

Full Length Research Paper

Shelf stability of processed cocoyam flour during storage at room temperature ($28.0 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for a period of four months

G. I. Okwu*, A. R. Akpe and A. A. Osawaru

Department of Microbiology, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria.

Received 18 August, 2020; Accepted 8 December, 2020

The shelf life study of dry milled cocoyam flour packaged in low density polyethylene was carried out for a period of 4-month. Microbiological, nutritional, physicochemical quality characteristics and aflatoxin content were evaluated. The total viable bacterial counts ranged from 1.6×10^3 - 4.8×10^5 cfu/g while the total viable fungal count increased from 5.0×10^1 - 3.8×10^5 sfu/g. The bacteria isolated include *Bacillus* species, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Proteus* species, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Micrococcus luteus*, *Klebsiella* species, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas* species and *Staphylococcus saprophytic*. Fungal genera isolated include *Penicillium* species, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Fusarium*, *Mucor* and *Rhizopus* species. Gradual decrease in pH (6.40 ± 0.001 to 4.17 ± 0.01) and noticeable increase in titrateable acidity (0.024 ± 0.003 to $1.17 \pm 0.01\%$) were observed during storage. There was an increase in moisture content while carbohydrate, protein, fat, crude fibre and ash were found to decrease during storage. Aflatoxin B₁ and B₂ content from 0 h to the 4th month were (0.020, 0.006) and (0.097, 0.063) µg/kg respectively. The presence of aflatoxin B₁ and B₂ is of public health concern. There is need for improved processing, handling techniques and good hygiene practices to ensure safety of the finished product.

Key words: Cocoyam, shelf life, room temperature, aflatoxin content, nutritional analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Cocoyam is an herbaceous perennial plant which belongs to the family Araceae. Cocoyams are originally from the tropical and sub-tropical countries and studies reveal that cocoyam is among the least studied root plants. Some species include *Xanthosoma sagittifolium*, *Amorphophallus titanum* and *Colocasia esculenta*. This species, *Xanthosoma sagittifolium*, is food for over 400 million people worldwide and is the most consumed in

West Africa (Boakye et al., 2018). According to Onyeka (2014), Africa is the major producer with West and Central Africa, notably, Nigeria, Ghana, and Cameroon contributing to over 60% of the total African production. Nigeria is the world's largest producer of cocoyam. The average production figure for Nigeria is 5.400 Metric Tonnes which accounts for about 37% of total world's output of cocoyam (FAO, 2012). It is nutritionally

*Corresponding author. E-mail: grace.okwu@yahoo.com.

superior to yam and cassava in terms of its digestibility, contents of crude protein and essential minerals, such as Ca, Mg, and P (Chukwu et al., 2012). All parts of the cocoyam (corm, cornel, leave and flower) are edible and the corms contain approximately 25% starch and eaten mainly as thickeners, purees or whole (Ejoh et al., 2013).

It is used in the treatment of diabetes, prevention of cancer and as food for the aged people, individuals and children (Kundu et al., 2012).

The crop has assumed nutritional and industrial significance in flour industries (Onwubuya and Ajani, 2012). Cocoyam flour can be used in the production of bread, cakes and also in chin-chin production.

Cocoyam is a great source of dietary fibre and starch that can generate energy to the body (Adekiya et al., 2014); also an adequate source of potassium (Nnabuk et al., 2012).

Previous works have been carried out on the functional properties of raw and precooked taro (*C. esculenta*) flour (Tagodoe and Nip, 1994), starch structure and some properties of cocoyam (Sefa-Dede and Sackey, 2002), production of ethanol from cocoyam (*C. esculenta*) (Braide et al., 2011) and effects of processing on energy value, nutrient and anti-nutrient components of wild cocoyam (Olajide et al., 2011). Despite the increasing demand and usage of cocoyam in Nigeria, there is little scientific information on the quality characteristics, shelf stability and packaging existing in literature, hence the need for this present work.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Healthy red coloured cocoyam (*C. esculenta*) tubers (100 kg) were purchased from different vendors at Irukep market, Edo State, Nigeria, and placed in two sterile Hessian bags of 50 kg each. Thereafter, it was taken to Ambrose Alli University Microbiology laboratory where it was immediately processed, packaged and analyzed.

Preparation of sample

The cocoyam tubers were peeled, washed, sliced thinly, and oven dried at a temperature of 65°C for 2 h. Thereafter, it was ground into powdered form with a milling machine and immediately packaged in a low density polyethylene (LDPE) (50 g per pack), sealed, labeled and kept on the shelf at ambient temperature (28 ± 2°C) for further analyses.

Microbiological analysis

Samples of the packaged cocoyam flour were analyzed

microbiologically. At intervals (1 week, 2 weeks, 1 month, 2 months, 3 months and 4 months), 10 g of each sample was homogenized in 90 ml of sterile distilled water for 2 min to obtain stock solution. A further ten-fold serial dilution was done up to 10⁻⁸ for colony counts. Aliquots (1 ml) of appropriate dilutions were aseptically pour-plated in nutrient agar for isolation of bacteria and on acidified potato dextrose agar for isolation of fungi under aseptic conditions. The nutrient agar plates were aerobically incubated at 37°C for 24 to 48 h, while the potato dextrose agar plates were incubated at room temperature (28.0 ± 2°C) for 3 to 5 days. At the end of incubation, the colonies were enumerated and recorded. The bacterial isolates were purified, characterized and identified using series of cultural and biochemical tests as described by Ochei and Kolhatkar (2008). The fungal isolates were identified microscopically using lactophenol cotton blue test.

Physicochemical and nutritional analysis

The moisture content of the samples was determined according to Cole (2002). This is an oven dry method in which weight of the various samples was placed in previously weighed watch glass and the initial weight noted. Thereafter, it was placed in a vacuum oven (Gallenkamp) at 95±2°C. At intervals, the sample was brought out and weighed, until the weight became constant (final weight). The difference between the initial and the final weight was recorded as the moisture content. The pH readings were obtained using a digital Jenway Model 3510 benchtop pH meter. The available carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, ash, crude fibre and titratable acidity (TA) were also determined according to AOAC (2008) methods.

Aflatoxin determination

The extraction, detection and quantification of aflatoxin were done according to the method of Jonathan and Esho (2010). Known weight (5 g) of sample was added to 7 ml of distilled water and 25 ml of chloroform, the mixture was shaken and left for 30 min after which the solution obtained was filtered using a Whatman No. 1 filter paper. Extract was obtained and evaporated to dryness to a volume of 5 ml on a hot water bath (Gallenkamp, England). 0.5 ml of the reconstituted extract with chloroform was spotted on a pre-coated 20 × 20 cm² thin layer chromatography (TLC) plate along with aflatoxin standard of known concentration. Developed TLC plate was air dried at ambient temperature (28 ± 2°C) and aflatoxins were detected under UV light at wavelength of 360 nm (Cecil Instrument CE505). The preparative TLC plates employed in the quantification were 0.5 µm thick. On detection of the area containing the toxin of interest, it was scrapped off, eluted with chloroform and filtered using Whatman No 1. filter paper. The extract was evaporated to dryness and reconstituted with 3 ml chloroform. Alongside with aflatoxin standard of 20 µg/ml concentration, the absorbance was determined on an ultraviolet spectrophotometer (Cecil Instrument CE505) at a wavelength of 360 nm.

This approach as used by Jonathan and Esho (2010) was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Aflatoxin concentration, } C \text{ (}\mu\text{g/kg)} = \frac{\text{Absorbance of sample} \times \text{Concentration of standard} \times \text{Dilution Factor}}{\text{Absorbance of Standard}}$$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The total viable bacterial counts of the cocoyam flour

samples during storage for four months ranged from 1.6×10³ - 4.8×10⁵ cfu/g (Table 1). There was gradual but steady increase in the bacterial count throughout the

Table 1. Total viable counts (TVC) of cocoyam flour stored at Room Temperature ($28.0 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for a period of four (4) months.

Period of storage	Total Viable Count	
	Bacteria (cfu/g)	Fungi (sfu/g)
0 h	Nil	5.0×10^1
1 week	1.6×10^3	7.0×10^2
2 weeks	3.5×10^3	6.8×10^3
1 month	1.0×10^4	1.5×10^4
2 months	6.0×10^4	1.7×10^4
3 months	9.1×10^4	2.7×10^5
4 months	4.8×10^5	3.8×10^5

Each value is the mean of triplicate determinations.

Table 2. Bacteria isolated from cocoyam flour during storage at Room Temperature ($28.0 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for a period of four (4) months.

Isolate	Period of Storage						
	0 h	1 Week	2 Weeks	1 Month	2 Months	3 Months	4 Months
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	-	+	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	-	-	-	+	+	+	+
<i>Staphylococcus saprophyticus</i>	-	+	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Klebsiella</i> species	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Proteus</i> species	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
<i>Micrococcus luteus</i>	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
<i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i>	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Pseudomonas</i> species	-	-	-	-	+	+	+

+ = Present; - = Absent.

of storage.

The total viable fungal counts of cocoyam flour samples ranged from 5.0×10^1 to 3.8×10^5 sfu/g. There was also a gradual increase in the fungal counts with increase in storage period (Table 1).

The increase in microbial load might be due to increase in moisture content during storage. These results are in line with Modupe et al. (2016) who showed that a high moisture content has been reported to potentiate biodeterioration. The cocoyam flour is hygroscopic and can absorb moisture from the environment. Furthermore, the increase in moisture could be attributed to the type of packaging material used. Low density polythene packaging material has the ability to absorb moisture from the environment.

A total of ten bacterial species were isolated from the cocoyam flour sample during the four months of storage (Table 2), they include: *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Micrococcus luteus*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, species of *Bacillus*, *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas* and *Proteus*. While six fungal genera were isolated (Table 3). The fungal isolates include *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus flavus*, species of *Rhizopus*, *Fusarium*, *Penicillium* and *Mucor*. Bacterial

species isolated have been associated with food handlers, equipment and raw materials and they play important role in the spoilage of food and some of them (*Staphylococcus aureus*, *S. pyogenes* and *Bacillus cereus*) are pathogenic (Moretro and Langsrud, 2017). The processing of cocoyam flour involves lot of manual handling and this might be one of the sources of contamination.

S. aureus grows well in protein and carbohydrate rich foods and it is tolerant to high levels of salt (Moretro and Langsrud, 2017). According to Sachindra et al. (2005), the processing conditions such as drying and heat treatment might reduce microbial levels, but recontamination could take place during the post-processing handling or storage practices. Processing and storage conditions may influence the presence and number of microorganisms present in the processed cocoyam flour. The growth conditions for microorganisms are dependent on specific intrinsic and extrinsic factors such as temperature, water activity, pH, oxidation-reduction potential, microbial interactions and nutrient content (Jay, 2000).

The predominant fungi were *Fusarium* spp., *A. niger* and *A. flavus*. *A. niger*, *A. flavus* and *Penicillium* spp.

Table 3. Fungi associated with cocoyam flour during storage at Room Temperature ($28.0 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for a period of four (4) months.

Isolate	Period of storage						
	0 h	1 Week	2 Weeks	1 Month	2 Months	3 Months	4 Months
<i>Rhizopus</i> species	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Aspergillus niger</i>	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
<i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Fusarium</i> species	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Penicillium</i> species	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Mucor</i> species	-	-	-	-	+	+	+

+ = Present; - = Absent.

Table 4. Biochemical (Nutritional) quality of cocoyam flour stored at Room Temperature ($28.0 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for a period of four (4) months.

Parameter	% Composition	
	0 h	4th Month
Moisture	5.27 ± 0.01	6.90 ± 0.02
Ash	3.28 ± 0.04	2.92 ± 0.03
Protein	15.84 ± 0.02	14.95 ± 0.01
Fat	1.93 ± 0.05	1.86 ± 0.02
Carbohydrate	67.76 ± 0.003	58.08 ± 0.005
Crude Fibre	0.76 ± 0.01	0.67 ± 0.04

Each value is the mean \pm standard deviation of triplicate determinations.

were isolated throughout the storage of the flour samples. *Mucor* spp. emerged after two months of storage. This indicated that ecological succession may have occurred during the storage of the cocoyam flour.

High number of fungi in the final product may indicate poor handling during processing and storage conditions (temperature and humidity) which allowed the growth and proliferation of these organisms (Mandel, 2005).

Fungi are widely distributed in air and in the soil (Braide et al., 2008). *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium* spp. are frequently isolated from food and may have contaminated the products through the soil during processing and storage (Abbey, 2007). *Rhizopus* and *Mucor* spp. are less fastidious and are frequently involved in the deterioration and spoilage of food with low moisture content (Braide et al., 2011).

There was notable increase in the moisture content (5.27%-14.90%) at the end of storage period as presented in Table 4. However, decreases were observed in percentage Ash (3.28 - 2.92%), Protein (15.84 - 14.95%), Fats (1.93 - 1.86%), Crude Fibre (0.76 - 0.67%) and Carbohydrates (67.76 - 58.08%) contents at the end of four months.

The decrease in carbohydrate, protein and fat could be attributed to high microbial activities potentiated by the high moisture content. Also, the drying process applied

may have denatured the protein structure leading to decrease with storage period. Presence of microbial contaminants may have encouraged utilization of the nutrients in the stored cocoyam flour for their growth and proliferation. Increase in moisture content could also be attributed to the low density polythene packaging material which has the ability to absorb moisture from the environment. High moisture content encourages prolific growth of bacteria and mould in foods (Kordylas, 1991).

There was a notable decrease in pH (6.40 - 4.17) and increase in percentages TA (0.024 - 1.116%) during storage period (Table 5). The low pH observed may be related to the activities of associated microbes which may have increased the release of some organic acids and other metabolites; thereby increasing the titratable acidity.

The mean aflatoxin concentration of the sample obtained is shown in Table 6. Aflatoxin G1 and G2 were not detected in the samples analyzed at 0 h, but B1 and B2 were detected and also as the month of storage increased, the level of aflatoxin content gradually increased. *Aspergillus*, *Penicillium* and *Fusarium* spp. produce various mycotoxins in food under storage (Efiuvwevwe, 2000; Abbey, 2007). *A. flavus* elaborate aflatoxins that may induce hepatocellular carcinoma.

Toxins produced by *Penicillium* spp. may be nephrotoxic and carcinogenic, *Fusarium* spp. toxins give

Table 5. Physico-chemical quality of packaged cocoyam flour stored at Room Temperature ($28.0 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for a period of four (4) months.

Period of storage	pH	TA (as % Lactic acid)
0 h	6.40 ± 0.001	0.024 ± 0.003
1 Week	5.97 ± 0.03	0.09 ± 0.001
2 Weeks	5.70 ± 0.01	0.109 ± 0.003
1 Month	5.60 ± 0.02	0.128 ± 0.05
2 Months	5.07 ± 0.04	0.162 ± 0.03
3 Months	4.50 ± 0.03	0.17 ± 0.01
4 Months	4.17 ± 0.01	1.17 ± 0.01

Each value is the mean \pm standard deviation of triplicate determinations.

Table 6. Aflatoxin content ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$) in samples of packaged cocoyam flour stored at Room Temperature ($28.0 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) for a period of four (4) months.

Period of storage	Aflatoxin content ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$)			
	B ₁	B ₂	G ₁	G ₂
0 h	0.020	0.006	0.00	0.00
1 month	0.041	0.029	0.011	0.009
2 months	0.046	0.033	0.017	0.010
3 months	0.078	0.057	0.026	0.019
4 months	0.097	0.063	0.031	0.025

rise to allergic symptoms or are carcinogenic in long term consumption (Pitt, 2000; Abbey, 2007). *Rhizopus* and *Mucor* spp. also produce mycotoxins associated with various mycotoxicoses (Abbey, 2007). The presence of mycotoxins in our food systems and tissues has enormous public health significance because these toxins are nephrotoxic, immunotoxic, teratogenic and mutagenic. They are also capable of causing acute and chronic effects in man and animals ranging from death to disorder of central nervous, cardiovascular, pulmonary systems and intestinal tract (Bhat and Vasanthi, 2003).

The values of aflatoxin determined were insignificant when compared with the values provided by National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control (NAFDAC), Nigeria. NAFDAC has recently given a permissible limit for AFB₁ of 4 - 5 μg for beans, wheat and flours (Makun et. al., 2010).

Conclusion

The study has revealed that obvious microbiological, physico-chemical and biochemical changes took place during processing and storage of cocoyam flour packaged in low-density polyethylene (LDPE) under tropical temperature. The presence of aflatoxin B₁ and B₂ in the stored product is of public health concern. Therefore, there is need for improved processing and handling techniques, hygiene practices and safety of the

finished product. Findings obtained may be useful in the handling and storage of cocoyam flour.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Abbey SD (2007). Foundation in Medical Mycology, 4th edition Kenalf Publication, Port Harcourt, Nigeria pp. 22-30.
- Adekiya AO, Ojemji SO, Agbede TM, Ewulo BS (2014). Soil physical properties and cocoyam performance as influenced by tillage on an Affisol in the rain forest zones of South West Nigeria. International soil tillage research organization pp. 266-271.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) (2008). Official method of analysis, Washington D.C association of Official analytical chemists, 17th edition.
- Bhat RV, Vasanthi S (2003). Food Safety in Food Security and Food Trade. Mycotoxin. Food Safety Risk in Developing Countries. International Food Policy Research Institute.
- Boakye AA, Wireko-Manu FD, Odoro I, Ellis WO, Gudjónsdóttir M, Chronakis IS (2018). Utilizing cocoyam (*Xanthosoma sagittifolium*) for food and nutrition security: A review. Food Science Nutrition 6(4):703-713.
- Braide W, Sokari TG, Nwaoguikpe RN, Okorondu SI (2008). Microbes from soils associated with metamorphosing moth larvae. Microbial Technique 4:11-14.
- Braide W, Nwaoguikpe R, Udegbonam I, Akobondu C, Okorondu S Solomon O (2011). The effect of biodeterioration on the nutritional composition and microbiology of an edible long-winged reproductive termite, *Macrotermes bellicosus*. Smeathman. Internet Journal of Food Safety 13:107-114.

- Chukwu GO, Nwosu KI, Mbanaso ENA, Onwubiko O, Oloye BC (2012). Cocoyam rebirth initiative. Annual Report National Root Crops Research Institute (NRCRI). Umuḍike pp. 119-121.
- Cole P (2002). Determining moisture in food. <http://www.foodtechsource.com> (Accessed 16/4/2013)
- Efiuwwewere BJO (2000). Microbial Spoilage agents of Tropical and Assorted Fruits and Vegetables: An Illustrative Reference Book. First edition, Published by Paragraphics, Port Harcourt, Nigeria pp. 3-8.
- Food Agricultural Organization (FAO) (2012). Root and tuber crops in developing countries, challenges and opportunities pp. 11-13.
- Ejoh SI, Obatolu VA, Olanipekun OT, Farinde EO (2013). Extending the use of an underutilized tuber physicochemical and pasting properties of Cocoyam (*Xanthosoma saffittifolium*) flour and its suitability for making biscuit. African Journal of food Science 7:264-273.
- Jay JM (2000). Modern Food Microbiology. Aspen Publishers, Inc. Gaithersburg, Maryland pp. 35-41, 388-395.
- Jonathan SG, Esho EO (2010). Fungi and Aflatoxin detection in two oyster mushrooms *Pleurotus ostreatus* and *Pleurotus pulmonanus* from Nigeria. Electronic Journal of Environmental Agricultural and Food Chemistry 11:1722-1730.
- Kordylas JM (1991). Processing and Preservation of Tropical and Subtropical Foods, 1st Edition Macmillian Education Ltd., Basingstoke 414 p.
- Kundu N, Campbell P, Hampton A (2012). Anti - metastatic activity isolated from *Colocasia esculenta* (Taro). Anti-cancer drugs pp. 200-211.
- Makun HA, Anjorin ST, Moronfoye B, Adejo FO, Afolabi OA, Fagbayibo G, Balogun BO, Surajudeen AA (2010). Fungal and aflatoxin contamination of some human food commodities in Nigeria. African Journal of. Food Science 4(4):127-135.
- Mandeel QA (2005). Fungal contamination of some imported spices. Mycopathologia 159(2):291-298.
- Modupe EO, Abiodun JO, Adesola AA (2016). The study of the effect of moisture content on the Biochemical deterioration of stored fermented *Parkia biglobosa* seeds. Open Journal of Engineering Research and Technology 1(1):14-22.
- Moretro T, Langsrud S (2017). Residential Bacteria on Surfaces in the Food Industry and Their Implications for Food Safety and Quality. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety 16(5):759-1169.
- Nnabuk OE, Emmanuel E, Eno EE, Richard AU (2012). Industrial Potential of Two Varieties of Cocoyam in Bread Making. E-Journal of Chemistry 9(1):451-464.
- Ochei J, Kolhatkar A (2008). Medical laboratory science. Theory and practice. McGraw-Hill, New York, USA.
- Olajide R, Akinsoyinu AO, Babayemi OJ, Omojoja AB, Abu AO, Afolabi KD (2011). Effect of processing on energy value, nutrient and anti-nutrient components of wild Cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta*), Pakistan journal of nutrition 10(1):29-34.
- Onwubuya EA, Ajani EN (2012). Strategies for improving production and processing of cocoyam among women in Anambra State, Nigeria. Universal Journal of Education and General Studies 1(16):169-173.
- Onyeka J (2014). Status of Cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta* and *Xanthosoma* spp.) in West and Central Africa: Production, Household Importance and the Threat from Leaf Blight. Technical report.
- Pitt JI (2000). Toxigenic Fungi: Which are important? Medical Mycology 38(1):17-22.
- Sachindra NM, Sakhare PZ, Yashoda KP, Narasimha RD (2005). Microbial profile of buffalo sausage during processing and storage. Food Control 16(1):31-35.
- Sefa-Dede S, Sackey EKA (2002). Starch structure and some properties of cocoyam (*Xanthosoma saggitifolium* and *Colocasia esculenta*) starch and raphides. Food Chemistry 79(4):435-444.
- Tagodoe A, Nip WK (1994). Functional properties of raw and precooked taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) flours. International Journal of Food Science Technology 29(4):457-462.