Full Length Research Paper

¹H and ³¹P–NMR monitored cross-metathesis reaction of octavinylsilsesquioxane hybrid nanocomposite with ruthenium carbene: possibility for multifunctional octasilsesquioxane derivatives

Enock O. Dare

Department of Chemistry, University of Agriculture, P. O. Box 28, UNAAB, Abeokuta, Nigeria. E-mail: dare3160@hotmail.com.

Accepted 10 June, 2010

Cross metathesis reaction of octavinylsilsesquioxane 1 with Grubb's carbene 2 in $CDCl_3$ has been monitored by ${}^{1}H$ – and ${}^{31}P$ – NMR spectroscopy. Reaction in the presence of excess 1 afforded a ruthenium alkylidene resonance attributable to a monofunctional derivative of 1, while in the presence of excess 2, a tetrafunctional derivative resulted as determined by ${}^{1}H$ - ${}^{31}P$ – NMR and mass spectrometry.

Key words: Cross metathesis, octavinylsilsesquioxane, ruthenium carbene, nanocomposite.

INTRODUCTION

Polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxanes (POSS) are well known hybrid molecules that have recently attracted extensive attention as possible nanomaterial (size, Si - Si 0.5 nm; R - R 1.5 nm) building blocks in the synthesis of various materials, such as ceramic and liquid crystalline supramolecular systems. Most metal- functionalized silsesquioxanes were synthesized from trisilanol using "end- capped" reaction (Feher et al., 1992; Maschmeyer et al., 1995; Field et al., 1994). The metallasilsesquioxanes are noted for their high catalytic activities (Maschmeyer et al., 1995).

There are reports (Voronkov et al., 1979; Martynova et al., 1982; Yucks and Carrado, 1996) on cross-metathesis reactions of alkenes with readily available vinylsubstituted silsesquioxane and spherosilicates. However, investigations on metallasilsesquioxanes bearing ruthenium metal are often hampered by slow rates of crossmetathesis which limits its practicability. Moreover, there are reports on cross-metathesis of vinyl-substituted (vinyltrialkoxy) and (vinyltrisiloxy)-silanes catalyzed by a ruthenium carbene complex (Grubbs catalyst) with various olefins, such as styrene (Pietraszuk et al., 2000), terminal alkenes and p-substituted styrenes (Pietraszuk et al., 2001; Kujawa-Welton et al., 2002). However, the alkyl-substituted vinylsilanes appear to be quite inactive in ruthenium-catalyzed cross-metathesis (Kujawa-Welton et al., 2002). Recently, Itami et al. (2004) reported the

functionalization of octavinylsilsesquioxane by employing both types of reactions catalyzed by ruthenium carbene complexes silylative coupling and cross-metathesis on a wide range of substituted silsesquioxanes with diverse bsubstituted vinyl (especially b-heteroatom-substituted) groups. It is noteworthy that multifunctionalization of octasilsesquioxane with ruthenium carbene (Schwabs et al., 1995) has not been achieved. Here, a report of ¹H – and ³¹P – NMR – monitored cross metathesis reactions of vinylsilsesquioxane 1 with $[(Cy_3P)_2Cl_2Ru=CHPh]$ 2 (ruthenium or Grubb's carbene) (Schwabs et al., 1995) on a preliminary scale is presented.

NMR TUBE EXPERIMENTS

NMR tube was charged with 1 (0.04 g, 6.3×10^{-5} mole) and Grubb's carbene (0.009 g, 1.01×10^{-5} mole). CDCl₃ (1.0 mL) was added as fast as possible under nitrogen. The tube was sealed up and ¹H and ³¹P NMR signals were recorded.

Under nitrogen, a solution of 1 (0.02g, 3.16×10^{5} mole) in CH_2CI_2 (5.0 mL) was prepared. This solution was added to a stirred solution of Grubb's carbene. (0.104 g, 1.26×10^{4} mole) at 0 °C. Icebath was removed and the mixture was stirred for 5 h at 30 °C. The solvent was removed giving shinning black microcrystal, which was further washed with little dried methanol. 5 ¹H-NMR (CDCI₃): δ : 6.0-6.25 ppm (m, H₂C=CH-, 12H), 1.23-2.15 ppm (m, -C₆H₁₁, 264H). ³¹P-NMR (CDCI₃): δ : 50.94 ppm (s, P(C₆H₁₁)₃). MS (FAB): 3505.8 (M⁺, parent ion).



Figure 1. Structural representation of 1, 2, 4 and 5.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In an NMR sample tube, treatment of 1 with 2 (6:1) in CDCl₃ afforded two products: $[(Cy_3P)_2Cl_2Ru=CH_2]$ 3 and 4 within the first 12 min of reaction. The latter is the monofunctional derivative of vinylsilsesquioxane as determined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy while the former is the self metathesis product. Hence, the peaks at (¹H: δ 18.90, ³¹P: δ 43.71) and (¹H: δ 23.93, ³¹P: δ 45.36) are assigned to 3 and 4 respectively. This result conforms to a similar report [Feher et al., 1997] elsewhere (Figure 1).

After establishing the possibility for monofunctional formation of alkylidene complexes, the necessity to adopt a large excess of 2 arose. Within 20 min of reacting 1 with 2 (1:4) in CDCl₃, three ruthenium-alkylidene proton resonances appeared (Figure 2). In addition to the reappeared 3 and 4, the smallest peak at δ 22.6 on proton NMR is assigned to 5 (tetrafunctional derivative of 1). ³¹P-NMR of the mixture (Figure 3) also signified that indeed 3, 4, 5 and unreacted 2 were formed originally. The reaction was repeated on a preparative scale and 5 isolated as a shining black microcrystals with a clear ³¹P-

NMR spectra indicating phosphorus signal at δ 50.94 (Figure 4).

The mechanism of cross metathesis reaction of alkenes and Grubb's catalyst has been proposed by Schwab et al. (1996). 1 reacted with Grubb's carbene to rapidly form a metallacyclobutane intermediate [Herrison and Chauvin, 1980]. Productive cleavage of the intermediate metallacycle leads to the formation of the alkylidene complexes 3, 4 and 5.

Conclusion

The possibility for the tetrafunctional derivative of 1 has been demonstrated by the cross metathesis reaction of octavinylsilsesquioxane and ruthenium carbene in an NMR tube as well as on a preparative scale. However, possibility for practicable octafunctionalization product still remains unsolved as proton NMR indicates complicated mixture of decomposed materials. The molecular orientation of the new organic-inorganic hybrid 5 may suggest its future application in catalysis. Full scale



Figure 2. ¹H-NMR Spectra indicating ruthenium-alkylidene resonances of 3, 4 and 5.



Figure 3. ³¹P-NMR of the original mixtures of 2, 3, 4 and 5.



Figure 4. ³¹P-NMR of isolated cross-metathesis product 5.

practical work is underway. Financial contribution of the institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica is acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Feher FJ, Budzichowski TA, Rahimian K, Ziler JW (1992). J. Am. Chem. Soc., 114: 3859.
- Feher FJ, Soulivong D, Eklund AG, Wyndham KD (1997). Chem. Commun., 1185.
- Field LD, Lindall CM, Maschmeyer T, Masters AF (1994). Aust. J. Chem., 47: 1127.
- Herrison JL, Chauvin Y (1970). Makromol. Chem., 141: 161.
- Itami Y, Marc iniec B, Mubicki K (2004). Chem. Eur. J., 10: 1239.
- Kujawa-Welten M, Marciniec B (2002). J. Mol. Catal., 190: 79.

- Kujawa-Welten M, Pietraszuk C, Marciniec B (2002). Organometallics, 21: 840
- Martynova TN, Korchkov VP (1982). Zh. Obshch. Khim. 52: 1585.
- Maschmeyer T, Rey R, Sankar G, Thomas JM (1995). Nature, 378: 159.
- Pietraszuk C, Fischer H, Kujawa M, Marciniec B (2001). Tetrahedron Lett., 42: 1175.
- Pietraszuk C, Marciniec B, Fischer H (2000). Organometallics, 19: 913.
- Schwab P, France MP, Ziller JW, Grubbs RH (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 34: 2039.
- Schwab P, Grubbs H, Ziller JW (2006). J. Am. Chem. Soc., 118: 100 Voronkov MG, Martynova TN, Mirskov RG, Belyi VI (1979). Zh. Obshch. Khim., 49: 1522.
- Yucks SE, Carrado KA (1996). Inorg. Chem., 35: 261.