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Parentage verification and identity test of Ghezel sheep using microsatellite markers
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The Ghezel sheep is a fat tail high weight Iranian breed which is raised in the North-west of Iran. To design an efficient improvement program and genetic evaluation system for this indigenous breed, accurate estimates of the population genetic parameters is required and all pedigrees and relationships should be correctly recorded. Otherwise, it can produce biased evaluations when pedigrees contain errors and procedures utilize information from relatives. The pedigree and genotype data of Ghezel sheep were examined for errors. Parentage control has been performed by amplification of microsatellites. Mean heterozygosities, mean polymorphism index content (PIC) and mean number of alleles per loci were 0.50, 0.43 and 3.71, respectively. Mendelian errors were found following the pedigree corrections. Alleles at the following seven microsatellite loci were identified: BM4307, CSSM004, BM415, RM029, INRA49, BM3205 and OarFCB5. The pedigree was considered incorrect in 6 (12%) out of all the evaluated progeny, as their genotype did not match their parents. The present findings attest to the usefulness of the investigated microsatellites for parentage control in Ghezel sheep.
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INTRODUCTION

The best linear unbiased predication (BLUP) procedure has been the most widely used in the prediction of the genetic merit in livestock (Mrode, 1996). Selection decisions based on BLUP are more accurate when using dam and ram pedigree information because BLUP takes account of all available information. Animal model evaluations are based on all known genetic relationships between the animals included in the calculation (Henderson1975). The model assumes that all pedigrees, and relationships are correctly recorded. However, BLUP can produce biased evaluations when pedigrees contain errors and procedures utilize information from relatives. The result would have less accurate genetic evaluations and slower genetic progress than it would be possible otherwise. Van Vleck (1970a,b) demonstrated that incorrect identification of sires, in cattle data, can bias estimates of heritabilities, evaluations of sires and estimates of genetic progress due to selection. Bias increased as the fraction of records with errors increased. There is a need to check the correct paternity relationships to be used for predicting the genetic metric of the individuals in the breeding programme through the numerator relationship matrix. It is well known that error in paternity assignment delay genetic progress whose magnitude under certain circumstance reaches that of the amount of paternity errors in the pedigree. Individual identification and parent-age control are essential for consumer protection and efficient management of animal populations study. Therefore correction of parentage for
breeding stocks is a prerequisite for an efficient breeding program in all farm animal species including sheep. This expectation is not always fulfilled as several studies showed.

There are three main error sources for identification of progenies in our investigated farm: missing identification plastic tags because of fighting of kids, undesired mating of rams to the neighbouring ewes and finally, replacement of new tags by workers without consideration of previous identification of real ID of animals. Therefore, search for some method for parentage test was necessary.

DNA analysis provides a powerful tool for verifying the parentage and identification of individual animals. Microsatellites or simple sequence repeats (SSRs) discovered in 1981, are tandem repeated motifs of 1-6 nucleotides found in all prokaryotic and eukaryotic genomes (Goldstein et al., 1999). Dinucleotide repeats dominate, followed by mono- and tetra-nucleotide and trinucleotide repeats are least dominant. Repeats of five (penta-) or six (hexa-) nucleotides can also be found. Generally, among dinucleotides, (CA)n repeats are most frequent, followed by (AT)n, (GA)n and (GC)n, the last type of repeat being rare. Microsatellites combine many desirable properties including co-dominance, high variability, rapid and simple assays and uniform genome coverage (Goldstein et al., 1999). These regions are among the most variable in the nuclear DNA molecules. Microsatellites are characterized by high mutation rates, allelic diversity and high heterozygosity, including high variability even among individuals from the same population. Microsatellite data can be used to describe the genetic structuring within and between populations and among subspecies and species. Mutations at micro-satellite loci typically result in length variations, as the number of repeat units either is increased or decreased (Goldstein et al., 1999).

The objective of the present study is to evaluate seven microsatellites for progeny test in Ghezel sheep.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

Whole blood sample randomly collected from 50 progeny which belong to pedigree data included 10 rams and 40 ewes (Figure 1). The ewes are routinely bred to rams through controlled natural seasonal mating following heat detection. At the beginning of the breeding season, ewes were weighed and randomly assigned to single ram 10 to 20 ewes were. Authorized veterinarian collected blood samples for DNA genotyping from tail vein. Blood was collected on potassium- ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (K<sub>2</sub>EDTA) and stored at -20°C for few weeks or -70°C up to several months.

**DNA extraction**

DNA was extracted from whole blood according to the previously reported DNA extraction protocol. DNA concentrations were calculated by spectrophotometer by taking the optical density at a wavelength of 260 nm.

Primer and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Seven microsatellite markers (BM4307, CSSM04, BM415, RM029, BM3205, INRA049 and OarFCB5) were analyzed for polymorphisms according to Vaiman et al. (1996) (Table 1). The PCR mixture (25 µl) consisted of 1.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 µM dNTPs, 0.3 µM primers, 1x PCR buffer, 1 U Taq polymerase (Cinagen Company) and 100 ng of DNA template. The reaction mixture was placed on DNA Thermal cycler (Biometra) and the thermal cycling conditions included touch down Program with the following detail: an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 4 min, 10 cycles of 94°C (60 s), 66°C (decrease)1°C/cycle for 60 s and 72°C (60 s) followed by 25 cycles of 94 (60 s), 56 (120 s) and 72°C (60 s), and a final extension step of 10 min at 72°C. Gels were stained with 0.5 mg/l ethidium bromide for 20 min, destained and photographed.

**Proportion of heterozygous genotypes**

The equation below was used to calculate the proportion of heterozygous genotypes

\[
ph = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2
\]

Where: \( p_i \) is the frequency of the \( p_i \)th allele among a total of \( l \) alleles. The informativeness of a marker can be measured using its heterozygosity or its polymorphism.

**Polymorphism information content (PIC)**

The probability that one could identify which homologue of a given parent was transmitted to a given offspring is genotyped by the other parent as well. Probability that the parent is heterozygous \( x \) probability that the offspring is informative:

\[
PIC = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2 - 2\sum_{i=1}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{j-1} p_i^2 p_j^2
\]

Exclusion power (EPR), the probability of excluding a random individual from the population as a potential parent of an animal based on the genotype of one parent and offspring was calculated from Jamieson (1994, 1997).

**Statistical analysis**

For estimation of genotype and allele frequencies, we used POPgene software (Yeh et al., 1999). Allelic frequencies and number of alleles per locus were estimated by direct counting from observed genotypes. Heterozygosities, polymorphic information contents and exclusion probabilities were computed using the CERVUS (Ver. 2.0) software (Marshall et al., 1998).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The level of information content of a microsatellite is determined, allele number, frequency of frequent allele (FNA), expected Heterozygosities (\( H_e \)), observed heterozygosities (\( H_o \)), Hardy Weinberg test (HW), F (null), polymorphism informative content (PIC), heterozygosity (Het) and probability of exclusion (PE) values are depen-
dent on the number of alleles and on the frequency distribution of these alleles on the population which is shown in Table 2. The results of the microsatellite markers potential use in paternity tests and the control of individual identification on the studied population are shown in Table 2.

Pedigree errors were shown to occur in 12.0% of cases tested in this study. This is within the ranges of pedigree errors revealed in other animal studies (8.7 to 15.5% in sheep: Barnett et al., 1999; 2 to 22% in cattle: Visscher et al., 2002) using microsatellite markers. The 7 microsatellites evaluated in this study provide sufficient power (75.3%) to be useful in a parentage determination kit to confirm parentage of offspring from that going to be selected as future breeding animals. The possibility of multiple paternities from sheep may require a greater power of exclusion, which would be provided by using more loci.

The average exclusion probability is a measure of efficiency in paternity testing; it refers to the prior ability of tests to detect paternity inconsistencies. This parameter measures the capacity of the system to detect a false accusation of paternity. Traditionally, this average exclusion probability has been estimated as the probability of excluding a male who is not the father by an inconsistency in at least one of the studied loci.

In a classical analysis of genetic relationship, one of the useful parameters is the power of exclusion (PE), the power of a genetic marker in excluding a non-related individual chosen by chance in a specific population, as an alleged father in a paternity investigation. The paternity PE is the expected average probability that a polymorphic locus shows the exclusion of a man without kinship with the biological father. This index depends on the informative content of a locus, which depends on its number of alleles and its respective frequencies. From the probabilities of exclusion of several loci, it is possible to calculate the combined PE (PEC), by simple multiplication

Table 1. Microsatellite markers in different chromosome used to reveal error in identification (Vaiman et al 1996).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loci</th>
<th>Primer Sequence</th>
<th>PCR size (bp)</th>
<th>Type of SSR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BM4307</td>
<td>ATAACACAAAGTGGAAAAAACACTC</td>
<td>187 - 203</td>
<td>(CA)₁₂(TA)₆</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM3205</td>
<td>TCTGCTCTCTCCAAATCCTC</td>
<td>204 - 219</td>
<td>(TA)₉(TG)₁₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSSM004</td>
<td>ATGGCTCTAGAAACTTGAGATTG</td>
<td>183 - 220</td>
<td>(GT)₁₀(TA)₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INRA049</td>
<td>TGTTATTAGTTGTTCTTTTGCTG</td>
<td>56 - 172</td>
<td>(TA)₁₀(TG)₁₁</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OarFCB5</td>
<td>AAGTTTAATTTCGGCTGGAAAAACC</td>
<td>79 - 130</td>
<td>(GT)₃A(TG)₃TA(TG)₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM029</td>
<td>ATATGCTCTGCTATCTGTATTAT</td>
<td>80 - 102</td>
<td>(CA)₁₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM415</td>
<td>GAAATCATCTGGCTGGCATC</td>
<td>137 - 157</td>
<td>(TG)₁₈</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Phenotypic characteristics of fat tail Ghezel sheep.
of the values for each locus. The value of PEC is a function of the examined loci number, as well as of the informative content of each locus. The knowledge of the PE and the PEC can define the loci to be used in an analysis of genetic relationship. Genetic paternity testing can provide sire identity data for offspring when females have been exposed to multiple males. However, correct paternity assignment can be influenced by factors determined in the laboratory and by size and genetic composition of breeding groups. When parents are assigned on the basis of LOD scores, the most likely candidate parent is the candidate parent with highest LOD score. The likelihood ratio is the likelihood that the candidate parent is the true parent divided by the likelihood that the candidate parent is not the true parent. Negative LOD score shows that the candidate parent is less likely to be the true parent than an arbitrary randomly chosen individual. A LOD score of zero candidate parents is most likely to be either the true parent or an arbitrary randomly chosen individual.

The PE is a parameter to solve problems of some genetic markers in a population and is most commonly used as molecular markers in pedigree verification (Luikart et al., 1999). Jakabova et al. (2002) have also shown that at least, five microsatellites with the highest individual PE values that have a 97% total exclusion probability should be used to obtain a high degree of excluding incorrect parentage. Usha et al. (1994) also reported a total PE of 0.88 for two microsatellite loci used in cattle parentage control. Marklund et al. (1994) analyzed eight microsatellite loci in paternity testing to reach a total exclusion probability of 0.96 to 0.99 in different horse breeds.

Comparison of our results with these various results clearly shows that our selected microsatellites have greater power of exclusion given that we could reach a high level of exclusion with only seven loci (PE = 0.97). Analyses of more loci will allow the increase of the combination efficiency.

Simulation based on allele frequencies of parents suggested that six loci would allow assignment of progeny to their correct dam in 92% cases. In reality, however, assignment success was half of this. Discrepancies between the simulations and real data sets were considered to be largely due to the presence of null alleles at loci that were not accounted for the simulations. The validity of this kind of research would need more genotyping of loci and screening for large numbers of offspring is required.
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