The effect of Guanxi orientation on boundary spanners’ behavior and subsequent influence on inter-firm relationship quality
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Guanxi orientation is one of the important organizational values in China. This study examines the effect of guanxi orientation on firm’s boundary spanner's behavior (manifested by interpersonal liking and interaction frequency) and its influence on inter-firm relationships in Chinese marketing channel context. Drawing on organizational culture and boundary spanner theory, a conceptual model is proposed to delineate how guanxi orientation works across organizations where inter-firm support is placed as the key mediator. Results from 342 distributors show that guanxi orientation has positive influence on interpersonal liking and interaction frequency between boundary spanners, and the manifested behaviors have direct and indirect impact on inter-firm relationship quality through inter-firm support. Overall, the study research extended the extant literature from three perspectives. Firstly, this study deepens our understanding of the organizational role of guanxi orientation and its influence on boundary spanner’s individual behaviors, building a bridge between intra- and inter-firm relationships. Secondly, the research findings suggest that boundary spanners can provide inter-firm support for building cooperative relationship channels. Thirdly, inter-firm support is found to be another antecedent of long-term orientation (previously trust, dependence, and performance), which provides a cost-effective way for building deeper relationship. Contributions, managerial implications, limitations, and future research are also pointed out.
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INTRODUCTION

Boundary spanners are key representatives who engage in various activities on the interface of exchange in organizations, playing a key role in marketing channel management. They represent their firms and then work through critical horizontal ties to multiple external constituencies.

Previous research has suggested that termination of interpersonal relationship formed between boundary spanners from buyer and seller firms has damaging effects on inter-organizational relationship (Su et al., 2009;...
Shou et al., 2011). As the essential components of firms, boundary spanners are believed to be influenced by organizational values of all facets (Schmidt and Posner, 1982). Walter (1999) also said that the boundary spanners “represent the perceptions, expectations, needs, and ideas of each side to the other”, so they are influenced by their own firms’ organizational values.

Guanxi orientation is one of the most prevalent, deep-rooted business values in China, and it is so important in China that it often works as doorstep for establishing business relationships, mechanism for conflict resolution, conduit for gaining harmony, etc. It can also be considered as one type of social capital that connects key boundary people across various social groups and encourages them to pool resources for potential cooperation (Peng and Luo, 2000).

Firms with guanxi orientation organizational values make use of Guanxi, and try to accumulate social capital for maximizing benefits. Boundary spanners are believed to be influenced by such organizational values, practice the values and extend to their behaviors when they are interacting with external organizations. Previous research has recognized the importance of guanxi orientation in individual-level (Luo, 2001; Lee and Dawes, 2005; Wang, 2007; Chen et al., 2011), while there is still a lack of understanding concerning guanxi orientation at the organizational level.

This study pays emphasis on organizational-level guanxi orientation, assesses its influence on boundary spanner’s perception and activities, and then examines how the behaviors of boundary spanners impact inter-organizational relationship. The study research aims to fill this gap and investigate the role of firm’s guanxi orientation working as organizational-level guidelines for boundary spanners.

LITERATURE REVIEW

First, we will explain definitions of organizational values, guanxi, guanxi orientation, and boundary spanners, and then discuss the overall influence of organizational values on channel relationship management.

Organizational values

Values are defined as “a conception, explicit or implicit...of the desirable which influences the selection form available modes, means, and ends of action” (Scott, 1965). Connor and Becker (1975) gave a brief definition of values, “global belief about desirable end-states underlying attitudinal and behavioral processes”.

As a result, behavior generally is viewed as a manifestation of values and attitudes (Connor and Becker, 1975). Then, values can predict organizational performance (considered as organizational behavioral outcomes) and individuals’ behaviors.

Specifically, organizational values, reflecting what is important to the members in the organization, once established and institutionalized, can have a significant impact on the values and behaviors of individual employees and organizational performance (Brown, 1976; Badovick and Beatty, 1987).

Badovick and Beatty (1987) have found that shared organizational values transmitted to employees’ impact marketing strategies and practices at various levels of organizations help motivate their behaviors, which further influence their ultimate business performance and relationship with partners (Jackson and Tax, 1995).

Schwartz and Davis (1981) indicated that for greater level of shared organizational values, higher likelihood of characteristic behavior leads to successful organizational performance. Early researchers in organization and marketing have also investigated the effect of organizational values on employees’ ethical behaviors (Somers, 2001), job satisfaction (Lund, 2003), marketing strategy implementation and planning (Badovick and Beatty, 1987), individual selling performance (Flaherty et al., 1999) and marketing professionals’ organizational commitment (Hunt et al., 1989).

Guanxi and guanxi orientation

Guanxi

Before introducing guanxi orientation, it is necessary to give a full understanding of the concept of guanxi. As a relational society, Chinese people are embedded in various kinds of social relations (guanxi), and guanxi has been regarded as a key principal to do business in China. Guanxi generally refers to relationships or social connections based on mutual interests and benefits (Wang, 2007).

Literally, the Chinese character “guan” means a gate, and “xi” means relationship, tie or connection. Therefore, guanxi means “pass the gate and get connected” (Lee and Dawes, 2005). Guanxi represents interpersonal connections embedded with reciprocal favors, trust, mutual obligations and assistances. Previous research measure guanxi from three dimensions: gangqing (emotional attachment), xinren (trust), and renqing (reciprocity or favor).

Gangqing, meaning emotional attachment, is defined as the degree of mutual personal friendship, indicating closeness among members of a social network. Among various kinds of guanxi, emotional attachment with families or relatives is the strongest (Hwang, 1987).

Xinyong, has similar meaning with trust, which indicates the confidence or belief that the exchange partner has on the credibility and benevolence (Chen and Chen, 2004). Renqing implies that once a benefactor gives a benefit to another, the receiver is obligated to
repay the favor in order to restore balance (Hwang, 1987).

Guanxi is rooted in a culture characterized by interdependence and reciprocity. Through constant exchange of favor in the form of gift-giving and bouquet inviting, renqing is practiced between individuals, and extensive interpersonal networks to share scarce resources and cope with uncertainties are developed. Renqing follows the rule of reciprocity strictly (Barnes et al., 2011).

Aforementioned are key concepts involved with guanxi. In addition, saving face (mianzi) is also important in daily interactions. Previous research on guanxi are mostly from individual-level perspective (Chen et al., 2011; Luo, 2001; 2007), based on personal relationships. Individuals build guanxi through interpersonal favor seeking, mutual help, obligation fulfilling, and so on.

Although facets of guanxi in relation to individuals have been explored, limited research has been undertaken from organizational perspective and with regard to its organizational influence. Specifically, Su et al. (2003) defined guanxi as a coalition of resources which business parties share to enhance performance from a business perspective.

In buyer-supplier relationship, guanxi is defined as “a special type of personal particular ties that bonds the boundary spanners of exchange partners through social activities, reciprocal obligations and favor exchanges” (Chen et al., 2011; Peng and Luo, 2000).

Since an organization is a social unit of people with the same collective goals, organizational-level guanxi is believed to be an aggregate of interpersonal guanxi between organizations or as cross-organizational connections among managers (Chen et al., 2011; Park and Luo, 2001; Murray and Fu, 2016).

Moreover, through empirical in-depth interviews, Murray and Fu (2016) indicate that if the employee utilizes guanxi for firm’s benefits and guanxi partners are aware of the employee’s intentions and engage in reciprocal behaviors for the sake of the employee’s organization, individual-level guanxi can be transformed into the organizational-level asset.

**Guanxi orientation**

Compared to Guanxi, Guanxi orientation is more concerned with firms’ strategic goals. The strategic orientations of firms represent the elements of the firm’s culture that guide interactions in the marketplace and impact managerial decision making (Noble et al., 2002). Guided by guanxi orientation, the extent to which boundary spanners use interpersonal guanxi is determined by their firms’ strategic needs and their cultural norms simultaneously (Luo, 2001; Su et al., 2009).

Murray and Fu (2016) pointed out that, as business exchanges are embedded in social relationships and guanxi permeates throughout the Chinese society all-round, examining how firms employ guanxi as a strategic orientation is of high significance. They emphasize that strategic guanxi orientation stands for a business philosophy of guanxi management, reflecting “an organization-wide culture that focuses on embedded values and beliefs that guide behaviors that ultimately influence performance” (Murray and Fu, 2016).

Extant research treats guanxi orientation as an individual-level construct, defined as “the extent to which people willingly recognize obligations, harmony, and long-term reciprocation in their daily socialization” (Murray and Fu, 2016; Su et al., 2009). Among the most research, a large part focus on the utilizations stage of guanxi orientation, and found that guanxi utilization has significant influence on sales growth, employee’s unethical behavior, conflict, communication, channel relationship quality, and power use (Park and Luo, 2001; Su et al., 2003; Zhang and Zhang, 2006; Cai et al., 2016; Murray and Fu, 2016).

In organizational level, Su et al. (2003) do not give an explicit understanding of guanxi orientation but emphasize the importance and benefits of using guanxi in business exchanges. Firms need organizational-level principles and guidelines for employees to follow and to resolve daily problems and cope with challenges created by constant environmental changes (Murray and Fu, 2016).

Similar to market orientation, which is defined as “the organizational culture that most effectively and efficiently creates the necessary behaviors for the creation of super value for buyers and thus superior performance for the business” (Narver and Slater, 1990), in this study, guanxi orientation is a kind of organizational culture typical in Chinese context, encouraging boundary-spanning employees to actively establish and build interpersonal relationship with their counterparts of partner firms. Institutionalized as a kind of organizational culture, guanxi orientation of firms fosters a kind of organizational environment and creates policies that are conductive for boundary spanners to acquiring interpersonal guanxi.

Boundary spanners are individuals most closely involved in inter-firm relationships and take the responsibility of shaping inter-firm relationships. Considering boundary spanners’ inter-organizational role, investigating intra- and inter-firm influence of guanxi orientation on employees and cooperating partners from the organizational culture perspective is of strategic significance.

**Guanxi orientation and boundary spanner’s behavior**

Research on organizations have found that organizational values have significant influence on the overall business environment, employees’ behaviors and
attitudes (Brown, 1976; Badovick and Beatty, 1987), such as performance, job satisfaction (Somers, 2001; Lund, 2003), as aforementioned. It is reasonable to believe that guanxi orientation as one of the most important organizational values in China, influence behaviors of employees, especially boundary spanners from both parties. Before discussing the relationship between guanxi orientation and behaviors of boundary spanners, we give a brief introduction to the role of boundary spanners in inter-firm exchange.

Boundary spanners are special employees that are more closely involved in inter-organizational relationships than any other in their organizations in inter-firm exchange of marketing channels. They are aware of firm information on inter-organizational relationships, act on behalf of their organizations, come in direct contact with their counterparts, support inter-firm information exchange, facilitate organizational responses to external environment, play a dual role in inter-firm exchange, are involved in business decisions and operations and have an important influence in shaping inter-firm exchange (Zaheer et al., 1998; Lee and Dawes, 2005).

Huang et al. (2016) pointed out two main roles of boundary-spanners: information processing and external representation. Boundary spanners’ behaviors are also deeply shaped and modified under the organizational values of guanxi orientation. Based on this study research context, boundary spanners here refer to salespersons from manufacturers and purchasing managers from distributors.

Guanxi orientation here is defined as organizational-level construct, working as organizational values and guiding boundary spanners’ behaviors, which guides employees on how to build guanxi with counterparts, and reflects connections among firms seeking resources and support to achieve common organizational goals.

Guanxi-oriented firms treat partners as business friends and believe that they will make it through together, although each firm is interests-oriented. Normally, firms use contracts as one of the govern mechanisms to regulate exchanges with partners. The contracts include almost every aspect of activities during their cooperation with their parties.

However, the contracts are not perfect and sometimes when urgent or unpredictable things happen, firms have to come up with appropriate solutions. In this case, firms with guanxi orientation will seek help from their guanxi networks to work out a plan.

In case of limited resources, guanxi can also become a rescue strategy or a survival practice offering an extra protective mechanism (Barnes et al., 2011; Xin and Pearce, 1996). However, all the operating details have to be implemented by boundary spanners. Boundary employees will take on firms’ values gradually and practice them in their work.

For example, during the process of building interpersonal guanxi with counterparts, boundary spanners meet with each other face to face or on the phone to discuss details of two sides frequently (ordering, pricing, promotion, time, etc.), whenever on working hours or not, wherever in the office or any other places. Most importantly, the time, money or any other kind of resources invested in the firm- and individual-level relationships cannot be transported to other relationships easily, since it is one kind of relationship-specific investment.

Cai et al. (2016) mentioned that ties between boundary spanners may be initiated by their firm’s strategic decisions on the selection of trading partners. Guanxi orientation, as one of the most important strategic orientations, guides boundary spanners through encouraging building interpersonal relationship and trust with counterparts, which is a precondition for nurturing a favorable inter-firm relationship. During this process, favor is given in reciprocal way, such as critical information or resources (money, infrastructure, etc.).

Boundary spanners follow the guidelines of guanxi orientation step by step. They are motivated to actively engage in and spend time on building guanxi with counterparts, such as through gifting-giving, regular visits, favor exchanging, hosting and attending banquets, preferential treatment, and so on. As a result, interpersonal relationship between boundary spanners is improved and they interact in a more frequent base.

Interpersonal liking and interaction frequency is used as manifestations of guanxi building behaviors, to measure ganqing, strength of personal relationships between boundary spanners of manufacturers and distributors. Interpersonal liking refers to the affective attachment or positive affect, indicating closeness between boundary spanners. Barnes et al. (2011) mentioned that ganqing dimension of guanxi reflects liking shared by the buyer and seller.

Nicholson et al. (2001) define this construct as “an emotional connection that one feels for another that can be viewed as fondness or affection — a feeling that goes beyond the mere acceptance of a competent business partner”. Even if the inter-organizational relationship were to terminate, personal contact with strong interpersonal liking would remain.

Nicholson et al. (2001), Hawke and Heffernan (2006), Abosag and Lee (2013), and Abosag and Naudé (2014) have found the important role of interpersonal liking in shaping buyer-seller trust. Nicholson et al. (2001) also found that as the bilateral relationship ages, liking plays a more important role in building trust. Research of Abosag et al. (2013; 2014) on interpersonal liking were mainly done in Saudi Arabia, where local business culture is similar to guanxi in China.

In addition, the strength of interpersonal liking is related to the amount of time boundary spanners invest and spend together (Huang et al., 2016; Granovetter, 1985). More regular visits or social gatherings, more frequently they meet. With an increase in interaction frequency,
boundary spanners spend more time together, and they exchange information and predict each other’s behaviors more easily (Doney and Cannon, 1997).

Frequent interactions also increase opportunities for both manufacturer and distributor to gather personal information and empathize with others’ perspective. As the time when boundary spanners work together becomes longer, face to face meetings or phone contacts are more frequent and individual relationships are becoming closer. Following this reasoning, interpersonal liking or emotional attachment is deeper. It has to be mentioned that as guanxi orientation advocates mutual obligations, assurances, and understanding in daily interactions, boundary spanners are believed to repay the favors, fulfill their duties and offer timely help to counterparts.

A proactive favor to counterparts creates expectations for future help. If the counterpart does not repay the favor or provide help, he or she will lose credibility in the cooperation relationship and will not receive help from partners in time of emergency. Therefore, we propose that:

Hypothesis 1a: Guanxi orientation is positively related to interpersonal liking between boundary spanners.

Hypothesis 1b: Guanxi orientation is positively related to frequency of interactions between boundary spanners

Hypothesis 1c: The more frequently boundary spanners interact, the more deeply the interpersonal liking is fostered.

Boundary spanner and relationship quality

Firm’s guanxi orientation values create an atmosphere which motivates boundary spanners to interact or contact with each other on a frequent basis to develop interpersonal liking, thereby facilitating firm-level reciprocal support and building cooperative relationship.

Boundary spanners building guanxi not only enriches their personal own network, but also contributes to their firms’ achievement. When the boundary spanners have fostered personal network and has been emotionally tied to each other (high-level interpersonal liking), it leads to interpersonal favors as well as inter-firm support (Cai et al., 2016).

Based on the emotional attachment between boundary spanners, smoother operational process and decreased associated transaction cost by facilitating the transformation of interpersonal into inter-firm connections are achieved. We use the term “support” to refer to the assistance or help offered by one firm to its partner, including informational and physical help (Langenberg, 2007).

In the bilateral relationship, critical information and urgent physical assistance are frequently transferred especially in cooperative inter-firm exchange. In channel management, information support includes consumer preferences, best selling price or place, and promotion plan, etc. Physical support consists of money, labor and key physical resources, etc.

The provision of critical valuable information depends on interpersonal liking or closeness between boundary spanners (Luo, 2007). Strong interpersonal liking serves as a robust base for connecting and sharing information with other boundary spanners (Huang et al., 2016). If they are in close relationship, the boundary spanners will try to persuade his/her counterpart to offer the valuable information, or his/her counterpart will provide the information voluntarily. Even if the information is difficult to collect, the counterpart is willing to facilitate the internal data collection process and make it possible to transmit the requested information to its partner (Cai et al., 2016).

Similarly, physical support will also be provided to the boundary spanner by his/her counterpart when necessary. Moreover, the boundary spanner will also influence other members in his/her firm to share the same cooperative attitude to the counterpart’s firm and to provide necessary help. Specially in China, some of the managers of distributors are not only boundary spanners but also owners of the distribution firm, who are in charge of the whole firm and make decision on whether or not to offer help.

As a result, closer relationship, more frequent contacts between boundary spanners create appropriate conditions for inter-firm exchange and offering inter-firm support to cooperative partner. As this study was conducted from distributor’s side, we propose that the closer the personal relationship between boundary spanners, more support will distributors provide to the inter-firm exchange, and advance the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis 2a: Interpersonal liking is positively related to inter-firm support.

Hypothesis 2b: Interaction frequency is positively related to inter-firm support.

Further, inter-firm support contributes to relationship quality between manufacturers and distributors. We use conflict and long-term orientation to measure relationship quality in this study, demonstrating positive and negative aspects of the inter-firm relationship in marketing channels.

Long-term orientation is considered to be “expectations of continuity of a relationship” between firms (Noordewier et al., 1990), emphasizing the likelihood of future interactions and desire of both parties towards a long-term relationship (Lee and Dawes, 2005). Maintaining a long-term buyer-seller relationships are considered as the core of marketing activities (Ryu et al., 2007).

A couple of factors influence long-term orientation between channel partners, such as trust (interpersonal or inter-firm), dependence and performance (Cannon et al., 1997).
pervasive use of guanxi in economic exchanges. Purchasing managers in cellphone industry would like to develop guanxi networks as a resource to get more preferential terms. Measures were deprived from academic literature and were made some changes to adapt this study context. Interviews with distributor managers are conducted to better adapt questionnaire items before formal survey. Reliability and validity of all measures were tested.

Instrumentation

The study data collection consisted of three stages. Firstly, before designing the final questionnaire, we selected 45 managers of distributors from Beijing and Hebei areas as pre-test subjects and conducted semi-structured personal interviews with these managers in order to develop an understanding of guanxi, boundary spanning interactions, and inter-organizational relationship in the manufacturer-distributor dyads. Secondly, based on previous tests, we revised the questionnaires in English. A double-blinded translation was followed when translating the items from English to Chinese.

All measures used a Likert response scale ranging from 1 (strong disagree) to 5 (strong agree). All constructs and measures used in the study exhibit satisfactory psychometric properties in Chinese business context based on previous interviews. Thirdly, we began the data collection with the distributors. To increase sample validity, a simple random sampling method was employed to identify distributors with average monthly sales of more than 200 cellphones, resulting in qualified 613 distributors nationwide.

Questionnaires were sent to the respondents by pre-paid mail. To encourage participation, we offered to provide a report of the research results. A statement of confidentiality was included in the cover letter of the questionnaire. To ensure the efficiency and validity of answering questions, the respondents are mostly senior managers who directly dealt with the counterparts and are familiar with their own firms’ and cooperative partners’ information. Respondents were required to mail the finished questionnaires to the address pre-written on the envelope. In the end, we collected 342 qualified questionnaires (incomplete or unqualified questionnaires are excluded in advance), with effective recovery rate of 55.8%.

Sample characteristics

In the final sample, qualified respondents are from various regions located in 27 provinces of China, indicating variations in regions. They sell different brands of cellphone and are responsible for implementing cellphone manufacturers’ marketing and sales promotion activities. Among respondents, 78.1% of them are male, 57.9% are senior managers, 30.4% are middle managers, and 11.7% are from other positions. Respondents’ average working years are 4.96 years, with 60.8% of them longer than 3 years (31.5% longer than 5 years), long enough to know trading partners and build cooperative relationships.

Nonresponse bias

To test for nonresponse bias, we compared firm attributes (size, revenues, and relationship length) of respondent distributor and firms which did not return their questionnaires or declined to participate the survey. None of the results were significant, indicating the absence of nonresponse bias.

Measures

The constructs in this study are derived from prior literature and
Figure 1. Conceptual model.

Table 1. Means, standard deviations, and correlations among variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mutual dependence</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.128*</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship length</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.239***</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.240***</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanxi orientation</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.233**</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.173**</td>
<td>0.428***</td>
<td>0.846</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpersonal liking</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.141**</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.155**</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction frequency</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.109*</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.155**</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-firm support</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-0.094</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>0.109*</td>
<td>-0.140**</td>
<td>-0.132**</td>
<td>-0.255***</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.337***</td>
<td>0.172**</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.338***</td>
<td>0.197***</td>
<td>0.407***</td>
<td>-0.241***</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: n=342 *** p < 0.001 ** p < 0.01 * p < 0.05; Values on the diagonal represent correlations. Bold numbers represent average variance extracted (AVE) of each variable; Variable mutual dependence is calculated by adding the scores of dependence on partner and partner dependence.

adapted to the study specific research context. Details and the assessment results of each constructs used in the study are listed in the Appendix. The measure for guanxi orientation uses items of Su et al. (2009). Interpersonal liking and frequency of interactions are measured through the scale developed by Nicholson et al. (2001). Inter-firm support is adapted from scales used by Lusch and Brown (1996) and Heide and John (1990). Conflict is measured from Kumar et al. (1995) and long-term orientation is measured from Ganesan (1994). Control variables (relationship length and mutual dependence) are considered to influence outcome variables (conflict and long-term orientation). To control for the effects, respondents were asked to indicate how long the relationship has kept and dependence structure. Mutual dependence was measured by adding scores on the item “dependence on the partner” and “partner dependence”, measurements from Jap and Ganesan (2000).

Measure reliability and validity

Means, standard variances, and correlations are shown in Table 1. Reliability and validity index of scales are shown in the Appendix. Internal consistency was assessed using Cronbach's alpha index. The results for all constructs were from 0.698 to 0.854, greater than the cutoff point of 0.6, ensuring good internal consistency.

Composite reliability of each construct was in the range from 0.832 to 0.917 (>0.700), demonstrating high construct reliability. Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) is conducted to assess the overall fitness of the model. The results show satisfactory fitness ($\chi^2$/df=1.461, RMSEA=0.037, CFI=0.970, IFI=0.971, TLI=0.964). The factor loadings of each items were mostly higher than 0.7 (with a few exceptions higher than 0.5), indicating appropriate construct validity. Average variance extracted (AVE) values for each constructs are higher than 0.50 (control variable mutual dependence is lower than than 0.50, but does not influence the fitness of the whole model), suggesting appropriate convergent validity (Fornell and Larcker, 1981).

Finally, as a test of discriminant validity of the constructs, we compare the correlation between constructs with the square root of AVE. The results showed that the square root of the AVE for each construct is higher than the correlations, indicating a satisfactory level of discriminant validity (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). The Appendix showed the final constructs, items, reliability and validity index (Appendix 1).

RESULTS

Structural relationships in the conceptual model were tested by structural equation modeling (SEM) with AMOS 22. The maximum likelihood fitting function was used to estimate parameters and test proposed hypotheses. Structural equation modeling is often used to assess unobservable latent constructs, with measurement items treated as observed variables of each construct. Correlational results simultaneously within a framework were examined which enabled us to control measurement error. Results are shown in Figure 2.

As predicted, firm’s guanxi orientation is positively related to interpersonal liking ($0.203, p<0.01$) and interaction frequency ($0.220, p<0.01$) between boundary
spanners. For interpersonal liking and interaction frequency, the more frequently boundary spanners interact, the more closer they are with each other (0.483, p<0.001).

Results aforementioned support H1a, H1b and H1c. Support of H1 identified that under the influence of organizational values guanxi orientation, boundary spanners would like to develop guanxi networks and implement guanxi building activities.

As a result, emotional attachment between boundary spanners is deepened. From distributors' internal organization to external trading partner, interpersonal liking between boundary spanners has positive influence on inter-firm support (0.336, p<0.001), proving H2a. However, interaction frequency has no direct effect on distributor's support, therefore H2b is not proved.

Lastly, from intra- to inter-organization, when boundary spanners of distributors provide essential support to the bilateral relationship, perceived conflict will be reduced (-0.319, p<0.001) and willingness to longer-term orientation will be increased (0.538, p<0.001), supporting H3a and H3b. The effect size of inter-firm support on long-term orientation is larger than on conflict. Boundary spanners take on the role of connecting and bridging partner firms, who function as inter-organizational ties.

In summary, guanxi orientation deepens relationship quality between boundary spanners from each side, appropriate enough for providing inter-organizational support, and then improves bilateral firm-level relationship development, decreasing conflict and enhancing long-term orientation. We observed indirect effects between constructs:

1. Guanxi orientation—inter-firm support. When we put the two variables independently, guanxi orientation has no positive effect on inter-firm support. In the whole model, guanxi orientation has no any direct effect on inter-firm support either. When we tried to add a connection link between guanxi orientation and inter-firm support in the whole model, neither of the coefficients of interpersonal liking and interaction frequency had any change. However, indirect effect exists (as 0.072 >0.05, significant evidence for indirect effects), indicating that guanxi orientation as one of the organizational values, impacts the firm-level support through the behaviors of intra-firm boundary spanners.

2. Interaction frequency—inter-firm support. As we found insignificant effect between the two constructs, we test whether interaction frequency has indirect effect on inter-firm support, say through interpersonal liking. Results show that coefficient of the indirect effect is 0.162, significantly higher than 0.05, demonstrating the path “interaction frequency—interpersonal liking—inter-firm support”.

3. Interpersonal liking—long-term orientation (conflict), through independent tests. We found the partial mediating role of inter-firm support. Interpersonal liking impacts long-term orientation and conflict directly (0.397 for long-term orientation, p<0.001; -0.182 for conflict, p<0.001). However, when inter-firm support is added, the direct coefficients tend to decrease (0.304 for long-term orientation, p<0.001; -0.177 for conflict, p<0.05). The connection between interpersonal liking and long-term orientation (conflict) provides an alternative for building inter-organizational relationship.

**DISCUSSION**

This study investigated the organizational role of guanxi orientation played in influencing behaviors of boundary spanners within an organization and its subsequent effect of behaviors on inter-firm relationships in marketing channels.

We present an integrative framework that enables firm leaders to consider whether they should introduce guanxi orientation as organizational culture to achieve long-term relationship with partners. This study explicates that

---

Figure 2. Results of structural equation model (Notes: *** p<0.001 ** p<0.01 *p<0.05; Solid lines indicate significant paths. Dashed lines indicate non-significant paths (n.s.)).
guanxi orientation has cognitively positive effect on boundary spanner relationship building, highlights the role of inter-firm support connecting boundary spanners and channel partners.

The study findings indicate that guanxi orientation contributes to good relationship between boundary spanners in terms of emotional attachment and frequency of daily interactions. Guanxi orientation can serve as a lubricant to reduce evitable frictions and improve the relationship quality. Guanxi orientation, as one of the most important business values in China, works as firm's code of conduct guiding boundary spanners' routine activities. Since guanxi orientation advocates building networks, reciprocity, and repay, boundary spanners need to practice the values in daily works.

Offering lower price, arranging delivery, and flexible payment terms are good examples. This also reminds senior managers should pay attention to the intra-firm role of guanxi orientation when facilitating the implementation of business strategies. It has to emphasize that practicing guanxi does not equal bribery or corruption, because this is ethical and involved with exchanging moral favor or anything else legal (Su et al., 2003; Park and Luo, 2001; Murray and Fu, 2016).

We also investigate the mechanism of linking the micro-macro exchanges, say from intra- to inter-firm marketing activities. Interpersonal liking and interaction frequency are two critical factors for the intra-and inter-firm relationship management, with boundary spanners playing the linking role. From the results aforementioned, friendly environments created between boundary spanners from manufacturer and distributors are conduit and foundations for inter-firm mutual support, which reflects distributors' positive and active attitude to maintain the long-term orientation.

Interpersonal liking, referred as emotional attachment, reflects a kind of inner deep emotion. When this kind of friendship is established, emotionally connected boundary spanners might go out for a drink outside of work, and informational or physical support in need is offered by partner boundary spanners. Therefore, increasing meeting opportunities, such as attending dinners, participating in business activities together, gift-giving, and any other occasions for increasing interaction frequency, is fundamental for nurturing interpersonal liking, then help to gain support from partners (interaction frequency has indirect effect on inter-firm support).

Indirect effects suggest that interpersonal liking is found to mitigate tension and reduce the conflicts between cooperative partners (Lee and Dawes, 2005) through inter-firm support. Inter-firm support partially mediates the relationship between interpersonal guanxi and inter-organizational relationship quality variables (long-term orientation and conflict), working as a bridge connecting individual- and organizational-level relationships in marketing channels.

When boundary spanners are in good relationships, they will enhance harmonious atmosphere, provide access to valuable information or resources, reduce ambiguity in information, foster mutual understanding and decrease conflict (Luo, 2007). Inter-firm mutual support is likely to be considered as a cost-effective relationship building approach which can be carried out practically and easily, whether the support is informational, physical or financial.

**THEORETICAL CONTRIBUTIONS**

The findings emphasize the important role of guanxi orientation in organizational behavior and relationship marketing, especially in connecting intra-and inter-firm relationships. The study demonstrates that interpersonal relationships between distributors’ personnel and manufacturers' salesperson, are influenced by firm's guanxi orientation, and then contribute to reducing frictions and fostering long-term orientation between distributors and manufacturers.

In this study, guanxi orientation is considered as a kind of organizational values, which provide a new direction for future guanxi research. It also identified that boundary spanner’ guanxi building behaviors or activities are not only influenced by Chinese traditional culture, are also by firm’s organizational values. Firms need to recognize the importance of organizational values and make them transmitted to every employee.

Interpersonal factors are included here, where interpersonal liking and interactions between boundary spanners are used to measure the outcomes of their guanxi-building behaviors in an easier way. Researchers can use these two constructs to improve the understanding of interpersonal relationship. Furthermore, this study expands the scope of interpersonal liking construct from intra-firm to inter-firm relationship.

Previous research has identified trust, dependence, and performance as antecedents of long-term orientation. Inter-firm support is found here to contribute to distributor's long-term orientation, enriching the studies on long-term orientation. This is important, because it suggests that offering informational or physical help, providing sales and marketing activities support, which are not so costly as building trust, can serve as useful and practical tools to improve partners' long-term orientation. Inter-firm support also plays a mediating role between interpersonal liking and long-term orientation, building a bridge between interpersonal and inter-organizational relationships.

We combine organizational theory and channel behavior theory together in this study. As inner organizational values have predictive influence on boundary spanning employees, the employees from cooperating channel partners take on the responsibility of connecting bilateral firms and building alliances, and then subsequently impact inter-firm relationship quality, improving the development of longer-term relationships.
MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

The results of this empirical study provide practical implications for supply chain organizations. Firstly, business practitioners or researchers need to realize the importance of organizational values, they need to adopt and internalize appropriate organizational values, and make them manifested in employees’ behaviors.

Here we emphasize guanxi orientation, particularly important in Eastern culture. For foreign channel members, they need to have a comprehensive understanding of guanxi rules and do as the Romans do. Elements like reciprocity, obligations, favors, and mianzi (face), should be taken into consideration when doing business in China.

The study findings verify that interpersonal and inter-firm factors both contribute to distributor-manufacturer relationship quality. Interpersonal liking safeguards support exchanged between firms, which in turn help mitigate tense relationships and facilitate long-term orientation. To better manage bilateral relationships with partners, firms need to educate their boundary-spanning employees to build interpersonal relationship and keep in close touch with counterparts.

Secondly, combinations of intra- and inter-firm management should be taken seriously. Boundary spanners are the key figures connecting two firms and carrying tangible and intangible resources from respective firms. On the conditions that A distributor and B manufacturer have built strong emotional connections, if the boundary spanner from A distributor leaves his or her positions, he or she will take the resources away (clients or confidential information), which will bring in huge transaction cost for both firms.

For A distributor, it has to take time and money to hire a new qualified purchasing manager and take the risk of losing clients. For B manufacturer, more time and energy will be spent on acquainting a new counterpart. Practitioners need to be aware of the serious situation. Instead of building trusted relationships, which always costs a lot, providing necessary support seems to be a more economical way to benefit from manufacturers’ long-term orientation.

LIMITATIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

The study has some limitations. Firstly, the collected data is cross-sectional, which can only disclose the horizontal associations among constructs and limits the dynamic and longitudinal analysis of relationships between manufacturer and distributor. Secondly, we collect data from the cellphone distribution network. It is suggested that future studies need to collect data from more industries to diversify research backgrounds and increase validity. Thirdly, we only take the specific organizational values-guanxi orientation into consideration, limiting the generality of this study. Future studies can incorporate other organizational values into the study model like fairness and commitment, and examine the comprehensive model. Fourthly, we did not examine the manufacturer’s ideas of guanxi orientation, which might cause perception bias in the manufacturer-distributor dyads. Since value congruence in guanxi orientation between manufacturer and distributor is of significance, future research can borrow response surface approach from organizational studies (Edwards and Parry, 1993), to examine the effect of value congruence on inter-organizational relationships.
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Appendix 1. Construct reliability and validity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs, measurement items, reliability, and validity</th>
<th>Factor loadings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Guanxi orientation</strong> (r=0.698, CR=0.832, AVE=0.625); adopted from Su et al. (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO1 Network is important for business success.</td>
<td>0.505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO2 To pay back favor is more urgent than debts</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO3 Business dealings entails reciprocity</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interpersonal liking</strong> (r=0.826, CR=0.884, AVE=0.656); adopted from Nicholson et al. (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II1 Even without our business ties, I would choose to be around the manufacturer’s rep.</td>
<td>0.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II2 I like my manufacturer’s rep as much as other people that I know</td>
<td>0.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II3 I enjoy being around this manufacturer’s rep</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II4 I build personal emotional attachment with this manufacturer’s rep</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interaction frequency</strong> (r=0.819, CR=0.917, AVE=0.846); adopted from Nicholson et al. (2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF1 I frequently come into contact with the manufacturer/distributor’s rep face to face</td>
<td>0.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF2 The manufacturer/distributor’s rep contact me by phone on a frequent basis</td>
<td>0.919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inter-firm support</strong> (r=0.775, CR=0.869, AVE=0.689); adopted from Heide and John (1990)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS1 The distributor responds to the manufacturer’s advertising and promotion proactively</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS2 The distributor always complies with marketing plan predetermined by the manufacturer</td>
<td>0.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS3 The distributor provides necessary information and report to the manufacturer correctly and timely</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conflict</strong> (r=0.854, CR=0.898, AVE=0.688); adopted from Kumar et al. (1995)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC1 The manufacturer and our firm have major disagreements on keeping promise</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC2 The manufacturer and our firm have major disagreements on pricing decision</td>
<td>0.807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC3 The manufacturer and our firm have major disagreements on allocating promotion resource</td>
<td>0.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC4 The manufacturer and our firm have major disagreements on risking sharing</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long-term orientation</strong> (r=0.839, CR=0.885, AVE=0.608); adopted from Genesan (1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI1 Maintaining a long-term relationship with the manufacturer/distributor is important to us</td>
<td>0.623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI2 We focus on long-term goals in this relationship</td>
<td>0.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI3 We decide to build long-term relationship with the manufacturer/distributor from the beginning</td>
<td>0.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI4 We expect to cooperate with the manufacturer/distributor in the long run</td>
<td>0.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI5 The manufacturer and distributor should develop into long-term partners in this industry</td>
<td>0.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dependence on partner</strong> (r=0.668, CR=0.669, AVE=0.337); adopted from Jap and Ganesan (2000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP1 If the relationship with our company is terminated, our local sales revenues will drop a lot</td>
<td>0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP2 If the relationship with our company is terminated, it is difficult for our company to find an alternative</td>
<td>0.515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP3 It is difficult for our company to replace the role the partner plays in the relationship</td>
<td>0.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP4 Our company needs maintain the relationship with the partner</td>
<td>0.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partner dependence</strong> (r=0.661, CR=0.665, AVE=0.334); adopted from Jap and Ganesan (2000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD1 If the relationship with our company is terminated, the sales revenues of the partner will drop a lot</td>
<td>0.515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD2 The partner needs our support in improving management level and stabilize personnel</td>
<td>0.522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD3 The partner need our support in assets and sales promotion</td>
<td>0.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD4 The partner is quite dependent on us</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 1. Cont’d.

- Relationship time (Relation T)
- How long have you been cooperating with the manufacturer/distributor? _______ year(s) N.A
- Model fit index
  $\chi^2/df=1.461$, RMSEA=0.037, CFI=0.970, IFI=0.971, TLI=0.964

Note: N.A. = not applicable.