Full Length Research Paper

1-Indanone chalcones and their 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone derivatives: Synthesis, physicochemical properties and *in vitro* antibacterial activity

Olatomide A. Fadare[1], David A. Akinpelu[2], Henry Ejemubu[1] and Craig A. Obafemi[1]*

[1]Department of Chemistry, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.
[2]Department of Microbiology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria.

Chalcones are natural biocides. Several publications appear every year covering the synthesis of chalcones because they exhibit an array of pharmacological activities. In this study, some condensation reactions of 1-Indanone with substituted benzaldehydes were carried out under different reaction conditions. The chalcone products were converted to their corresponding 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone derivatives and evaluated against five gram-positive and eight gram-negative bacteria for their *in vitro* antibacterial property. Antimicrobial activity was observed against many of the tested strains, with zones of inhibition ranging from 10 to 28 mm. In many cases, the hydrazone derivatives were more active than their chalcone precursors. The best results were obtained against gram-negative bacteria for most of the compounds. Compound 1b was the most active with its minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) against six strains of bacteria ranging from 15.6 to 31.3 μg/ml, hence, could be developed as an antibacterial agent against infections caused by some gram-negative bacteria such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Salmonella typhimurium*.

Key words: Antibacterial activity, chalcones, 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazones, 1-Indanone, microwave-assisted synthesis, minimum inhibitory concentration.

INTRODUCTION

A generic terminology for the 1,3-Diphenyl-2-propen-1-one moiety (**1**) is chalcone. Chalcones, which are found abundantly in edible plants, are considered to be intermediary compounds of biosynthetic route of flavonoids (**2**) and isoflavonoids (**3**) (Harborne, 1986; Akihisa et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006; Nowakowski, 2007).

Scheme 1. Classical Claisen Schmidt condensation reaction
1, Chalcone; **2,** flavonoids; **3,** isoflavonoids; **4,** 2-(benzylidene)-1-indanones.

In many cases, chalcones serve in plant defense mechanisms to counteract reactive oxygen species (ROS) in order to survive and prevent molecular damage and damage by microorganisms, insects and herbivores (Vaya et al., 1997).

From the chemical point of view, they are α, β-Unsaturated ketones which are readily obtained via synthetic routes in the laboratory, by base-catalyzed condensation of aromatic (or heteroaromatic) aldehydes with an acetophenone (or its analogs) in aqueous alcohol (this is the classical Claisen Schmidt condensation reaction), (Furnis et al., 2004) (Scheme 1). Bases that have been used include NaOH, LiOH, Ba(OH)$_2$ and Na$_2$CO$_3$ in water (Zhang et al, 2003). They have been prepared also under ultrasound irradiation of alcohol solutions of mixtures of benzaldehydes and acetophenones, catalyzed by KOH and KF-Al$_2$O$_3$ (Li, 2002).

In addition, the chalcones can be prepared without the use of any solvent but using different catalysts, such as NaOH and KOH (by grinding) (Palleros, 2004; Zangade et al., 2011), NaOH on alumina (Sarda, et al., 2009), etc and under microwave irradiation on silica gel (Rajashree et al., 2013), iodine-alumina (Kakati and Sarma, 2011) and in the presence of ZnCl$_2$ (Sharma and Joshi, 2012). Furthermore, the synthesis of chalcones has been catalyzed by acids such as dry HCl (Széll and Sohár, 1969), BF$_3$.OEt$_2$ (Narender and Papi-Reddy, 2007), RuCl$_3$ (Iranpoor and Kazemi, 1998), silica-sulfuric acid and acetic acid-sulfuric acid (Thirunarayanan and Vanangamudi, 2007; Konieczny et al., 2007) and para-toluenesulfonic acid (solvent free and under microwave irradiation) (Gall et al., 1999).

A large number of articles reporting the bioactivity of chalcones appear every year. They have been reported to show a wide range of pharmacological activities, such as cytotoxic and chemoprotective (antioxidant, anti-invasive, inhibition of nitric oxide, inhibition and induction of metabolizing enzymes and inhibition of estrogen biosynthesis) (Go et al., 2005; Cheng et al., 2008), anti-trichomonal (Oyedapo et al., 2004), anti-tubercular (Hans et al., 2010), anti-inflammatory (Hsieh et al., 1998; Vogel et al., 2010), antimalarial [(Hans et al., 2010; Li et al., 1995; Mishra et al., 2008), antimicrobial (Pappano et al., 1994; Tomar et al., 2007; Sivakumar et al, 2009), etc.

Some work has been carried out on the biological property of 1-Indanone chalcones [2-(benzylidene)-1-indanones)] **4:** They have been shown to possess cytotoxic (Dimmock et al., 1999, 2002), *in vitro* antioxidant (Perjési and Rozmer, 2011; Huang et al., 2012), anti-cholinergic (Sheng et al., 2009), antifungal (Al-Nakib et al., 1997) and anticancer (Prakasham et al., 2012) activities.

Hydrazones (aryl-, heteroaryl, acyl and sulfonyl) have been reported to exhibit diverse biological properties such as anti-tubercular, anti-malarial, antimicrobial, anti-tumor, anti-inflammatory, anticonvulsant, analgesic, etc, activities and possess varied analytical applications (Rollas and Küçükgüzel, 2007; Ajani et al., 2010; de Oliveira et al., 2011; Suvarapu et al., 2012). It is pertinent to point out here that the development of drug resistance in human pathogens against commonly used antibacterial drugs, resulting in relapse of disease, has necessitated the search for new antimicrobial agents from both natural and synthetic sources. Screening of synthetic organic compounds for antimicrobial activities is important for finding potential new compounds for therapeutic use. The *in vitro* sensitivity testing of antibacterial agents against

pathogenic bacteria is very important because the results are useful in carrying out studies of animal models of infection (*in vivo* studies) (Greenwood, 1981). It has been pointed out that *in vitro* tests could not usually "be developed that would make it possible to (quantitatively) predict the efficacy of any antibiotic against any specific infection *in vivo*". The results of *in vivo* studies are utilized on the long run by practicing physicians to determine treatment recommendations for patients with infections (Greenwood, 1981; Zak et al., 1985).

In this paper, we wish to report the syntheses of 1-Indanone chalcone analogs using different reaction conditions, their corresponding 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone derivatives and the assessment of their effect on some pathogenic bacteria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemistry

The purity of all described compounds was checked by melting point (m.p.) and thin layer chromatography (TLC) (E. Merck Kieselgel 60 F254).

Melting points (uncorrected) were determined using a gallenkamp melting point machine. R_f values were determined using silica gel F_{254} TLC plates (Merck), developed with n-Hexane:ethyl acetate (2:1) and observed under UV light (λ = 254 and 366 nm). The infrared (IR) spectra were recorded as KBr pellets using a Bruker IFS 13v Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) spectrometer. ^{1}H NMR and ^{13}C NMR were recorded on a Bruker 400 MHz AVANCE spectrometer. The data were obtained from CDCl$_3$ solutions. Chemical shifts are given in the δ scale (ppm) using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. The elemental analysis (C, H, N) of the compound was performed using a Carlo Erba-1108 elemental analyzer.

Conventional base catalyzed synthesis of 1,3,3a,8a-Tetrahydro-1,3-diphenylspiro[cyclopent[a]indene-2(8H),2'-[2H]indene]-1',8(3'H)-dione (1a), (E)-2-[4-(dimethylamino)benzylidene]-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (2a), (E)-2-(4-Methoxybenzylidene)-2,3-Dihydro-1H-Inden-1-one (3a) and (E)-2-(4-Hydroxy-3-Methoxybenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (6a)

40 mmol of the benzaldehyde derivative was added to a 2.5% methanolic solution of KOH (25 ml) in a conical flask while stirring. 1-Indanone (40 mmole) dissolved in methanol (20 ml) was then added dropwise to the basic reaction mixture. The reaction was left to stir for 18 h. at room temperature.

The product formed was filtered, washed with water, and dried in the oven followed by recrystallization from ethanol.

1a: IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 1700 (C=O), 1603 (C=C), 1240, 1208, 1096, 1013, 911, 875. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 7.83 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz, Ar); 7.59 (d, 1H, J = 7.4 Hz, Ar); 7.50 (m, 2H, Ar); 7.25 (m, 13H, Ar); 6.95 (d, 1H, J = 7.6 Hz, Ar); 4.63 (t, 1H); 4.16 (d, 1H); 3.99 (t, 1H); 3.89 (d, 1H); 3.09(dd, 2H, CH2). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz,CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 208.18 (C=O), 206.19 (C=O), 156.26 (C$_q$), 153.30 (C$_q$), 137.66 (C$_q$), 137.15 (C$_q$), 136.95 (C$_q$), 136.20 (C$_q$), 135.66, 135.16, 128.94, 128.80, 128.74, 128.64, 127.80, 127.51, 127.46, 126.23, 125.75, 124.94, 123.84, 70.67 (C$_q$), 59.70, 54.60, 53.45, 46.51, 30.04 (CH$_2$). Anal. (%) for C$_{32}$H$_{24}$O$_2$: Calcd. C, 87.25; H, 5.49; Found: C, 87.21; H, 5.52.

2a: IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 1680 (C=O), 1596 (C=C), 1276, 1183, 1101, 957, 818. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 7.90 (1H, d, J = 7.0 Hz, Ar), 7.28-7.67 (m, 6H, Ar), 6.65 (2H, d, J = 7.6 Hz, Ar), 3.90 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.00 (s, 6H, N(CH$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 194.70 (C=O), 151.50 (C$_q$), 149.86 (C$_q$), 139.15 (C$_q$), 135.38, 134.21, 133.20, 130.18 (C$_q$), 127.74, 126.44, 124.36, 123.49 (C$_q$), 112.27, 40.41 (CH$_3$), 33.10 (CH$_2$). Anal. (%) for C$_{18}$H$_{17}$NO: Calcd. C, 82.10; H, 6.51; N, 5.32; Found: C, 82.15; H, 6.52; N, 5.37.

3a: IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 1695 (C=O), 1625, 1601 (C=C), 1258, 1185, 1100, 1025, 958, 924, 822. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm;). 7.87 (1H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, Ar), 7.50-7.59 (m, 5H, Ar), 7.38 (m, 1H, Ar), 6.94 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 3.90 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.82 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 194.70 (C=O), 161.23 (C$_q$), 149.9 (C$_q$), 138.50 (C$_q$), 134.72, 134.12, 132.96, 132.77 (C$_q$), 128.47 (C$_q$), 127.93, 126.53, 124.59, 114.84, 55.76 (CH$_3$), 32.84 (CH$_2$). Anal. (%) for C$_{17}$H$_{14}$O$_2$: Calcd. C, 81.58; H, 5.64; Found: C, 81.61; H, 5.66.

6a: IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 3490 (OH), 1677 (C=O), 1590 (C=C), 1249, 1201, 1167, 1101, 1037, 975. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 9.74 (s, 1H, OH), 7.75 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.65-7.67 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.24-7.48 (m, 4H, Ar), 6.90 (d, J = 8.4Hz, 1H, Ar), 4.07 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.86 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$):, ó ppm; 194.07 (C=O), 150.63 (C$_q$), 149.87 (C$_q$), 148.69 (C$_q$), 138.46 (C$_q$), 135.28, 134.61, 132.55 (C$_q$), 128.38, 127.41, 127.35 (C$_q$), 125.92, 124.24, 116.85, 115.57, 56.53 (CH$_3$), 32.72 (CH$_2$). Anal. (%) for C$_{17}$H$_{14}$O$_3$: Calcd. C, 76.68; H, 5.30; Found: C, 76.61; H, 5.40.

Microwave assisted synthesis of (E)-2-(4-Hydroxybenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (4a), (E)-2-(3-Hydroxybenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (5a) and (E)-2-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (6a)

1-Indanone (7.60 mmol), the appropriate benzaldehyde (7.60 mmol) and silica gel (5.0 g) were mixed together and ground into a fine powder in a mortar. Acetic acid (5 drops) and concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ (1 drop) were added and mixed thoroughly. The mixture was transferred into a beaker and irradiated in a microwave oven (medium power) for 6 min. After the irradiation, the mixture was extracted with hot ethanol (2 × 50 ml) and the filtered clear solution left to stand at room temperature until fine yellow crystals formed. The product was filtered and oven-dried.

4a: IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 3420 (OH), 1685 (C=O), 1595 (C=C), 1245, 1195, 1100, 1035, 960. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 10.14 (s, 1H, OH), 7.75 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.62 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.42-7.47 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.92 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 4.00 (s, 2H, CH$_2$). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 194.07 (C=O), 160.30 C$_q$), 150.57 (C$_q$), 138.47 (C$_q$), 135.25, 134.23, 133.81, 132.39 (C$_q$), 128.38, 127.39, 126.90 (C$_q$), 124.24, 116.93, 32.82 (CH$_2$). Anal. (%) for C$_{16}$H$_{12}$O$_2$: Calcd. C, 81.34; H, 5.12; Found: C, 81.31; H, 5.16.

5a: IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 3481 (OH), 1695 (C=O), 1601 (C=C), 1218, 1136, 1091, 922, 832. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 9.69 (s, 1H, OH), 7.18-7.78 (m, 8H, Ar), 6.89 (s, 1H), 4.05 (s, 2H, CH$_2$). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 194.18 (C=O), 158.60 (C$_q$), 150.82 (C$_q$), 138.11 (C$_q$), 136.94 (C$_q$), 135.69, 133.93, 130.83, 128.53, 127.53, 124.44, 122.86, 117.99, 117.86, 32.85 (CH$_2$). Anal. (%) for C$_{16}$H$_{12}$O$_2$: Calcd. C, 81.34; H, 5.12; Found: C, 81.35; H, 5.08.

Synthesis involving SOCl$_2$ catalyst for (E)-2-(4-Hydroxybenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (4a), (E)-2-(3-Hydroxybenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (5a) and (2E)-2-(2-Nitrobenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (7a)

A mixture of 1-Indanone (7.6 mmol) and 1 mol equivalent of the

benzaldehyde derivative was added to 10 ml of absolute ethanol in a conical flask. 1.0 ml of thionyl chloride (SOCl$_2$) was added dropwise in a fume cupboard while stirring. The mixture was left to stir for 18 h. 50 ml of cold water was added to the reaction mixture with stirring to afford the precipitation of the product. This was filtered, washed with water and dried. Recrystallisation from ethanol gave the pure products.

7a: IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 1675 (C=O), 1631 (C=C), 1318, 160, 1133, 892. ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 8.05 (d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz, Ar), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz, Ar), 7.54-7.67 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.45 (d, 1H, J = 6,8 Hz, Ar), 7.36 (m, 1H, Ar), 3.80 (s, 2H, CH$_2$). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 193.57 (C=O), 150.00 (C$_q$), 149.23 (C$_q$), 138.54 (C$_q$), 138.22 (C$_q$), 135.48, 133.80, 131.56 (C$_q$), 131.02, 130.05, 129.82, 128.26, 126.70, 125.44, 124.99, 31.49 (CH$_2$). Anal. (%) for C$_{16}$H$_{11}$NO$_3$: Calcd. C, 72.45; H, 4.18; N, 5.28. Found: C,72.49; H, 4.16; N, 5.22.

Conventional method for synthesis of (E)-2-(4-Hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone (6b)

2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNP) (4.0 mmol) was added to absolute methanol (50 ml), followed by concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ (2 ml). One molar equivalent of Compound **6a** dissolved in absolute methanol (20 ml) was added to the DNP/methanol mixture in a round bottom flask and refluxed for 5 min with the colour changing from orange to deep red. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and left to stand for 2 h. The product crystallized out gradually from solution and was separated by filteration and dried in the oven. IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 3335 (NH), 1614 (C=N), 1512, 1334 (NO$_2$). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) ó ppm; 11.77 (s, 1H, NH), 9.47 (s, 1H, OH), 8.85 (d, 1H, J = 2.6 Hz, Ar), 8.17-8.40 (m, 3H, Ar), 7.50-7.63 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.11-7.19 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.94 (d, 1H, J = 8.1 Hz), 4.06 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.88 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$). Anal. (%) for C$_{23}$H$_{18}$N$_4$O$_6$: Calcd. C, 61.88; H, 4.06; N, 12.55. Found: C,62.01; H, 4.00; N, 12.68.

Microwave assisted synthesis of 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone derivatives of 1,3,3a,8a-Tetrahydro-1,3-diphenylspiro[cyclopent[a]indene-2(8H),2'-[2H]indene]-1',8(3'H)-dione (1b), (E)-2-[4-(Dimethylamino)benzylidene]-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (2b), (E)-2-(4-Methoxybenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (3b), (E)-2-(4-Hydroxybenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (4b), (E)-2-(3-Hydroxybenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (5b) and (2E)-2-(2-Nitrobenzylidene)-2,3-dihydro-1H-inden-1-one (7b)

2 mmol of DNP was dissolved in absolute methanol (40 ml) in a 250 ml beaker and 1 ml of concentrated H$_2$SO$_4$ was added. 1 mol equivalent each of compounds **1a, 2a, 3a, 4a, 5a, and 7a,** dissolved in 10 ml of absolute methanol was added to the DNP/methanol mixture and then pulse irradiated for 25 s. The products appeared within 15 s. The products were separated by filtration, washed with water, oven-dried and then recrystallized from ethanol.

1b: Yield 82%, m.p. 262 to 264°C. IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 3311 (NH), 1695 (C=O), 1616 (C=N), 1500, 1334 (NO$_2$). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$) ó ppm; 10.01 (s, 1H, NH), 8.89 (s,1H, Ar), 8.25 (d, 1H, J = 9.8 Hz, Ar), 7.94 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.15-7.44 (m, 8H, Ar), 6.92-7.09 (m, 9H, Ar), 4.70 (t, 1H), 4.40 (t, 1H), 3.90 (dd, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.35 (d, 1H), 3.15 (d, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 207.46 (C=O), 163.29 (C=N), 153,07, 150.01, 144.85, 138.27, 136.97, 136.86, 136.73, 135.26, 132.34, 129,87, 129.56, 129.32, 129.06, 128.87, 128.52, 128.44, 128.20, 127.85, 127.49, 127.44, 126.11, 124.83, 123.54, 117.28,

70.29, 60.43, 57.30, 50.70, 49.65, 30.13. Anal. (%) for C$_{38}$H$_{28}$N$_4$O$_5$: Calcd. C, 73.54; H, 4.55; N, 9.03. Found: C, 73.49; H, 4.46; N, 9.09.

2b: Yield 76%, m.p. 195 TO 197°C. IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 3338 (NH), 1614 (C=N), 1589 (C=C), 1510, 1332 (NO$_2$). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; ; 11.80 (s, 1H, NH), 8.90 (s, 1H, Ar), 8.29 (d, 1H, J = 9. 1 Hz, Ar), 6.80-8.21 (m, 10H, Ar), 4.01 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 2.99 (s, 6H, N(CH$_3$)$_2$). Anal. (%) for C$_{24}$H$_{21}$N$_5$O$_4$: Calcd. C, 65.00; H, 4.77; N, 15.79. Found: C,65.19; H, 4.67; N, 15.68.

3b: Yield 94%, m.p. 213 to 214°C. IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 3340 (NH), 1614 (C=N), 1589 (C=C), 1512, 1332 (NO$_2$). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 11.10 (s, 1H, NH), 9.01 (s, 1H, Ar), 8.24(d, 1H, J = 9.8 Hz, Ar), 7.30-7.88 (m, 8H, Ar), 6.96 (d, 2H, J = 8.2 Hz, Ar), 3.88 (s, 2H, CH$_2$), 3.80 (s, 3H, OCH$_3$). Anal. (%) for C$_{23}$H$_{18}$N$_4$O$_5$: Calcd. C, 64.18; H, 4.22; N, 13.02. Found: C,64.37; H, 4.36; N, 13.23

4b: Yield 67%, m.p. 225 to 226°C. IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 3346 (NH), 1612 (C=N), 1589 (C=C), 1510, 1330 (NO$_2$). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 11.56 (s, 1H, NH), 10.05 (s, 1H, OH), 8.99 (s, 1H, Ar), 8.28 (d, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz, Ar), 8.00 (d, 1H, J = 9.8 Hz, Ar), 7.40-7.80 (m, 7H, Ar), 6.94 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz, Ar), 3.98 (s, 2H, CH$_2$). Anal. (%) for C$_{22}$H$_{16}$N$_4$O$_5$: Calcd. C, 63.46; H, 3.87; N, 13.46. Found: C,63.32; H, 3.91; N, 13.53

5b: Yield 53%, m.p. 244 to 245°C. IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 3338 (NH), 1608 (C=N), 1590 (C=C), 1510, 1330 (NO$_2$). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 11.66 (s, 1H, NH), 9.98 (s, 1H, OH), 9.05 (s, 1H, Ar), 8.29 (d, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz, Ar), 7.20-8.01 (m, 9H, Ar), 6.89 (s, 1H, Ar), 4.05 (s, 2H,CH$_2$). Anal. (%) for C$_{22}$H$_{16}$N$_4$O$_5$: Calcd. C, 63.46; H, 3.87; N, 13.46. Found: C,63.50; H, 3.95; N, 13.50

7b: Yield 87%, m.p. 294 to 297°C. IR (KBr; cm^{-1}): 3329 (NH), 1613 (C=N), 1597 (C=C), 1501, 1334 (NO$_2$). ^{1}H NMR (CDCl$_3$), ó ppm; 10.89 (s, 1H, NH), 9.01 (s, 1H, Ar), 8.28 (d, 1H, J = 9.8 Hz, Ar), 8.01-8.06 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.87 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.35-7.86 (m, 7H, Ar), 4.01 (s, 2H, CH$_2$). Anal. (%) for C$_{22}$H$_{15}$N$_5$O$_6$: Calcd. C, 59.33; H, 3.39; N, 15.72. Found: C,59.41; H, 3.38; N, 15.84

Microbiology: Antibacterial sensitivity testing

The antibacterial activities of the compounds were determined using the agar-well diffusion method as described by Russell and Furr (1977) and Akinpelu and Kolawole (2004). The test micro-organisms used in this study were obtained from the culture collection of the Applied and Environmental Microbiology Research Group (AEMREG) Laboratory, University of Fort Hare, Alice, South Africa, and include the following:

Escherichia coli (ATCC 8739), *E. coli* (ATCC 25922), *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (ATCC 19582), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 6538), *Streptococcus faecalis* (ATCC 29212), *Bacillus cereus* (ATCC10702), *Bacillus pumilus* (ATCC 14884), *P. aeruginosa* (ATCC 7700), *Enterobacter cloacae* (ATCC 13047), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (ATCC 10031), *K. pneumoniae* (ATCC 4352), *Proteus vulgaris* (ATCC 6830), *P. vulgaris* (CSIR 0030), *Serratia marcescens* (ATCC 9986), *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* (UP), *A. calcoaceticus anitratus* (CSIR), *K. pneumoniae* (LIO), *Bacillus subtilis* (LIO), *Shigella dysenteriae* (LIO), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (LIO), *P. aeruginosa* (LIO), *P. vulgaris* (LIO), *Enterococcus faecalis* (LIO), *S. aureus* (LIO) *Micrococcus kristinae* (LIO) and *Micrococcus luteus* (LIO).

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

The MICs of the compounds and the reference antibiotic were

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 1-Indanone chalcones and hydrazone derivatives.
1a: $R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = H$ **1b:** $R^1 = R^2 = R^3 = H$
2a: $R^1 = R^2 = H$; $R^3 = N(CH_3)_2$ **2b:** $R^1 = R^2 = H$; $R^3 = N(CH_3)_2$
3a: $R^1 = R^2 = H$; $R^3 = OCH_3$ **3b:** $R^1 = R^2 = H$; $R^3 = OCH_3$
4a: $R^1 = R^2 = H$; $R^3 = OH$ **4b:** $R^1 = R^2 = H$; $R^3 = OH$
5a: $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = OH$; $R^3 = H$ **5b:** $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = OH$; $R^3 = H$
6a: $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = OCH_3$; $R^3 = OH$ **6b:** $R^1 = H$; $R^2 = OCH_3$; $R^3 = OH$
7a: $R^1 = NO_2$; $R^2 = R^3 = H$ **7b:** $R^1 = NO_2$; $R^2 = R^3 = H$
Method a: KOH/MeOH, rt (used to synthesize 1a - 3a, 6a); **Method c:** SOCl$_2$/ethanol (used to synthesize 4a, 5a, 7a).
Method b: Microwave-assisted synthesis (used to synthesize 4a - 6a, 1b - 5b, 7b); **Method d:** conventional heating (used to synthesize 6b)

determined using the method of Akinpelu and Kolawole (2004). Dimethyl sulfoxide was used as negative control. Two milliliter of different concentrations of the test solution was added to 18 ml of pre-sterilized molten nutrient agar at 40°C to give final concentration regimes of 0.0313 and 2.0 mg/ml for the test compound and 0.0157 and 1.0 mg/ml for the standard antibiotics. The medium was then poured into sterile Petri dishes and allowed to set. The surfaces of the media were allowed to dry under a laminar flow before streaking with 18 h old bacterial cultures. The plates were later incubated at 37°C for up to 72 h after which they were examined for the presence or absence of growth. The MIC was taken as the lowest concentration of the test compound and standard antibiotics that will prevent the growth of the susceptible test bacteria.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chemistry

The 1-Indanone chalcones (**2a** - **7a**) were synthesized by a Claisen-Schmidt type of reaction in which various substituted benzaldehydes were reacted with 1-Indanone, using acid or base catalysts (Scheme 2). Benzaldehydes with hydroxyl substituents gave very low yields of the corresponding indanone chalcones when the conventional base catalyzed (methanolic KOH) condensation method was used, hence acid catalyzed procedure was employed for the synthesis of the chalcones. Compound **6a** was synthesized in high yield using microwave assisted method in the presence of a mixture of acetic acid and conc. H$_2$SO$_4$. This method, however, did not work well for the synthesis of Compounds **4a** and **5a** (where charring was observed). A different method was then employed for the synthesis of the two compounds, whereby HCl (generated *in situ* by adding a small quantity of SOCl$_2$ (thionyl chloride) to the alcoholic reaction medium) was used as a catalyst.

The base-catalyzed reaction of benzaldehyde with 1-Indanone yielded the dimer of the expected chalcone(**1a**), as reported from the reaction under basic condition (Berthelette et al., 1997).

Table 1. Physicochemical properties and catalytic method of synthesis of the 1-Indanone chalcones.

Compound	Molecular formula	Molecular weight (g/mol)	Catalysis/Method	Colour	Melting point (°C)
1a	$C_{32}H_{24}O_2$	440.53	Base/Stirring (RT)	Cream	234-236
2a	$C_{18}H_{17}NO$	263.33	Base/Stirring (RT)	Yellow	166-167
3a	$C_{17}H_{14}O_2$	250.29	Base/Stirring (RT)	Cream	139-140
4a	$C_{16}H_{12}O_2$	236.26	Acid/SOCl$_2$/EtOH	Orange	225-226
5a	$C_{16}H_{12}O_2$	236.26	Acid/SOCl$_2$/EtOH	Off-white	196-198
6a	$C_{17}H_{14}O_3$	266.29	Base/Stirring (RT)	Yellow	187-188
6a	$C_{17}H_{14}O_3$	266.29	Silica/Acid/MW	Yellow	187-188
7a	$C_{16}H_{11}NO_3$	265.26	Acid/SOCl$_2$/EtOH	Off-white	165-166
1b	$C_{38}H_{28}N_4O_5$	620.65	Methanol/Acid/MW	Orange	262-264
2b	$C_{24}H_{21}N_5O_4$	443.46	Methanol/Acid/MW	Brown	195-197
3b	$C_{23}H_{18}N_4O_5$	430.41	Methanol/Acid/MW	Brown	213-214
4b	$C_{22}H_{16}N_4O_5$	416.39	Methanol/Acid/MW	Brown	225-226
5b	$C_{22}H_{16}N_4O_5$	416.39	Methanol/Acid/MW	Red	244-245
6b	$C_{23}H_{18}N_4O_6$	446.41	Methanol/Acid/Reflux	Black	225-227
7b	$C_{22}H_{15}N_5O_6$	445.39	Methanol/Acid/MW	Red	294-297

The corresponding chalcone hydrazones were synthesized from the condensation reaction of the chalcones with 2,4-DNP (2,4-) in methanol using conventional heating or microwave irradiation. The reaction of 2,4-DNP with the chalcones was rapid (30 s to 5 min). Only one of the carbonyl functional groups of Compound **1a** reacted with the 2,4-DNP. The second carbonyl functional group was not assesible for the nucleophile (2,4-DNP) due to steric hindrance. The physicochemical properties of the compounds are listed in Table 1.

All compounds have been characterized on the basis of spectral analysis (^{1}H-NMR, ^{13}C-NMR and IR) and elemental analysis. Assignments of ^{13}C-NMR resonances of the compounds were deduced from the analysis of the Attached Proton Test (APT) and Distortionless Enhancement by Polarization Transfer (DEPT) experiments. The spectroscopic data for all the synthesized compounds are in agreement with their structures. In the ^{1}H-NMR spectra, the signals for the aromatic protons appeared in the region δ 6.65-8.05 ppm, while those of the methylene protons (CH$_2$) of the indanone ring showed at δ 3.80 to 4.07 ppm. The ^{1}H-NMR spectrum of Compound **1a** was similar to the data reported by Berthelette et al. (1997).

The ^{13}C-NMR spectra of the chalcones similarly gave expected signals and the expected number of carbon atoms (CH, CH$_2$, CH$_3$ and quatenary carbons). The signal for the –CH$_2$- carbon of the indanone moiety appeared at around δ 32.00 ppm. The carbonyl carbon appeared around δ 194.00 ppm for all the compounds except Compound **1a** that showed two signals for the C=O at δ 208.18 and δ 206.19 ppm, while the spiro-carbon signal showed at δ 70.67 ppm.

In the IR spectra of the chalcones, the OH group showed around 3455 to 3491 cm^{-1} as a broad band, while absorption bands showed between 1665 and 1700 cm^{-1} for the C=O functional group and between 1573 and 1625 cm^{-1} for the C=C functional group.

Antimicrobial evaluation

The antimicrobial susceptibility tests of the 1-Indanone chalcones and their corresponding 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone derivatives were performed using the agar-well diffusion method against thirteen strains of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. The activity of the compounds against the microorganisms was assessed through the zone of inhibition and the MICs, whose values are shown in Table 2. A known antibiotic (tetracycline) was used for comparison.

The results showed that the compounds at a concentration of 200 µg/ml showed zones of inhibition ranging from 10 to 28 mm. The results further indicated that the fourteen synthesized compounds showed antimicrobial activity against *P. aeruginosa, Salmonella typhimurium* and *Shigella flexineri* (all gram-negative) strains. The MICs of the compounds against the *P. aeruginosa* strain ranged from 16.5 to 250 µg/ml and from 16.5 to 1000 µg/ml for *S. typhimurium* and *S. flexineri* strains.

In many cases, the hydrazone derivatives appear to be more active than their chalcone precursors, except for Compound **3** and in some other cases (Table 2). Compound **1b** exhibited the best activity with the lowest MIC values for four gram-negative bacterial strains (15.6 µg/ml) and two gram-positive strains (31.3 µg/ml).

Table 2. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (µg/ml) of the chalcones, derivatives and standard antibiotic.

Microoganisms	Gram-positive														
	1a	1b	2a	2b	3a	3b	4a	4b	5a	5b	6a	6b	7a	7b	Tet
Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538)	125	31.3	250	ND	125	250	250	62.5	125	62.5	125	1000	1000	500	125
Bacillus cereus (ATCC 10702)	1000	ND	ND	1000	ND	1000	ND	1000	250	1000	ND	1000	1000	500	250
Enterococcus faecalis (LIO)	ND	100	ND	1000	1000	ND	1000	ND	ND	ND	1000	62.5	125	1000	500
Micrococcus luteus (LIO)	500	31.3	ND	1000	1000	ND	31.3	1000	ND	1000	1000	1000	1000	31.3	20
Bacillus pumilus (ATCC 14884)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	250	ND	500	62.5	ND	ND	125	500	1000

	Gram-negative														
	1a	1b	2a	2b	3a	3b	4a	4b	5a	5b	6a	6b	7a	7b	Tet
Escherichia coli (ATCC 25922)	ND	500	1000	ND	ND	1000	1000	ND	ND	625	ND	1000	ND	31.3	130
Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 15442)	62.5	15.6	250	125	125	250	15.6	250	250	15.6	62.5	31.3	125	3 1.3	ND
Salmonella typhimurium (ATCC 13311)	250	15.6	250	62.5	31.3	250	125	62.5	250	1000	250	31.3	125	31.3	ND
Klebsiella pneumonia (ATCC 4352)	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	125	ND	ND	ND	125	ND	ND
Proteus vulgaris (CSIR 0030)	125	500	ND	1000	31.3	ND	62.5	125	ND	1000	62.5	ND	500	500	16000
Serratia marcescens (ATCC 9986)	250	500	ND	62.5	62.5	ND	62.5	125	ND	ND	15.6	31.3	62.5	500	250
Shigella flexineri (LIO)	500	15.6	250	62.5	62.5	250	125	1000	250	1000	500	1000	125	15.6	250
Acinetobacter calcaoceuticus anitratus (CSIR)	125	15.6	250	125	62.5	1000	ND	125	500	ND	62.5	62.5	62.5	ND	250

Tet = tetracycline; ATCC = American Type Culture Collection; LIO = Locally Isolated Organism.

Comparing the activities of the compounds against the strains of bacteria, we can notice that they were globally more active against the gram-negative bacteria. *P.* aeruginosa is the most sensitive organism to the synthesized compounds and the activity order is **4a > 6a = (1a) >3a = 7a > 2a = 5a** for the chalcones and (**1b) = 5b > 6b =7b > 2b > 3b = 4b** for their corresponding hydrazones.

In the past several years, there has been an increasing use of quantitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR) to predict the biological activities of various organic molecules. One method that has been extensively employed involves the QSAR approach together with multivariate data analysis, combined with statistical design (Vasanthanathan et al., 2006). This approach is an attempt to show that there is a relationship between biological activities of compounds and structural or molecular descriptors such as physicochemical, thermodynamic, electronic, topological or geometrical parameters (Podunavac-Kuzmanović et al., 2008). The importance of the lipophilic or hydrophobic nature of bioactive compounds has been brought into fore by the tremendous progress in the use of QSAR methods. The penetration of bioactive compounds through the apolar cell membranes is modified by lipophilicity, characterized by the partition coefficient (log P). A measure of hydrophobicity/lipophilicity is the octanol/water partition coefficient Clog P.

In this work, Clog P was calculated using ChemDraw Ultra 8.0 software (CambridgeSoft Corporation). The results obtained are given in Table 3. The calculated values of log P for the hydrazones were higher than for the corresponding chalcones. However, the dimeric Compounds **1a and 1b** have the highest values. Taking the calculated lipophilicity (Clog P) values for the hydrazones and comparing with their corresponding activity (MIC) values for the most sensitive organism, *P. aeruginosa*, it could be seen that they are not of the same increasing order:

Clog P: **1b > 2b > 3b > 7b > 4b = 5b >6b**
MIC (*P. aeruginosa*)-activity: **1b = 5b > 6b = 7b >**

Table 3. Calculated Clog P and MR values.

Compound	Log P	CLog P	MR (cm³/mol)	CMR
1a	6.52	5.758	134.93	13.389
2a	3.79	3.764	85.65	8.488
3a	3.38	3.518	77.72	7.809
4a	3.12	2.932	72.28	7.345
5a	3.12	2.932	72.28	7.345
6a	2.99	2.781	79.53	7.962
7a	2.90	3.342	-	7.803
1b	7.14	8.475	-	17.951
2b	5.89	6.923	-	13.051
3b	5.19	6.677	-	12.371
4b	4.67	6.091	-	11.908
5b	4.67	6.091	-	11.908
6b	4.80	5.940	-	12.524
7b	5.02	6.501	-	12.366

Figure 1. Three dimensional structure of Compounds **1a** and **b,** respectively.

2b > 3b = 4b

For all the structures synthesized, no significant correlation could be established between [pMIC = -log(MIC)] and log(P).

In a similar manner, the molar refractivity (MR - which represents size and polarizability) describing steric effects was calculated using ChemDraw Ultra 8.0 software and the results are included in Table 3. The largest molecule having the largest CMR and log P values (**1b)** has the best antimicrobial activity. This compound may be viewed as having a cup-like structure in which the bulky phenyl groups form the rim of the cup (Figure 1).

Conclusion

Different methods have been successfully employed in the synthesis of some 2-Arylidene-1-indanone derivatives in good yields. The compounds have been characterized using IR, ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR and elemental analysis and their effects on some pathogenic bacteria evaluated. They exhibited broad spectrum antibacterial activity.

However, the compounds are more active against the gram-negative bacteria. The 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone derivative of the dimer of 2-Benzylidene-1-indanone, **1b**, exhibited the highest antibacterial activity.

Conflict of Interests

The author(s) have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

REFERENCES

Ajani OO, Obafemi CA, Nwinyi OC, Akinpelu DA (2010). Microwave assisted synthesis and antimicrobial activity of 2-quinoxalinone-3-hydrazone derivatives. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 18:214-221. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2009.10.064

Akihisa T, Tokuda H, Hasegawa D, Ukiya M, Kimura Y, Enjo F, Suzuki T, Nishino H (2006). Chalcones and other compounds from the exudates of *Angelica keiskei* and their cancer chemopreventive effects. J. Nat. Prod. 69:38-42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/np058080d

Akinpelu DA, Kolawole DO (2004). Phytochemical and antimicrobial activity of leaf extract of *Piliostigma thonningii* (Schum.). Sci. Focus 7:64-70.

Al-Nakib TM, Perjesi P, Varghese R, Meegan MJ (1997). Benzylideneindanones and benzylidenebenzosuberones: relationship between structure, antimycotic activity and acute toxicity. Med. Principles Pract. 6:14-21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1159/000157418

Berthelette C, McCooye C, Leblanc Y, Trimble LA, Tsou NN (1997). Studies on the dimerization of 2-benzylidene-1-indanone. J. Org. Chem. 62:4339-4342. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo970402y

ChemDraw Ultra 8.0, CambridgeSoft Corporation, 100 Cambridge Park, Cambridge, MA 02140, USA.

Cheng JH, Hung CF, Yang SC, Wang JP, Won SJ, Lin CN (2008). Synthesis and cytotoxic, anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant activities of 2',5'-dialkoxychalcones as cancer chemopreventive agents. Bioorg. Med. Chem.16:7270-7276. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2008.06.031

de Oliveira KN, Chiaradia LD, Martins PGA, Mascarello A, Cordeiro MNS, Guido RVC, Andricopulo AD, Yunes RA, Nunes RJ, Vernal J, Terenzi H (2011). Sulfonyl-hydrazones of cyclic imides derivatives as potent inhibitors of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* protein tyrosine phosphatase B (PtpB). Med. Chem. Commun. 2:500-504. http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c0md00253d

Dimmock JR, Kandepu NM, Nazarali AJ, Kowalchuk TP, Motaganahalli N, Quail JW, Mykytiuk PA, Audette GF, Prasad L, Perjési P, Allen TM, Santos CL, Szydlowski J, De Clercq E, Balzarini J (1999). Conformational and quantitative structure-activity relationship study of cytotoxic 2-arylidenebenzocycloalkanones. J. Med. Chem. 42:1358-1366. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm9806695

Dimmock JR, Zello GA, Oloo EO, Quail JW, Kraatz HB, Perjési P, Aradi F, Takács-Novák K, Allen TM, Santos CL, Balzarini J, De Clercq E, Stables JP (2002). Correlations between cytotoxicity and topography of some 2-arylidenebenzocycloalkanones determined by X-ray crystallography. J. Med. Chem. 45:3103-3111. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm010559p

Furnis BS, Hannaford AJ, Smith PWG, Tatchell AR (2004). Vogel's textbook of Practical Organic Chemistry, Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 5th edition, pp.1032-1035.

Gall EL, Texler-Boullet F, Hamelin J (1999). Simple access to α,β-unsaturated ketones by acid-catalyzed solvent-free reactions. Synth. Commun. 29:3651-3657. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00397919908086000

Go ML, Wu X, Liu XL (2005). Chalcones: An update on cytotoxic and chemoprotective properties. Curr. Med. Chem. 12:483-499. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0929867053363153

Greenwood D (1981). *In vitro* veritas? Antimicrobial susceptibility tests and their clinical relevance. J. Infect. Dis. 144:380-385. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/144.4.380

Hans RH, Guantai EM, Lategan C, Smith PJ, Wan B, Franzblau SG, Gut J, Rosenthai PJ, Chibale K (2010). Synthesis, antimalarial and antitubercular activity of acetylenic chalcones. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 20:942-944. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2009.12.062

Harborne JB (1986). In Plant Flavonoids in Biology and Medicine: Biochemical, Pharmacological and Structure Activity Relationships; V. Cody, E. Jr. Middleton, J.B.S. Harborne Eds; Alan R. Liss: New York. pp. 15-24. PMid:3520585

Hsieh HK, Lee TH, Wang JP, Wang JJ, Lin CN (1998). Synthesis and anti-inflammatory effect of chalcones and related compounds. Pharm. Res. 15:39-46. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1011940401754

Huang L, Lu C, Sun Y, Mao F, Luo Z, Su T, Jiang H, Shan W, Li X (2012). Multitarget-directed benzylideneindanone derivatives: anti-β-amyloid (Aβ) aggregation, antioxidant, metal chelation and monoamine oxidase B (MAO B) inhibition properties against Alzheimer's disease. J. Med. Chem. 55:8483-8492. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm300978h

Iranpoor N, Kazemi F (1998). RuCl$_3$ catalyses aldol condensation of aldehydes and ketones. Tetrahedron 54:9475-9480. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0040-4020(98)00575-4

Kakati D, Sarma JC (2011). Microwave assisted solvent free synthesis of 1,3-diphenylpropenones. Chem. Cent. J. 5:8. (doi: 10.1186/1752-153x-5-8). http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1752-153X-5-8

Konieczny MT, Konieczny W, Sabisz M, Skladanowski A, Wakiec R, Augustynowicz-Kopec E, Zwolska Z (2007). Acid-catalyzed synthesis of oxathiolone fused chalcones. Comparison of their activity toward various microorganisms and human cancer cells line. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 42:729-733. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2006.12.014

Li JT, Yang WZ, Wang SX, Li SH, Li TS (2002). Improved synthesis of chalcones under ultrasound irradiation. Ultrason. Sonochem. 9:237-239. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1350-4177(02)00079-2

Li R, Chen X, Gong B, Dominguez JN, Davidson E, Kurzban G, Miller RE, Nuzum EO, Rosenthal PJ (1995). *In vitro* antimalarial activity of chalcones and their derivatives. J. Med. Chem. 38:5031-5037. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jm00026a010

Mishra N, Arora P, Kumar B, Mishra LC, Bhattacharya A, Awasthi SK, Bhasin VK (2008). Synthesis of novel substituted 1,3-diaryl propenone derivatives and their antimalarial activity *in vitro*. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 4:1530-1535. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2007.09.014

Narender T, Papi-Reddy KA (2007). A simple and highly efficient method for the synthesis of chalcones by using borontrifluoride-etherate. Tetrahedron Lett. 48:3177-3180. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.03.054

Nowakowski Z (2007). A review of antiinfective and anti-inflammatory chalcones. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 42:125-137. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2006.09.019

Oyedapo AO, Makanju VO, Adewunmi CO, Iwalewa EO, Adenowo TK (2004). Antitrichomonal activity of 1,3-diaryl-2-propen-1-ones on *Trichomonas gallinae*. Afr. J. Tradit. Complement Altern. Med. 1:55-62. http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajtcam.v1i1.31095

Palleros DR (2004). Solvent-free synthesis of chalcones. J. Chem. Educ. 81:1345-1347. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ed081p1345

Pappano NB, Centorbi ONP, Ferretti FH (1994). Determination of the responsible molecular zone for the chalcones bacteriostatic activity. Rev. Microbiol. 25:149-151.

Perjési P, Rozmer Z (2011). Kinetic analysis of some chalcones and synthetic chalcone analogues on the Fenton-reaction initiated deoxyribose degradation assay. Open Med. Chem. J. 5:61-67. http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/1874104501105010061

Podunavac-Kuzmanović SO, Barna DJ, Cvetković DD (2008). Quantitative structure-activity relationships to predict antibacterial effect of some benzimidazole derivatives. Acta Periodica Technologica 38:181-191. http://dx.doi.org/10.2298/APT0839181P

Prakasham AP, Saxena AK, Luqman S, Chanda D, Kaur T, Gupta A, Yadav DK, Chanotiya CS, Shanker K, Khan F, Negi AS (2012). Synthesis and anticancer activity of 2-benzylidene indanones through inhibiting tubulin polymerization. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 20:3049-3057. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2012.02.057

Rajashree AM, Kendre NM, Shah NN, Baseer MA (2013). Green chemistry approach to novel chalcones and their antioxidant properties. J. Atoms Mol. 3:463-468.

Rollas S, Küçükgüzel ŞG (2007). Biological activities of hydrazone derivatives. Molecules 12:1910-1939. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/12081910

Russel AD, Furr JR (1977). The antibacterial activity of a new chloroxylenol preparation containing Ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 43:253-260. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1977.tb00749.x

Sarda SR, Jadhav WN, Bhusare SR, Wasmatkar SK, Dake SA, Pawar RP (2009). Solvent free NaOH-Al_2O_3 supported synthesis of 1,3-diaryl-2-propen-1-ones. Int. J. Chem. Tech. Res. 1:265-269.

Sharma N, Joshi YC (2012). Synthesis of substituted chalcones under solvent-free microwave irradiation conditions and their antimicrobial evaluation. Int. J. Pharm. Pharm. Sci. 4:436-439.

Sheng R, Xu Y, Hu C, Zhang J, Lin X, Li J, Yang B, He Q, Hu Y (2009). Design, synthesis and AChE inhibitory activity of indanone and aurone derivatives. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 44:7-17. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2008.03.003

Sivakumar PM, Priya S, Doble M (2009). Synthesis, biological evaluation, mechanism of action and quantitative structure-activity relationship studies of chalcones as antibacterial agents. Chem. Biol. Drug Des. 73:403-415. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0285.2009.00793.x

Suvarapu LN, Seo YK, Baek SO, Ammireddy VR (2012). Review on analytical and biological applications of hydrazones and their metal complexes. E-J. Chem. 9:1288-1304.

Széll T, Sohár I (1969). New nitro chalcones. Can. J. Chem. 47:1254-1258. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/v69-207

Thirunarayanan G, Vanangamudi G (2007). Synthesis of some aryl chalcones using silica-sulfuric acid reagent under solvent free conditions. E-J. Chem. 4:90-96.

Tomar V, Bhattacharjee G, Kumar A (2007). Synthesis and antimicrobial evaluation of new chalcones containing piperazine or 2,5-dichlorothiophene moiety. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Letts. 17:5321-5324. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2007.08.021

Vasanthanathan P, Lakshmi M, Babu MA, Gupta AK, S.G. Kaskhedikar SG (2006). QSAR Study of 3-Phenyl-5-acyloxymethyl-2H,5H-furan-2-ones as antifungal agents: The dominant role of electronic parameter. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 54:583-587. http://dx.doi.org/10.1248/cpb.54.583

Vaya J, Belinky PA, Aviram M (1997). Antioxidant constituents from licorice roots: Isolation, structure elucidation and antioxidative capacity toward LDL oxidation. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 23:302-313. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0891-5849(97)00089-0

Vogel S, Barbic M, Jürgenliemk G, Heilmann J (2010). Synthesis, cytotoxicity, anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory activity of chalcones and influence of A-ring modifications on the pharmacological effect. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 45:2206-2213. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2010.01.060

Zak O, Tosch W, Sande MA (1985). Correlation of antibacterial activities of antibiotics in vitro and in animal models of infection. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 15(Suppl. A):273-282. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/15.suppl_A.273

Zangade S, Mokle S, Vibhute A, Vibhute Y (2011). An efficient and operationally simple synthesis of some new chalcones by using grinding technique. Chem. Sci. J. 2:011 (doi: 10.4172/2150-3494.1000011).

Zhang Y, Shi S, Zhao M, Jiang Y, Tu P (2006). A novel chalcone from Coreopsis tinctoria nutt. Biochem. Syst. Ecol. 34:766-769. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bse.2006.05.005

Zhang Z, Dong YW, Wang GW (2003). Efficient and clean aldol condensation catalyzed by sodium carbonate in water. Chem. Letts. 32:966-967. http://dx.doi.org/10.1246/cl.2003.966