Vol. 13(10), pp. 343-352, 28 May, 2019

DOI: 10.5897/AJBM2019.8759 Article Number: 87C624A61033

ISSN: 1993-8233 Copyright© 2019

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJBM



# **African Journal of Business Management**

Full Length Research Paper

# Impact of psychological contract fulfillment on micro-firm clerks: An empirical study in China

# Zezhao Liu

Department of Politics and Administration, School of Philosophy and Public Administration, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, P. R. China.

Received 28 January, 2019; Accepted 8 April, 2019

The psychological contract is an international academic focus in the field of organization behavior and human resources management. Despite a large number of literatures in the psychological contract from different types of organizations, there is still a lack of exploration involving the micro business sector. This study aims to fill some of the gaps and analyze the intimate relationship with the target of micro-firm clerks in the context of China. Such analysis was performed employing the self-constructed framework of Psychological Contract Fulfillment (PSF), which has been built to reflect the specific characteristics in line with Chinese circumstances. Results show that the PSF has a positive effect on organization commitment and work acceptance while indicates the negative impact on the turnover intention, as perceived by the micro-firm clerks in the sampled cities. PSF for micro-firm clerks, on the other hand, acts as a partial intermediary role between Leader-Member Exchange (LMX) and work acceptance as well as turnover intention. PSF practices call for the significant need to improve internal behavior relations for micro-firm clerks and have the potential to create a type of organizational environment or culture for more sustainable exchanges that act as a positive role for stable human resources, preserving loyalty value and reducing the firms' cost of staff turnover.

**Key words:** Psychological contract fulfillment (PCF), leader-member exchange (LMX), micro-firm, organization behavior, China.

#### INTRODUCTION

For a long time, The relationship between psychological contract and organizational behavior is a highly debated topic between academics and corporate managers. In general, the psychological contract is considered an essential or nonnegligible element for the modern business (Coyle-Shapiro and Kessler, 2000; Aselage and Eisenberger, 2003). Nevertheless, the idea that enterprise size, psychological contract practices and individual

behavior are positively related is not yet universally endorsed, and the antecedents, as well as an operational mechanism for psychological contract, also attracted extensive attentions among researchers of different national backgrounds (Robinson and Morrison, 2000; Hui et al., 2004; Ahmad and Zafar, 2018).

As the "implicit agreement" for members of organizations, the practical significance of psychological

E-mail: preliu@yeah.net.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> License 4.0 International License

contract is that members of the organization conduct its behaviors in a particular mental attitudes and derivative actions through the self-awareness of circumstances, which ultimately affect the achievement of goals for the organization (Herriot et al., 1997; Coyle-Shapiro and Kessler, 2003; Cullinane and Dundon, 2006). Taking the view of functions with various stakeholders in new firms, a series of pioneer researches have examined the relations in the traditional large-scale business precinct, but rarely involves the psychological contract theory in micro-firm sectors. Meanwhile, empirical evidence concerning the performance deriving from personal behaviors around small and medium-sized organizations is relatively scarce, especially in the context of the most populated developing nation of China. Measuring the status of psychological contract and understanding how it can affect the individual behavior by exogenous variables is crucial for both corporate managers and the decisionmakers, due to its impact on a firm's operational value. At the same time, some scholars in the past decades have participated in public organizations to explore the significance and application of psychological contract theory. Coyle-Shapiro (2002) sets the personnel of the British government as the research target and found that psychological contract (relational contracts transaction contract) is positively related to the performance and organizational commitment. Such judgment was further testified that there was a positive correlation between behavior and transactional contract fulfillment while organizational citizenship was negatively correlated. Coyle-Shapiro and Conway (2005) moved forward to probe an investigation of 347 organization staffs, found that there were significant differences between psychological contract and organizational support, drawing two component factors (perceived incentives for employers and employer obligations) in predicting the effects of organizational citizenship behavior. However, due to disparities in mini-sector organizations particularly the mode of operation as well as the dual transaction unity of different roles and ways of thinking, results of previous research were difficult to annotate the whole image of various types of organizations and reconcile with a map of reality. Just as Rousseau (2004) pointed out that psychological contract is formed by multiple entities of individuals, organizations and social environment with complicated interactions, thus it needs to explore the model formula in different circumstances.

At this point, it is worth noting that the psychological contract fulfillment (from now on referred to as PCF) is a core concept with components of psychological contract theoretic framework. Turnley et al. (2003) examined the relationship between PCF and three types of employee behavior and found that psychological contract fulfillment is more strongly related to citizenship behavior directed at the organization than to citizenship behavior directed at one's colleagues. According to Ahmad and Zafar (2018),

PCF has a positive impact on perceived organizational support (POS) and organizational citizenship behavior (OCB). The partial mediating role of POS between the dependent and independent variables was also confirmed. Moreover, more research has cast upon the presence of PCF and organization performance in the past years. Cheung et al. (2017) tested the mediated moderation model on 182 supervisor-subordinate dyads of three service firms in Hong Kong, and results indicated that PCF entirely negotiated the effects of mutual trust between supervisors and subordinates on subordinates' task. Performance deficiencies will reduce confidence leading to negative slack consequences, which is not conducive to organizational goals (Coyle-Shapiro and Kessler, 2002; Kickul and Lester, 2001; Turnley et al., 2003). However, regarding personal behavior in the small-sized business sector, the role of psychological contract is scarce from the core dimensions of PSF, and complete disclosure of mutual relations has not vet adequately revealed in the previous analysis.

This study aims to tackle the aforementioned issue and fill the gap within existing literature that leaves the microfirm, as well as comparative data uncovered. Starting from the conceptual framework, this work attempts to delve deeper into the target of micro-firm clerks by introducing psychological contract theory and disclose its role in the performance on organizational behaviors (affective commitment, job support, turnover intention, etc). Additionally, it introduced the critical variable, Leader-Member Exchange (LMX), to further explore the mediation role within PCF for micro-firm clerks (from now on referred to as MFPCF) in the dynamic process.

#### LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESES

The popularity that psychological contract theory has gained over the past decades has given birth to a vast stream of academic works that explore its nature and effects on business firms. From an organizational point of view, more studies have started from the relationship between psychological contract recognition and the right work behaviors of firms implementing it. As previously mentioned, however, it is the situation that no consensus regarding the effects of psychological contract practices on individual performance has been reached (Du, 2009; Conway and Coyle-Shapiro, 2012; Ruokolainen et al., 2018). More specifically, various authors highlight a statistically relevant connection between psychological contract and performance (Turnley et al., 2003; Katou and Budhwar, 2012; Wu and Chen, 2015), while another group of researchers show ideas of reservation (Gardner et al, 2014). This situation may be the consequence of validity issues among the various measures for the selected explanatory variables and the application circumstances used to convey the verification analysis, which is also reflected on the application of PCF in

different types of organization sectors. According to Henderson et al. (2008), PCF refers to "employees" perceptions that, overall, the organization has fulfilled its terms of the contract equitably". Perceptions of fulfillment have been positively linked to employee contributions to the organization, such as in-role performance and OCB. A growing number of employers nowadays incorporate PCF in their human resource arrangement, as they prefer employees with a higher loyalty for a work commitment. Prior research suggests that psychological contracts push social exchange that exists between employees and organizations (Morrison and Robinson, 1997; Carbery et al., 2003). The relationship is made up of the actions that an employer engages in with the belief that the employee will reciprocate behaviors in one way or another. Thus, employees are likely to feel frustrated by the organization's failure to live up to its obligations and will be inclined to take actions to readjust the employment relationship, such as the reduction extent of contribution (Robinson, 1996; Turnley et al., 2003; Hui et al., 2004). More prior research focusing on different types of employment relationships suggests that PCF has to a certain extent linked with organization behaviors. In general, some empirical studies showed that PCF and employee attitudes are intertwined around organization elements including work performance, organizational citizenship behavior, corporate trust, employee turnover, etc. (Johnson and O'Leary-Kelly, 2003; Sturges et al., 2005; Katou and Budhwar, 2012; Tseng and Wu, 2017). Members of the organization receiving appropriate PCF have higher trust, retention intentions and perceived organizational support (Coyle-Shapiro and Kessler, 2000; Turnley et al., 2003). From the ideal stakeholder point of view as well as within the theory of Social Change, Lambert et al. (2003) and Akhtar et al. (2015) studied the relationship between colleagues, job enrichment and satisfaction in contract fulfillment, found that the element of job satisfaction is correlated with the performance of high incentives. Incentives to fulfill job satisfaction depend on the nature of carrots, a degree of satisfaction fulfillment with incentives tends to increase the level of commitment to enhancing the incentives.

Additionally, performance incentives continue to increase over time, and satisfaction continues to improve while the work richness, vocational training and other responsibilities to fulfill promises tend to raise the level of performance incentives when it grows beyond the work commitment. Coyle-Shapiro and Conway (2005) pointed out that there was a significant difference between the incentives for employers obligations, and the perceived organizational support positively correlates with, on the contrary, observed corporate support in employer obligations. Perceived corporate support and PCF of the two components operate well in predicting organizational citizenship behavior.

In recent years, scholars have begun to differentiate between targets of citizenship behaviors (McNeely and

Meglino, 1994) distinguish citizenship behaviors which are intended to benefit the organization and citizenship behaviors which are designed to help specific individuals within the organization. Morrison and Robinson (1997) suggest that PCF is likely to be more strongly related to citizenship behaviors directed at organization than to citizenship behaviors targeted at specific individuals within the organization. Based on their research, psychological contract breach in some cases could lead individuals to band together if they feel betrayed by the organizational policies. When psychological contracts go unfulfilled, both (Robinson and Rousseau, 1994; Morrison and Robinson, 1997) suggest that employees' attributions regarding the breach play an essential role in determining how they will respond. How an employee chooses to return is likely to be determined by the magnitude of the discrepancy in PCF.

On the other hand, regarding what effect PCF would cast over the turnover intention. Suazo et al. (2005) found a negative correlation and empirical studies show that employees perceived PCF with a turnover as a tendency predictor (Collins, 2010). More studies suggest that individuals are likely to alter the position when they understand the organization's actions to be short-sighted and unjustifiable. Thus, responses to psychological contract breach may be active when employees believe that the organization is unwilling to live up to the commitments (Robinson and Morrison, 2000). Specifically, employees will reduce both their in-role performance and loyalty to a more significant extent when they perceive that the psychological contract breach overtakes their tolerance and that the organization intentionally reneged on its promises. According to the research mentioned earlier, this paper presents the micro-firm clerks as the PCF testing target (from now on referred as MFPCF) and expects to disclose the correlations around MFPCF from such four performance, dimensions as job organizational commitment, the degree of work recognition, turnover tendency. The hypothesis assumed as summarized as follows in H1 to H5:

H1: MFPCF has a positive impact on job performance;

H2: MFPCF has a positive impact on organizational commitment;

H3: MFPCF has a positive impact on the degree of work recognition:

H4: MFPCF has a negative impact on hurts turnover intention;

H5: Work recognition is negatively correlated to the turnover intention

Besides, as an important essential concept in organization management, leader-member exchange (LMX) operates at multiple theoretical levels to directly influence employee perceptions and behaviors in the employment relationship (Tsui et al., 2006), Liden et al., 2006).

LMX is defined as mutual respect, trust and responsibility for implicit relations in members of the organization and their superiors through reciprocal exchanges on the psychological contract. Overall, previous LMX literature distinguishes between low- and high-quality within groups relationship: the former is based upon principles of economic or transactional exchange, and the latter is driven by social exchange processes (Henderson et al., 2008). Subordinates in a high-quality LMX relationship may offer higher levels of contributions to repay their managers' extra-role behaviors, while differentiated LMX relationships in a workgroup would also influence individual evaluations of their obligation to organization. Thus, Henderson et al. (2008) contended that perceptions of PCF operating at the personal withingroup level would account for the relationship between LMX and employee in-role associated with individuallevel perceptions of LMX.

As is often seen as organizational superiors incarnation or representative, in the context of Chinese culture, corporate members rely more on establishing a special relationship with superiors to strengthen identification. Thus the PCF and LMX correlation may exist relatively close. In reality, one tendency highlights its potential trait, namely, when superior members sustain a healthy quality of personal relation, the members of the organization tend to perceive PCF realized and display relatively excellent performance. In recent years, researchers in the field of China management academia designed to verify how psychological contract could operate over the organizational members' attitudes towards the behaviors, while the LMX quality level on PCF conceived more consensus. Accordingly, this study absorbs previous research fabrics and put forward the hypothesis of H6:

H6: LMX quality has a significant impact on MFPCF

More prior studies found that people with high-quality LMX will be a member of more substantial contribution in the organization, and low-quality members may perceive a low degree of PCF (Ilies et al., 2007; Henderson et al., 2008). Some scholars have adopted empirical evidence to explore the moderating factor of LMX. Restubog et al. (2010) used a test of two competing perspectives to verify the moderating effects of LMX in the psychological contract breach employee. Lee (2017) found that LMX can serve an intermediary between satisfaction and performance in work implementation. Analysis performed to the subjective perception of psychological contract affects LMX quality, which can be inferred LMX behavior and attitude of clerk work. Here we tentatively establish two behavioral variables regarding the degree of recognition and job turnover intention, which are hypothesized as H7 and H8.

H7: MFPCF acts as an intermediary between LMX and degree of work recognition; H8: MFPCF acts as an intermediary between LMX and turnover intention

#### **METHODOLOGY**

This research aims to test the emotional impact of PCF, organizational behavior and LMX on the micro-firm basis, under the national background of transition China. Considering the specifics and previous experiences, the study conducted the field survey and collected data through the channel of the self-constructed questionnaire.

#### scale and test

The level of variables is the most significant factor in the application of the questionnaire, which directly decides the validity of the research. According to prior literature, currently, it has not yet appeared specific PCF scale for the micro-firm personnel. Based on the norms and management ethics in China, it is the priority to build scales with Chinese characteristics to fit the specific scene. For such purpose, the self-construction operation is done as the following.

First, we gather the previous domestic refining PCF on organizational responsibility as a pre-test source (Table 1), and also draw information concerning foreign scholars like Hopkins (1998)'s better-verified questionnaire. On this basis, team members in the research project further make use of existing interpersonal resources to get opinions around the element of PCF, using e-mail, social network plus consultation approach to obtain a random sample of 22 micro-firms for the subjective perceptions on the liability of organizational responsibilities.

Secondly, more than 70 items were generated by combining, transforming, merging the surveys from micro-firm sectors to form an initial questionnaire in which questions were used Likert- 5 scale form, where 1 means "very important" and 5 means "least important" .Then 150 copies were distributed to MBA students spreading in the city of Xi'an and Xuzhou. 119 valid questionnaires were finally verified. We use SPSS16.0 for exploratory factor analysis by principal component extraction factor with basic features in following Tables 2 and 3:

The factor loading results show that it is suitable for factor analysis, and then we delete a few items (loading values <0.6) to eventually obtain 29 liability entries. Then we use the same approach to process the output of the following table and achieve 37 items of organizational responsibilities (Tables 4 and 5)

Feasibility and positive test were used in the standard form of a self-report questionnaire, and each variable is derived from the same research object with the statistical significance that occurs on the common method bias (Common Method Variance, CMV), mainly taken during this study. At the same time, for the reliability purpose as well as operational test in refining the questionnaire, the following preventive measures were adopted: (i) part texts of items were made reverse description to avoid contradiction response by the surveyed; (ii) different scales were involved with changing pattern of sound guidance; (iii) the questionnaire was demanded to fill out in anonymity.

#### Samples

According to the pre-test results, the study completes the final MFPCF Scale. Taking into account the survey resource channel, the questionnaire is distributed to micro-firm clerks in four selected cities as Xi'an, Nanjing, Jinan and Xuzhou by taking direct field visits, e-mail, the social network from the late month period of 2017 and 2018. The surveyed individuals were all employed with a full-time position. To ensure the access to investigators, data collection is entirely anonymous and takes postcards, commemorative stamps and tiny gifts. When collecting questionnaires department heads and the subordinate specialist was checked to ensure the

**Table 1.** Primary psychological contract fulfillment content both at home and abroad.

| Researcher   | Organizational responsibility   | Members responsibility  |
|--|---|---|
| Robinson et al. (1994) and<br>Morrison and Robinson (1997) | Equitable remuneration, attractive welfare, rich content of the work, performance incentives, growth and development opportunities, promotion; supportive work environment, advancement, job security sufficient and appropriate resources. | Loyal to the organization, confidentiality, accepted work adjustment, voluntarily assume its duties work, overtime, refused to support the competitors, obedience to adjust internal working arrangements, keep trade secrets, accepted before leaving informed, least two years for the organization |
| Herriot et al. (1997)                                      | Payroll, welfare, justice, safe, trust, negotiation, friendliness, and stable   | Loyalty, dedication, integrity, care, maintenance of organization image   |
| Thomas and Anderson (1998)                                 | Performance incentives, remuneration, humane care, trusted understanding, work enrichment, participation in social work, fair, gives staff autonomy, stable and secure, and career advancement  | Loyalty to the organization and solidarity  |
| Coyle-Shapiro and Kessler (2003)                           | Salaries and benefits, job security,<br>good career development, participation in<br>decision making, trust support, training, and<br>enjoyable work  | Loyalty mutual care order maintenance of the sector   |
| Qing et al. (2009) and<br>Chongguang (2009)                | Good benefits, promotion opportunities, career development, learning opportunities, equally fair treatment, fully trust, cooperative atmosphere, friendly work environment, majesty face maintenance  | With people, good team spirit, initiative with superior work, threw himself into work, compliance with organizational discipline rules and regulations, overtime work, proactive maintenance leading authority  |

**Table 2.** Exploratory factor analysis for "member responsibility" in micro-firm.

| Total VAR ratio | KMO   | Bartlett sphericity test | df | Sig.  |
|-----------------|-------|--------------------------|----|-------|
| 71.032%         | 0.928 | 6220.156                 | 72 | 0.000 |

effectiveness of information. In this study, we distribute a total of 700 hard plus electronic questionnaires, and 523 surveys were eventually returned, yielding a response rate of 74.7%. Valid samples meet relevant statistical analysis size requirements of samples.

Demographic characteristics of the survey are as follows: The subordinate sample was 72.7% male, and the supervisor sample was 59% male. The average age of supervisors was 43, and the average organizational tenure was 9.17 years (or, roughly, 9 years and one month); the educational level for attainment of college and below, undergraduate, graduate and above are 23.7, 59.1, and 17.2%, respectively.

#### Reliability and validity

According to Harman Single Factor Analysis, it showed that the unrotated factor f1 before the first defined variables explained all measurement items 39.1% of the variation in the overall variability. Meanwhile, the total linear results show that the tolerance value between different variables was over 0.6, while the ANOVA expansion factor (variance inflation factor; VIF) is less than 2. Thereby, it can be determined that the introduction of the variables have no serious Collinearity Common Method Bias.

Scale constitutes reliability of the indicators reflecting the variable internal consistency (usually Cronbach's alpha for validation). In

**Table 3.** Exploratory factor analysis for "organization responsibility" in micro-firm.

| Total VAR ratio | KMO   | Bartlett sphericity test | df | Sig.  |
|-----------------|-------|--------------------------|----|-------|
| 71.032%         | 0.928 | 6220.156                 | 72 | 0.000 |

Table 4. EFA results for the variable of "organizational responsibility.

| Factor dimension     | Organizational responsibility                             | Loading value |
|----------------------|---|---------------|
|                      | Supply stable and reasonable salary                       | 0.831         |
|                      | Evaluation open, just and fair                            | 0.799         |
| Job security         | Effective promotion channel                               | 0.761         |
|                      | Provide appropriate benefits                              | 0.683         |
|                      | Scientific performance guarantee                          | 0.616         |
|                      | Offer a variety of training and development opportunities | 0.938         |
| Concernation         | Provide rotation, networking opportunities                | 0.774         |
| Career advancement   | Emphasis on career planning                               | 0.671         |
|                      | People post-match   | 0.625         |
|                      | Moderate workload pressures moderate                      | 0.868         |
| Occupational balance | Work autonomy   | 0.851         |
|                      | Work richness, and create a positive atmosphere           | 0.744         |

Table 5. EFA results for the variable of "micro-firm clerk responsibility.

| Factor dimension    | Micro-firm clerks liabilities                           | Loading |
|---------------------|---|---------|
|                     | To perform job duties                                   | 0.933   |
|                     | Proficient, efficient                                   | 0.917   |
| D ( ' '             | Studying business, improve the level of problem-solving | 0.826   |
| Professionalism     | Practical work, rigorous, and actively                  | 0.773   |
|                     | Lead by example   | 0.714   |
|                     | Actively participate in business skills training        | 0.661   |
|                     | Impartial, upright style                                | 0.943   |
| Professional ethics | The overall situation                                   | 0.737   |
| Professional ethics | Law-abiding, self-discipline                            | 0.912   |
|                     | Loyalty   | 0.895   |
|                     | Harmonious colleagues organizational relationships      | 0.883   |
|                     | Psychological adjustment                                | 0.779   |
| Social relations    | Physical and mental health                              | 0.696   |
|                     | Dilute personal gains and losses                        | 0.759   |

general, Cronbach's  $\alpha$ >0.7 is considered to be appropriate. Combined with existing validation scale reliability test for the preparation of MFPCF as well as the re-factor test, results show that it is compliance with the criteria. This indicates that the variable structure used in this study showed the right internal consistency.

Construct validity is a measurement generated between main variables assumed capacity through convergent validity and discriminant validity. An indicator factor examines convergent validity in the measured value of the path in given reliability (95%). In general, the path value>0.6 is generally considered appropriate.

| Table 6. Discriminant | discriminant validit | v test of latent variables. |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|

| Factor variable | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---|
| MFPCF           | -     | -     | -     | -     | -     | - |
| WOR.PER         | 7.36  | -     | -     | -     | -     | - |
| ORG.COM         | 10.27 | 7.01  | -     | -     | -     | - |
| LMX             | 12.25 | 18.02 | 24.19 | -     | -     | - |
| WOR. REG        | 13.24 | 19.16 | 20.35 | 16.02 | -     | - |
| TUR.INT         | 15.98 | 18.26 | 17.31 | 22.89 | 11.19 | - |

**Table 7.** Fit index of MFPCF conceptual model.

| X <sup>2</sup> /df | SRMR  | GFI  | NNFI | CFI  | RMSEA |
|--------------------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
| 3.82               | 0.053 | 0.86 | 0.91 | 0.92 | 0.064 |

Table 8. SEM results of MFPCF conceptual model test

| Hypothesis                                | Path coefficient | t-value | Adjusted R | Result         |
|---|------------------|---------|------------|----------------|
| H1: MFPCF→ job performance                | 0.036            | 1.13    | 0.45       | Not support    |
| H2:H2 MFPCF→ Organizational Commitment    | 0.39**           | 15.72   | 0.24       | Basic support  |
| H3: MFPCF→ Work recognition               | 0.45***          | 18.72   | 0.41       | Strong support |
| H4:H4 MFPCF→ turnover intention.          | 0.37***          | -5.93   | 0.28       | Basic support  |
| H5: Work recognition → turnover intention | 0.51***          | -8.26   | 0.09       | Strong support |
| H6:H6 LMX→MFPCF                           | 0.38**           | 7.09    | 0.17       | Strong support |

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> and \*\* represents correlation coefficients are statistically significant at p<.001 and p<0.001 respectively.

This study used Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) in testing convergent validity, and the model fit indices are as follows:  $\chi^2/\text{Df}=2.17,\ \text{RMSEA}=0.079,\ \text{CFI}=0.83,\ \text{NNFI}=0.84,\ \text{SRMR}=0.056.$  Meanwhile, metric measurements were also applied to test with the final path value of more than 0.6, indicating that the variable has good convergent validity.

Discriminant validity measurement indicates different variables unique: it built possible permutations and combinations of factor variables, making two variables of various factors related to the relationship between the two to calculate the different combinations of the model  $\chi^2$ . Results show that there are significant differences (p<0.01), and these two variables are statistically significant. Table 6 provides the discriminant validity of the test. It showed that all the variables used in this study meet the requirements of discriminant validity.

### **RESULTS**

This study used Lisrel 8.80 software as the fundamental equation tool to make an analysis. First, we use a relative path in SEM analysis to test the hypotheses (H1-H6); secondly, according to the mediating test method performed by Hue Kyung et al. (2016), we take authentication for the mediating effect on hypotheses (H7, H8).

As designed in the previous text, it has constructed

assumptions of the conceptual model and completed a validity-reliability testing as well as variable attributes. The results are displayed in a better model fit within a reasonable range (Table 7), while the final testing results by SEM are shown in Table 8.

The test results show that hypotheses H1-H6 have been well verified, in which assuming H3, H5, H6 achieve a significant level of p<0.001. From the conceptual model fit indicators, as shown in Table 7, the value of  $\Box^2$ /df is 3.82 (less than the maximum limit of 5), SRMR value is 0.053 (less than the maximum limit of 0.1), RMSEA value is 0.064 (less than the maximum limit of 0.1), GFI value is 0.86 (higher than standard 0.8). All these values fit the recognized requirements of validity. Thus, the conceptual model achieves a better fitting effect, and the verification results meet the basic expectations for rational analysis.

The hypotheses H7 and H8 aim to explore the LMX intermediary role in influencing the variable of MFPCF recognition and turnover intention. Table 9 shows that LMX recognition plays its function path through MFPCF and turnover intention. The results indicated that the degree of LMX recognition has a direct positive impact on turnover intention but manifests an immediate negative impact when adding MFPCF factors. The positive and

| able 9. The testing results of LMX mediating effect |  |
|---|--|
|   |  |

| Structural path             | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| LMX→ Work recognition       | 0.18    | 0.12    | -       | -       | -       |
| LMX→ turnover intention     | -       | -       | 0.29**  | 0.36*   | -       |
| $LMX \to MFPCF$             | -       | -       | -       | -       | 0.422   |
| Comparative △R <sup>2</sup> | -       | 0.034   | -       | 0.12    | -       |
| Standard error              | 0.01    | -       | 0.06    | -       | -       |
| t-value                     | -       | -       | -       | 6.33    | -8.71   |
| p-value                     | 0.004   | 0.001   | 0.000   | 0.000   | 0.000   |

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>and\*\*represents correlation co-efficients are statistically significant at p<.001, p<0.001 respectively.

negative effects display the state of fall / rise in statistical significance indicating MFPCF factor is partially mediated in the testing process.

It can be seen by calculation that LMX affects the variable "degree of recognition" through MFPCF with the value of mediating effect 0.516. This result indicates that MFPCF between LMX and work recognition acts as part of the intermediary role with the mediating 51.6% of the total effect. LMX affects "turnover intention" through MFPCF with mediating effect of 0.3376, indicating MFPCF acts as part of the intermediary role between LMX and turnover intention with mediating 33.76% of the total effect. In addition, also, MFPCF variable also affects turnover intention through the work recognition with mediating effect value of 0.3931 by calculation.

# **DISCUSSION**

Based on psychological contract theory and research fruits, this article is subject to micro-firm in China to build a conceptual model on MFPCF other than individual element. The empirical results show that the degree of MFPCF recognition has a significant positive effect on turnover intention, which previously has been verified on the private sector and consistent with the proposed hypothesis. In addition, the leadership member exchange (LMX) variable is positively related to MFPCF through the intermediary role effects, but possesses the negative impact with turnover intention. LMX and work recognition, turnover intention plays the intermediary role with the mediating effect of 51.6 and 33.76%, respectively. In other words, a micro-firm clerk with the superiors is relatively high in level of responsibility, for its perceived organizational performance in their work recognition will serve as a positive impact, and the corresponding turnover tendency will be reduced.

The significance of this study focuses on two aspects: firstly, the theoretical framework to some extent explains the work behavior mechanism of micro-firm clerks, as might extend the validation to likewise mini business organizations. Secondly, it has explored the LMX mediating effect in the framework of MFPCF. Although

previous studies have addressed the role of leadermembers relationship in structuring internal influence of organization, it has not disclosed in the micro-firm an intermediary function in PCF as well as operational mechanism. In practice, the finding for making up such defects could play the part to motivate personnel management towards harmonious and human-oriented relations. To maintain good relationships among subordinates and strengthen overall performance, it is necessary to obtain the trust of the organization members, which largely determine the organization's development in the long run. Also, the work behavior for micro-firm clerks is directly reflected in the leadership, the higher the relationship serves, the better clerks establish a psychological contract and promote the work duties in its commitment. Superior leadership personality and the ability to motivate positive moral values will affect the subordinates trust and loyalty to the organization. Thus, leaders in the micro-firm ought to demonstrate a good "benchmark" image and promote members for the psychological identity; while the organizations should also strengthen identification coupling with effective responsibility construction (including leadership and accountability). Comparability, members in the micro-firm, tend to build a sort of "consensus" to take actions consistent with its commitment duty, work recognition, sense of belonging to enhance the performance yielding with lower turnover intention.

Restricted by investigation resource, this study sample has not covered departments of clerks in more regions, which makes the interpretation limited. PCF formation requires a process inseparable from the long-time tracking. Future studies would overcome limitations of data acquisition, and strengthen the longitudinal design to verify the statistical analysis. Additionally, owing to that dynamic tendency in the member behaviors of the organization in the micro-firm would change the management operation norms, examining MFPCF process requires researchers to participate fully in the practical scene of organization and sustain long-term track. Future research can be enriched from the aspect of MFPCFrole in external correlations. More discussions may focus on a comparative study of internal performance

evaluation mechanism in psychological contract fulfillment between large organizations and micro-firm business counterparts.

#### CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author has not declared any conflict of interests.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The work was supported by the Humanities and Social Sciences Research Project (18XWRS002) of Jiangsu Normal University, China.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Ahmad I, Zafar MA (2018). Impact of psychological contract fulfillment on organizational citizenship behavior: Mediating role of perceived organizational support. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management 30(2):1001-1015.
- Akhtar MN, Long L, Nazir S (2015). Impact of organizational change antecedents on job satisfaction: The mediating role of perceived fulfillment of psychological contract. European Scientific Journal 11(1):298-308.
- Aselage J, Eisenberger R (2003). Perceived organizational support and psychological contracts: A theoretical integration. Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior 24(5):491-509.
- Carbery R, Garavan TN, O'Brien F, McDonnell J (2003). Predicting hotel managers' turnover cognitions. Journal of Managerial Psychology 18(7):649-679.
- Cheung MF, Wong CS, Yuan GY (2017). Why mutual trust leads to highest performance: the mediating role of psychological contract fulfillment. Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources 55(4):430-453.
- Collins MD (2010). The effect of psychological contract fulfillment on manager turnover intentions and its role as a mediator in a casual, limited-service restaurant environment. International Journal of Hospitality Management 29(4):736-742.
- Conway N, Coyle-Shapiro JAM (2012). The reciprocal relationship between psychological contract fulfillment and employee performance and the moderating role of perceived organizational support and tenure. Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology 85(2):277-299.
- Coyle-Shapiro AM (2002). A psychological contract perspective on organizational citizenship behavior. Journal of Organizational Behavior 23(8):927-946.
- Coyle-Shapiro AM, Kessler I (2000). Consequences of the psychological contract for the employment relationship: A large scale survey. Journal of Management Studies 37:903-930.
- Coyle-Shapiro AM, Kessler I (2002). Exploring reciprocity through the lens of the psychological contract: employee and employer perspectives. European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology 11:69-86.
- Coyle-Shapiro JA, Conway N (2005). Exchange relationships: Examining psychological contracts and perceived organizational support. Journal of Applied Psychology 90(4):774.
- Coyle-Shapiro JAM, Kessler I (2003). The employment relationship in the UK public sector: A psychological contract perspective. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory 13(2):213-230.
- Chongguang WBL (2009). Object Wave Reconstruction by Using Single in-Line Digital Fresnel Hologram. Acta Optica Sinica 8.
- Cullinane N, Dundon T (2006). The psychological contract: A critical review. International Journal of Management Reviews 8(2):113-129.

- DU J (2009). An empirical study on the relationship among psychological contract, collective innovation and performance in top executive teams. Chinese Journal of Management 6(5):671-677.
- Gardner DG, Huang GH, Niu X, Pierce JL, Lee C (2014). Organization-based self-Esteem, psychological contract fulfillment, and perceived employment opportunities: A test of self-regulatory theory. Human Resource Management 54(6):933-953.
- Henderson DJ, Wayne SJ, Shore LM, Bommer WH, Tetrick LE (2008). Leader--member exchange, differentiation, and psychological contract fulfillment: a multilevel examination. Journal of Applied Psychology 93(6):1208.
- Herriot P, Manning WEG, Kidd JM (1997). The content of the psychological contract. British Journal of Management 8(2):151-162.
- Hue Kyung L, Hyun Duk Y, Si Jeoung K, Yoon Kyo S (2016). Factors affecting university-industry cooperation performance: a study of the mediating effects of government and enterprise support. Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management 7(2):233-254.
- Hui C, Lee C, Rousseau DM (2004). Psychological contract and organizational citizenship behavior in China: Investigating generalizability and instrumentality. Journal of Applied Psychology 89(2):311-321.
- Ilies R, Nahrgang JD, Morgeson FP (2007). Leader-Member Exchange and citizenship behaviors: A Meta-Analysis. Journal of Applied Psychology 92(1):269-277.
- Johnson JL, O'Leary-Kelly AM (2003). The effects of psychological contract breach and organizational cynicism: Not all social exchange violations are created equal. Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior 24(5):627-647.
- Katou AA, Budhwar PS (2012). The link between HR practices, psychological contract fulfillment, and organizational performance: The case of the Greek service sector. Thunderbird International Business Review 54(6):793-809.
- Kickul J, Lester SW (2001). Broken promises: equity sensitivity as a moderator between psychological contract breach and employee attitudes and behavior. Journal of Business and Psychology 16(2):191-217.
- Lambert LS, Edwards JR, Cable DM (2003). Breach and fulfillment of the psychological contract: a comparison of traditional and expanded views. Personnel Psychology 56(4):895-934.
- Lee TJ (2017). Relationship between intrinsic job satisfaction, extrinsic job satisfaction, and turnover intentions among internal auditors. Available at: https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?referer=https://scholar.google.com/&httpsredir=1&article=4457&context=dissertations
- Liden RC, Erdogan B, Wayne SJ, Sparrowe RT (2006). Leader-member exchange, differentiation, and task interdependence: implications for individual and group performance. Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior 27(6):723-746.
- McNeely BL, Meglino BM (1994). The role of dispositional and situational antecedents in prosocial organizational behavior: An examination of the intended beneficiaries of prosocial behavior. Journal of Applied Psychology 79(6):836.
- Morrison EW, Robinson SL (1997). When employees feel betrayed: A model of how psychological contract violation develops. Academy of Management Review 22(1):226-256.
- Qing P, Ding SJ, LI CG (2009). An Empirical Research on Chinese Rural Grass Root-level Cadres' Psychological Contract. China Soft Science 12:142-151.
- Restubog SL, Bordia P, Tang RL, Krebs SA (2010). Investigating the moderating effects of leader-member exchange in the psychological contract breach—employee performance relationship: a test of two competing perspectives. British Journal of Management 21(2):422-437.
- Robinson S, Kratz M, Rousseau D (1994). Changing obligations and the psychological contract: a longitudinal study. Academy of Management Journal 37:137-152.
- Robinson SL (1996). Trust and breach of the psychological contract. Administrative Science Quarterly 41(4):574-599.
- Robinson SL, Morrison EW (2000). The development of psychological

- contract breach and violation: a longitudinal study. Journal Organizational Behavior 17(2):525-546.
- Robinson SL, Rousseau DM (1994). Violating the psychological contract: Not the exception but the norm. Journal of Organizational Behavior 15(3):245-259.
- Rousseau DM (2004). Psychological contracts in the workplace: understanding the ties that motivate. Academy of Management Executive 18(1):120-128.
- Ruokolainen M, Mauno S, Diehl MR, Tolvanen A, Mäkikangas A, Kinnunen U (2018). Patterns of psychological contract and their relationships to employee well-being and in-role performance at work: longitudinal evidence from university employees. The International Journal of Human Resource Management 29(19):2827-2850.
- Sturges J, Conway N, Guest D, Liefooghe A (2005). Managing the career deal: The psychological contract as a framework for understanding career management, organizational commitment and work behavior. Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior 26(7):821-838.
- Suazo MM, Turnley WH, Mai-Dalton RR (2005). Antecedents of psychological contract breach: the role of similarity and Leader-Member Exchange. Academy of Management Proceedings, M1-M6.

- Thomas HD, Anderson N (1998). Changes in newcomers' psychological contracts during organizational socialization: A study of recruits entering the British Army. Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior 19(S1):745-767.
- Tseng LM, Wu JY (2017). How can financial organizations improve employee loyalty? The effects of ethical leadership, psychological contract fulfillment and organizational identification. Leadership and Organization Development Journal 38(5):679-698.
- Tsui AS, Wang H, Xin KR (2006). Organizational culture in China: An analysis of culture dimensions and culture types. Management and Organization Review 2(3):345-376.
- Turnley WH, Feldman DC, Lester SW (2003). The impact of psychological contract fulfillment on the performance of in-role and organizational citizenship behaviors. Journal of Management 29(2):187-206.
- Wu CM, Chen TJ (2015). Psychological contract fulfillment in the hotel workplace: empowering leadership, knowledge exchange, and service performance. International Journal of Hospitality Management 48:27-38.