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In a retail business, how does goal affect customer experience? What are the relationships among the various dimensions of customer experience? And how does customer experience influence consumer's purchase intentions? In a retail business, the impacts of goal on customer experience are widely acknowledged to be important in current literature. To investigate this problem, we need to identify the relationships among the various dimensions of customer experience, which may significantly influence consumer's purchase intentions. We address these related questions by using structural equation model with the data in China. The results indicate that: (1) utilitarian-oriented goals have a significant effect on sensory experience and social experience, while the effect of these goals on emotional experience is insignificant. And hedonic-oriented goals have a positive effect on sensory experience, emotional experience and social experience. (2) In terms of the dimensions of customer experience, higher sensory experience leads to higher emotional experience and higher social experience, while the impact of emotional experience on social experience is insignificant. (3) Emotional experience and social experience have a positive effect on purchase intentions, and sensory experience has an indirect impact on purchase intentions. Based on these findings, we propose some managerial implications and suggestions.
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INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, competing in a global market has become increasingly difficult and at the same time the products and services provided by the businesses are tending to be similar. So under such circumstance, customers are not only satisfied with the products and services, but also pursuing perfect shopping experience during the shopping process. As the Experience Economy comes (Pine and Gilmore, 1999; Schmitt, 1999), the experience factor plays an increasingly important role in determining the success of a company’s offerings. Many researches find that survival in today’s economic climate and competitive retail environment requires more than just low prices and innovative products; to compete effectively, businesses must focus on the customer experience (Grewal et al., 2009; Verhoef et al., 2009). Study by Shaw and Ivens (2005) shows that 85% of senior business managers believe that differentiation solely on the traditional elements, such as price, product and quality, is no longer a sustainable competitive advantage and even more senior managers hold the Customer Experience as the next competitive battleground.

A number of qualitative studies have identified the major factors which influence the customer experience. For example, Verhoef et al. (2009) propose a conceptual model, in which they discuss the determinants of customer experience, including the social environment, the service interface, the retail atmosphere, the assortment, the price and promotions. The model also posits that the effects of the considered determinants on customer experience are moderated by consumers’ goals for the shopping trip. Using standard consumer decision-making stages (that is, need recognition, information
search, evaluation, purchase, and post-purchase), Puccinelli et al. (2009) focus on seven consumer behavior research domains that influence the customer experience: (1) goals, schemas, and information processing; (2) memory; (3) involvement; (4) attitudes; (5) affect; (6) atmospherics; and (7) consumer attributions and choices. However, few papers have explored which factors influence customer experience actually and how the influence degree of each factor is with an empirical research. Exceptions are an exploratory investigation by Jones (1999) and quantitative research by Ibrahim and Wee (2002a).

Jones (1999) uses the critical incident technique with 724 incidents and finds that two broad groups including nine factors are characteristic of entertaining shopping experience. The two broad groups were retailer factors and customer factors. Retailer factors include selection, prices, store environment, and salespeople, while customer factors include social, task, time, involvement, and financial resources. The research by Ibrahim and Wee (2002a) attempt to extend Jones (1999) exploratory investigation in the Singapore context. It aims to identify and analyze the factors that are significant in affecting the entertaining shopping experience in Singapore. Also, it explores the significance of travel attributes in affecting the entertaining shopping experience. Moreover, Brakus et al. (2009) point out further research should focus on the antecedents and long-term consequence of customer experience. For example, regarding antecedents, how exactly are customer experience dimensions evoked by brand-related stimuli? We need empirical research to investigate the factors that significantly affect the customer experience in China. Although there are many factors that affect customer experience, we focus specifically on assessing how the goals impact customer experience in a retail context in China.

The importance of the customer experience in business is further demonstrated by evidence presented by many scholars. For example, Reichheld (2006) claims that exceptional customer experience creates, on average, a 5% increase in customer loyalty; Kakabadse et al. (2006) say that a single percentage investment in customer care programs has the potential to generate a 10% gain in customer loyalty and sales. Also many scholars have pointed out that customer experience would positively influence customers’ purchase behavior (Babin and Attaway, 2000; Turley and Milliman, 2000), while few of them provide empirical evidence to test their assertions. Most empirical researches focus on testing whether customer experience affects consumer satisfaction and loyalty (Brakus et al., 2009; Shi, 2009). Thus, we need empirical research to explore the effect of customer experience on customer purchase intentions.

To fill the gaps mentioned above, the present paper conducts an empirical research with the data collected in China, investigating the effects that goals have on customer experience and the impact of customer experience on customer purchase intentions in a retail context. The findings make explicit the importance of goals in influencing customer experience as well as the significance of customer experience in determining customer purchase intentions. Then, we provide some conclusions.

Theoretical background, hypotheses and conceptual model

Customer experience

The concept of Customer experience was firstly conceived in the mid-1980s when Holbrook and Hirschman (1982) introduced a new experiential approach to consumer behavior domain. Despite these initial works, the concept of Customer Experience came to be one of the main streams of research in the late 1990s with Pine and Gilmore’s book on the Experience Economy (1999) and Schmitt’s book on Experiential Marketing: How to Get Customers to Sense, Feel, Think, Act, Relate to Your Company and Brands (1999). Hence, a number of studies since 1999 have tried to define Customer Experience. Just as the study by Gentile et al. (2007) stated, “The customer experience originates from a set of interactions between a customer and a product, a company, or part of its organization, which provoke a reaction. This is strictly personal and implies the customer’s involvement at different levels (rational, emotional, sensorial, physical, and spiritual)” (Gentile et al., 2007). Moreover, Meyer and Schwager (2007) point out that customer experience is the internal and subjective response customers have to any direct or indirect contact with a company. After all, we would adopt the definition provided by Verhoef et al. (2009), which is that customer experience construct is holistic in nature and involves the customer’s cognitive, affective, emotional, social and physical responses to the retailer, because this definition is the latest and much relates to retail.

On the other hand, Schmitt (1999) proposes five experience: sense, feel, think, act, and relate. The sense experience includes aesthetics and sensory qualities. The feel experience includes moods and emotions. The think experience includes convergent/analytical and divergent/imaginative thinking. The act experience refers to motor actions and behavioral experience. The relate experience refers to social experience, such as relating to a reference group.

According to the definition given by Verhoef et al. (2009) and the five experience proposed by Schmitt (1999), we assume that customer experience include three dimensions, that is, Sensory Experience, Emotional Experience, and Social Experience. Sensory Experience refers to the aesthetics and sensory perceptions about the shopping environment, atmosphere, products and service. Emotional Experience includes the moods and
emotions generating during the shopping trip. Social Experience emphasizes the relationships with others and society. Jones’s research reveals that, besides pursuing happiness and relaxation, consumers view shopping with family and friends as an important mean to build social relations. Schmitt (1999) indicates that there is a hierarchy structure of these experiences, i.e., Sensory Experience at the bottom of the hierarchy, while Social Experience at the top of the hierarchy. Thus, we assume a sequence of effects between Sensory Experience, Emotional Experience and Social Experience. This sequence is also consistent with the cognitive-affective-conative structure (Ajzen and Fishbein, 1980). Therefore, the first three hypotheses state:

\[ H_1: \text{Sensory Experience has a direct positive influence on Emotional Experience.} \]
\[ H_2: \text{Sensory Experience has a direct positive influence on Social Experience.} \]
\[ H_3: \text{Emotional Experience has a direct positive influence on Social Experience.} \]

**Customer experience and goals**

If we want to understand customer experience, we must realize that consumers attempt to achieve some goals by purchasing and using a particular product or service (Ratneshwar et al. 2000). Jones (1999) shows that many consumers have a specific task in mind when go shopping. Verhoef et al. (2009) point out that, the customer experience is created not only by those elements which the retailer can control (e.g., service interface, retail atmosphere, assortment, price), but also by elements that are outside of the retailer’s control (e.g., influence of others, purpose of shopping). They also suggest that goals influence how consumers perceive the retail shopping environment and its individual elements, their shopping behavior, and their satisfaction with the shopping experience. So goals may play an important role in determining customer experience in a retail context.

Furthermore, many studies show that consumers shop generally for hedonic goals and utilitarian goals, which would bring consumers positive emotions (Babin et al., 1994; Jones et al., 2006). Via factor analysis, Ibrahim and Wee (2002b) have proven hedonic-orientated goals and utilitarian-orientated goals are important factors influencing customer experience. Hedonic-oriented goals refers to the pursuit of fun and enjoyment rather than pure task completion, while utilitarian-oriented goals have been described as task-related or some type of conscious pursuit of an intended consequence (Babin et al., 1994). Therefore, the fourth and fifth set of hypotheses is formulated as follows:

\[ H_{4a}: \text{Utilitarian-oriented goals have a significant effect on sensory experience.} \]
\[ H_{4b}: \text{Utilitarian-oriented goals have a significant effect on emotional experience.} \]
\[ H_{4c}: \text{Utilitarian-oriented goals have a significant effect on social experience.} \]
\[ H_{5a}: \text{Hedonic-orientated goals have a significant effect on sensory experience.} \]
\[ H_{5b}: \text{Hedonic-orientated goals have a significant effect on emotional experience.} \]
\[ H_{5c}: \text{Hedonic-orientated goals have a significant effect on social experience.} \]

**Customer experience and purchase intentions**

As mentioned above, customer experience construct is holistic in nature and involves the customer’s cognitive, affective, emotional, social and physical responses to the retailer, so it is necessary to take into account of aspects that refer to the emotional and irrational side of customer behavior and which, more than the only rational ones, account for the whole experience coming form the set of interactions between a company and its customer (Gentile et al., 2007). Such experience plays a fundamental role in determining the customers’ preferences, which then influence their purchase decisions. Generating a delightful shopping experience results in many positive outcomes, for example, customers with favorable shopping experience may purchase the products again and recommend the retailer to friends and family. Thus, it is likely that there is a relationship between customer experience and purchase intentions. Furthermore, some research has provided evidence to substantiate that customer experience would influence consumer purchase intentions. For example, in 2001 and 2002, ASATSU-DK (short for ADK), which is an international communication company, did a survey involving more than 1000 respondents, showing that customer experience correlates with brand image, brand attitude, purchase intentions (Correlation index is above 0.4) (Schmitt, 2003). And recently, Boyer and Hult (2006) conduct an analysis on customer behavioral intentions for online purchases utilizing data from surveys of over 2100 customers, and the results indicate that behavioral intentions will differ based on customer experience level. In addition, researchers examining hedonic consumption have hypothesized that extremely positive, consumption-related emotions are likely to lead to very strong forms of commitment and repurchase intentions (Holbrook and Hirschman, 1982). Clearly, if the customers gain a more favorable shopping experience, then their intentions to repurchase the products and services would be much stronger. The last set of hypotheses is therefore formulated as follows:

\[ H_{6a}: \text{Sensory Experience has a direct positive influence on purchase intentions.} \]
**Figure 1.** Conceptual model of this research.

H₆b: Emotional Experience has a direct positive influence on purchase intentions.
H₆c: Social Experience has a direct positive influence on purchase intentions.

Based on the above stated hypotheses, we proposed our conceptual model of this research as follows, showed in Figure 1.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Subjects**

The nature of the research is exploratory. So the data for analysis for this paper came from a convenience sample of current undergraduate students at China Agricultural University (East Campus).

**Questionnaire design**

The questionnaire consists of three sections. To begin with, the first section has two questions, which are “Do you go to some supermarkets or shopping marts recently?” and “If yes, then what supermarkets or shopping marts do you go?” to select the qualified respondents.

The second section consists of a number of scale items used to measure the various components of Figure 1. Drawing from prior research, we use existing scales. Each of the factors shown in Figure 1.

The second section consists of a number of scale items used to measure the various components of Figure 1. Drawing from prior research, we use existing scales. Each of the factors shown in Figure 1.

We adopt the goals scale developed by Li et al. (2008), using “Shopping is a task but not a hedonic thing for me.”, “When I finish buying the needed products, I will feel relaxed for accomplishing task.”, “When shopping, I only buy the needed products.”, “During the shopping trip, I only go through the needed products.”, and “I would feel disappointed if I find that the needed products are not available in the supermarkets or shopping marts.”. These five items to measure utilitarian-oriented goals; using “I not only like shopping, but also enjoy the shopping process.”, “When shopping, I will be immersed in finding new products.”, “The reason why I shop is not that I have to do, but that I enjoy the shopping trip.”, “When shopping, I would feel happy, because I can pamper myself at that time.”, and “When shopping, I can forget a lot of trouble.” these five items to measure hedonic-oriented goals. The variable—sensory experience— is measured by four items used by Wen (2007), i.e., “The shopping process would arouse my strong sensations.”, “The shopping trip would bring me great interest.”, “The shopping trip is very attractive.”, and “The shopping trip is quite worthwhile.”. Four items, which are adapted from Fan and Li (2006), are used to measure social experience. The items are “the shopping trip can promote my relationships with others, my feelings, and friendship.”, “By shopping in these supermarkets/shopping marts, I can get recognition.”, “By shopping in these supermarkets/shopping marts, I can find a sense of belonging.”, and “By shopping in these supermarkets/shopping marts, I can position my social status.”. Lastly, three scale items, adapted from Shen and Zhao (2005), are used to measure purchase intentions. The items are “I will often shop in these supermarkets/shopping marts in the future.”, “I will shop for my family and friends in supermarkets/shopping marts.”, and “I will recommend other to shop supermarkets/shopping marts.”. All these items adopt 5-point Likert-type scale (extent of agreement or disagreement with a statement, namely, 1 means “Disagree extremely”, 2 “Disagree”, 3 “Neither disagree nor agree”, 4 “agree”, and 5 “agree completely”).

The measurement of emotional experience refers to Brengman and Geuens (2004), using six items (i.e., “Depressed—Contented”, “Unhappy—happy”, “Unsatisfied—satisfied”, “Annoyed—pleased”, “Bored—relaxed”, “Despairing—hopeful”), which is designed to semantic-differential scale (continuum with a pair of opposite adjectives as anchors).

The third section consists of two questions related to demographics about the respondents’ gender and their monthly expenditure.

**Data collection**

The survey was in Chinese, so the survey was first translated from English to Chinese and then back again to English to ensure the idiomatic equivalence of the Chinese and English versions. The questionnaire underwent a pre-test on a convenience sample of 30 current undergraduate students. Feedback showed that one question posed certain problems, so the authors deleted the item (i.e., “I would feel disappointed if I find that the needed products are not available in the supermarkets or shopping marts.”) which led to the final version of the questionnaire.
Table 1. The results of reliability and validity analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Factor Loadings</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>residual error</th>
<th>Construct reliability</th>
<th>Average extracted variances</th>
<th>Cronbach’s alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utilitarian-oriented goals</td>
<td>UOG1</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>14.67</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UOG2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UOG3</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>14.39</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UOG4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>15.78</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hedonic-orientated goals</td>
<td>HOG1</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>15.44</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOG2</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>14.96</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOG3</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>17.77</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOG4</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>15.77</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOG5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>15.04</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory Experience</td>
<td>Sensory_E1</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>16.41</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensory_E2</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>17.79</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensory_E3</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>17.36</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensory_E4</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>14.42</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Experience</td>
<td>Emotional_E1</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>12.01</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emotional_E2</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>18.04</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emotional_E3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10.87</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emotional_E4</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>15.31</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emotional_E5</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>11.74</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Emotional_E6</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>9.84</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Experience</td>
<td>Social_E1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>10.42</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social_E2</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>18.25</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social_E3</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>16.83</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social_E4</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase Intentions</td>
<td>PI1</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PI2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>11.96</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PI3</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The respondents provided the data by means of a self-completed questionnaire at China Agricultural University (East Campus) in May 2010. A total of 375 questionnaires were distributed and 300 usable responses were obtained after removing incomplete samples, yielding a response rate of 80%. In terms of the demographic profiles of all 300 respondents, 113, or 37.7%, were male and 187 respondents, or 62.3%, were female. Of all the respondents, 25 (8.3%) respondents’ monthly expenditure was less than 500RMB, 108 (36%) respondents’ monthly expenditure was between 500RMB and 800RMB, 108 (36%) respondents’ monthly expenditure was between 800RMB and 1000RMB, 33 (11.0%) respondents’ monthly expenditure was between 1000RMB and 1200RMB, 26 (8.7%) respondents’ monthly expenditure was more than 1200RMB.

An important limitation of our study is that the sample consisted of only students at China Agricultural University (East Campus). Consequently, since generalizations beyond this group are inadmissible, future research should consider another subject population which would better represent the consumers in China. Furthermore, managerial findings for international condition is missing as counterpart to the local condition stimulus, so further research should extend the study in an international context so that the managerial implications would be more useful for marketing practitioners to develop marketing strategies for goods and services.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) with LISREL 8.70 (Jöreskog and Sörbom, 1999) is first used to confirm the factor loadings of the six constructs (i.e. utilitarian-oriented goals, hedonic-oriented goals, sensory experience, emotional experience, social experience and purchase intentions) and to assess the model fit. The model adequacy was assessed by the fit indices suggested by Jöreskog and Sörbom (1999) and Fornell and Larcher (1981). As shown in Table 1, all the
suggests that the hypothesized model fits the empirical data well.

RMSEA=0.057, and RMR=0.0084. Comparing to the corresponding critical values shown in Table 2, it is less than 5, indicating an acceptable fit. Furthermore, other indicators of goodness of fit are GFI=1.00, AGFI=0.95, RFI=0.96, NFI=0.99, NNFI=0.98, CFI=1.00, RMSEA=0.057, and RMR=0.0084. Comparing to the corresponding critical values shown in Table 2, it suggests that the hypothesized model fits the empirical data well.

We utilize Lisrel 8.70 to estimate the conceptual model. Figure 2 provides details about the parameter estimates for the model, and Table 3 reports the results of the hypothesis tests. Totally, nine out of twelve hypotheses are supported. Sensory experience has a significant positive effect on both emotional experience and social experience (β1=0.30, t-value=6.45 and β2=0.19, t-value=2.46, respectively), but the influence of emotional experience on social experience (β3=0.00, t-value=0.02) is not significant. Thus, H1 and H2 are supported while H3 is rejected. Utilitarian-oriented goals have a significant positive effect on both sensory experience and social experience (β4=0.09, t-value=2.58 and β5=0.27, t-value=6.41, respectively) but not on emotional experience (β6=0.02, t-value=0.9). Thus, H4a and H4c are supported while H4b is rejected. The hedonic-oriented goals, as hypothesized, has significant positive effects on sensory experience (β7=0.66, t-value=17.71), emotional experience (β8=0.16, t-value=3.73), as well as social experience (β9=0.33, t-value=4.75), thus supporting H5a, H5b and H5c. Finally, the pathway sensory experience → purchase intentions (β10=0.66, t-value=0.99) is not significant, while the emotional experience → purchase intentions relationship (β11=0.35, t-value=4.56) and the social experience → purchase intentions relationship (β12=0.22, t-value=4.93) are significant. Thus, H6b and H6c are supported while H6a is not supported.

As we predicted, goals affects customer experience both directly and indirectly. The direct effects of utilitarian-oriented goals on sensory experience is 0.09, the indirect effects of utilitarian-oriented goals on emotional experience (through sensory experience) is 0.07 (0.09×0.30), and the total effect utilitarian-oriented goals have on social experience is 0.2871 (0.27 + 0.09×0.19), where the direct effect is 0.27 and the indirect effect (through sensory experience) is 0.171 (0.09×0.19). The direct effects of hedonic-oriented goals on sensory experience is 0.66, and the total effect of hedonic-oriented goals on emotional experience is 0.358 (0.16 + 0.66×0.30), where the direct effect is 0.16 and the indirect effect (through sensory experience) is 0.198 (0.66×0.30). And the direct effect of hedonic-oriented goals on social experience is 0.33, and the indirect effect of hedonic-oriented goals on social experience (through sensory experience) is 0.1254 (0.66×0.19), resulting a total effect of 0.4554. Although the direct impact of sensory experience on purchase intentions is insignificant, the indirect effect of sensory experience on purchase intentions (through emotional experience and social experience) is 0.1468 (0.30×0.35 + 0.19×0.22). From Figure 2, we can see that both emotional experience and social experience have direct positive effects on purchase intentions.

Table 2. Goodness of fit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>χ²</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>χ²/d.f.</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit indices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NNFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative indices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
<td>&gt;0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
<td>&lt;0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMR</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSIONS AND MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

The paper conducts an empirical study on goals, customer experience and purchase intentions in a retail context with the data collected in China. Given cultural
specifics and that China is in its transitional economy, the
managerial findings drawn from the results may be just
suitable for local condition.

The findings indicate that utilitarian-oriented goals have
a significant effect on sensory experience and social
experience, while the effect of utilitarian-oriented goals on
emotional experience is insignificant. As we know,
emotional experience includes the moods and emotions
generating during the shopping trip. On the other hand,
utilitarian-oriented goals have been described as task-
related or some type of conscious pursuit of an intended
consequence. So that the customers with utilitarian-
oriented goals may only focus on finishing the task but
not pursuing good mood results in the insignificant impact
of utilitarian-oriented goals on emotional experience. On
the other hand, hedonic-oriented goals have a positive
effect on sensory experience, emotional experience and
social experience. Hedonic-oriented goals refer to the
pursuit of fun and enjoyment rather than pure task
completion, so there is no doubt that they would influence
emotional experience and social experience. When
people are in good moods and emotions, they tend to
generate good perceptions about the shopping environ-
ment, atmosphere, products and service. Therefore,
hedonic-oriented goals, as hypothesized, have a positive
effect on sensory experience. So customers with
utilitarian-oriented goals may be only conscious about the
utilitarian functions and do not care much about the
emotions. Thus, the impact of utilitarian-oriented goals on
emotional experience is insignificant. After all, in a retail
context, the goals would influence customer experience,
so when enterprises segment their markets, they should
take full account of customer shopping goals and design
the marketing mixes according to the shopping goals of
the target markets. Goals and goal-derived categories
also provide a useful way which would lead to better
customer experience (Puccinelli et al., 2009).

As shown in Figure 2, we can see that among the
various dimensions of customer experience, higher
sensory experience leads to higher emotional experience
and higher social experience, while the impact of emo-
tional experience on social experience is insignificant.
According to the cognitive-affective-conative structure
(Ajzen and Fishbein, 1980), it is reasonable that sensory
experience impacts significantly emotional experience
and social experience. However, why is the influence of
emotional experience on social experience insignificant?
It may be caused by the subjects’ characteristic of the
sample, for that the nature of the research is exploratory
and the data for analysis for this paper came from a
convenience sample of current undergraduate students
at China Agricultural University (East Campus). Based on
the survey data from restaurant industry, the paper by
Fan and Li (2006) demonstrate that demographical
factors have significant impacts on consumption
experiences, and that among them age is the most
important factors. Through independent-sample T test,
they find that one who is older would be more likely to
concern about social experience. Moreover, the study by
Li (2009) also supports this point. With the data collected
in sedan industry, she employs One-Way ANOVA to
investigate the effect of age on customer experience,
finding that in terms of sensory experience and emotional
experience, the differences among various age groups
are not significant, while the difference of social
experience among various age groups are significant. It
indicates that the older the customers are, the stronger
they would feel about their belongings and social status
and the higher positive social experience they would
generate. In addition, she also finds that the customers’
occupation would influence the social experience, that is,
people working for the government care much about

---

Figure 2. Results of conceptual model testing.
All coefficient values are standardized and the values in ( ) are T-values. *p < 0.05
on the social experience and other people, such as students, would pay little attention to social experience. Thus, the fact that the sample of the research only consists of students would be a possible reason for the insignificant pathway of emotional experience → social intentions. This is also the limitation of the research and future research would consider another subject population which would better represent the consumers in China. If the customers’ characteristics, such as age and occupation, do influence their social experience, then the retailers should develop marketing strategies according to customers’ characteristics in order to provide high level social experience.

The study has also shown that emotional experience and social experience have a positive effect on purchase intentions, while the effect of sensory experience on purchase intentions is not significant. Nonetheless, an indirect effect of sensory experience on purchase intentions mediated by both emotional experience and social experience is evident. Through structural equation model analysis, we find sensory experience has a significantly direct positive effect on emotional experience and social experience. In conclusion, the findings show the importance of customer experience on purchase intentions in a retail context. Thus, if the businesses want to survive in the circumstance where the competition is fierce, the businesses should not only provide favorable sensory experience but also provide excellent emotional experience and social experience to create long-lasting competitive advantages and increase repatronage.

**LIMITATIONS**

An important limitation of our study is that the sample consisted of only students at China Agricultural University (East Campus). Consequently, since generalizations beyond this group are inadmissible, future research should consider another subject population which would better represent the consumers in China.

On the other hand, managerial findings for international condition is missing as counterpart to the local condition stimulus, so further research should extend the study in an international context so that the managerial implications would be more useful for marketing practitioners to develop marketing strategies for goods and services.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors would like to thank Professor Lu Juan for her valuable comments and suggestions. This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No 71001101), and the research grant from program for New Century Excellent Talents in University.

**REFERENCES**

Brengman M, Geuens M (2004). The Four Dimensional Impact of Color Stimulus, so further research should extend the study in an international context so that the managerial implications would be more useful for marketing practitioners to develop marketing strategies for goods and services. The Four Dimensional Impact of Color Stimulus, so further research should extend the study in an international context so that the managerial implications would be more useful for marketing practitioners to develop marketing strategies for goods and services.

**Table 3. Hypotheses tests.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Test result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1: sensory experience → emotional experience</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2: sensory experience → social experience</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3: emotional experience → social experience</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>Reject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4a: utilitarian-oriented goals → sensory experience</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4b: utilitarian-oriented goals → emotional experience</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>Reject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4c: utilitarian-oriented goals → social experience</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5a: hedonic-oriented goals → sensory experience</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>17.71</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5b: hedonic-oriented goals → emotional experience</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5c: hedonic-oriented goals → social experience</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6a: sensory experience → purchase intentions</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>Reject</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6b: emotional experience → purchase intentions</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H6c: social experience → purchase intentions</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>Support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


