Full Length Research Paper

Entropy and utility based trip distribution model

R. Kar¹* and S. K. Mazumder²

¹Department of Mathematics, Bidhan Chandra College, Rishra, Hooghly, 712248, West Bengal, India. ²Department of Mathematics, Bengal Engineering and Science University, Shibpur, Howrah, 711103, West Bengal, India.

Accepted 8 September, 2011

This paper aims to explore the interrelation and equivalence between methods of maximum entropy and utility in establishing the trip distribution model.

Key words: Entropy, utility, trip distribution, Bose–Einstein entropy, Fermi-Dirac entropy.

INTRODUCTION

During the present decade, a special focus of attention and query has perpetually been made on transportation problem in urban and environmental modeling. This area has been drawing the interest and attention of many researchers who firmly consider this area to be an active field of studies. Therefore, rigorous investigation and research are constantly being accomplished to by a good number of scientists of different background in order to improve and enrich this field. With this end in view they employ different approaches. A recent and more general approach is based on the concept of entropy (Jumarie, 1990; Wilson, 1970) and the principle of maximum entropy estimation (Fisk, 1985). This approach was developed profoundly by Wilson (1970), Shannon and Weaver (1949), Tribus (1969) and Wilson (1967, 1970).

The concept of the economic model of utility paves another way to meet the problem. The interrelation between the maximum entropy and maximum utility was pointed out by Wilson [10]. The chief aim and goal of this present paper is to explore the equivalence or interrelation between these two principles in the context of trip distribution. The approach is, however, different from that of Wilson (1967) and is better in line with that of Beckmann (Abbas, 2002). This paper is designed with the following plans and programmes: First is a consideration of trip distribution based on maximum entropy (Shannon) model and derived same distributions from maximum-utility model with different cost functions. This is then followed by a similar task with Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac form of entropy.

THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

Shannon's entropy approach

Let us consider a model city with a Central Business District (CBD), and a set of location or site {i, i = 1, 2, ..., n} and a set of working places or sectors {j, j = 1, 2, ..., m} of the C.B.D. Let T_{ij} be the number of trips originating from the *i*th location place to the *j*th working place (destination). Then the entropy of the trip distribution is given by (analogous to Shannon entropy).

$$S = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} T_{ij} \ln T_{ij}$$
(1)

Let us assume that the total trips originating from the site *i* and the total number of persons employed in the *j*th working place be prescribed.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} T_{ij} = O_i \ (i = 1, 2, ..., n)$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{ij} = D_j \ (j = 1, 2, ..., m)$$
(2)

and further that the total cost of transportation be fixed.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} T_{ij} C(r_{ij}) = \hat{C}$$
(3)

Where r_{ij} is the distance of the *j*th sector of the C.B.D.

^{*}Corresponding authors. E-mail: kar.ramesh@yahoo.com.

from the *i*th site of location. $C(r_{ij})$ is the cost function. The constraints (2) and (3) are insufficient to establish the trip distribution T_{ij} . This can, however, be estimated by Jaynes maximum-entropy principle (Fisk, 1985). According to this principle the least biased distribution will be that which will maximize the entropy *S* given by (1) subject to the constraints (2) and (3). The maximization yield (Shannon and Weaver, 1949).

$$T_{ij} = o_i d_j e^{-\mu c(r_{ij})}$$
(4)

Where the parameters o_{i} , d_{j} and μ are to be determined by the equation :

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} o_{i} d_{j} e^{-\mu c(r_{ij})} = O_{i} (i = 1, 2, ..., n)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} o_{i} d_{j} e^{-\mu c(r_{ij})} = D_{j} (j = 1, 2, ..., m)$$
(5)

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} o_{i} d_{j} C(r_{ij}) e^{-\mu C(r_{ij})} = \hat{C}$$
(6)

Utility approach

According to the considerations discussed so far the distribution has been determined as a problem of the theory of information. Since the information (or constraints) available to us are insufficient to uniquely determine the exact distribution, we have used the maximum entropy principle of statistical inference. Let us now try to set up an economic model of the choice behaviour that underlines trip making. Here we are to distinguish the trips by purposes. In any city work trips are really the result of some basic choices. Generally, the first and prime importance to a person is either to accept and acknowledge a job or to decide to form a business center and afterwards he must seek for an adequate habitable place to live in. He always tries to maximize his utility as far as possible with limited available resources.

A person looking at various potential residences associates a rating or utility index with each residence. Let the probability density of utility *x* for a particular location *i* be $f_i(x)$, $x \ge a > 0$. Then the probability of something in such a location having utility equal to *z* or greater (better) than *z* is given by

$$P(z) = \int_{z}^{\infty} f_{i}(x) dx$$
 (7)

The utility z includes rent, access to school, hospitals,

gas connection, local shopping facilities, Electricity board etc except the distance from C. B. D. So, the net utility at a place is the utility minus the transportation cost $(z - \beta r_{ij})$ where r_{ij} is the distance of the *i*th sector to the *j*th working place at the C. B. D. and β is the conversion factor of distance to utility. A person will now try to maximize the net utility. Since the economic power of different persons are different, so he will accept a place of living with a satisfying level of utility *t* (say) so that,

$$z - \beta r_{ij} \ge t \text{ or } z \ge t + \beta r_{ij} \tag{8}$$

Now, if O_i be the total number of housing supply in the *i*th sector at a distance r_{ij} from *j*th working place, then probability of person living in this level is

$$O_i P(t + \beta r_{ij}) \tag{9}$$

If the total number of persons employed in the *j*th working place in C. B. D. be D_j then [1]

$$T_{ij} \approx O_i D_j P(t + \beta r_{ij}) \tag{10}$$

Comparing (4) and (10) we observe that

$$o_i d_j e^{-\mu c(r_{ij})} \approx O_i D_j P(t + \beta r_{ij})$$

or
$$C(r_{ij}) \approx InP(t + \beta r_{ij}) + In(O_i D_j) - In(o_i d_j) \quad (11)$$

So, it shows that cost can always be found so that entropy maximization and utility maximization became equivalent. Let us now illustrate the equivalence with some specific example by finding the appropriate cost functions.

Illustrative examples

(a) First, suppose that the distribution of utility to be a exponential

$$f_{i}(x) = \sigma^{-1} e^{-\frac{x}{\sigma}}; x, \sigma > 0$$
 (12)

then from (10)

Tii

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \sigma \sigma^{-1} e^{-\frac{x}{\sigma}} dx \approx OiDj e^{-\left(\frac{t+\beta}{\sigma}r_{ij}\right)}$$
$$\approx O_i D_i^{t+\beta} r_{ij}$$

Now from (4) we see that if the cost of travel $C(r_{ij})$ be of the form:

$$C(r_{\rm ij}) \approx t + \beta r_{\rm ij} \tag{13}$$

Then two method lead to the same type of distribution.

(b) If the distribution of utility to be a logistic

$$f_{i}(x) = e^{-x} (1 + e^{-x})^{-2} ; -\infty < x < \infty$$
(14)

Then from (10)

$$T_{ij} \approx O_i D_j \int_{t+\beta_{T_{ij}}}^{\infty} = e^{-x} (1+e^{-x})^{-2} dx \approx O_i D_j \frac{1}{\frac{(t+\beta_{T_{ij}})}{e}+1}$$

Which leads to entropy maximization distribution if we take

$$C(r_{ij}) \approx \ln(e^{(t+\beta r_{ij})} + 1)$$
(15)

(c) If the distribution of utility to be a Pareto

$$f_{l}(x) = \frac{ak}{x}^{a}_{a+1} ; x \ge k > 0, a > 0$$
(16)

Then from (10)

$$T_{ij} \approx O_i D_j \int_{t+\beta}^{\infty} \left(\frac{ak}{x} \right)^{a+1} dx \approx O_i D_j \frac{k}{(t+\beta r_i)^a}$$

We observe that if we take the cost function

$$C(r_{ij}) \approx \ln(t + \beta r_{ij})^{a}$$
(17)

The maximum-entropy distribution leads to the utility distribution.

METHODS

Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac entropy approach

Here we shall try to obtain some trip distribution based on entropies other than that of Shannon and examine the role of utility functional in generating these types of distributions. As before let T_{ij} be the number of trips from the *i*th sector (origin) to the *j*th working place and the constraints or information available are the same as those of (2) and (3). Now, the problem is to estimate T_{ij} on the basis of information (constraints (2) and (3)). We apply Jaynes maximum entropy principle with quantum measure of entropy.

$$\hat{S} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} T_{ij} \ln T_{ij} + a \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (1 + aT_{ij}) \ln(1 + aT_{ij})$$
(18)

where a = +1 for Bose-Einstein entropy and a = -1 for

Fermi-Dirac entropy. The maximization of the entropy S subject to the constraints (2) and (3) leads to the distribution (Jaynes, 1957).

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{ij}=o_i d_j e^{-a}}$$
(19)

The value a = 1 in (19) corresponds to Fermi-Dirac distribution of trips. In this case, at most one trip end is permitted per destination (job). The value a = -1 in (19) corresponds to Bose-Einstein distribution of trips. This corresponds to unlimited and per destination (Evans, 1970). We shall discuss the feasibility of the distribution (19) in reality later on.

Utility approach

We have seen in theoretical analysis that

$$T_{ij} \approx O_i D_j P(t + \beta r_i)$$

$$P(z) = \int_{z}^{\infty} f_i(x) dx$$
(20)

where $f_i(x)$ is some kind of utility functional for the *i*th location. This may be the potential functional of the *j*th work place or may be some functional which depends on the utility of the *i*th origin (sector-living place) and attraction of *j*th work place. O_i and D_j are same prescribed values related to the *i*th origin (living place) and *j*th destination (work place). Again we observe from (10) and (19)

$$O_i D_j P(t + \beta r_{ij}) \approx \frac{1}{oid_j e} - a$$

or,

$$o_i d_j e^{uc(r_{ij})} \approx \frac{1}{O_i D_i P(t + \beta_{r_{ij}})} + a$$

or,

$$C(r_{ij}) \approx ln \left[\frac{a}{o_i d_j} + \frac{1}{O_i o_i D_j d_j P(t + \beta r_{ij})} \right]$$
(21)

So, costs can also be found which can make entropy maximization and utility concept equivalent. The equivalence has also been illustrated with some specific examples.

Illustrative examples

(a) Let us consider an utility function as
$$f_i(x) = \frac{C_2^2}{\mathcal{X}}$$
; $x \ge \mathcal{X}$
(22)

Then

$$T_{ij} \approx O_i D_j \int_{t+\beta_{r_{ij}}}^{\infty} \frac{c^2}{\chi} dx \approx O_i D_j \frac{c^2}{(t+\beta_{r_{ij}})}$$

which is the generalized gravity model. We observe that if we take the cost function

$$C(r_{ij}) \approx ln\left((t + \beta r_{ij}) \pm 1\right)$$
(23)

(b) We have already seen that the utility distribution follows a exponential as

$$f_{l}(x) = \sigma^{-1} e^{-\frac{x}{\sigma}}; x, \sigma > 0$$
(24)

 T_{ij} comes out to be of the form

$$T_{ij} \approx O_i D_j e^{-\left(\frac{t+\beta r_{ij}}{\sigma}\right)}$$

which leads to the quantum distribution of the trip if

$$C(r_{ij}) \approx ln \left(e^{\frac{(t+\beta r_{ij})}{\sigma}} \pm 1 \right)$$

DISCUSSION

This paper, as already mentioned earlier, deals with two approaches to the problem of decision making in the transportation system. The two approaches are based on the concepts of entropy and utility. In the present paper we have tried to show the interrelation between the above two approaches mathematically in the case of trip distribution. From this point of view this paper appears to be of hypothetical and theoretical type. But, to be honest, it is not out and out hypothetical, because some of the functions exploited in the paper have been successfully applied in some other models. For example, the exponential utility function has been used in risk-sharing problem (Jaynes, 1957). The choice of the utility functions f(x) is made for a particular purpose only. So it is somewhat of ad hoc nature. It will depend on different economic problems or situations and its success will also be based on the proper choice of the utility function (Kapur, 1990). In this paper we have selected a number of utility function f(x) and have shown how the maximumutility method which is of great commercial importance can be converted into a well-established statistical decision theory based on maximum-entropy principle (Tribus, 1969; Lain Fraser, 2000). Regarding the applicability of Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac entropy we state that though Shannon entropy is of wide range of

applicability, Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac entropy has been applied successfully in case of work-trip distribution and commodity distribution respectively (Evans, 1970). Ours is the first approach to show the equivalence between the maximum utility and maximum-entropy principle based on Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac entropies.

Conclusions

It may be concluded by saying that quite a good number of papers have been published exhibiting the application of maximum entropy technique and maximum utility techniques but our objective is to demonstrate that an adequate and skillful adaptation of both entropy and utility enables to explain successfully almost any form of transportation problem. In this regard the use of either appropriate entropy or utility function would suffice to face the different problematic challenges.

REFERENCES

- Abbas AE (2002). An Entropy Approach for Utility Assignment in Decision Analysis. C. Williams, ed. Proc. 22nd Internat. Workshop on Bayesian Inference and Maximum Entropy Method Sci. Eng. Moscow, ID.
- Evans AW (1970). Some properties of trip distribution models. Transportation Res., 4: 19-36.
- Fisk C (1985). Entropy and information Theory: Are we missing something? Environ. Plann., 14A: 679-710.
- Lain F (2000). An Application of Maximum Entropy Estimation: The Demand for Meat in United Kingdom. Appl. Econ., 32: 45-59.
- Jaynes ET (1957). Information theory and statistical Mechanics. Phys. Rev., 106: 620-630.
- Jumarie G (1990). Relative Information and Application. Springer-Verlag. Berlin.
- Kapur JN (1990). Maximum Entropy Models in Science and Engineering. Wiley Eastern. New Delhi, India.
- Shannon CE, Weaver W (1949). Mathematical Theory of Communication. University of Illinois Press, Urbana, Chicago, U.S.A.
- Tribus M (1969). Rational Description, Decision and Designs. Pergamon Press, New York, U. S. A.
- Wilson AG (1967). A Statistical Theory of Spatial Distribution Models. Transportation Res. 1: 253-269.
- Wilson AG (1970). Entropy in Urban and Regional Modelling. Pion, London, U. K.
- Wilson AG (1979). Optimization in Locational and Transport Analysis. John Wiley Chichester U.K.