academicJournals

Vol. 7(47), pp. 5288-5299, 28 November, 2013 DOI: 10.5897/AJMR2013.5822 ISSN 1996-0808 ©2013 Academic Journals http://www.academicjournals.org/AJMR

Full Length Research Paper

Isolation and identification of bacteria from *Xylosandrus germanus* (Blandford) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae)

Ahmet KATI^{1,2} and Hatice KATI¹*

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Giresun University, 28049, Giresun, Turkey. ²Department of Genetic and Bioengineering, Faculty of Engineering and Architectural, Yeditepe University, Istanbul, Turkey.

Accepted 6 November, 2013

Biological control studies have been increasingly performed against agricultural and forest pests. To develop a biological control agent, bacteria was isolated from harmful pests and identified using various tests. *Xylosandrus germanus* (Blandford, 1894) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) is a harmful pest in the hazelnut orchards and other fruit-tree cultures. In this study, we identified 16 bacteria isolates from healthy *X. germanus* collected in hazelnut orchards in Turkey. Isolates were characterized based on morphological, physiological and biochemical properties using the VITEK 2 Identification System and the fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) analysis. In addition, 16S rRNA gene sequencing of bacterial isolates was performed. Associated bacteria were identified as *Acinetobacter psychrotolerans* (2 strains), *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Pseudomonas fluorescens* (two strains), *Staphylococcus sciuri, Staphylococcus warneri, Pantoea agglomerans* (two strains), *Staphylococcus hominis* subsp. *hominis, Erwinia billingiae* (two strains), *Brevibacterium linens, Advenella* sp., *Pantoea cedenensis* and *Brevibacterium permense*. Several species of these bacteria are used in biological control as an antifungal and insecticidal against agricultural pest. In the future, their biological control properties will be investigated. This is the first study on the bacterial community of *X. germanus*.

Key words: *Xylosandrus germanus*, hazelnut, 16S rRNA, fatty acid methyl esters (FAME), VITEK 2, bacterial symbionts, mutualism, biological control.

INTRODUCTION

The main purpose of most agricultural studies is to increase the yield of agricultural crops. Although Turkey is first among all hazelnut producing countries (KIIıç 1994), the average yield of hazelnut per unit field is very low. Approximately 150 insect species have been detected in hazelnut orchards. However, only 10-15 of these species result in economic losses (Isık et al., 1987). Ambrosia beetles are an important pest in hazelnuts (Ak et al., 2005a, b, c).

Chemicals used against pest insects have harmful effects on the environment. Intensive use of chemicals leads to resistance in insects, and is also harmful to the environment. Biological pest control is thought to be an alternative method. Biological control provides a safety approach that is less toxic to the environment, credit to its capability of causing disease in insects, it does not harm other animals or plants. Using natural enemies against pest organism has developed the new environmentally friendly methods and microbial pest control strategies have been preferred instead of chemical pesticides worldwide.

Bacteriological studies have been made with the aim of developing biological control agents, especially against other hazelnut pest insects, such as the ambrosia beetles Xyleborus dispar (Sezen et al., 2007, 2008; Kati et al., 2007). Another closely related beetle, the black stem borer Xvlosandrus germanus (Blandford 1894) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) is also an important hazelnut pest, but its bacterial community is currently unknown. These invasive beetles are native to Asia and were first detected the US in 1932 and introduced to Europe in the 1950's (Solomon, 1995; Lawrence, 2006). It is polyphagous and attacks a wide variety of host trees (Frank and Sadof, 2011). Bacteria are abundant and diverse on the body surface and within galleries of ambrosia and bark beetles (Hulcr et al., 2012). Here, we aimed to identify the bacterial community of X. germanus for the first time.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of insects and isolation of bacteria

In this study, branches with galleries creating adults of X. germanus in the bark were collected from the hazelnut orchards in Giresun, Turkey, in June and July 2008 and taken to the laboratory. Insects were individually put into sterilized tubes to prevent possible contamination. They were identified by Dr. Kibar Ak (Black Sea Agricultural Research Institute, Samsun, Turkey). Collected adults were surface sterilized with 70% ethanol. The adults were homogenized in a Nutrient broth (NB; containing per liter: 5 g peptone from meat; 3 g meat extract) by using a glass tissue grinder. Then, samples were ten-fold diluted. 100 µl of the suspensions were plated on a Nutrient agar (NA; containing per liter: 5 g peptone from meat; 3 g meat extract; 12 g agar-agar). Plates were incubated at 30°C for 24 or 48 h. Bacteria were selected based on their colours and colony morphologies. Then, pure cultures were prepared and these cultures were identified using various assays.

Phenotypical, physiological, biochemical properties and fatty acid methyl ester analysis of the isolated bacteria

Colony morphologies of the isolates were observed on NA by direct and stereomicroscopic observations of single colonies. Bacteria morphology and motility were examined by light microscopy of native preparations. Gram staining was performed (Claus, 1992). Endospores were observed in light microscopy using negative staining (Elcin, 1995). Temperature, NaCl and pH tolerance values were determined in NB. The VITEK 2 analysis system was used to detect biochemical properties. Fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) analysis of isolates was performed as suggested by Sasser (1990) using the Microbial Identification System (Hewlett-Packard model 5898A, Palo Alto, CA) and using the Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) database of the Microbial Identification System software package (MIDI; Microbial ID, Inc., Newark, DE).

Molecular characterization

DNA isolation was carried out according to the procedure of Sambrook et al. (1989). The 16S rRNA gene was amplified using primers designed to anneal to conserved positions. In polymerase chain reaction (PCR), the forward primer, UNI16S-L (5'-ATTCTAGAGTTTGATCATGGCTTCA-3'), and the reverse primer, UNI16S-R (5'-ATGGTACCGTGTGACGGGCGGTGTTGTA-3')

(Brosius et al., 1978) were used. The total 50 μ I PCR mixture included the template DNA (10 ng), each primer (50 ng), 25 mM of each deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate (0.5 μ I), 10X PCR buffer (10 μ I), GoTaq polymerase (0.2 U) and distilled water.

The PCR was conducted using the following conditions: 5 min at 95°C for initial denaturation, followed by 30 amplification cycles (20 s at 95°C, 45 s at 55°C 1 min at 72°C) and 7 min at 72°C for final primer extension. All PCR products were analysed by 1.3% agarose gel electrophoresis. The resulting gene sequences (length approximately 1,400 bp) were cloned into a pGEM-T easy cloning vector. Sequencing of the cloned products was performed at Macrogen Inc. (Wageningen, Holland). These sequences comparisons were blasted against the GenBank database (Pearson, 1990; Altschul et al., 1990, 1997).

G±C analysis of Xg5 isolate

Analysis of the G \pm C content of the bacterial isolate Xg5 was performed using the DSMZ Identification Service. Its G \pm C content was determined by HPLC (Cashion et al., 1977; Tamaoka et al., 1984; Mesbah et al., 1989). The DNA was purified on hydroxyapatit according to the procedure of Cashion et al. (1977).

RESULTS

In this study, 16 bacterial isolates from *X. germanus* were identified using phenotypic, biochemical, physiological, FAME and molecular techniques. According to morphological results, five isolates were Gram-positive, the others were Gram-negative and all isolates were nonsporulating, eight isolates were motile and eight were non-motile. Moreover, the colony colours of two isolates were yellow, that of the other two isolates were orange and the others produced a creamy pigment. Four isolates had the shape of coccobacilli; five isolates were bacilli; seven isolates were cocci (Table 1).

According to pH test results, none of the isolates grow at pH 3 media; and six isolates grow at pH 5. All isolates grew at pH 7. According to heat tolerance test results, all isolates grew at 25 and 30°C, and some isolates grew at 37 and 40°C. According to NaCl tolerance test results, six isolates grow at 2% NaCl media; two isolates grow weakly; the others did not grow (Table 2). Biochemical characteristics of isolates were examined using the VITEK 2 system (Table 3 and 4). In order to identify FAME profiles of the isolates, MIS was used. In this study, according to FAME profiles, all isolates had 9-20 carbons and 46 different fatty acids were detected. Moreover, all the isolates had a C16:0 saturated fatty acid. The FAME profiles of isolates are listed in Table 5. Molecular studies of isolates were performed using 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis. The isolates were identified as Acinetobacter psychrotolerans (Xg1 and Stenotrophomonas maltophilia Xg2), (Xg3), Pseudomonas fluorescens (Xg4 and Xg9), Staphylococcus sciuri (Xg5), Staphylococcus warneri (Xg6), Pantoea agglomerans (Xa7 and Xg15), Staphylococcus hominis subsp. hominis (Xg8), Erwinia

Isolate ID	Colour of colonies	Shape of colonies	Shape of bacteria	Gram stain	Motility
Xg1	Cream	Round	Coccobacili	-	-
Xg2	Cream	Round	Coccobacili	-	-
Xg3	Cream	Round	Bacili	-	+
Xg4	Cream	Wavy round	Bacili	-	+
Xg5	Cream	Round	Cocci	+	-
Xg6	Cream	Round	Cocci	+	-
Xg7	Yellow	Round	Cocci	-	+
Xg8	Cream	Round	Cocci	+	-
Xg9	Cream	Round	Bacili	-	+
Xg10	Translucent	Wavy round	Cocci	-	+
Xg11	Translucent	Round	Cocci	-	+
Xg12	Yellow-Orange	Round	Bacili	+	-
Xg13	Cream	Round	Coccobacili	-	+
Xg14	Cream	Round	Coccobacili	-	-
Xg15	Yellow	Round	Cocci	-	+
Xg16	Orange	Round	Bacili	+	-

Table 1. Morphological characteristics of bacterial isolates of Xylosandrus germanus.

Table 2. Physiological characteristics of bacterial isolates of X. germanus.

Demonstern	Isolate ID															
Parameter	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Growth at pH 3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Growth at pH 5	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Growth at pH 7	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth at pH 9	-	+	+	-	+	W	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	W
Growth at pH 10	-	-	+	-	+	-	W	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	W
Control (NB)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth in NB +2% NaCl	W	-	+	-	W	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+
Growth in NB +3% NaCl	-	-	-	-	W	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+
Growth in NB +4% NaCl	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Growth in NB +5% NaCl	-	-	-	-	-	W	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Growth in NB +7% NaCl	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
Growth in NB +10% NaCl	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	W	-	-	-	+
Growth in NB +12% NaCl	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Growth at 25°C	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth at 30°C	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Growth at 37°C	-	-	-	-	+	+	W	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+
Growth at 40°C	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	+

+: Growth, -: no growth, W: weak growth.

billingiae (Xg10 and Xg11), *Brevibacterium linens* (Xg12), *Advenella* sp. (Xg13), *Pantoea cedenensis* (Xg14) and *Brevibacterium permense* (Xg16) (Table 6).

DISCUSSION

In order to develop effective biological control agents, it is

necessary to identify the bacterial community of insect pests. For this purpose, we aimed to identify the bacterial community of the hazelnut pest *X. germanus*. In this study, 16 bacteria isolated from *X. germanus* were identified.

According to FAME analysis and VITEK 2 results, Xg1 and Xg2 isolates were determined as *Acinetobacter*

Parameter 1 2 3 4 7 9 10 11 13 14 15 Ala-Phe-Pro-arilamidaz - - + -
Ala-Phe-Pro-arilamidaz - - + -
Adonitol -<
L-Pyrrlydonyl- arilamidaz - - +<
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Beta-galactosidase+ H_2S production<
H_2S production </td
Beta-N-acetyl-glucosaminidase - - (+) -
Glutamyl arilamidaz pNA -
D-Glucose - - +
Gamma-glutamyl-transferase - + - - - + - - + - - + - - + - + - + - + - +
Fermentation/glucose - - - + - - - +
Beta-glucosidase - - + - +
D-Maltose -
D-Mannitol - - - +
D-Mannose - - - +
Beta-Xylosidase -
Beta-Alanine arilamidaz pNA -
L-proline arilamidaz - + + - + - + - + -
L-prome aniamida2 - - + + - + -
Lipase + + + -
Palatinose -
Tyrosine Arilamidaz (-) - + + + + - Urease - - - - - - - D-Sorbitol - - - - - - -
Urease
D-Sorbitol
Saccharose/sucrose + +
D-Tagatose
D-Trehalose + - + + - + +
Citrate (sodium) + + + + + - + +
Malonate + + + +
5-Keto-D-gluconate
L-Lactate alkalinisation + + + + + + + +
Alpha-Glucosidase
Succinate alkalinisation + + + + + + + -
Beta-N-Acetyl-galactosaminidase
Alpha-galactosidase
Phosphatase + - + - + (+)
Glycine arilamidaz + - +
Ornithine decarboxylase
Lysine decarboxylase
L-Histidine assimilation + +
Courmarate + - + + -
Beta-glucoronidase
O/129Resistance (comp vibrio) + + + -
Glu-Glv-Ard-Arilamidaz
I-Malate assimilation
L-Lactate assimilation

Table 3. Biochemical characteristics of Gram negative bacterial isolates (tested with VITEK 2).

+: Growth, -: no growth, (+):weak growth, (-):almost no growth.

_	Isolate ID								
Parameter	5	6	8	12	16				
D-Amygdalin	+	-	-	-	-				
Phosphatidylinositol phospholipase C	-	-	-	-	-				
D-Xylose	+	-	-	-	-				
Arginine dihydrolase 1	+	+	+	+	-				
Beta-galactosidase	-	-	+	-	-				
Alpha-glucosidase	-	-	+	-	-				
Ala-Phe-Pro arilamidaz	-	-	-	-	-				
Cyclodextrin	-	-	-	-	-				
L-Aspartate arilamidaz	-	-	-	-	-				
Beta galactopyranosidase	-	-	-	-	-				
Alpha-mannosidase	-	-	-	-	-				
Phosphatase	-	-	-	-	-				
Leucine arilamidaz	-	-	-	-	-				
L-Proline arilamidaz	-	-	-	+	+				
Beta glucuronidase	+	-	-	-	-				
Alpha-galactosidase	-	-	-	-	-				
L-Pyrrolydonyl-arilamidaz	-	+	-	-	-				
Beta-glucuronidase	+	+	-	-	-				
Alanine arilamidaz	-	-	-	+	+				
Tyrosine arilamidaz	-	-	-	-	-				
D-Sorbitol	-	-	-	-	-				
Urease	-	+	+	-	-				
Polymixin B resistance	-	-	-	-	-				
D-Galactose	-	-	+	-	-				
D-Ribose	+	+	-	-	-				
L-Lactate alkalinization	+	-	-	+	+				
Lactose	+	-	-	-	-				
N-Acetyl-D-glucosamine	-	-	-	-	-				
D-Maltose	+	+	+	-	-				
Bacitracin resistance	+	-	-	-	-				
Novobiocin resistance	+	-	-	-	-				
Growth in 6.5% NaCl	+	+	+	-	-				
D-Mannitol	+	-	-	-	-				
D-Mannose	+	-	+	-	-				
Methyl-B-D-glucopyranoside	+	-	-	-	-				
Pullulan	-	-	-	-	-				
D-Raffinose	-	-	-	-	-				
O/129 Resistance (comp. Vibrio.)	-	+	+	-	-				
Salicin	+	-	-	-	-				
Saccharose/sucrose	+	+	+	-	-				
D-Trehalose	+	+	+	-	-				
Arginine dihydrolase 2	-	-	-	+	-				
Optochin resistance	+	+	+	-	-				

Table 4. Biochemical characteristics of Gram positive bacterial isolates (tested with VITEK 2).

+: Growth, - : no growth.

haemolyticus. Jung-Sook et al. (2009) reported the presence of the following major fatty acid components in *Acinetobacter* species: 16:0, $18:1\omega$ 9c and summed fea-

ture 3. These results were consistent with ours. According to 16S rRNA gene sequencing, isolates resembled *Acinetobacter psychrotolerans* by 99%. *Acinetobacter*
 Table 5. FAME profiles of bacterial isolates.

								Isola	te ID							
Fatty acid*	Xg1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Saturated																
09:00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.16	-
10:00	1.57	1.67	0.33	0.13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12:00	3.27	3.77	-	2.09	-	-	3.77	-	2.52	3.89	4.14	-	3	4.18	4.08	-
14:00	0.31	0.38	1.99	0.57	0.65	-	5.37	-	0.59	5.45	6.26	-	0.81	5.75	5.52	-
15:00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16:00	19.25	20.09	6.95	36.83	2.17	1.59	30.96	0.67	36.37	33.55	35.76	0.62	29.24	37.03	30.45	0.53
17:00	1.81	1.95	0.15	0.14	-	-	0.49	-	-	-	-	-	0.75	1.08	-	-
18:00	1.95	1.77	-	1.32	0.75	8.57	0.53	5.48	1.52	-	0.55	-	0.97	0.6	-	-
19:00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20:00	-	-	-	-	3.98	9.61	-	9.37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unsaturated																
15:1 iso F	-	-	1.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16:1 ω9c	-	-	2.05	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16:1 ω11c	-	-	-	-	0.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17·1 ω 8c	1 46	19	0 44	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17:1 iso ω10c	-	-	-	-	0.37	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18:1 ω 9c	41.76	39.4	0.31	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Branched																
	_	_	3 27	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
11:0 iso	_	-	0.27	_	_		_	_	_		_			_	_	_
13:0 iso	_	_	0.22	_	1 02		_	_	_		_			_		_
13:0 anteiso	_	_	0.22	_	1.02	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0 32
14:0 iso	_	_	2.26	_	0.84	0.26	_	0.01	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	0.32
15:0 iso	_	_	26 58	_	12 11	2.05	_	6 30	_	_	_	1 71	_	_	_	3.63
15:0 antesio	_	_	26.00	_	22.17	50 27	_	39 56	_	_	_	5/ 2/	_	_	_	63.00
16:0 iso	_	_	1 59	_	1 1/	-	_	053	_	_	_	Λ ΛΛ	_	_	_	3 37
17:0 iso	0 35	-	2 36	_	14 31	2 71	-	4 36	-	_	_	0.84	_	-	_	0.5
17:0 antesio	-	-	0.37	_	6 96	18.06	-	7 99	-	_	_	35 14	_	-	_	27 41
18:0 iso	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	1 25	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	-
19:0 iso	-	-	-	0.35	1 49	1.53	-	10.5	0.37	-	-	-	-	0.35	_	_
19:0 antesio	-	-	-	-	1.10	5.35	-	11.35	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_
20:0 iso	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.65	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hydroxy																
	_	_	0.23	3 03	_	_	_	_	27	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
11:0 iso 30H	_	_	1 57	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
11:0 30H	-	-	0.15	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
12:0 20H	4 02	3 74	-	47	_	-	-	-	4 17	_	_	_	_	-	_	_
12:0 2011	7.02	-	0.6		_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
12:0 30 3011	7.00	7 1/	2.05	1 35	_	_	_	_	1 11	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
13:0 20H	_	-	1 /6		_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_
13:0 iso 30H	_	_	2 11	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
14·0 20H	-	-	<u>د.</u> اا	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16:0 3OH	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.9	-	-	-
	_	_	0 00	22 55	_	_	11 0/	_	16 8/	8 67	12 25	_	8 81	21 80	6 08	_
11.0 09010		-	0.00	22.00	-	-	11.34	-	10.04	0.07	12.20	-	0.04	21.03	0.00	-

Table \$	5. Contd
----------	----------

19:0 cyclo ω8c	-	-	-	2.38	-	-	0.43	-	1.49	-	-	-	1.43	4.95	-	-
Summed Feature 2																
12:0 ALDE?																
16.1: iso I	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.43	-	-	10.48	8.73	-	9.56	9.43	9.83	-
14:0 3 OH																
Unknown 10.928																
Summed Feature 3																
16:1ω7c/16:1	16.27	17.59	6.45	12.96	-	-	21.22	-	18.41	26.25	22.89	-	22.92	6.48	25.73	-
Summed Feature 8 18:1 ω7/6c	0.58	0.6	0.41	8.6	-	-	15.86	-	10.59	11.71	9.42	-	20.58	8.25	16.59	-
Summed Feature 9 17:1 iso ω9c	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

*:9:0 pelargonic acid, 10:0 capric acid, 12:0 lauric acid, 14:0 myristic acid, 15:0 pentadecylic acid, 16:0 palmitic acid, 17:0 margaric acid, 18:0 stearic acid, 20:0 arachidic acid, 15:1pentadecenoic acid, 16:1 palmitoleic acid, 17:1 heptadecenoic acid, 18:1cis oleic acid.

Table 6.	GenBank	accession	numbers of	16S	rRNA	genes	of	bacteria from	Χ.	germanus
						~				

Isolate ID	Most likely identical taxonomic species	Accesion number
Xg1	Acinetobacter psychrotolerans	KF740570
Xg2	Acinetobacter psychrotolerans	KF740571
Xg3	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	KF740572
Xg4	Pseudomonas fluorescens	KF740573
Xg5	Staphylococcus sciuri	KF740574
Xg6	Staphylococcus warneri	KF740575
Xg7	Pantoea agglomerans	KF740576
Xg8	Staphylococcus hominis subsp. hominis	KF740577
Xg9	Pseudomonas fluorescens	KF740578
Xg10	Erwinia billingiae	KF740579
Xg11	Erwinia billingiae	KF740580
Xg12	Brevibacterium linens	KF740581
Xg13	Advenella sp.	KF740582
Xg14	Pantoea cedenensis	KF740583
Xg15	Pantoea agglomerans	KF740584
Xg16	Brevibacterium permense	KF740585

described by Yamahira et al. (2008) had similar morphological characteristics with our Xg1 and Xg2 isolates. The genus *Acinetobacter* is widely distributed in nature; they were isolated from environmental sources such as soil, cotton, water, food and insect. In addition, *Acinetobacter* sp. were isolated from clinical specimens such as blood, feces (Brisou and Prévot, 1954; Nishimura et al., 1988; Carr et al., 2003; Baumann, 1968; Bifulco et al., 1989; Geiger et al., 2011).

Xg3 isolate was identified as *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* according to FAME analysis, VITEK 2 and 16S rRNA sequencing. The FAME profiles are characterized by the occurrence of iso15:0, anteiso15:0,

16:1, and 16:0 as dominant components. These profiles were previously reported for *Stenotrophomonas* species (Wolf et al., 2002; Romanenko et al., 2008). *S. maltophilia* strains have been isolated from a variety of natural sources (Berg et al., 1996, 1999) and insects (Indiragandhi et al., 2007). Some members of these species are known as human pathogens (Drancourt et al., 1997; Denton and Kerr, 1998; Coenye et al., 2004). In addition, *S. maltophilia* strains are used in biological control as an antifungal agent for crops diseases (Berg et al., 1996; Jakobi et al., 1996; Minkwitz and Berg, 2001).

Xg4 and Xg9 isolates showed a low similarity with *Pseudomonas agarici* (12.9 and 35.7%, respectively) in

the FAME analyses, but closely resembled Pseudomonas fluorescens (99 and 95%, respectively) in the VITEK 2 analyses. Consistent with our results, Veys et al. (1989) reported the presence of three hydroxy acids (3-OH C10: 2-OH C12:0 and 3-OH C12) is characteristic of the fluorescent Pseudomonas species (P. aeruginosa, P. putida and P. fluorescens) and Camara et al. (2007) demonstrated P. fluorescens fatty-acid profiles contain 16:0 and 17:0 cyclo fatt acids. Xg4 and Xg9 isolates resembled P. fluorescens by 99%, according to 16S rRNA sequencing. Ribotyping, a method for classifying pseudomonads was used (Behrendt et al., 2003; Behrendt et al., 2007).

Based on FAME analyses, Xg5 and Xg6 isolates were identified as *Staphylococcus* sp. The Xg5 isolate was identified as *S. sciuri*, according to FAME analysis and VITEK 2 results. In previous studies, members of the genus *Staphylococcus* displayed large amounts of the fatty acids anteiso C15:0, C18:0, C20:0 and smaller but significant amounts of the fatty acids iso C15:0, C16:0, iso C17:0 ve anteiso C17:0 fatty acids (Kotilainen et al., 1990; Wieser and Busse, 2000). Our results of the 16S rRNA sequencing identified Xg5 as one of the *S. sciuri* subspecies: either *S. sciuri* subsp. *carnaticus*, *S. sciuri* subsp. *rodentium* or *S. sciuri* subsp. *sciuri* (Table 7). Thus, G±C analysis of this isolate was performed by DSMZ. We found a G±C content of 32.5% that suggested a new *S. sciuri* subspecies.

The Xg6 isolate is similar to *S. cohnii* subsp. *cohnii* based on FAME analyses. Nevertheless, according to VITEK 2 and 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis results, this isolate resembles *Staphylococcus warneri* (Table 7). Strains of *S. warneri* have been shown to grow at 40°C and are susceptiple to novobiocin (Kloos and Schleifer, 1975). These results are consistent with ours. RNA gene restriction polymorphism has been used to differentiate *S. pasteuri* from *S. wameri* (Chesneau et al., 1993). *Staphylococcus pasteuri* should be yellow in VITEK 2 tests, whereas Xg6 appeared to be creamy in our analysis. Therefore, the Xg6 isolate was identified as *S. warneri*.

Xg7 and Xg15 isolates were identified as *Pantoea* agglomerans according to VITEK 2. According to FAME analyses results, the Xg7 isolate is similar to *P.* agglomerans and the Xg15 isolate is similar to *Serratia* odorifera. 16S rRNA gene sequencing identified the Xg15 isolate as *Serratia* sp. and Xg7 as *P. agglomerans* (99%). These results were also supported by VITEK 2 analyses.

Xg8 isolate was identified as *Staphylococcus hominis* subsp. *hominis* according to FAME analysis and VITEK 2. However, 16S rRNA sequencing indicated that isolate is similar to *S. hominis* subsp. *novobiosepticus*. Kloos et al. (1998) reported *S. hominis* subsp. *novobiosepticus* is resistant to novobiocin. We found that Xg8 is susceptible to novobiocin in VITEK 2 results and therefore we concluded that Xg8 is *S. hominis* subsp. *hominis* (Table 4).

The Xg10 and Xg11 isolates were identified as *Erwinia billingiae*. The Xg10 isolate resembled *E. rhapontici* and *Sphingomonas paucimobilis,* respectively, according to FAME and VITEK 2 analyses. Geider et al. (2006) showed that C16:0 and C16:1 ω 7c fatty acids profiles dominated in *Erwinia* species. 16S rRNA gene sequencing has showed that this isolate is either *Erwinia billingiae* (99%) or *E. rhapontici* (98%). Mergaert et al. (1999) reported that *E. rhapontici* produces pink pigment but our Xg10 isolate produced creamy pigment. 16S rRNA gene sequencing showed that the Xg11 isolate is *E. billingiae*.

Brevibacterium sp. has higher anteiso and iso fatty acid content than other fatty acid content (Collins et al., 1983; Collins, 1992). According to FAME analysis, Xg12 and Xg16 isolates were identified as *Brevibacterim casei* and *Brevibacterium epidermidis/iodinum*, respectively. The major fatty acids of Brevibacterium genus have been described to be anteiso C:17 and anteiso C:15 (Collins et al., 1980).

These isolates resemble Dermacoccus nishinomiyaensis. However, Stackebrandt et al. (1995) reported that anteiso-C15:0 was not found in Dermacoccus nishinomiyaensis. In previous studies, colony coloures of Brevibacterium linens, Brevibacterium permense, Brevibacterium epidermidis, Brevibacterium iodinum and B. casei were yellow-orange, orange, pale yellow, greyish and whitish grey, respectively (Bhadra et al., 2008; Gavrish et al., 2004; Collins et al., 1983). In our study, Xg12 and Xg16 isolates were yellow-orange to orange, respectively.

16S rRNA sequencing showed that the isolates belong to the Brevibacteria. Morhopological studies showed that Xg12 and Xg16 isolates are *B. linens*, *B. permense*, respectively. *Brevibacterium* species have been isolated from insect (Katı et al., 2010).

The Xg13 isolate was highly similar to Advenella kashmirensis and Advenella incenata (98%) using 16S rRNA sequencing. 16:0 and 18:1 ω 7c fatty acids dominate in Advenalla sp. (Coenye et al., 2005). This is in accordance with our study.

The Xg14 isolate resembles *Pseudomonas luteola* (95%) according to FAME analysis and VITEK 2 results. It resembles *Pantoae cedenensis* (99%) according 16S rRNA sequencing. Fatty acids contents of this isolate were very similar to Mergaert et al. (1993). *Pseudomonas luteola* is yellow pigment (Holmes et al., 1987), but *Pantoae cedenensis* is creamy (Sezen et al., 2008), like Xg4 in our study.

As a result, bacteria isolated from *X. germanus* were identified in this study. In future, biological control properties of these bacteria will be investigated. In previous studies, several species of *Acinetobacter*, *Stenotrophomonas*, *Pantoea*, *Brevibacterium* and *Pseudomonas* bacteria identified in this study exhibited antifungal or insecticidal activities (Selvakumara et al., 2011; Trotel-Aziz et al., 2008; Jankiewicz et al., 2012).

Isolate ID	FAME profile	Similarity (%)	VITEK 2 analysis	Similarity (%)	16S rRNA results	Closest match GenBank accession no.	Similarity (%)
Xg1	Acinetobacter haemolyticus	84.6	Acinetobacter haemolyticus	91	Acinetobacter psychrotolerans	AB207814	99
Xg2	Acinetobacter haemolyticus	76.5	Acinetobacter haemolyticus	91	Acinetobacter psychrotolerans	AB207814	99
Xg3	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	51.1	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	99	Stenotrophomonas maltophilia strain ISSDS-429	EF620448	99
Xg4	Pseudomonas agarici	12.9	Pseudomonas fluorescens	99	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> strain ESR94	EF602564	99
	Staphylococcus schleiferi	54.3	Staphylococcus sciuri	97	Staphylococcus sciuri subsp. carnaticus	AB233331	99
Xg5	Staphylococcus sciuri	43.3			Staphylococcus sciuri subsp. rodentium	AB233332	99
					<i>Staphylococcus sciuri</i> subsp. <i>sciuri</i> strain DSM 20345	NR_025520	99
Xg6	Staphylococcus cohnii subsp. cohnii	23.8	Staphylococcus warneri	99	<i>Staphylococcus warneri</i> strain E21	GU397393	99
X 7		70.0		22	<i>Staphylococcus pasteuri</i> strain SSL11	EU373323	99
Xg7	Raouitella terrigena	76.2	Pantoea aggiomerans	98	<i>Pantoea agglomerans</i> strain PGHL1	EF050808	99
	<i>Pantoea agglomerans</i> GC subgroup B (Enterobacter)	75.7			Pantoea ananatis strain SAD2-6	HQ236020	99
Xg8	Staphylococcus hominis subsp. hominis	66.6	Staphylococcus hominis subsp. hominis	94	Staphylococcus hominis subsp. novobiosepticus strain: GTC 1228	AB233326	99
Xg9	Pseudomonas agarici	35.7	Pseudomonas fluorescens	95	<i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> strain CN078	EU364534	99
Xg10	Erwinia rhapontici	71.2	Sphingomonas paucimobilis	89	<i>Erwinia billingiae</i> strain Eb661	AM055711	99
					<i>Erwinia rhapontici</i> strain M52 <i>Erwinia persicinus</i> strain 52	HM008951 AM184098	98 98
Xg11	Erwinia amylovora	57.7	Sphingomonas paucimobilis	89	<i>Erwinia billingiae</i> strain Eb661	FP236843	99

Table 7. Identity of isolates according to VITEK 2, FAME profiles and 16S rRNA sequencing.

Table 7. Contd.

Xg12	Brevibacterium casei	80.5	Dermacoccus nishinomiyaensis/Kytococcus sedentarius	93	<i>Brevibacterium aureum</i> strain Enb17	AY299093	99
					<i>Brevibacterium linens</i> strain VKM Ac-2119	AY243345	99
					<i>Brevibacterium iodinum</i> strain ATCC 15728	FJ652620	98
					<i>Brevibacterium epidermidis</i> strain ZJB-07021	EU046495	98
					<i>Brevibacterium permense</i> strain VKM Ac-2280	NR_025732	98
Xg13	Pantoea agglomerans GC subgroup C (Enterobacter)	61.7	Acinetobacter lwoffi	93	<i>Advenella kashmirensi</i> s strain 445A	AJ864471	98
0	0 1 ()				Advenella incenata	AM944735	98
Xg14	Ewingella americana	76.5	Pseudomonas luteola	95	<i>Pantoea cedenensi</i> s strain 16- CDF	FJ811867	99
Xg15	Serratia odorifera	75.9	Pantoea agglomerans	95	<i>Pantoea agglomerans</i> strain EQH21	FJ999950	99
					Pantoea ananatis strain SAD2-6	HQ236020	98
Xg16	Brevibacterium epidermidis/iodinum	81.6	Dermacoccus nishinomiyaensis/ Kytococcus sedentarius	97	<i>Brevibacterium epidermidi</i> s strain SW34	GU576981	99
					Brevibacterium linens	AB211980	98
					<i>Brevibacterium aureum</i> strain Enb15	AY299092	99
					<i>Brevibacterium iodinum</i> strain DSM 2062	NR_026241	98
					<i>Brevibacterium permense</i> strain VKM Ac 2280	NR_025732	98

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK-109T568). We thank Dr. Fikrettin ŞAHİN and Ismail Demir for FAME analyses, Canan Turker for VITEK 2 analyses and Dr. Kibar Ak for identification of insect.

REFERENCES

- Ak K, Uysal M, Tuncer C (2005a). Bark Beetle (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) species which are harmful in hazelnut orchards, their short biology and densities in Giresun, Ordu and Samsun provinces of Turkey. J. Agric. Faculty. Ondokuz Mayıs Univ. 20:37-44.
- Ak K, Uysal M, Tuncer C (2005b). The injury level of Bark Beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) in hazelnut orchards in Giresun, Ordu and Samsun provinces of Turkey. J. Agricult.

Faculty. Gaziosmanpaşa Univ. 22:9-14.

- Ak K, Uysal M, Tuncer C, Akyol H (2005c). Bark beetle species (Col.: Scolytidae) harmful on hazelnut In Middle and East Black Sea Region of Turkey and their control strategies. J. Agricult. Faculty, Selcuk Üniversity. 19:37-39.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215:403-410.
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Scha⁻ffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic

Acids Res. 25:3389-3402.

- Baumann P (1968). Isolation of *Acinetobacter* from soil and water. J. Bacteriol. 96:39-42.
- Behrendt U, Ulrich A, Schumann P (2003). Fluorescent pseudomonads associated with the phyllosphere of grasses; *Pseudomonas trivialis* sp. nov., *Pseudomonas poae* sp. nov. and *Pseudomonas congelans* sp. nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 53:1461-1469.
- Behrendt U, Ulrich A, Schumann P, Meyer JM, Sproer C (2007). *Pseudomonas lurida* sp. nov., a fluorescent species associated with the phyllosphere of grasses. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Micr. 57:979-985.
- Berg G, Marten P, Ballin G (1996). Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in the rhizosphere of oilseed rape-occurrence, characterization and interaction with phytopathogenic fungi. Microbiol. Res. 151:19-27.
- Berg G, Roskot N, Smalla K (1999). Genotypic and phenotypic relationships between clinical and environmental isolates of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37:3594-3600.
- Bhadra B, Raghukumar C, Pindi PK, Shivaji S (2008). *Brevibacterium* oceani sp. nov., isolated from deep-sea sediment of the Chagos Trench, Indian Ocean. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Micr. 58:57-60.
- Bifulco J, Shirey J, Bissonnette G (1989). Detection of *Acinetobacter* sp. in rural drinking water supplies. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55:2214-2219.
- Brisou J, Prévot AR (1954). Studies on bacterial taxonomy. X. The revision of species under Acromobacter group. Ann. Inst. Pasteur. (Paris). 86:722-728.
- Brosius J, Palmer ML, Kennedy PJ, Noller HF (1978) Complete nucleotide sequence of a 16S ribosomal RNA gene from *Escherichia coli.* Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA). 75:4801-4805.
- Camara B, Strompl C, Verbarg S, Sproer C, Pieper DH, Tindall BJ (2007). *Pseudomonas reinekei* sp. nov., *Pseudomonas moorei* sp. nov. and *Pseudomonas mohnii* sp. nov., novel species capable of degrading chlorosalicylates or isopimaric acid. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 57:923-931.
- Carr EL, Kämpfer P, Patel BKC, Gürtler V, Seviour RJ (2003). Seven novel species of *Acinetobacter* isolated from activated sludge. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 53:953-963.
- Cashion P, Holder-Franklin MA, McCully J, Franklin M (1977). A rapid method for the base ratio determination of bacterial DNA. Anal. Biochem. 81:461-466.
- Chesneau O, Morvan A, Grimont F, Labischinski H, Solhn E (1993). *Staphylococcus pasteuri* sp. nov., isolated from human, animal, and food specimens. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 43:237-244.
- Claus M (1992). A standardized Gram staining procedure. World J Microbiol. Biotechnol. 8:451-452.
- Coenye T, Vanlaere E, Falsen E, Vandamme P (2004). *Stenotrophomonas africana* Drancourt et al. 1997 is a later synonym of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (Hugh 1981) Palleroni and Bradbury 1993. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 54:1235-1237.
- Coenye T, Vanlaere E, Samyn E, Falsen E, Larsson P, Vandamme P (2005). Advenella incenata gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel member of the Alcaligenaceae, isolated from various clinical samples. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 55:251-256.
- Collins MD (1992). Genus Brevibacterium, The Prokaryotes, In: A. Balows, et al., (Eds.), New York: Springer. pp. 1351-1354.
- Collins MD, Farrow JAE, Goodfellow M, Minnikin DE (1983). Brevibacterium casei sp. nov. and Brevibacterium epidermidis sp. nov. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 4:388-395.
- Collins MD, Jones D, Keddie RM, Sneath PHA (1980). Reclassification of *Chromobacterium iodinum* (Davis) in a Redefined Genus *Brevibacterium* (Breed) as *Brevibacterium iodinum* nom. revcomb. nov. J. Gen. Microbiol. 120:1-10.
- Denton M, Kerr, KG (1998). Microbiological and clinical aspects of infections associated with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 11:7-80.
- Drancourt MC, Bollet C, Raoult D (1997). Stenotrophomonas africana sp. nov., an opportunistic human pathogen in Africa. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 47:160-163. Elcin Y, Oktemer A (1995). Larvicidal and sporal behaviour of

Bacillus sphaericus 2362 in carrageenan microcapsules. J. Cont Rel. 33:245-251.

Frank SD, Sadof CS (2011). Reducing insecticide volume and nontarget

- effects of ambrosia beetle management in nurseries. J. Econ. Entomol. 104:6 1960-1968.
- Gavrish E, Krauzova VI, Potekhina NV, Karasev SG, Plotnikova EG, Altyntseva OV, Korosteleva LA, Evtushenko LI (2004). Three New Species of Brevibacteria, *Brevibacterium antiquum* sp. nov., *Brevibacterium aurantiacum* sp. nov., and *Brevibacterium permense* sp. nov. Microbiology 73:176-183.
- Geider K, Auling G, Du Z, Jakovljevic V, Jock S, Völksch B (2006). Erwinia tasmaniensis sp. nov., a non-phytopathogenic bacterium from apple and pear trees. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 56:2937-2943.
- Geiger A, Fardeau ML, Njiokou F, Joseph M, Asonganyi T, Ollivier B, Cuny G (2011) Bacterial Diversity Associated with Populations of *Glossina* spp. from Cameroon and Distribution within the Campo Sleeping Sickness Focus. Microb. Ecol. 62:632-643.
- Holmes B, Steigerwalt AG, Weaver RE, Brenner Don J (1987). Chryseomonas luteola comb. nov. and Flavimonas oryzihabitans gen. nov., comb. nov., Pseudomonas-Like Species from human clinical specimens and formerly known, respectively, as groups Ve-1 and Ve-2. Int. J. Sys. Bacteriol. 37(3):245-250.
- Hulcr J, Rountree N R, Diamond SE, Stelinski LL, Fierer N, Dunn RR (2012). Mycangia of ambrosia beetles host communities of bacteria. Microb. Ecol. 64:784-793.
- Indiragandhi P, Anandham R, Madhaiyan M, Poonguzhali S, Kim GH, Saravanan VS, Sa T (2007). Cultivable bacteria associated with larval gut of prothiofos-resistant, prothiofos-susceptible and fieldcaught populations of diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* and their potential for, antagonism towards entomopathogenic fungi and host insect nutrition. J. Appl. Microbiol. 103:2664-2675.
- Isik M, Ecevit O, Kurt MA, and Yücetin T (1987). Researchs on application of intergrated pest management method at hazelnut plantations in the eastern black-sea region in Turkey. Ondokuzmayıs University publication. 20:95.
- Jakobi M, Winkelmann G, Kaiser D, Kempler C, Jung G, Berg G, Bahl H (1996). Maltophilin a new antifungal compound produced by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* R3089. J. Antibiot. 49:1101-1104.
- Jankiewicz U, Brzezinska MS, Saks E (2012). Identification and characterization of a chitinase of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, a bacterium that is antagonistic towards fungal phytopathogens. Jour. Biosci. and Bioeng. 113(1):30-35.
- Jung-Sook L, Lee KC, Kim KK, Hwang IC, Jang C, Kim NG, Yeo, WH, Kim BS, Yu YM, Ahn JS (2009). *Acinetobacter antiviralis* sp. nov., from tobacco plant roots. J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 19: 250-256.
- Kati H, Ince IA, Demir I, Demirbag Z (2010). Brevibacterium pityocampae sp. nov., isolated from caterpillars of Thaumetopoea pityocampa Den. and Schiff. (Lepidoptera, Thaumetopoeidae). Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 60: 312-316.
- Kati H, Sezen K, Nalcacioglu R, Demirbag Z (2007). A Highly Pathogenic Strain of *Bacillus thuringiensis* serovar *kurstaki* in Lepidopteran Pests. J. Microbiol. 45: 6, 553-557.
- Kılıç O (1994). Fındıkta Dönüm Noktası, Tarım ve Köy İşleri Bakanlığı Dergisi, Tarım ve Köy. 97: 38-40.
- Kloos WE, George CG, Olgiate JS, Pelt LV, McKinnon ML, Zimmer BL, Muller E, Weinstein MP, Mirrett S (1998). *Staphylococcus hominis* subsp. *novobiosepticus* subsp. nov., a novel trehalose- and N-acetyl-Dglucosamine-negative, novobiocin- and multiple-antibiotic-resistant subspecies isolated from human blood cultures. Int. J. Sys. Bacteriol. 48: 799-812.
- Kloos WE, Schleifer KH (1975). Isolation and Characterization of Staphylococci from Human Skin II. Descriptions of Four New Species: Staphylococcus warneri, Staphylococcus capitis, Staphylococcus hominis, and Staphylococcus simulans. Int. J. Sys. Bacteriol. 25: 62-79.
- Kotilainen P, Huovinen P, Eerola E (1990). Application of gas-liquid chromatographic analysis of cellular fatty acids for species identification and typing of coagulase-negative Staphylococci. J. Clin. Microbiol. 29: 315-322.
- Lawrence RK (2006). Beyond the Asian Longhorned Betle and Emerald Ash Borer USDA Forest Service Proceedings RMRS-P-43. pp. 137-140.
- Mergaert J, Hauben L, Cnockaert MC, Swings J (1999). Reclassification of non-pigmented *Erwinia herbicola* strains from trees as *Ennrinia*

billingiae sp. nov. Int. J. Sys. Bacteriol. 49: 377-383.

- Mergaert J, Verdonck L, Kersters K (1993). Transfer of Erwinia ananas (synonym, Erwinia uredovora) and Erwinia stewartii to the Genus Pantoea emend. as Pantoea ananas (Serrano 1928) comb. nov. and Pantoea stewartii (Smith 1898) comb, nov., Respectively, and Description of Pantoea stewartii subsp. indologenes subsp. nov. Int. J. Sys. Bacteriol. 43: 162-173.
- Mesbah M, Premachandran U, Whitman WB (1989). Precise measurement of the G+C content of deoxyribonucleic acid by highperformance liquid chromatography. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 39: 159-167.
- Minkwitz A, Berg G (2001). Comparison of antifungal activities and 16S ribosomal DNA sequences of clinical and environmental isolates of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 39: 139-145.
- Nishimura Y, Ino T, Iizuka H (1988). Acinetobacter radioresistens sp. nov. isolated from cotton and soil. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 38: 209-211.
- Pearson WR (1990). Rapid and sensitive sequence comparison with Fastp and Fasta. Methods. Enzymol. 183: 63-98.
- Romanenko AL, Uchino M, Tanaka N, Frolova G, Slinkina N, Mikhailov V (2008). Occurrence and antagonistic potential of *Stenotrophomonas* strains isolated from deep-sea invertebrates. Arch. Microbiol. 189: 337-344.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989). Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor.
- Sasser M (1990) (revised 2001). Identification of Bacteria by Gas Chromatography of Cellular Fatty Acids, MIDI Technical Note:101.
- Selvakumara G, Sushila SN, Stanleya J, Mohana M, Deola A, Raib D, Bhatta RJC, Gupta HS (2011). *Brevibacterium frigoritolerans* a novel entomopathogen of Anomala dimidiata and Holotrichia longipennis (Scarabaeidae: Coleoptera). Biocont. Sci. Technol. 21: 7, 821-827.
- Sezen K, Demir I, Demirbag Z (2007). Identification And Pathogenicity of Entomopathogenic Bacteria From Common Cockchafer, *Melolontha Melolontha* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). New Zeal. J. Crop. Hort. 35: 1, 79-85.
- Sezen K, Kati H, Nalcacioglu R, Muratoglu H, Demirbag Z (2008). Identification and pathogenicity of bacteria from European shot-hole borer, *Xyleborus dispar* Fabricius (Coleoptera:Scolytidae). Ann. Microbiol. 58: 173-179.

- Solomon JD (1995). Guide to insect borers of North American broadleaf trees and shrubs. Washington (DC): USDA Forest Service. Agriculture Handbook 706. pp. 735.
- Stackebrandt E, Koch C, Gvozdiak O, Schumann P (1995). Taxonomic dissection of the genus Micrococcus: Kocuria gen. nov., Nesterenkonia gen. nov., Kytococcus gen. nov., Dermacoccus gen. nov., and Micrococcus Cohn 1872 gen. emend. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 45: 682-692.
- Tamaoka J, Komagata K (1984). Determination of DNA base composition by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 25: 125-128.
- Trotel-Aziz P, Couderchet M, Biagianti S, Aziz A (2008). Characterization of new bacterial biocontrol agents *Acinetobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Pantoea* and *Pseudomonas* spp. mediating grapevine resistance against *Botrytis cinerea*, Environ. Experiment. Bot. 64: 21-32.
- Veys A, Callewaert W, Waelkens E, Abbeele K (1989). Application of Gas-Liquid Chromatography to the Routine Identification of Nonfermenting Gram-Negative Bacteria in Clinical Specimens. J. Clin. Microbiol. 27: 1538-1542.
- Wieser M, Busse HJ (2000). Rapid identification of Staphylococcus epidermidis. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 50: 1087-1093
- Wolf A, Fritze A, Hagemann M, Berg G (2002). Stenotrophomonas rhizophila sp. nov., a novel plant-associated bacterium with antifungal properties. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 52: 1937-1944.
- Yamahira K, Hirota K, Nakajima K, Morita N, Nodasaka Y, Yumoto I (2008). Acinetobacter sp. strain Ths, a novel psychrotolerant and alkalitolerant bacterium that utilizes hydrocarbon. Extremophiles. 12: 729-734.