ISSN 1996-0808 ©2012 Academic Journals

Full Length Research Paper

# Microbial quality and content aflatoxins of commercially available eggs in Taif, Saudi Arabia

Abdul Aziz S. Bahobail<sup>1</sup>, Sabry A. Hassan<sup>1,2\*</sup> and Bahig A. El-Deeb<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Taif University 888, Taif, Saudi Arabia. <sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, South Valley University, Qena, Egypt.

Accepted 15 March, 2012

Contamination of eggs and egg products with microorganisms that possibly affect eggs quality and pathogen transmission induced food-borne infection or intoxication to consumers, which cause public health hazards. A total of 135 table eggs (75 processed and 60 unwashed) were collected randomly from different markets and groceries in Taif city (Saudi Arabia). Every three eggs were represented as one egg pooled sample (n = 45 pools). Each egg shell and content was examined for their microbiological contents in terms of aerobic, Enterobacteriaceae, fungal counts; presence of Salmonella, Escherichia coli O157:H7, Listeria, Campylobacter and the presence of total aflatoxins (AFs) levels by enzyme linked immuno-sorbent assay (ELISA). The results showed microbial growth on 37.7% (45 shell and content pools) of the examined samples and of all, total aflatoxin contamination was determined to trace amounts in three egg samples (6.6%) (ranging from <1 to 1.19 ppb). Other samples tested were found to be free from any detectable level of aflatoxins. Among unwashed eggs, one shell sample was Campylobacter positive, two shell samples were Listeria positive, no Salmonella and E. coli O157:H7 could be detected. The average overall detected aerobic, Enterobacteriaceae and mould counts was 3.02, 0.9, 1.9 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml, respectively. It is concluded that eggs sold in Taif city were of good quality, although occurrence of some pathogenic microorganisms. Therefore, it is recommended that table eggs should not be consumed raw.

**Key words:** Microbiological quality, table eggs, food-borne pathogens, aflatoxins, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), Saudi Arabia.

# INTRODUCTION

Today, eggs remain a staple food within the human diet, consumed by people throughout the world. They are consumed worldwide in various dishes and considered very nutritious and a cheap source of protein (Blumenthal, 1990; Papadopoulou et al., 1997; MAFF, 2000). Though eggs are considered as complete food for growth and sustenance, studies indicated that microorganisms often contaminate eggs (Osei-Somuah et al., 2003).

Freshly laid eggs are generally devoid of organisms. However, following exposure to environmental conditions (for example, soil, dust and dirty nesting materials), eggs become contaminated with different types of microorganisms (Ellen et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2000). Furthermore, these microorganisms may contaminate the egg contents either by penetration or withdrawal through pores of the shells (Harry, 1963; Schoeni et al., 1995), and also through the transovarian route (Bruce and Drysdale, 1994). Some other factors such as environmental temperature and humidity influence the bacterial penetration and thus, enhance the infection and spoilage (Frazier and Westhoff, 1987; Theron et al., 2003).

Food-borne diseases caused by microorganisms are a large and growing public health problem. Contamination of eggs and egg products with microorganisms can affect egg quality, which may lead to spoilage and pathogen

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: sabryh@lycos.com. Tel: +966533473418. Fax: +96627256500.

transmission. This may induce cases of food-borne infection or intoxication to consumers, which constitute public health hazards. Several pathogenic microorganisms have been isolated from the surface of chicken egg shells and contents. Among them, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Yersinia enterocolitica*, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* were detected (Chiesa et al., 1989; Leasor and Foegeding, 1989; Ruser and Marth, 1989; Farber et al., 1992; Moore and Madden, 1993; Schoeni and Doyle, 1994; Hope et al., 2002; Adesiyun et al., 2005).

Aflatoxins contaminate a vast array of foods and agriculture commodities, and produced by certain species of fungi. Such mycotoxins pose profound challenges to food safety widespread in many countries, especially in tropical and subtropical regions where temperature and humidity conditions are optimum for growth of moulds and production of toxins. The possible transmission of such toxic residues to edible eggs results in potential hazards to human health (Martin et al., 1998). Aflatoxins are known to be human carcinogens based on sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in humans (IARC, 1993; Yaling et al., 2008).

Because of the continuous consumer demands worldwide for eggs, periodical assessment is required to offer safe and good quality eggs for consumption. The present investigation was, therefore, planned to assess shell and interior quality of consumed eggs at retail levels in Taif city, Saudi Arabia. Microbiological quality, presence of food pathogens and total aflatoxin residues were investigated.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Sampling

One hundred and thirty-five (135) fresh table eggs were collected randomly from different groceries and markets in Taif city, Saudi Arabia. The eggs collected were processed and unwashed (balady) eggs. Every three eggs from each market were represented as one egg pooled sample. All 45 egg pooled samples were examined for microbial quality, total aflatoxins (AFs) as well as presence of *Listeria*, *E. coli* O157:H7, *Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* in their shells and contents. Two sampling methods were utilized: shell crush (shell and membrane emulsion) (Musgrove et al., 2005) and egg contents (Jones et al., 2004).

### Microbiological analysis

Serial dilutions were done from each egg shell recovery buffer and content. Then, duplicate plating of 1 mL aliquots used for enumeration of each analyzed microbial item. Each sample was divided into two parts, one for extraction of aflatoxins and the other for microbial enumeration, detection, isolation and identification. Standard plate counts were done on plate count agar (PCA, Scharlau, Barcelona, Spain) using the spread plate technique. The samples were then incubated at 30°C for 72 h. Enterobacteriaceae counts were determined on violet red bile agar (VRBA, Oxoid), and then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. Total mould count/g of egg samples was estimated on dichloran rose bengal chloramphenicol

agar (Difco- DRBC) and the plates were then incubated at 25°C for 7 to 10 days.

For Salmonella isolation, egg samples were enriched in Rappaport-Vassilidis broth (RV, Oxoid, UK), followed by recovery on xylose lysine dextrose agar (XLD - Scharlau, Barcelona, Spain). For Listeria isolation, two stage enrichment procedures were done using Listeria enrichment broth (LEB - Oxoid) followed by isolation on palcam agar plates (Oxoid). For E. coli O157:H7, tryptone soya broth (TSB, Difco, Detroit, MI, USA) supplemented with 20 mg.L<sup>-1</sup> novobiocin (Sigma, Germany) were used. Isolation was done on MacConkey sorbitol agar plates. Thermophilic Campylobacter were isolated directly or after enrichment on Karmali media at 42°C (BK + BS, Biokar Diagnostic, Beauvais Cedex – France).

The methods used were of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 1980) and in the compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods (Downes and Ito, 2001). Identification of Enterobacteriaceae and other species was made by commercially available biochemical test (API tests, BioMérieux, Lyon, France), while taxonomic identification of the different genera and species was made according to microscopic criteria in accordance with appropriate keys (Raper and Fennel, 1965; Pitt and Hocking, 1997; Klich, 2002).

# **Detection of aflatoxins**

MaxSignal<sup>®</sup> Aflatoxin Total - enzyme linked immuno-sorbent assay (ELISA) Test Kit (Bioo Scientific Corp., Austin, TX, USA) is a competitive enzyme immunoassay and was used for the quantitative detection of AFs in the samples following manufacturer instructions. The microwells were measured at 450 nm by ELISA reader (ELx800, BioTek inc., Highland Park, Winooski, VT, USA). The optical densities of the samples were determined and compared with that of the kit standard. Egg samples were exposed to some pretreatments: the egg pooled samples were diluted with 70% methanol (1:9), mixed in a bled jar and blended for one min. Next, the homogenate was filtered through filter paper, and 50 μl of the filtrates was used per well for the assay. The test kit detection limit is 0.05 ng/g or ppb.

#### Data analysis

The data were subjected to two way analysis of variance and simple correlation after converting the microbial counts to a logarithmic scale (Snedecor and Gochran, 1989).

## **RESULTS**

Table 1 showed that the average total aerobic counts associated with shells and contents of the eggs ranged from 1.1 to 5.9 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml. Contents from unwashed (balady) and processed eggs had aerobic counts ranged from 1.1 to 2.0 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml, respectively, as compared with the shells from both types (3.1 to 5.9 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml, respectively). The overall average of all pools was 3.02 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml.

The detected levels of Enterobacteriaceae ranged from < I to 1.5 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml (Table 1). The average detection level was 0.9 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml. The prevalence of Enterobacteriaceae was 13.3% in all of the egg pools. Bacteriological identification of randomly selected Enterobacteriaceae colonies revealed isolation of six genera, namely, *E. coli*, *Enterobacter* spp., *Citrobacter* 

Table 1. Microbial contamination profiles of retail table eggs in Taif city, Saudi Arabia.

Analyzed items	Log <sub>10</sub> CFU/ml or + (present) and – (absent)			
	Egg shell		Egg content	
	Processed	Unwashed	Processed	Unwashed
Total plate count	3.1	5.9	1.1	2.0
Enterobacteriaceae	0.9	1.5	0.0	0.6
Mould count	1.3	3.4	0.0	1.1
E. coli O157:H7	-	-	-	-
Salmonella	-	-	-	-
Campylobacter	-	(1/20)*	-	-
Listeria	-	(2/20)	-	-

<sup>\*,</sup> Number in parenthesis is the number of positive isolates per number of samples.

Table 2. Identification of isolates randomly selected from violet red bile glucose agar Inoculated with 45 pooled shell and content of egg samples.

Bacterial species	Egg shells		Egg contents	
	Processed (n= 25)	Unwashed (n= 20)	Processed (n= 25)	Unwashed (n= 20)
E. coli	1	3	0	0
Enterobacter spp.	1	5	0	1
Providencia spp.	1	3	0	0
Citrobacter spp.	0	2	0	0
Serratia spp.	0	1	0	0
Kluyvera spp.	0	1	0	0

Table 3. Prevalence of isolated fungi from the examined table egg shells and contents.

Fungal species -	Egg shells		Egg contents	
	Processed (n= 25)	Unwashed (n= 20)	Processed (n= 25)	Unwashed (n= 20)
Aspergillus flavus	0	3	0	2
Aspergillus niger	0	1	0	1
Aspergillus fumigatus	1	5	0	1
Penicillium spp.	2	1	3	0
Cladosorium spp.	4	4	5	0
Mucor spp.	1	2	6	0

spp., *Providencia* spp., *Serratia* spp. and *Kluyvera* spp. (Table 2).

The microbiological analysis of 45 pooled egg samples revealed two *Listeria* spp., single *Campylobacter* isolate found in the shell sample of unwashed eggs, whereas no *Salmonella* and *E. coli* O157:H7 were detected (Table 1).

For the total mould count, it was ranged from 1.1 to 3.4 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml, with an overall average value 1.9 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml for total eggs (Table 1). Mycological examination of eggs revealed presence of four fungal genera, namely, *Aspergillus* spp., *Penicillum* spp., *Cladosporum* spp. and *Mucor* spp. (Table 3).

Results of competitive ELISA revealed that AFs residue were detected in trace amounts in 3 (6.6%) out of the 45

egg pool samples examined, with a value ranged from 0.61 to 1.19 ng/g or ppb (Table 4).

#### DISCUSSION

Chicken eggs are a common food and one of the most versatile ingredients used in cooking, preparation of many types of dishes, both sweet and savory. Microbial contamination of table eggs in the process of production, handling and marketing has been, therefore, of a major public health concern. Until recently, little is known regarding microbial quality of table eggs and most of studies are concerned with the quality of hatching eggs

**Table 4.** Results of AFs content residues in the examined 45 pooled egg samples.

Examined sample	Total No. of samples	No. of positive	AFs concentration (ug/g or ppb of egg content)
Processed eggs	25	0	ND
Unwashed eggs	20	3	0.61, 0.81, 1.19

ND, Non-detected level.

(Quarles et al., 1970; Board and Tranter, 1995; Favier et al., 2000; Knape et al., 2002). Our study indicated that 37.7% of the 45 pooled composite egg samples were contaminated with aerobic bacteria, having overall average 3.02 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml. The average total viable count for the shell and content were less than the accepted 6.0 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml, recommended by the International Commission on the Microbiological Specification for Food (ICMSF, 1998). A higher mean total viable bacterial counts > 7.0 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml was reported in retail eggs (Obi and Igbokwe, 2007; Ansah et al., 2009) with the shell having the highest and content the lowest load.

Microbiological analysis showed that the unwashed (balady) had more microbial load than the processed one and contamination of egg shells was greater than egg contents. Our results are in agreement with previous reports that indicated higher prevalence and counts of bacteria on unwashed egg shells as well as on egg shell relative to the contents (Labaque et al., 2003; Jones et al., 2004). Humphrey (1994) reported that the final microbial load of egg contents depends on temperature and length of storage.

Contamination with Enterobacteriaceae was used to evaluate the sanitary or hygienic quality of raw foods and also during food processing (Mercuri and Cox, 1979). In the current study, the prevalence of Enterobacteriacaea was 13.3% in all of the egg pools and thus has log average Enterobacteriaceae (0.9 CFU/ml). Similarly, studies reported low detection level Enterobacteriaceae (the highest concentration detected was 0.6 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/egg shell (Jones et al., 2004). Rodenburg et al. (2006) and De Reu et al. (2007) found that the log average Enterobacteriaceae egg shell contamination of table eggs were 1.5 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/eggshell. However, Musgrove et al. (2004) showed that no contamination of washed eggshells with Enterobacteriaceae within the advertised shelf life for retail eggs. A total of six Enterobacteriaceae spp. were isolated from pooled egg samples in this study agree with other published reports where Enterobacter spp., Escherichia, Citrobacter spp., Providencia spp. and Serratia spp. have been detected from eggs or their wash water (Moats, 1980; Papadopoulou et al., 1997; Jones et al., 2004; Musgrove et al., 2004, Obi and Igbokwe, 2007; Ansah et al., 2009).

A health issue associated with eggs is their contamination by pathogenic bacteria. In this study, 2 (1.4%) of 135 eggs (n = 45 pooled samples) had *Listeria* 

spp. in their shells but not in the egg content. Similar prevalence was found by Nitcheva et al. (1990) who isolated *Listeria monocytogenes* from the eggshell (1 of 71 samples) but not from egg contents. In contrast, *L. monocytogenes* was isolated with high frequency from samples of eggs collected at processing plants (Leasor and Foegeding, 1989) as well as from wash water and the outer surface of the eggshell (Faber et al., 1992). Sayed et al. (2009) found that egg shells were contaminated with 7% of *Listera* spp. while no contamination was found in egg contents. Moore and Madden (1993) reported that 72% of raw blended egg samples were positive for *Listeria* spp. of which 37.8% were identified as *L. monocytogens*.

In the current study, a single *campylobacter* (0.7%) of 135 eggs (n = 45 pooled samples) isolate was detected in a shell samples with no contamination of the egg contents. *Campylobacter jejuni* is commonly associated with poultry, which might result in egg shells and egg contents contamination (Humphrey, 1994). Earlier studies showed that, even if at a low level, *C. jejuni* could be isolated from both outer (Doyle, 1984) and inner (Shanker et al., 1986) shell surfaces of eggs laid by naturally infected commercial layers or broiler breeders. Shane et al. (1986) isolated the organism from both interior surfaces of egg shell and egg contents after swabbing feces containing *C. jejuni* onto the egg surface.

*E. coli* O157:H7 was not isolated from any of egg samples investigated in this study. However, Schoeni and Doyle (1994) reported long colonization and shedding of *E. coli* O157:H7 in chicken ceca and *E. coli* were isolated from the shell of 14 out of 101 (13.9%) eggs but not from the albumen or yolks.

Failure to isolate Salmonella spp. in table eggs in the current study may owe to strict control measures applied against these bacteria. Similarly, Salmonella was absent in all samples analyzed (Favier et al., 2000; Anon, 2004). Other studies reported variable and very low incidence of Salmonella in eggs. Begum et al. (2010) only isolated three *Salmonella* strains out of 1100 domestic eggs. Musgrove et al. (2005) identified one out of 105 Enterobacteriaceae isolates, isolated from 84 shell surfaces, as *Salmonella*. Poppe et al. (1998) reported that 0.07 to 0.4% table eggs (n = 1/512) (egg shell and egg content) were *Salmonella*-positive. De Reu et al. (2006) found 0.18% (1/554 eggs) table eggs *Salmonella*-positive. Fourteen (14) eggs out of a total of 46200 eggs (0.03%) sampled were *Salmonella*-positive (de Boer and

Wit, 2000). This variability in *Salmonella* occurrence may be due to sample size, timing of sampling, sites of the egg that were tested, techniques used, investigations of eggs laid by artificially or naturally infected hens (Humphrey, 1994).

The fungal load found averaged 1.9 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/ml. however, higher fungal count was reported in table eggs (Ahmed et al., 2002; Suba et al., 2005; Salem et al., 2009) which was reported to be >5 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g. Other studies indicated lower count of 1 log<sub>10</sub> CFU/g in egg samples (Ahmed et al., 1987; El-Essawy et al., 1989). Jones et al. (2004) found an average fungal concentration of 1.5 log CFU/egg shell in the day of egg collections while averaged 0.1 log CFU/ml in the content of unwashed shell eggs. Mycological examination carried out in the current work revealed four genera, which agrees with published reports where Aspergillus spp., Penicillum spp., Cladosporum spp. and Mucor spp. have been recovered from eggs or their wash water (El-Essawy et al., 1989; Obi and Igbokwe, 2007; Salem et al., 2009).

The AFs contamination was detected to trace amounts only in three (6.7%) of egg samples ranged between <1 to 1.19 ppb. The positive samples did not exceed the maximum residual limits of aflatoxin recommended by the WHO (2005) which is 5 ppb. Similar findings were reported by Ahmed et al. (2002), Aly and Anwer (2009), Herzallah (2009), Salem et al. (2009) where aflatoxin contamination of eggs was minimal comparing with the limit recommended by WHO (2005).

In conclusion, the results showed that eggs of Taif city markets are generally in a good quality. However, because of the presence of minimal pathogenic microorganisms in some samples, we recommend that table eggs should not be consumed raw.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The study was supported by Taif University, Kingdome Saudi Arabia, project no. 1/431/691.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Adesiyun A, Offiah N, Seepersadsingh N, Rodrigo S, Lashley V, Musai L, Georges K (2005). Microbial health risk posed by table eggs in Trinidad. Epidemiol. Infect., 133: 1049-1056.
- Ahmed AAH, Saad MN, Moustafa MK (1987). Microbial contamination of market hen eggs. Assuit Vet. Med. J., 18: 36.
- Ahmed HF, Deeb MMA, Aman IM (2002). Studies on market hen eggs in kafr El-sheikh and El- Gharbia Governorates. Vet. Med. J. Giza, 50: 610-615.
- Aly SA, Anwer W (2009). Effect of Naturally Contaminated Feed with Aflatoxins on Performance of Laying Hens and the Carryover of Aflatoxin B1 Residues in Table Eggs. Pakistan J. Nutr., 8: 181-186.
- Anon (2004). Report of the survey of Salmonella contamination of UK produced shell eggs on retail sale. London, Food Standard Agency, pp. 124.
- Ansah T, Dzoagbe GSK, Teye GA, Adday S, Danquah JK (2009). Microbial quality of table eggs sold on selected markets in the Tamale municipality in the Northern Region of Ghana. Livestock

- Research for Rural Development. Volume 21, Article #128. Retrieved November 30, 2010, from http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd21/8/ansa21128.tm.
- AOAC. Association Of Official Analytical Čhemists (1980). Official methods of analysis, 13<sup>th</sup> ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D. C. pp. 376-384.
- Begum K, Reza TA, Hague M, Hossain A, Hassan FMK, Hassan SN, Akhter N, Ahmed A, Barua U (2010). Isolation, Identification and antibiotic resistance pattern of *Salmonella* spp. from chicken eggs, intestines and environmental samples. Bangladesh Pharmaceut. J., 13: 23-27.
- Blumenthal D (1990). From the chicken to the egg. FDA Consumer (April), pp. 7-10.
- Board RG, Tranter HS (1995). The microbiology of eggs. In Egg science and technology (Eds. Stadelman, W. J., and Cotterill, O. J.). New York, Food Products Press The Haworth Press, Inc., pp. 81-104.
- Bruce J, Drysdale EM (1994). Trans-shell transmission. In Microbiology of the avian egg (ed. Board R. G. and R. Fuller). London: Chapman & Hall, pp. 63-91.
- Chiesa C, Pacifico L, Cianfranco V, Nanni F, Medici A, Monto S, Triglione P, Mariani P, Midulla M (1989). Sources of 2883 strains of Yersinia enterocolitica and related species isolated in Italy: clinical, ecological and epidemiological features. Microecol. Ther., 18: 331-334.
- De Boer E, Witt B (2000). Salmonella in eggs. Tijdschrift voor Diergeneeskunde, 125: 126-127.
- De Reu K, Heyndrickx M, Grijspeerdt K, Rodenburg B, Tuyttens F, Uyttendaele M, Debevere J, Herman L (2006). Assessment of the vertical and horizontal aerobic bacterial infection of shell eggs. World's Poultry Sci. J., 62: 564.
- De Reu K, Rodenburg B, Grijspeerdt K, Heyndrickx M, Tuyttens F, Zoons J, Herman L (2007). Bacteriological contamination of eggs and eggshell quality in furnished cages and non-cage systems for laying hens: an international on-farm comparison. XVIII European symposium on the quality of poultry meat and XII European symposium on the quality of eggs and egg products Conference proceedings, Prague, Czech Republic: pp. 46-47.
- Downes FP, Ito K (2001). Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods. 4<sup>th</sup>ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, D. C. pp. 20001-3710.
- Doyle MP (1984). Association of *Campylobacter jejuni* with laying hens and eggs. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 47: 533-536.
- El-Essawy HA, Saudi AM, Sallam SS (1989). Microbiological studies on market hen eggs. Alex. Vet. Sci. J., 5: 219-225.
- Ellen HH, Bottcher RW, von Wachebfelt E, Takai H (2000). Dust levels and control methods in poultry houses. J. Agri. Safety Health, 6: 275-282
- Farber JM, Daley E, Coates F (1992). Presence of *Listeria* spp. in whole eggs and wash water samples from Ontario and Quebec. Food Res. Intl., 25: 143-145.
- Favier GI, Escudero ME, Velazquez L, de Guzman AMS (2000). Reduction of *Yersinia enterocolitica* and mesophilic aerobic bacteria in egg-shell by washing with surfactants and their effect on the shell microstructure. Food Microbiol., 17: 73-81.
- Frazier WC, Westhoff DC (1987). Contamination, preservation and spoilage of eggs, In Food Microbiology, 3rd Ed. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York
- Harry EG (1963). The relationship between egg spoilage and the environment of the egg when laid. British Poult. Sci., 4: 91-100.
- Herzallah SM (2009). Determination of aflatoxins in eggs, milk, meat and meat products using HPLC fluorescent and UV detectors. Food Chem., 114: 1141-1146.
- Hope BK, Baker R, Edel ED, Hogue AT, Schlosser WD, Whiting R, McDowell RM, Morales RA (2002). An overview of the *S. enteritidis* risk assessment for shell eggs and egg products. Risk Anal., 22: 203-218
- Humphrey TJ (1994). Contamination of egg shell and contents with Salmonella enteritidis: a review. Intl. J. Food Microbiol., 21: 31-40.
- IARC. International Agency for Research on Cancer (1993). IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Human. Some Naturally occurring substances: Food items and Constituents, Heterocyclic Aromatic Amines and Mycotoxins Vol. 56. Lyon. France, pp. 229-242.

- ICMSF. International Commission on Microbiological Specification for Foods (1998). Microorganisms in foods. Volume 6, Microbial ecology of food commodities. New York: Blackie Acad. Prof., pp. 615.
- Jones DR, Musgrove MT, Northcutt JK (2004). Variation in external and internal microbial populations in shell eggs during extended storage. J. Food Protect., 67: 2657-2660.
- Klich MA (2002). Identification of common *Aspergillus* species. Utrecht, Netherlands: Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, pp.116.
- Knape KD, Chavez C, Burgess RP, Coufal CD, Carey JB (2002). Comparison of eggshell surface microbial populations for in-line and off-line commercial egg processing facilities. Poult. Sci., 81: 695-698.
- Labaque MC, Navarro JL, Martella MB (2003). Microbial contaminant of artificially contaminated Greater Rhea (Rhea Americana) eggs. Poult. Sci., 44: 355-358.
- Leasor SB, Foegeding PM (1989). Listeria species in commercially broken raw liquid whole egg. J. Food Protect., 52: 777-780.
- MAAF. Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (2000). Eggs and poultry meat frequently asked question. Available from URL http://www.maV.-gov.uk/foodrin/poultry/epfaq.htm.
- Martin HM, Bernatdo FM, Martins ML (1998). Effect of Saccharomyces cerevisiae ATCC97631 on Aflatoxins production, 4th World Cong. Foodborne Infection and Intoxication, pp. 7-12.
- Mercuri AJ, Cox NA (1979). Coliforms and Enterobacteriaceae isolates from selected foods. J. Food Protect., 42: 712-714.
- Moats WA (1980). Classification of bacteria from commercial egg washers and washed and unwashed eggs. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 29: 179-185.
- Moore J, Madden RH (1993). Detection and incidence of *Listeria* species in blended raw eggs. J. Food Protect., 56: 652-654.
- Musgrove MT, Deana RJ, Northcutt JK, Cox NA, Harrison MA (2004). Identification of Enterobacteriaceae from washed and unwashed commercial shell eggs. J. Food Protect., 67: 2613-2616.
- Musgrove MT, Jones DR, Northcutt JK, Cox NA, Harrison MA (2005). Shell rinse and shell crush methods for the recovery of aerobic microorganisms and Enterobacteriaceae from shell eggs. J. Food Protect., 68: 2144-2148.
- Nitcheva L, Yonkova V, Popov V, Manev C (1990). Listeria isolation from foods of animal origin. Acta Veterinaria Hungaria, 37: 223-225.
- Obi CN, Igbokwe AJ (2007). Microbiological analysis of freshly laid and stored domestic poultry eggs in selected poultry farms in Umbuahia, Abia state, Nigeria. Res. J. Biol. Sci., 2: 161-166.
- Osei-Somuah A, Otsyina HR, Arthur CT, Nortey PWK, Hammond V (2003). Microbial Quality of table eggs sold on selected markets in Accra. Ghana Vet. Med. Assoc. Bi-Annual Newslett., 6: 314-318.
- Papadopoulou C, Dimitriou D, Levidiotou S, Gessouli H, Panagiou A, Golegou S, Antoniades G (1997). Bacterial strains isolated from eggs and their resistance to currently used antibiotics: is there a health hazard for consumers? Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis., 20: 35-40
- Pitt JI, Hocking AD (1997). Fungi and Food Spoilage, 2nd Ed. Blackie Academic Press, London. P. 504

- Poppe C, Duncan C, Mazzocco A (1998). Salmonella contamination of hatching and table eggs: a comparison. Can. J. Vet. Res., 62: 19-198.
- Quarles CL, Gentry RF, Bressler GO (1970). Bacterial contamination in poultry houses and its relationship to egg hatchability. Poultry Sci. 49: 60-66.
- Raper KB, Fennell DI (1965). The Genus *Aspergillus*, Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins Company, pp. 686.
- Rodenburg B, Tuyttens F, De Reu K, Herman L, Zoons J, Sonck B (2006). Welfare of laying hens in furnished cages and in non-cage systems. 40th International Congress of the ISAE –Conf. proceed., Bristol, United Kingdom, pp.102.
- Ruser ET, Marth EH (1989). New food-borne pathogens of public health significance. J. Am. Diet Assoc., 89: 948-954.
- Salem RM, El-Kaseh RM, El-Diasty EM (2009). A study on the fungal contamination and prevalence of Aflatoxins and some antibiotic residues in table eggs. Arab J. Biotech., 12: 65-72.
- Sayed M, Abdel-Azeem M, Farghaly M, Hassanein R (2009). Using of PCR assay for identification of *Listeria monocytogenes* recovered from table eggs. Vet. World, 2: 453-455.
- Schoeni JL, Doyle MP (1994). Variable colonization of chickens perorally inoculated with *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 and subsequent contamination of eggs. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 60: 2958-2962.
- Schoeni JL, Glass KA, Mcdermott JL, Wong ACL (1995). Growth and penetration of Salmonella enteritidis, Salmonella heidelberg and Salmonella typhimurium in eggs. Intl. J. Food Microbiol., 24: 385-396.
- Shane SM, Givord DH, Yogasundram K (1986). *Campylobacter jejuni* contamination of eggs. Vet. Res. Communications, 10: 487-492.
- Shanker S, Lee A, Sorrell TC (1986). *Campylobacter jejuni* in broilers: the role of vertical transmission. J. Hyg., 96: 153-159.
- Smith A, Rose SP, Wells RG, Pirgozliev V (2000). The effect of changing the excreta moisture of caged laying hens on the excreta and the microbial contamination of their egg shells. British Poult. Sci., 41: 168-173.
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG (1989). Statistical methods, 8th ed. Iowa State University, Ames., chap. 6, p. 89.
- Suba S, Narahari D, Prabhakar TG (2005). Microbial quality and safety of table eggs marketed in commercial channels. *Xlth European symposium on the Quality of Eggs and Egg products Doorwerth.* The Netherlands, pp. 23- 26.
- Theron H, Enter PV, Lues JFR (2003). Bacterial growth on chicken eggs in various storage environments. Food Res. Int., 36: 969-975.
- WHO. World Health Organization (2005). International health regulation. 2nded.http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2008/9789241580410\_en g.pdf.
- Yaling W, Tongjie C, Guozhong L, Chansons Q, Huiyong D, Meiling Y, Bert-Andree Z, Gerd S (2008). Simultaneous detection of airborne aflatoxin, Ochratoxin and zearalenone in poultry house by immuneaffinity column and high performance liquid chromatography. Environ. Res., 107: 139-144.