and standards were read against the blank at 517 nm. The percent inhibition was calculated as:

% Inhibition = [(C - S)/C] × 100

Where S is the sample absorbance and C is the absorbance of the blank. The 50% inhibitory concentration (IC50) was expressed as the quantity of the extract necessary to react with one half of DPPH radicals.

Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation of soups prepared with the condiments was performed by trained twenty panelists, consisting of postgraduate students and technologists of Department of Food Technology, University of Ibadan. A 7-point hedonic scale (1-dislike extremely, 7-like extremely) was used in rating the sensory attributes (colour, taste, aroma, appearance and overall acceptability) of the soups (Iwe, 2002). Each panelist ranked 5 coded samples, which were presented randomly along with clean water for mouth rinsing in-between evaluations (5 min interval) (Iwe, 2002).

Statistical analysis

Means of readings obtained were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and separated using Duncan’s multiple range test. These statistical analyses were amply conducted with SPSS version 14.0, Chicago.
Table 1. Proximate composition of the condiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
<th>Protein (%)</th>
<th>Fat (%)</th>
<th>Fiber (%)</th>
<th>Total ash (%)</th>
<th>CHO (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% Locust beans</td>
<td>6.75±0.08b</td>
<td>44.91±0.89a</td>
<td>36.21±0.17a</td>
<td>4.66±0.08b</td>
<td>2.76±0.12a</td>
<td>4.68±1.14d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% moringa leaves</td>
<td>7.50±0.49a</td>
<td>31.09±0.89a</td>
<td>7.65±0.08a</td>
<td>6.21±0.18a</td>
<td>9.51±0.03a</td>
<td>38.04±0.37a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Locust beans + 50% moringa leaves</td>
<td>7.17±0.13ab</td>
<td>39.23±0.02d</td>
<td>21.78±0.07d</td>
<td>5.63±0.12d</td>
<td>6.27±0.01b</td>
<td>16.31±2.61b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% Locust beans + 30% moringa leaves</td>
<td>7.01±0.01b</td>
<td>41.38±0.01c</td>
<td>26.04±0.06b</td>
<td>5.48±0.02d</td>
<td>4.80±0.01c</td>
<td>13.33±0.03c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% Locust beans + 20% moringa leaves</td>
<td>6.92±0.02b</td>
<td>42.41±0.01b</td>
<td>27.01±0.01b</td>
<td>5.21±0.02d</td>
<td>4.24±0.07d</td>
<td>12.21±0.06d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means of three replicates. Mean values having different superscripts within a column are significantly different (p<0.05). CHO denotes carbohydrate content.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proximate composition of the condiments

The results of the findings are shown in Table 1. Increasing fortification with moringa leaves appears to increase the moisture content of the condiments. This parameter for the condiments (6.75 to 7.17%) did not quite follow any specific trend with the reducing power potential (2.22 to 22.1 µg/g) and DPPH radical scavenging capacity (16.96 to 83.20%). A similar conclusion was reached when possible relationship between moisture content and antioxidative properties in Thai plants was investigated (Maisuthisakul et al., 2008).

Inclusion of moringa leaves in the fermented locust bean (at 20 to 50% substitution levels) evidently reduced the overall protein content by an average of 9%. There was no positive correlation between protein content per se and the antioxidative properties in this study. However, numerous investigations have indicated that when plant/animal proteins are hydrolyzed, they can act as direct scavengers of diverse free radicals or behave as antioxidants in model systems (Ajibola et al., 2011).

The fat content of the moringa fortified variants (21.78 to 27.01%) was relatively lower than the unfortified ones (36.21%). This parameter like its protein counterpart did not follow a definite order in relation to antioxidant activity. Also, it contrasts, sharply with foods such as full fat milk associated with fat soluble compounds (β-carotene and fat soluble vitamins) considered to have antioxidant activity (Full-fat milk generally exhibits higher antioxidant activity than skimmed milk) (Rashidinejad et al., 2016).

Fortified condiment with the highest inclusion (50%) of moringa leaf had the highest crude fibre content (5.63%). In most of the samples evaluated, this parameter positively correlated with reducing power potential and DPPH free radical scavenging capacity across several concentration gradients (100 to 1000 µg/g). This may be related to the reported free radical scavenging activity due to polyphenols associated with dietary fibre (Ubando-Rivera et al., 2005).

Ash content of the fortified samples (5.21 to 5.63%) generally rose as the level of addition of moringa leaf increased. This translated to higher reducing power potential and DPPH free radical scavenging capacity at most concentration gradient. However, the aforementioned observation is at variance with those of Maisuthisakul et al. (2008) who reported negative correlation between ash content and antioxidant activity among 28 Thai plants studied. A number of minerals depending on the prevailing micro-environment have been recognized to enhance anti-oxidant activities (Khairy and El-Shiekh, 2015). This may well explain the differences.

The fortified condiment carbohydrate content (12.21 to 16.31%) increased with level of inclusion of moringa leaf characterized as high in carbohydrate (38.04%). This though did not positively correlate with the antioxidative properties for most of the variants studied. The reason for this is unclear but Biliaderis and Izydorczyk (2006) reported that some carbohydrate types do contribute to antioxidant activities.

Antioxidant activity of the condiments

Total phenol

Phenolic concentration of test samples and catechin (reference standard) varied with the concentration gradients [0 µg/g(blank) to 1000 µg/g] rising from 0.01 µg/g to a peak of 20.29 µg/g (Table 2). The total phenol of 100% fermented locust bean and moringa leaf powder at 1000 µg/g concentrations were 13.46 and 19.41 µg/g, respectively. Evidently at higher gradient concentration (500-1000 µg/g) phenolic content of the moringa leaf powder is some 20 to 45% higher than those of fermented locust bean. This makes the former a good complementary source of phenolics (Leone et al., 2015), boosting the overall phenolic content of the condiments. Interestingly, the fortified condiment phenolic contents (19.67 to 20.21 µg/g) peaked in the 20% moringa fortified variant at which point it was not statistically different from standard (p<0.05) (Table 2). Further increases in substitution did not significantly influence the phenolic
content, a chemical property usually associated with mopping up of free radicals through hydrogen or electron-donating as well as metal ion chelating agents (Rice-Evans and Bourdon, 1993).

**Total flavonoid content**

The superiority of the flavonoid content of the moringa leaf powder over those of fermented locust bean is clearly obvious throughout the entire spectrum of concentration gradients (10-1000 µg/g) (Table 3). For instance at 1000 µg/g, the flavonoid content of 100% *M. oleifera* leaf powder (18.27 µg/g) is three times that of 100% fermented locust bean powder (5.35 µg/g). Paradoxically (with the exception of 10 µg/g concentration gradient) Increased inclusion of the moringa leaf in the condiment (at 20 to 50%) led to about 50% overall decrease in the flavonoid content of the condiments depending on the concentration gradient.

**Reducing power**

Like the preceding parameter the reducing power

### Table 2. Phenolic content of the condiments (µg/g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>0.1±0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% Locust bean</td>
<td>0.1±0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% moringa leaves</td>
<td>0.1±0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Locust beans + 50% moringa leaves</td>
<td>0.5±0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% Locust beans + 30% moringa leaves</td>
<td>0.52±0.01&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% Locust beans + 20% moringa leaves</td>
<td>0.51±0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means of three replicates. Mean values having different superscripts within a column are significantly different (p<0.05).

### Table 3. Total flavonoids content of the condiment (µg/g).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>0.02±0.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% locust bean</td>
<td>0.02±0.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% moringa leaves</td>
<td>0.02±0.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Locust beans + 50% moringa leaves</td>
<td>0.02±0.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% Locust beans + 30% moringa leaves</td>
<td>0.01±0.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% Locust beans + 20% moringa leaves</td>
<td>0.01±0.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means of three replicates. Mean values having different superscripts within a column are significantly different (p<0.05).
Table 4. Reducing power potential of condiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/g)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10.0</th>
<th>50.0</th>
<th>100.0</th>
<th>250.0</th>
<th>500.0</th>
<th>750.0</th>
<th>1000.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4±0.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.4±0.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.3±3.2&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.7±0.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>19.5±1.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.1±0.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.2±0.6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>23.5±2.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% Locust bean</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.2±0.1&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.2±0.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.6±0.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.8±2.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.9±1.2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.9±0.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.6±0.5&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% moringa leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.6±0.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>13.8±0.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>14.5±0.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.1±5.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.0±0.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.1±0.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Locust beans + 50% moringa leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.2±0.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.8±0.6&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.6±0.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.5±2.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.7±0.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.0±1.8&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.1±0.1&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% Locust beans + 30% moringa leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.6±0.5&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.6±0.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.0±0.4&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.9±1.1&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.7±0.3&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.1±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.9±0.3&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% Locust beans + 20% moringa leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2±0.1&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.4±0.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.7±0.2&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.0±0.6&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.4±0.7&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>21.7±0.1&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22.0±0.0&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.7±0.7&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means of three replicates. Mean values having different superscripts within a column are significantly different (p<0.05).

Table 5. DPPH radical scavenging activity (%) of the condiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Concentration (µg/ml)</th>
<th>40.0</th>
<th>100.0</th>
<th>250.0</th>
<th>500.0</th>
<th>750.0</th>
<th>1000.0</th>
<th>2000.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td></td>
<td>36.92±7.9</td>
<td>42.20±4.40</td>
<td>40.84±1.50</td>
<td>47.58±1.54</td>
<td>51.11±6.64</td>
<td>59.86±1.08</td>
<td>63.29±1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% Locust bean</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.74±2.05</td>
<td>36.21±1.88</td>
<td>43.45±3.20</td>
<td>70.62±0.86</td>
<td>77.68±1.00</td>
<td>61.16±10.17</td>
<td>51.99±1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% moringa leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.38±2.17</td>
<td>36.04±1.98</td>
<td>79.79±1.86</td>
<td>83.20±0.60</td>
<td>77.20±1.69</td>
<td>65.80±1.88</td>
<td>20.20±4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% Locust beans + 50% moringa leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td>75.59±0.69</td>
<td>57.16±1.40</td>
<td>40.10±1.65</td>
<td>43.93±1.99</td>
<td>39.43±2.10</td>
<td>39.53±2.80</td>
<td>23.63±6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% Locust beans + 30% moringa leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.58±2.05</td>
<td>26.93±2.25</td>
<td>33.84±1.59</td>
<td>79.20±1.08</td>
<td>65.26±4.03</td>
<td>65.90±1.06</td>
<td>46.22±1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% Locust beans + 20% moringa leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.19±1.03</td>
<td>24.66±2.21</td>
<td>82.36±0.69</td>
<td>34.00±1.10</td>
<td>36.95±1.72</td>
<td>38.80±1.82</td>
<td>16.96±2.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± standard deviation of three replicate of percentage inhibition (%).

Potential is concentration dependent with all the condiments exhibiting high reducing power comparing favorably with the catechin reference standard (Table 4). The fortified condiments (50, 30 and 20% substitution levels) had reducing powers of 22.0, 21.8 and 20.7 µg/g respectively at 1000 µg/g concentration gradient. These values are significantly higher than those obtained for 100% fermented locust bean (10.6 µg/g). Reducing power of the extracts is a reflection of their ability to donate electrons to facilitate reduction (ferric ions Fe<sup>3+</sup> to ferrous ions Fe<sup>2+</sup>). While not being statistically significant (p>0.05), the 30% moringa fortified variant showed higher reducing power potential than those of the other two in these concentrations (10, 250, 750 µg/g). This may be related to possible marginal differences in concentrations of reducing substances from fermentation such as free amino acids, peptides, and oxidized lipid – amino acid reaction products reputed for antioxidative activity (Daramola, 2014). Indeed, reducing power remains a significant indicator of potential antioxidant activity (Meir et al., 1995).

**DPPH scavenging activity**

At concentration gradients (40-2000 µg/ml) evaluated, the DPPH scavenging activities (% inhibition) for the condiments varied across all substitution levels [50%(75.59-23.63), 30%(79.20-26.58), 20%(82.36-16.96)]. Majority of the samples exhibited the highest percentage inhibition at a concentration of 500 µg/ml (Table 5). The 100% locust bean (70.6% inhibition), 100% moringa leaf (83.2% inhibition), condiments fortified with moringa leaf at 50%
Table 6. Sensory evaluation of the condiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condiment</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Aroma</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>Mouth feel</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Overall acceptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% locust bean</td>
<td>6.29&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.43&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.43&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.57&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.43&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% moringa leaves</td>
<td>3.29&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.29&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.86&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.71&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.0&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.86&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% locust beans + 50% moringa leaves</td>
<td>4.57&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.86&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.14&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.29&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.86&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.14&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70% locust beans + 30% moringa leaves</td>
<td>4.86&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.14&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.00&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.86&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.43&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.43&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80% locust beans + 20% moringa leaves</td>
<td>5.86&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.29&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.29&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.43&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.43&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.71&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means of three replicates. Mean values having different superscripts within a column are significantly different (p<0.05).

(43.9% inhibition), 30% (79.2% inhibition) and 20% (34% inhibition), respectively all showed strong scavenging potentials in that order. The pattern of predominantly high values for the 30% moringa fortified condiment (sometimes greater than the reference) may be due to synergistic effects exerted by the phenolic content (Songsunghan and Chantai, 2014). The rather reduced effect at 20 and 50% substitution levels could be that these were outside optimum combination levels for best of the phenolic interactions therein (Palafax-Carlos et al., 2012). Undoubtedly, DPPH effectively neutralizes compounds that have proton donating ability and so the condiment could serve as free radical inhibitor or scavenger acting possibly as primary antioxidant.

Sensory evaluation

The results of sensory evaluation of the condiments are shown in Table 6. The mean sensory scores of the fortified condiments for attributes such as taste, aroma and mouth feel were not significantly different from those of 100% locust bean (P≤0.053). In terms of overall acceptability, the latter was most preferred (6.47), followed by the 20% (5.71), 30% (5.43) and 50% (5.14) in that order. Traditional taste, habits and cultural preferences may have accounted for this trend.

Conclusion

The antioxidant activity of condiments fortified with M. oleifera leaf increased significantly and could be of possible health benefit. It is apparent from the results that the addition of moringa leaf powder at all levels did not significantly alter the organoleptic properties of the condiments. Evidently, condiment fortified with 20% moringa leaf is the most promising in terms of anti-oxidative potential and sensory attributes.
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