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The main objective of this study was to conduct a review of bioactive substances derived from plants which show antimicrobial/antibiofilm properties. Vegetable species were widely employed as ingredients in medicine based on traditional knowledge. Various secondary metabolites have been proven to inhibit bacterial growth. Bacterial resistance mechanisms have increased over the years. Biofilms are types of bacterial association which gives the communities a higher resistance to drugs. The formation process of biofilms, the problems caused by them and the natural substances, as well as their main chemical components and action mechanisms, have been described according to existing literature.
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INTRODUCTION

Pathogenic bacteria present a number of defense mechanism against antimicrobial agents, moreover, their resistance to drugs presently available in the market is increasing. Among the bacterial defense mechanisms, formation of bio-films is one that is responsible for a fair amount of chronic diseases and show extreme resistance to antibiotics and to the host's defense system (Lewis, 2001; Fux et al., 2003; Rozalski et al., 2013).

Biofilms are a more resistant form of bacterial life when compared with free-living planktonic form. Its resistance is directly related to the natural survival characteristics of microbial cells living in such communities, among which we highlight the slower growth of cells associated with biofilm, as opposed to the free-living microbial cells, and low regulation of cellular process, mainly caused by the more restricted contact of the cells in the interior of the biofilm with external nutrients. Besides that, these bacteria produce an extracellular polysaccharidic matrix that hinders the action of antimicrobial agents, collaborating even further to its resistance, since this matrix acts like a diffusion barrier against small molecules (Anderson and O'Toole, 2008; Hall-Stoodley and Stoodley, 2009).

Currently, natural compounds have emerged as potential candidates given the biotechnological focus in the search for antimicrobial and antibiofilm drugs.
(Schachter, 2003). The relevance of these researches is
due to the large role played by biofilms in the etiology of a
vast amount of persistent and chronic human diseases
(Simões et al., 2010).

The observation of microbial worlds by different
techniques of microscopy has made possible to
researchers, throughout the years, to observe micro-
organisms arranged in communities, sharing nutrients,
metabolites, genetic elements and, thus, becoming able
to resist environmental turbulence, causing diseases
difficult to eradicate. Biofilms impacts men in a variety of
ways, being formed in natural environments, medical
instruments and industrial machines (Al-Bakri et al.,
2010; Lopez et al., 2010).

In 1847, Leuwenhoek used a primitive microscope and
described animalcules on a sample scraped from
human teeth. Almost 100 years later, in 1934, Claude
Zobell, examining marine populations directly under the
microscope, concluded that these bacteria were adhered
to surfaces, forming sessile populations (Zobell, 1943).
Between 1935 and 1978, microbiologists Ron Gibbons
and Van Houte of the Forsyth Dental Center examined
microbial biofilms that constitute dental plaque. The first
stage of a biofilm formation in pure culture was observed
in 1964, when it was established that the state of
irreversible adhesion of microorganisms to a surface is
the first in the formation of these microbial communities
(Costerton, 1999).

More than seventy years after the first account of
biofilm (Zobell, 1943), they continue to be a source of
preoccupation to a wide array of activities, specifically
food industry, environment and biomedics (Flint et al.,
1997; Maukoven et al., 2003; Sihorkar and Vyas, 2001;
Veran, 2002). Based on observations of dental plaque
and sessile communities in mountain streams, Costerton
and collaborators presented in 1987 a theory of biofilms
that explained the mechanisms through which the
microorganisms adhere to living or inert surfaces, and
what benefits they receive from living in these communities.

According to Hoiby et al. (2010), a biofilm is a
structured consortium of bacteria capable of producing a
polymeric matrix which consists of polysaccharides,
proteins and DNA. These communities can be
established on a wide variety of surfaces (Abee et al.,
2011). Aside from the ability to produce extracellular
biopolymers, cells in community show, in a high or lower
degree, a decreased growth pattern and specifically
regulated genes. The organization of microorganisms in
biofilms occurs naturally, for living in these communities
considerably raises the chances of survival for these
microscopic beings. The production of extracellular
polymeric substances by microorganisms is accepted as
a key mechanism in making irreversible the cellular
adhesion to inanimate surfaces in wetary environments,
resulting in the development of a biofilm (Beech et al.,
2005).

Bacterial biofilms are closely related to human health
problems; they are responsible for many infectious
diseases acquired from inert surfaces, including medical
instruments for internal and external use. They might also
be present in the water tubing of hospitals, leading to
infections after internment (Bordi and de Bentzmman,
2011). It is important to highlight that the formation of
biofilm in medical material, such as catheters or implants,
result in chronic infections difficult to treat (Donlan, 2008;
Hall-Stoodley et al., 2004; Hatt and Rather, 2008).

Since the first observations using confocal microscopy,
it became evident that living mature biofilms are not
single structured layers of microbial cells on a surface.
On the contrary, they appear as heterogeneous entities in
time and space, constantly changing as a result of
external and internal processes (Dolan and Costerton,
2002). A biofilm might be formed of a single species of
bacteria or fungus; however, it might consist of various
bacterial, fungal species and even algae and protozoans
(Batoni et al., 2003). An example of monospecific biofilm
is those formed in cardiac valves of patients with
infectious endocarditis, composed of Staphylococcus
epidemicus (Butany et al., 2002). Besides that, infections
have been associated with the formation of biofilms in
human organic surfaces such as teeth, skin and urinary
tract (Hatt and Rather, 2008). This organization in
communities offers the microorganisms resistance to
many antimicrobials, protection from protozoan attacks
and hosts defenses (Anderson and O’Toole, 2008; Matz
and Kjelleberg, 2005).

Currently, it is known that in natural environments, 95-
99% of microorganisms exist in biofilm form (Nikolaev
and Plakunov, 2007). These communities protect their
microbial inhabitants not only from oxygen, but also from
consequences of other damaging environmental factors
(Paerl and Pinckney, 1996). Bacteria in biofilm may
cause chronic infections (Costerton et al., 2003) that are
characterized by persistent inflammation and tissue
damage (Bjarnsholt et al., 2009). Chronic infections,
including foreign body infections, are persistent despite
antibiotic therapy, innate and adaptive immune system
and inflammatory response of the host. In contrast to
colonies, they show an immune response and
pathological persistence (Hoiby et al., 2010).

These communities present an unique profile also
because they shelter different species in a structure in
which they are able to cooperate preferentially rather
than compete (Bordi and de Bentzmman, 2011). They
constitute microbial societies with their own set of social
rules and behavior patterns, including altruism and
cooperation, favoring group success (Parsek and
Greenberg, 2005; Shapiro, 1998) by replicating behaviors
on one side and competition (Velicer, 2003) on another.
Certain subpopulations may show specialization; these
behavioral patterns are orchestrated by chemical
communications (Weigel et al., 2007). Thus, they constitute a unique form of interaction between species, inducing drastic changes in symbiotic relations between their components (Hansen et al., 2007).

MECHANISMS OF BIOFILM FORMATION

The understanding of molecular bases in the creation of biofilms has been favored by improvements in the genetic and genomic methods and the development of visualization techniques that reveal the processes involved in the growth, physiology and adaptation of microorganisms to this life condition. A plethora of systems allows bacteria to identify and anchor to proper surfaces, and adhere one to another in order to form multicellular communities (Bordi and de Bentzmann, 2011).

Bacterial growth in pure cultures has been the main approach to microbiological culture, from pasteur to the present day. This kind of experiments are efficient in furnishing knowledge and understanding of the prokaryotic genetics and metabolism, and have simplified the isolation and identification of pathogens of a number of diseases (Costerton et al., 1987).

When it became evident that the behavior of bacteria associated on surfaces could not be predicted from microorganisms cultured in suspension, in their planktonic form, a new term to describe sessile microbial populations was introduced in the researches with biofilm (Jakubovics and Kolenbrander, 2010).

The formation of biofilm can be considered a protection mechanism for the bacterial community against external injury, thus, it seems reasonable that specific extracellular signals regulate the activation of metabolic patterns which set off the establishment of biofilms. This external signalization may come from diverse sources: they can be reproduced and secreted by the community itself, where there are molecules designed as autoinducers, which accumulate in the extracellular medium, their concentration correlated to the population density (Lopez et al., 2010), and that in high concentration might set off chains of signalization that lead to multicellular responses in bacterial population. This mechanism of cell-cell communication (called quorum sensing) controls a large number of processes, including those related to the formation of biofilm (Camilli and Bassler, 2006).

Each bacterial species has its own set of tools to perform adhesion, and there is a large number of different molecules for each species that can be used antagonistically or synergically, depending on the situation (Hagan et al., 2010).

The formation process of biofilms has been extensively described (Dolan and Costerton, 2002; Costerton et al., 1995; Habash and Reid, 1999). It is a process that follows many stages: the initial reversible adhesion of planktonic cells to a surface, followed by a maturation phase. The initial adhesion is based on attraction and repulsion forces between the cells and the surface. These forces include electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions, Van der Waals forces and hydrodynamic forces, in adequate temperatures (Agarwal et al., 2010). After the adhesion to the surface, the bacteria grow and divide, forming dense agglomerates which are characteristic of biofilm. This phase is associated with the production of polysaccharides by the bacterial cells, that become irreversibly adhered to the substrate. After a while, microcolonies develop in a mature biofilm, acquiring a typical architecture, with mushroom-shaped projections, separated by channels filled with fluids. The final stage (dispersion) consists of the detachment of unitary cells or cellular groups from the mature biofilm, it is considered an essential stage in bacterial dissemination (Batoni et al., 2003).

Considering cellular hydrophobicity and the presence of frimbriae and flagella, the production of EPS (extracellular polymeric substance) is one of the main factors that influences the rate and degree of adhesion of microbial cells to different surfaces, aside from protecting against environmental stress and dehydration (Vu et al., 2009). Thus, EPS production has been the topic of many researches to prevent the process of formation and maturation of these microbial communities (Murray et al., 2009; Nagorska et al., 2010).

The initial colonizers interact with the surface through weak interactions, mostly Van de Waals-type forces. If these microorganisms are not removed from the surface by mechanical or chemical action, they might anchor permanently through cellular adhesion molecules, such as pili and flagella (Boks et al., 2008; Hermansson, 1999). The adhesion of microorganisms to adjacent soft tissues is determined by the existence of adhesion molecules (adhesins), fixed by specific receptors, commonly simple sugars (Pereira et al., 2010).

The first microcolonies create a substratum and propitious environment for the arrival of other cells through adhesion sites and start to build the matrix which will form the biofilm. Only some species are able to adhere to a surface itself, others may anchor to the matrix or to preexisting colonies. Once the colonization has started, the biofilm develops in a combination of cellular division and recruitment of other cells (Carneiro et al., 2010).

BIOFILM RESISTANCE AND ANTIMICROBIAL MEASURES

Many human diseases are related to bacterial biofilms, including cavities, periodontitis, endocarditis and prostatitis. Biofilms have been described as bacterial mechanisms of persistence and resistance to anti-
microbial agents, unlike those found in free-living cells (Namasivayam and Roy, 2013). Various streptococcus infections, specially chronic infection, might be related to the formation of bacteria in biofilms (Al-Dhabi et al., 2012). The development of biofilms grants high resistance to the microorganisms, due to their involution in a matrix of extracellular polymeric substances, forming a barrier which stops or hampers the diffusion of antimicrobial substances through the colony (Trentin et al., 2011a, b). The ideal form of avoiding their action in pathogenic processes is to stop their development (Rozalski et al., 2013) in order to do that, the investment in the search of products and methods that interfere with the bacterial accumulation through adhesion control, inhibition of interbacterial communication or the establishment of the polysaccharidic matrix is needed (Jakubovics and Kolenbrander, 2010).

Among the mechanisms that grant resistance to antimicrobial measures are the bacterial quorum sensing, a form of communication and cooperation for the execution of different behaviors, including the production of toxins and the biofilm formation or the efflux pumps, a mechanism of active pumping of biomolecules to the extracellular medium, responsible for the resistance of Gram-negative bacteria to the majority of natural products (Savioa, 2012).

The oral cavity is colonized by a rich collection of beneficial microorganisms that live in harmony with the host, an advantageous situation to both parties. In this context, products designed for oral health preferably aim to control the level of plaque instead of eliminating it, so that the beneficial properties of the resident microflora are maintained (Marsh, 1992, 2010).

Some measures taken to prevent the adherence of bacteria to biotic and abiotic surfaces and, consequentially, the formation of biofilm have been studied, these antimicrobial measures comprise experiments on the inhibition or death of the aim-bacteria driven by tests for the determination of the minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC). These techniques are applied in tests with bacteria in their planktonic form. Nevertheless, for clinical use, the realization of tests using biofilm formation techniques or even evaluation of mature biofilm is more predictive.

Biofilm control mechanisms include the use of adhesin analogs, antibody for key epitopes and peptides that block specific sites. The interruption of the quorum sensing mechanisms is another possible goal for biofilm therapy (Njoroge and Sperandio, 2009). Such measures might turn bacteria present in biofilm more susceptible to antimicrobials or lessen its pathogenicity (Bjarnsholt et al., 2005).

A large variety of agents has been formulated so as to increase the potential control of biofilm such as: antibiotics, quaternary ammonium compounds, and chlorhexidine gluconate and acetate (Baehni and Takeuchi, 2003; Oliveira et al., 1998). Chlorhexidine shows good substantivity, with an ample spectrum of activity against Gram-positive, negative bacteria and yeast (Kleerebezem et al., 1997; Mukamolova et al., 1998).

Chlorhexidine found application in periodontal treatments, dermatological infections, skin wounds, eye and throat infections and endodontics (Teixeira and Cortes, 2005). Its frequent and prolonged use presents many collateral effects, such as changes in the taste of food and a burning feeling on the tip of the tongue (Greenberg et al., 2008; More et al., 2008; Porto et al., 2009).

Some factors have to be considered while choosing an antimicrobial substance, such as toxicity, low permeability, retentivity and the capacity of maintaining the balance of the microbiota in the mouth cavity (Cury, 2003). Another important consideration is the administration method of the anti-plaque agents. Their liberation in the oral cavity can be made by mouth washing, sprays, toothpaste, gel or vehicles of prolonged liberation, such as varnishes (Scheie, 2007).

**CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS AND BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY OF NATURAL SUBSTANCES**

Considering the previous observations, the use of natural products to promote health is as old as human civilization and, for a long time, mineral, vegetable and animal products constituted the therapeutic arsenal at hand (Eisenberg et al., 1998). Although, the presence of antimicrobial substances in higher plants is not a recent fact, only from the discovery of penicillin onwards the search has received a greater impulse (Coelho et al., 2004; Tavares, 1996).

Plants have many secondary metabolic pathways that originate various compounds, such as alkaloids, flavonoids, isoflavonoids, tannins, coumarins, glycosides, terpenes and polyacetylenes, that are often specific to certain families, genera or species and whose functions, until not long ago, were unknown (Cowan, 1999; Savioa, 2012; Simões et al., 2007). As researches progressed, these substances were found to be important in the defense mechanism of plants against their predators: fungi, bacteria, viruses, parasites, insects, mollusks or higher animals (de Lima et al, 2006).

Researches concerning the biodiversity of the Brazilian flora appear to be extremely promising sources for the discovery of new substances that might be used in the treatment of diseases. Even if the accounts in literature are few (Aburjal et al., 2001; Aqil et al., 2005; Nascimento et al., 2000), the evaluation of the synergic action between natural products and antibiotics currently used in medical clinic show auspicious signs in the attempt of...
minimizing the effect of resistant strains or even the ability of microorganisms organized in biofilms resisting antimicrobial measures.

Natural products derived from medicinal plants have been found to be abundant sources of biologically active compounds, many of which became the base in the development of new chemical products which may lead to a later pharmaceutical output. With respect to diseases caused by microorganisms, the increase in the resistance of many common pathogens to therapeutic agents commonly used, such as antibacterials and antiviral, has lead to a renewed interest in the discovery of new anti-infection compounds. Since there are approximately 500,000 species of plants, distributed all over the planet, and only 1% of which being phytochemically investigated, there is a great potential for finding new bioactive compounds (Palomino, 2011).

In the last years, a great scientific progress has occurred concerning chemical and pharmacological studies of plants aimed at obtaining new compounds with therapeutic properties (Ceccinelli-Filo and Yunes, 1998). Among the therapeutic agents derived from plants, essential oils - also called volatile or ethereal- occupy a preponderant position.

From a chemical point of view, essential oils are complex mixtures of volatile, lipophilic, generally odorific and liquid substances. They are extracted from various plant parts (flowers, inflorescences, seeds, leaves, sticks, shells, fruits and roots) by specific processes; they possess a frequently pleasant odor and are colorless or lightly yellow when recently extracted, with an oily appearance. Their main characteristic is their volatility, which distinguish them from the fixed oils, mixtures of lipidic substances, generally extracted from seeds (Simões et al., 2003). These denominations derive from some of their physical-chemical properties, as example, are generally liquid, of an oily aspect at room temperature- hence, oil.

Volatile oils are defined as substances obtained from organs of vegetable species through steam distillation, as well as substances obtained by pressing the pericarps of citric fruits. They might also be called essential oils, ethereal oils or essences.

Another important characteristic is the pleasant and intense odor in most of the volatile oils, thereby named essences. They are also soluble in apolar organic solvents, as aether, hence their name ethereal oils, aetheroleum in latin. In water, the volatile oils display limited solubility, but enough to aromatize aqueous solutions, named hydrolates.

Essential oils are complex mixtures of mainly terpenes (Edries, 2007) compounds, but they might include terpene hydrocarbons, simple and terpene alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, phenols, esters, ethers, oxides, peroxides, furanes, organic acids, lactones and coumarins (Simões et al., 2007). Strong in vitro evidences indicate that essential oils can act as antibacterial agents against a large spectrum of pathogenic bacterial strains (Burt, 2004; Nguefack et al., 2004; Schmidt et al., 2005).

The antimicrobial activity of some essential oils and isolated components was reviewed. It was observed that eugenol showed good antibacterial effectiveness in a 0.5% concentration. In the present study, after 24 h of incubation eugenol showed a statistically significant decrease in bacterial growth in concentrations of 2.5 to 0.078% and there was no significant difference in comparison of all concentrations to tests with chlorhexidine (Burt, 2004).

Carvacrol, a phenolic monoterpane and a potent antimicrobial, was effective against a biofilm formed by Staphylococcus aureus and Salmonella enterica. Non-biocidal concentrations prevent the accumulation of protein mass and interrupt the normal development of biofilm. This molecule, along with thymol, constitute the phenolic components that enable antimicrobial activities in the oregano oil (Savoia, 2012).

In 2005, Alviano and collaborators studied the antimicrobial and antibiofilm activity of the essential oil of the Croton cajucara and its major component linalol, observing that the effect of the oil was more potent than that of the isolated component (Alviano et al., 2005). Jeon et al. (2011), while testing the capacity of t-farnesol, a terpenoid that can constitute essential oils, observed its potential to affect biofilms of S. mutans through alteration in the proton-motive force, possibly by the interaction of lipophilic domains with the bacterial membrane, as is supposed to happen in the action against bacteria in the planktonic form; damaging the cellular functions of the membrane, and compromising the ability of S. mutans to produce and tolerate acids and to synthesize intra/extracellular polysaccharides (Koo et al., 2002, 2003). Data from this study suggest that the treatment with t-farnesol can later make the bacterial accumulation impossible in biofilms subjected to nutritional depletion (Jeon et al., 2011).

Recent studies reported complementary and alternative treatment options to combat P. aeruginosa infections. Quorum sensing inhibitors, phages, probiotics, antimicrobial peptides, vaccine antigens and antimicrobial nanoparticles have the potential to act against drug resistant strains. Unfortunately, most studies considering alternative treatment options are still confined in the preclinical stages, although some of these findings have tremendous potential to be turned into valuable therapeutics (Biswas et al., 2015).

It is relevant to observe that compounds derived from plants have received larger attention in the research of alternatives in the control of infections, especially those related to the formation of biofilm, which present a recalcitrant character. It is well known that there are two main reasons for the essential oils to restrain the development of resistant bacterial strains: they are
complex and constituted by a good number of compounds in varied proportions, depending on the chemotype of the plant. Therefore, even if the bacteria are able to resist the effect of one component, there are others, possibly, with different action goals to complete the global antimicrobial activity of the essential oil (Bakkali et al., 2008; Reichling et al., 2009).

**ANTIBIOFILM ACTIVITY OF NATURAL SUBSTANCES**

In the last years, medicinal plants have raised the attention of researchers because of their promising potential as a source of antimicrobials. In many communities around the world, the traditional medicine systems are the only means available for the treatment of infections (Savoa, 2012). Many of these agents have their activity tested in planktonic cultures. However, the activity on sessile forms of life, responsible for the gravest problems, is still understudied. Studies that evaluate antibiofilm activity should deal with the activity against consolidated biofilms and anti-adherent properties as a prophylactic measure against the formation of biofilm (Al-Bakri et al., 2010).

Efforts in the discovery of new medicine with antimicrobial properties are the basis to overcome the worldwide problem of microbial resistance. Extracts and oils obtained from plants have been used for a great variety of objectives for centuries (Jones, 1996). These objectives vary from the use of rosewood and ceder in perfume making, flavoring of beverages with lemon oil (Lawless, 1995) and the preservation of stored food (Mishra and Dubey, 1994). Particularly, the antimicrobial activity of plant oils and extracts have been in the roots of several applications, including conservation of raw and processed food, pharmaceutical products, alternative medicine and natural therapies (Lis-Balchin and Deans, 1997; Reynolds, 1996).

The search for natural products with anti-incrustation properties has been strongly encouraged for the reason that these components are not toxic to the environment. The larger part of these components was identified as terpenes, steroids, carotenoids, phenols, furanones, alkaloids, peptides and lactones. Isolates from a vast array of organisms, including sponges, coral, algae and microbes, have been studied worldwide (Viju et al., 2013).

A review of literature made by Agra et al. (2007) listed the popular use of 483 plants with bioactive potential in the Brazilian Northeastern region. Many are yet to be studied in relation to their chemical constituents and/or biological activity, but the number demonstrates the potential of the region as a source for future studies.

A study made with 24 plants empirically used by the Brazilian semiariad community for the treatment of a variety of diseases, such as skin infections, gastrointestinal disturbs, tuberculosis and urinary tract infections, showed that the trunk bark extract of Commiphora leptophloeos, known as Imburana in the region, showed inhibitory effect over Staphylococcus epidermidis in a minimal concentration of 1.0 mg/mL and decreased the formation of biofilm in 80%. Extracts of Bauhinia acuruana and Phytocarpa moniliformis presented biofilm inhibitory activity for the same microorganism, without causing bacterial death (Trentin et al., 2011a, b).

The elimination of mature biofilm is still a very difficult task. Thus, the inhibition of the adherence of microorganisms in a way that does not involve bacterial death constitutes a new concept of antivirulence therapy. Substances that hamper the fixation of organisms, without affecting their growth, maintaining the cell in planktonic stage, turn them more susceptible to other antimicrobial agents and to the hosts immune system.

Rubus ulmifolius extracts studies using confocal microscopy revealed ellagic acid derivatives with biofilm formation inhibitory properties without bacterial growth inhibition in methicillin-sensitive S. aureus isolates (Fontaine et al., 2017). In 2008, Silva and collaborators investigated the in vitro antimicrobial action and adherence inhibition of the hydroalcoholic extract of Rosmarinus officinalis Linn. (rosemary) on standard strains of Streptococcus mitis ATCC 98811, Streptococcus sanguinis ATCC 10556, Streptococcus mutans ATCC 25175, Streptococcus sobrinus ATCC 27609 and Lactobacillus casei ATCC 7469. In this study, the extract of Rosmarinus officinalis Linn. was effective in the adherence inhibition of S. mitis ATCC 98811, S. mutans ATCC 25175 and S. sobrinus ATCC 27609 and might be used in future tests on the inhibition of biofilm formation (Silva et al., 2008).

A research carried out by the University of Barcelona, Spain Department of Genetics, in partnership with the MEDINA Foundation examined a set of 1120 natural product extracts identifying different activities, among them: inhibition of biofilm formation, detachment of mature biofilms, antimicrobial activity against planktonic cells and on biofilm component cells, using high-throughput screening (HTS), automated technology involving robotic and bioinformatics instruments to perform the pharmacologically important substances scanning. Of the 1120 extracts tested, 40 presented metabolites with potential antimicrobial action and antibiofilm against Salmonella enteritidis (Paytubi et al., 2017).

The casbane diterpene, isolated from the ethanolic extract of Croton nepetaefolius, can interact in a non-specific form with the bacterial membrane, destabilizing interactions and interfering in the cellular development. Experiments with microdilution in polystyrene plates have shown a promising activity of this compound over streptococcus species, achieving efficiency similar to that of chlorhexidine (Sá et al., 2012).

The essential oil and extracts of Cupressus
sempervirens were tested in their capacity of inhibiting biofilm formation. Among the studied microorganisms, K. pneumoniae was the most sensible strain. The methanolic extract and the essential oil showed a significant decrease in the fixation of K. pneumoniae in polyvinyl chloride (PVC), material used in the confection of medical catheters. The antimicrobial activity of these compounds can be mostly attributed to their phenolic constituents, α-pinene and cedrol (Selim et al., 2014).

Extracts of coconut shell fibers (Cocos nucifera) increased the hydrophobicity of Pseudomonas sp. and Alteromonas sp. isolated from marine biofilms, which, according to the physical-chemical theory of bacterial adhesion, decreases the adherence rate of microorganisms (Viju et al., 2013). Silva et al. (2012) made a study of bioprospection of medicinal plants in the Brazilian semi-arid region. The most promising results were obtained from extracts of Schinopsis brasiliensis which inhibited the formation of biofilm both in Gram-negative (Pseudomonas aeruginosa) as well as in Gram-positive (Staphylococcus aureus) bacteria, however, it was toxic to Artemia salina.

Extracts of Humulus lupulus (Cannabaceae), which contains xanthohumol as a major component, inhibited biofilm formation in S. aureus in 99.9%. Synergetic studies have shown that the addition of hop compounds decreased the value of MIC for commercial antibiotics oxacillin and linezolid from 0.125 and 0.5 to 0.094 and 0.38 μg/mL, respectively (Rozalski et al., 2013).

Trentin et al. (2011a, b) conducted a study on the effect of the filtrate of a Cobetia marina colony, a Gram-negative marine bacterium, on the formation of biofilm in S. epidermidis, an important agent in hospital-acquired infections. The filtrate does not possess the capacity of destructing consolidated biofilm; however, it inhibited its formation in 84.7%. It is possible that the action mechanism of this substance is related to its ability to alter the bacterial quorum sensing, avoiding the production of extracellular polymers and, consequently, biofilm formation.

Antibacterial agents used in the prevention and treatment of oral diseases, including cetlypyridinium chloride, chlorhexidine, fluorinated amines or products containing such agents might show undesired effects such as dental staining or, in the case of ethanol commonly found in mouthwash, in relation to the development of oral cancer (Knoll-Kohler and Stiebel, 2002; Lachenmeier, 2008; Mccullough and Farah, 2008; Neumegen et al., 2005; Rodrigues et al., 2007). Thus, the search for alternative products and phytochemical isolates in plants used in traditional medicine is considered a good alternative over synthetic drugs (Prabu et al., 2006).

The use of essential oils as antimicrobial agents is attested from a long time ago (Abee et al., 2011) and, in the specific case of oral microorganisms, mouthwashes containing essential oils have been shown beneficial and safe for daily use in oral hygiene (Claffey, 2003), depending on further studies to understand the spectrum of its action against these microorganisms (Abee et al., 2011).

There are few mentions in literature about the antibiofilm activity of essential oil or their isolates on pathogenic biofilms related to oral diseases. Milezi et al. (2010) reported on the activity of cleaning detergents made of C. citratus and T. vulgaris over biofilms of Aeromonas hydrophila, a microorganism related to food contamination, showing decrease in the number of viable cells present in the communities subject to the substances.

Several screenings have shown that natural products, particularly phytochemicals, are an interesting source of quorum sense inhibitor (QSI) (Castillo-Juárez et al., 2015). They have been recognized as a large and attractive repository of QSI, offering a vast chemical diversity with structural complexity and biological activity (Borges et al., 2015). In fact, they resemble what is considered an “ideal” QSI, which includes being chemically stable, highly effective, low-molecular-mass molecules, and being harmless to health (Qian et al., 2013). Therefore, phytochemicals with QS inhibition activity can be promising tools to help the treatment of bacterial infections, including those that are biofilm-related, in an era where the availability of effective antibiotics is no longer guaranteed.

CONCLUSION

The rescue of the historical use of medicinal plants is inestimable in order to direct the investigations on bioprospection. A large number of plants have already been studied with regards to their antimicrobial properties. Brazil possesses a large diversity of plants mentioned with the popular use in the treatment of numerous infirmities that remain yet to be subject to a deeper scientific investigation, in order to prove their applicability, determine their bioactive substances and action mechanisms.

The focus of the study of antibiofilm properties is necessary, considering the problems these bacterial communities have caused in diverse clinical, environmental and industrial contexts. Brazil has a great potential for developing applied natural products. Thus, the use of medicinal plants reported in traditional knowledge, intertwining technology to scientifically validate it, and might become an efficient option in the treatment of various infectious diseases (Borges et al., 2016).

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.
REFERENCES


Hall-Stoodley L, Stoodley P (2009). Cellular Envolving concepts in
Sá NC, Cavalcante TTA, Araújo AX, dos Santos HS, Albuquerque MRJR, Bandeira PN, Teixeira EH (2012). Antimicrobial and


