

Full Length Research Paper

# Development of stable marker-free nuclear transformation strategy in the green microalga *Chlorella vulgaris*

Ahmed E. Gomma<sup>1#</sup>, Sung-Kwon Lee<sup>2#</sup>, Sang Mi Sun<sup>1</sup>, Seung Hwan Yang<sup>2,3\*</sup> and Gyuhwa Chung<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Chonnam National University, Chonnam 550-749, Republic of Korea.

<sup>2</sup>Center for Nutraceutical and Pharmaceutical Materials, Myongji University, Gyeonggi 449-728, Republic of Korea.

<sup>3</sup>Interdisciplinary Program of Biomodulation, Myongji University, Yongin, Gyeonggi-Do, 449-728, Republic of Korea.

Received 22 April, 2015; Accepted 14 September, 2015

Although microalgae have valuable resources with great necessity in many biotechnological applications, no tools have been developed yet for a stable genetic transformation without antibiotic marker genes in these organisms. *Chlorella* is one of the most useful genus for biotechnological applications. The transfer of foreign DNA (vector or linear DNA cassette) into *Chlorella* by electroporation has very low stability and it is hard to screen the transformants without antibiotic marker genes. However, the marker genes have some disadvantages to host cells. To avoid the negative effects caused by the marker genes, we tried to develop a stable marker-free nuclear transformation system in *Chlorella*. For this, linear gene expression cassettes (LGEC) were constructed with functional domains, which are responsible for transformation, of SV40 large T antigen. The LGECs were transferred into *Chlorella* via electroporation and durability of the LGECs were confirmed in transgenic *Chlorella*. Transcription levels of the LGECs were also determined at different cell cycle sates. The LGECs integrated into the chromosomal DNA of *Chlorella* were stably replicated and were expressed successfully at G<sub>0</sub>-, G<sub>1</sub>-, and G<sub>2</sub>-phases. This study presents a stable marker-free nuclear transformation system with potential for biotechnological applications.

**Key words:** *Chlorella vulgaris*, marker-free nuclear transformation, SV40 large T antigen, microalga.

## INTRODUCTION


In recent years, microalgae have become valuable resources with its great necessity in many of biotechnological applications (Chu, 2012). Currently, to improve microalgae for industrial application, various

\*Corresponding authors. E-mail: chung@chonnam.ac.kr, ymichigan@mju.ac.kr. Tel: +82-11-603 1260, +82-31-330-6880. Fax: +82-61-659-7309, +82-31-330-6882.

#These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abbreviations:** LGECs, Linear gene expression cassettes; SV40, Simian virus 40; NLS, nuclear localization signal; DNA-BD, DNA binding domain.

Author(s) agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of functional domains of SV40 large T antigen.

genetic engineering approaches have been tried (Hlavová et al., 2015). Generally, generating transgenic microalgae via genetic transformation requires antibiotic marker genes to increase stability of a foreign gene expression cassette (Niu et al., 2011). However, most antibiotic marker genes have some disadvantages on the host cell. Many algae have natural resistance to most antibiotics or herbicides commonly used for genetic transformation and the stress caused by antibiotics and expression of antibiotic marker genes might negatively affect growth and metabolism of host cell (Apt et al., 1996; Niu et al., 2011). So, it is very necessary to develop a stable marker-free nuclear transformation system in microalgae.

Simian virus 40 (SV40) is a member of Polyomaviridae and its genome encodes three proteins that are structural components of the virion (VP1, VP2, VP3), and two nonstructural proteins namely large T antigen (T antigen) and small T antigen (t antigen) (Ahuja et al., 2005). The SV40 large T antigen is a viral initiator protein for DNA replication. It is a sequence specific DNA binding protein that also possesses intrinsic DNA helicase and ATPase activities, besides nuclear localization signal (Figure 1). These activities of large T antigen are required for its ability to influence viral DNA replication (Ahuja et al., 2005). The previous studies reported the functions of SV40 T antigen on the transformation of mammalian and yeast cell (Ali and DeCaprio, 2001; Sáenz-Robles et al., 2001; Sullivan and Pipas, 2002). The SV40 large T antigen contains three different domains, which contribute to cellular transformation. These domains include the J domain for interaction with the heat shock chaperone, Hsc70; the LXCXE motif responsible for binding the retinoblastoma (Rb) family of tumor repressors, such as p107 and p130; the bipartite domain to interact with the cellular tumor suppressor protein, p53 (Sullivan et al., 2000; 2001; Sáenz-Robles et al., 2001; Ahuja et al., 2005). The interaction of SV40 small T antigen with the cellular phosphatase pp2A is also required for the transforming function of SV40 T antigen (Pallas et al., 1990; Hahn et al., 2002).

The Rb-E2F pathway is a major mechanism connecting

the activity of the cell-cycle machinery to transcription (Verma and Hong, 2001). Recently, Rb-E2F cell-cycle signaling pathway has been recognized in plants like in animals, and it is conserved in plants (De Veylder et al., 2007; Gutierrez, 2009), and several studies revealed that microalgae also have the retinoblastoma (Rb) protein homologue (Cross and Roberts, 2001; Umen and Goodenough, 2001; Kianianmomeni et al., 2008; Moulager et al., 2010). Moreover, the previous reports demonstrated that the SV40 genomic DNA was able to easily integrate into the host chromosomal DNA via non-homologous recombination with nuclear localization signal (NLS) (Campo et al., 1978; Hwang and Kucherlapati, 1983; Dorsett et al., 1985; Neuhaus et al., 1986; Ahuja et al., 2005). Based on these reports, we supposed that the SV40 T antigen might be applicable to develop the stable marker-free nuclear transformation system without antibiotic marker genes in microalgae.

In the present study, we constructed several linear gene expression cassettes (LGECs) for nuclear transformation in *Chlorella vulgaris* with specific parts of SV40 T antigen, such as NLS and DNA binding domain (DNA-BD), and then identified the durability of transformed LGECs in the genome of *C. vulgaris*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Microalgae materials and culture conditions


Freshwater sample was collected from a small pool next to Deokgok-Je reservoir, Josan-ri, Soramyeon, Yeosu, South Korea (latitude 34° 46' 47.9" N, longitude 127° 37' 29.0" E). The algal strain was isolated from the fresh water sample, and was cultivated in Beijerinck medium. The isolated algal strain was identified as *C. vulgaris* by morphological identification and 18S rDNA sequencing. The purified *C. vulgaris* was grown in 30 ml *Euglena gracilis*: Jaworski 1:1 medium (EG:JM medium) for two weeks at 24°C and 80 rpm under continuous illumination (light intensity: 8,000 Lm).

### Construction of linear gene expression cassette

The PCR primers used for linear gene expression cassette construction are listed in Table 1. SV40 genomic DNA (ATCC


**Table 1.** Primers used in this study.

Name	Sequence (5' to 3')
<b>PCR</b>	
35Sf	TCCCCAGATTAGCCTTTTCA
35Sr	TCTTTTTTGGCCCGTGTCT
NLSf	AGAACACGGGCCAAAAAAGA
NLSr	CACAAGTGGATACCTTTCTC
BDf	GAGAAAGGTATCCACTTGTG
BDr	TAAAGATAAGTTAGCAATTC
HSPf	GAATTGCTAACTTATCTTTA
HSPr	CCTATGGAAATATGAAGATG
Orif	CATCTTCATAATCCCGCCCC
Orir	GGCCTCTGCATAAATAAAAA
18Sf	CTAGAGGAAGGAGAAGTCGT
18Sr	ACGACTTCTCCTTCCTCTAG
35S2r	TCATTTGGAGAGAACACGGG
<b>RT-PCR</b>	
BD2f	TTTAGAATGTGGTTTGGACT
BD2r	AGATGGCATTCTTCTGAGC

**Figure 2.** Structures of linear gene expression cassettes.

accession: 45019D) was used as a template source for the NLS, DNA binding domain, and replication origin (ori). CaMV 35S promoter and HSP-terminator were isolated from pRI 201 vector (Takara, Dalian, China). To construct LGECs, CaMV 35S promoter, NLS, DNA-BD, HSP-terminator, and 18S rRNA gene fragments were amplified by PCR with specific primer pairs: 35Sf/35Sr, NLSf/NLSr, BDf/BDr, HSPf/HSPr, and Orif/Orir. The structures of each construct are shown in Figure 2. All fragments for the LGECs

were connected by the overlap extension PCR, and the detailed procedure is shown in Figure 3. For phosphorylation of the LGEC-A and -C, the primers 35Sf, 35Sr, 18Sf, and 18Sr were phosphorylated at 5' end with T4 polynucleotide kinase (NEB, Hitchin, UK) and PCR amplifications were carried out with these primer pairs using LGEC-A or -B as a template. The overlapping PCR products were examined by electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels, and DNA bands of the correct size were extracted using the



**Figure 3.** Schematic flow diagram for the construction of naked marker-free DNA cassettes.

QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen, CA, USA). All PCR reactions were carried out using the high fidelity DNA polymerase (TLA polymerase) (Bioneer, Daejeon, Republic of Korea).

**Generation of transgenic *C. vulgaris* by electroporation**

The LGECs described above were used to transform *C. vulgaris* by

electroporation. *Chlorella* cells in the exponential phase were collected by centrifuge at 1,300 × *g* for 10 min. *Chlorella* cells were washed out with 20 ml of HEPES-glucose buffer (7 mM HEPES and 252 mM glucose, pH 7.0) two times. The equivalent of 1 × 10<sup>5</sup> *Chlorella* cells was resuspended in 2 ml of sterilized distilled water and was kept on ice for 2 h. Suspension aliquots of 80 μl were mixed with 33.6 ng of each LGEC then transferred into an electroporation cuvette. Electroporation was carried out with a

GenePulser Xcell apparatus (Bio-Rad, CA, USA) according to the following conditions: electric field  $1,800 \text{ V cm}^{-1}$  and shunt  $200 \Omega$ . After electroporation, cells were kept on ice for 5 min and resuspended in 5 ml EG:JM medium containing 1 M mannitol and 1 M sorbitol, and then incubated in the dark for 24 h. After incubation, 1 ml of suspension was transferred into 30 ml EG:JM medium and cultivated for 24 h under continuous illumination. Afterwards, cells were collected by centrifugation at  $1300 \times g$  for 5 min and resuspended in 1 ml EG:JM medium. Finally, the cells were spread on Petri dishes in EG:JM agar medium.

### Isolation of transgenic *C. vulgaris*

After five days of incubation of the plates under illumination (16:8 LD), two colonies were randomly picked from each transgenic line and each colony was suspended in 20  $\mu\text{l}$  distilled water in PCR tube, and then the tubes were placed in a boiling water for 10 min. Afterwards, PCR was carried out with CaMV 35S promoter specific primer pair (35Sf and 35Sr) using the boiled lysates as a template. The correct transgenic *C. vulgaris* was regenerated in 30 ml EG:JM medium for 14 days under continuous illumination with shaking. To calculate the transformation frequency for each LGEC, each LGEC was transferred into *C. vulgaris* by electroporation according to the method mentioned above. Then, 100  $\mu\text{l}$  of sample was taken from the 1 ml of cell suspension and was spread on Petri dishes in EG:JM agar medium. After incubation, the transformation frequency was calculated with the following formula:

$$\text{Transformation frequency (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of PCR positive colonies}}{\text{Total number of colonies}} \times 100$$

### Analysis of the durability and the transcriptional level of the naked DNA cassette in transgenic *C. vulgaris*

To determine the durability of the LGECs in transgenic *C. vulgaris*, the transformants were serially subcultured three times with six days intervals at  $24^\circ\text{C}$  and 80 rpm in 30 ml EG:JM medium under continuous illumination, and the presence of LGEC was determined at each subculture cycle by PCR. Genomic DNA was extracted from transgenic *C. vulgaris* according to previously described procedures (Dawson et al., 1997). PCR analysis was performed using Ex-taq (Takara, Dalian, China) with specific primer pair (35Sf and 35Sr), which is designed to amplify 843-bp of CaMV 35S promoter in the LGECs. The transcription levels of LGECs in transgenic *C. vulgaris* was also analyzed by reverse-transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR). For RT-PCR analysis, transgenic *C. vulgaris* were grown in 30 ml of EG:JM medium and *Chlorella* cells were collected from 4 ml culture at each growth stage, G0-, G1-, and G2-phase. Total RNA was extracted using Isol-RNA Lysis reagent (Takara, Shiga, Japan). The first strand cDNA synthesized using Maxima First Strand cDNA synthesis Kit for RT-PCR (Thermo Scientific Fermentas, MD, USA) with 1  $\mu\text{g}$  of total RNA as a template. PCR was performed with DNA binding domain specific primer pair: BD2f/BD2r, which was designed to amplify 21-bp of DNA fragment. To assess the genomic DNA contamination of the total RNA sample, PCR was carried out with the specific primers pair.

### Analysis of cell growth

To determine cell growth of transgenic *C. vulgaris*, each transgenic line was cultivated in EG:JM medium for 8 days and the optical density was measured at 680 nm on days 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 8. All measurements were repeated three times and their mean values were used to determine the growth rate.

## RESULTS

### Construction of LGEC

The 843-bp CaMV 35S promoter, 21-bp NLS, 384-bp DNA binding, 78-bp replication origin, 249-bp Hsp-terminator regions were amplified by PCR, and confirmed by sequencing analysis. All components were connected by overlap extension PCR to produce the LGEC-A. To add the 18S rDNA flank fragments at both ends of the cassette, PCR was carried out with the primer pair 18Sf/18Sr using the LGEC-A as a template. The resultant LGEC-A and -C were 1,575-bp and 1,615-bp, respectively. For the phosphorylation of the LGEC-A and -C, the primer pairs 35Sf/35Sr and 18Sf/18Sr were phosphorylated at 5' end, and then PCR was performed with these primer pairs using the LGEC-A or -C as a template. A schematic flow diagram of LGEC construction is shown in Figure 3.

### Selection of transgenic *C. vulgaris*


Each LGEC was introduced into cells of *C. vulgaris* by electroporation. *C. vulgaris* transformants were regenerated in EG:JM medium for 24 h, and then cells were spread on agar medium. After 5 days, 2 colonies were randomly picked from each transgenic line and the presence of LGEC was determined by colony PCR with CaMV 35S specific primer pair. Figure 4 shows the result of PCR analysis. The DNA bands with exact size (843 bp) were amplified from eight colonies of transgenic *C. vulgaris* cells with LGEC-A, -B, -C, or -D and these results demonstrated that the LGEC were successfully transformed into *C. vulgaris* cells. The transformation frequency of each LGEC was also determined and shown in Table 2.

### Analysis of durability of LGEC in transgenic *C. vulgaris*

To analyze durability of LGECs in transgenic *C. vulgaris*, each transgenic line was subcultured 3 times and presence of CaMV 35S promoter was verified by PCR (Figure 5). Samples of each subculture cycle were subjected to PCR analysis with CaMV 35S promoter specific primer pair, and the results demonstrate that 843-bp DNA fragments were amplified from all subculture cycle samples of transformed *C. vulgaris* cells.

### Expression analysis of transgenic *C. vulgaris*

To verify the expression of each LGEC in transgenic *C. vulgaris*, transcription level of the gene encoding DNA binding domain of SV40 large T antigen was analyzed by RT-PCR. For the analysis of gene transcription, each




**Figure 4.** Colony PCR results of transformed *C. vulgaris*. Lanes: M, 100-bp DNA ladder; 1, PCR of colony number 1 of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette A; 2, PCR of colony number 2 of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette A; 3, PCR of colony number 1 of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette B; 4, PCR of colony number 2 of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette B; 5, PCR of colony number 1 of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette C; 6, PCR of colony number 2 of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette C; 7, PCR of colony number 1 of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette D; 8, PCR of colony number 2 of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette D; 843-bp bands in lane 1-8 indicate the expected CaMV 35S promoter PCR product.


**Table 2.** Transformation frequencies for the linear gene expression cassettes.

Cassette	Frequency (% mean <sup>a</sup> ± SD <sup>b</sup> )
A	63.2 ± 3.1
B	62.3 ± 4.8
C	62.7 ± 2.4
D	61.6 ± 4.9

<sup>a</sup>Values are means of three replicate determination; <sup>b</sup>SD, standard deviation.



**Figure 5.** PCR analysis of transgenic *C. vulgaris* in three generations. Each *C. vulgaris* line was subcultured 3 times with 6 days intervals and the presence of CaMV 35S promoter was determined by PCR. The DNA bands indicate the PCR product of 843-bp sized CaMV 35S promoter.



**Figure 6.** RT-PCR analysis of transgenic *C. vulgaris* at G0-, G1-, and G2-phases. **A.** Gel electrophoresis result of RT-PCR. Lanes: 1, PCR of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette A; 2, PCR of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette B; 3, PCR of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette C; 4, PCR of transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette D; 5, PCR of wild-type *C. vulgaris*; bands in lane 1-4 indicate the expected DNA binding domain PCR product. **B.** Raw intensity value graph from gel electrophoresis result. Results represent the average of three replicates ( $n = 3$ ). Error bars represent standard deviations.

transgenic line of *C. vulgaris* was cultivated in EG:JM medium, and aliquots of algal culture were collected at G0-, G1-, and G2-phases. Total RNA was isolated from each sample and subjected to RT-PCR. Figure 6 shows that each LGEC was successfully expressed in transgenic *C. vulgaris* at G0-, G1- and G2-phases. The density of PCR band was calculated using Image J software (<http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij>).

### Analysis of cell growth


The wild-type and the transgenic lines of *C. vulgaris* were cultivated in EG:JM medium for 8 days and the optical density was measured at 680 nm. No significant differences in cell growth were observed among the wild-type and the transgenic *C. vulgaris* lines (Figure 7).

## DISCUSSION

In genetic engineering of microalgae, antibiotic maker

genes have been widely used for the selection of transformed cells and for the maintenance of foreign vector or DNA cassette. However, antibiotic marker genes might cause negative effects on host cells, such as a decline in growth rate and a decrease in production of secondary metabolite (Apt et al., 1996; Niu et al., 2011). To avoid disadvantages of antibiotic marker genes, several methods, such as co-transformation, transposition, and site-specific recombination, have been developed to exclude marker genes from transgenic plants (Nishizawa-Yokoi et al., 2014). Moreover, marker-free chloroplast transformation system has successfully been developed in the green microalga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (Chen and Melis, 2013). However, to our knowledge, there were no report about the nuclear transformation method without using antibiotic marker genes in microalga *C. vulgaris*.

In order to develop the stable marker-free nuclear transformation system in *C. vulgaris*, we tried to enhance the durability of gene expression cassette via increasing the concentration of gene expression cassette in nuclear.



**Figure 7.** Cell growth analysis of the wild-type and the transgenic *C. vulgaris*. **A.** The wild-type *C. vulgaris*. **B.** Transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette A. **C.** Transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette B. **D.** Transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette C. **E.** Transgenic *C. vulgaris* with cassette D. Results represent the average of three replicates ( $n = 3$ ). Error bars represent standard deviations.

To achieve this, the LGECs including the responsible part for cell transformation in the SV40 large T antigen were constructed (Zalvide et al., 2001; Ahuja et al., 2005; White and Khalili, 2006). Additionally, to enhance the durability and the integration rate of LGEC, the modified LGECs were produced by 5' end phosphorylation or addition of 18S rDNA flank fragments. Each LGEC was transferred into the *C. vulgaris*, and integration of the cassette in transgenic *C. vulgaris* was confirmed by colony PCR. Then, the PCR analysis displayed that all LGECs were successfully integrated into the genomic DNA of *C. vulgaris*. Additionally, the transformation frequency of each LGEC was also calculated, and there were no significant differences in the transformation frequency observed among the LGECs.

The durability of LGECs in transgenic *C. vulgaris* was also confirmed in three generations, and the PCR

analysis results showed that the LGECs were stably maintained after three times of subculture. Additionally, no significant differences in durability were observed among four LGECs, and these results demonstrated that the addition of 18S rDNA flank fragments and phosphate groups at both ends of the LGEC did not affect the durability of the cassettes.

The functionality of the SV40 large T antigen based marker-free expression system was also confirmed via analysis of LGEC expression levels. For transcription analysis, transgenic *C. vulgaris* cells were collected at G<sub>0</sub>-, G<sub>1</sub>- and G<sub>2</sub>-phases, and transcription level of DNA binding domain was analyzed by RT-PCR. Transcription analysis demonstrated that all LGECs were expressed at three cell cycle states. Expression level of LGECs was highest at G<sub>0</sub>-phase, and then they were decreased gradually from the G<sub>1</sub> to the G<sub>2</sub>-phase. There were no



differences in the expression levels among four LGECs at G0- and G2-phases, however, significant differences were observed at G1-phase. The LGEC-D showed highest expression level at G1-phase and 2.8-, 1.7- and 3.3-fold was higher compared to LGEC-A, -B, and -C, respectively. However, we could not predict the reason for this phenomenon and it was supposed that the combination of 18S rDNA flank fragments and 5' phosphorylation might affect the expression of foreign DNA cassette. Additionally, to determine the effect of LGECs on cell growth, the cell growth of the wild-type and the transgenic *C. vulgaris* was observed, and no significant differences in cell growth were observed among the wild-type and the transgenic *C. vulgaris* lines. This result demonstrate that the linear gene expression cassette using SV40 large T antigen components have no negative effect on the growth of *C. vulgaris*.

## Conclusion

This study shows that the functional domains for host cell infection derived from SV40 large T antigen are working in the microalga *Chlorella*. The linear gene expression cassettes include SV40 large T antigen components which can be stably expressed in the *Chlorella* cells without using antibiotic marker genes. To our knowledge, this is the first report of the marker-free nuclear transformation in microalgae and may contribute to the development of genetically engineered microalgae. Further studies are needed to rapidly isolate the transgenic microalgae.

## Conflict of interests

The authors did not declare any conflict of interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was carried out with the support of "Cooperative Research Program for Agriculture Science and Technology Development (Project No. PJ01131501)" Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea.

## REFERENCES

- Ahuja D, Sáenz-Robles MT, Pipas JM (2005). SV40 large T antigen targets multiple cellular pathways to elicit cellular transformation. *Oncogene* 24:7729-7745.
- Ali SH, DeCaprio JA (2001). Cellular transformation by SV40 large T antigen: interaction with host proteins. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* 11: 15-23.
- Apt KE, Kroth-Pancic PG, Grossman AR (1996). Stable nuclear transformation of the diatom *Phaeodactylum tricorutum*. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 252:572-579.
- Campo MS, Cameron IR, Rogers ME (1978). Tandem integration of complete and defective SV40 genomes in mouse-human somatic cell hybrids. *Cell* 15:1411-1426.
- Chen HC, Melis A (2013). Marker-free genetic engineering of the chloroplast in the green microalga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 11:818-828.
- Chu WL (2012). Biotechnological applications of microalgae. *Int J Biotechnol.* 6:S24-S37.
- Cross FR, Roberts JM (2001). Retinoblastoma protein: combating algal bloom. *Curr. Biol.* 11:R824-R827.
- Dawson HN, Burlingame R, Cannons AC (1997). Stable transformation of *Chlorella*: rescue of nitrate reductase-deficient mutants with the nitrate reductase gene. *Curr. Microbiol.* 35: 356-362.
- De Veylder L, Beeckman T, Inzé D (2007). The ins and outs of the plant cell cycle. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 8:655-665.
- Dorsett D, Deichaité I, Winocour E (1985). Circular and linear simian virus 40 DNAs differ in recombination. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 5: 869-880.
- Gutiérrez C (2009). The Arabidopsis cell division cycle. *Arabidopsis Book* 7: e0120.
- Hahn WC, Dessain SK, Brooks MW, King JE, Elenbaas B, Sabatini DM, DeCaprio JA, Weinberg RA (2002). Enumeration of the simian virus 40 early region elements necessary for human cell transformation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 22:2111-2123.
- Hlavová M, Turóczy Z, Bišová K (2015). Improving microalgae for biotechnology - From genetics to synthetic biology. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 33(6 Pt 2):1194-203.
- Hwang SP, Kucherlapati RS (1983). Events preceding stable integration of SV40 genomes in a human cell line. *Somat. Cell Genet.* 9:457-468.
- Kianianmomeni A, Nematollahi G, Hallmann A (2008). A gender-specific retinoblastoma-related protein in *Volvox carterii* implies a role for the retinoblastoma protein family in sexual development. *Plant Cell* 20:2399-2419.
- Moulager M, Corellou F, Vergé V, Escande ML, Bouget FY (2010). Integration of light signals by the retinoblastoma pathway in the control of S phase entry in the picophytoplanktonic cell *Ostreococcus*. *PLoS Genet.* 6: e1000957.
- Neuhaus G, Neuhaus-Url G, De Groot EJ, Schweiger HG (1986). High yield and stable transformation of the unicellular green alga *Acetabularia* by microinjection of SV40 DNA and pSV2neo. *EMBO J.* 5:1437-1444.
- Nishizawa-Yokoi A, Endo M, Osakabe, Saika H, Seiichi Toki S (2014). Precise marker excision system using an animal-derived piggyBac transposon in plants. *Plant J.* 77:454-463.
- Niu YF, Zhang MH, Xie WH, Li JN, Gao YF, Yang WD, Liu JS, Li HY (2011). A new inducible expression system in a transformed green alga, *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Genet. Mol. Res.* 10:3427-3434.
- Pallas DC, Shahrik LK, Martin BL, Jaspers S, Miller TB, Brautigam DL, Roberts TM (1990). Polyoma small and middle T antigens and SV40 small t antigen form stable complexes with protein phosphatase 2A. *Cell* 60:167-176.
- Sáenz-Robles MT, Sullivan CS, Pipas JM (2001). Transforming functions of Simian Virus 40. *Oncogene* 20: 7899-7907.
- Sullivan CS, Cantalupo P, Pipas JM (2000). The molecular chaperone activity of simian virus 40 large T antigen is required to disrupt Rb-E2F family complexes by an ATP-dependent mechanism. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 20:6233-6243.
- Sullivan CS, Gilbert SP, Pipas JM (2001). ATP-dependent simian virus 40 T-antigen-Hsc70 complex formation. *J. Virol.* 75: 1601-1610.
- Sullivan CS, Pipas JM (2002). T antigens of simian virus 40: molecular chaperones for viral replication and tumorigenesis. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 66:179-202.
- Umen JG, Goodenough UW (2001). Control of cell division by a retinoblastoma protein homolog in *Chlamydomonas*. *Genes Dev.* 15A:1652-1661.
- Verma DPS, Hong Z (2001). Cell division control in plants. *Oncogene* 20: 7899-907.
- White MK, Khalili K (2006). Interaction of retinoblastoma protein family members with large T antigen of primate polyomaviruses. *Oncogene* 25:5286-5293.
- Zalvide J, DeCaprio JA, Stubdal H (2001). Binding of SV40 large T antigen to the retinoblastoma susceptibility gene product and related proteins. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 165:213-218.