Immunomodulatory activity of various extracts of Adhatoda vasica Linn. in experimental rats
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Methanolic, chloroform and diethyl ether extracts of leaves of Indian medicinal plant Adhatoda vasica Linn. were pharmacologically validated for its immunomodulatory properties in experimental animals. Oral administration of extracts at a dose of 400 mg/kg in adult male Wister rats significantly increased the percentage neutrophil adhesion to nylon fibers (P<0.001). It extracts were also found to induce Delayed Type Hypersensitivity reaction by sheep erythrocytes (P<0.001). The observed results at different doses were significant when compared to control groups. These findings suggested that the extracts of this plant, A. vasica Linn positively modulates the immunity of the host.
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INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants are coming into prominence because of the conventional medicine such as antibiotics which have developed resistance to many of the infection organisms which are no longer responsive to conventional medicines. Herbal preparation can be more effective and safer than conventional medicines. Non-toxic could be administered for a long period.

Immunomodulation is a procedure which can alter the immune system of an organism by interfering with its functions; if it results in an enhancement of immune reactions it is named as an immunostimulative drug which primarily implies stimulation of non specific system, that is, granulocytes, macrophages, complement, certain T-lymphocytes and different effector substances. Immunosuppression implies mainly to reduce resistance against infections, stress and may occur on account of environmental or chemotherapeutic factors.

Immunostimulation and immunosuppression both need to be tackled in order to regulate the normal immunological functioning. Hence both immunostimulating agents and immunosuppressing agents have their own standing and search for better agents exerting these activities is becoming the field of major interest all over the world. (Patwardhan et al., 1990).

Natural adjuvants, synthetic agents, antibody reagents are used as immunosuppressive and immunostimulative agents. But there are major limitation to the general use of these agents such as increased risk of infection and generalized effect throughout the immune system (Diasio and LoBuglio, 1996).

Traditional Indian systems of medicines like Siddha and Ayurveda have suggested means to increase the body’s natural resistance to disease. A number of Indian medicinal plants and various ‘rasayanas’ have been claimed to possess immunomodulatory activity (Atal et al., 1986; Patwardhan et al., 1990; Puri et al., 1994; Balchandran and Panchanathan, 1998; Ziauddin et al., 1996).

Adhatoda vasica (acanthaceae) known as chue Mue, is a stout stragling prostrate shrubby plant with the compound leaves which gets sensitive on touching, spinous stipules and globose pinkish flower heads, grows as weed in almost all parts of the country (Ghani, 2003). Leaves and stems of the plant have been reported to contain an alkaloid mimosa, leaves also contain mucilage and root contains tannins (Ghani, 2003). A. vasica is used for its anti–hyperglycemic (Uma maheswari, 2007), anti– diarrhoeal (Balakrishnan et al., 2006), anti–convulsant (Bum et al., 2004) and cytotoxic
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Table 1. The chemical constituents and family name of A. vasica.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant name</th>
<th>Synonym</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Chemical constituents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adhatoda vasica</td>
<td>Adhatoda zeylancia</td>
<td>Acanthaceae</td>
<td>Quinazoline vasicinone, essential oil.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The percentage yields of A. vasica Extract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight of drug</th>
<th>Extraction pattern</th>
<th>Solvent used</th>
<th>Weight obtaining (g)</th>
<th>Percentage yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500 g A. vasica powder</td>
<td>Soxhlet apparatus</td>
<td>Methanol,</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chloroform,</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diethyl Ether</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

properties. The plant also contains turgorins, leaves and roots are used in treatment of piles and fistula. Paste of leaves is applied to hydrocele. Cotton impregnated with juice of leaves is used for dressing sinuses. Plant is also used in treatment of sore gum and is used as a blood purifier (Ghani, 2003). In ayurvedic and Unani system of medicine, this plant has been used in diseases arising from corrupted blood and bile, bilious fever, piles, jaundice, leprosy, ulcers, small pox. The objective of present investigation was to study the immunomodulatory activity of the methanolic, chloroform and diethyl ether extracts of the leaves of A. vasica in animal models. The chemical constituents of A. vasica were listed (Table 1).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

The plant material was collected in Arulmigu Kalasalingam College of Pharmacy Medicinal Garden, Krishnankoil, Tamil Nadu. It was authenticated by Dr. Stephan, Department of Botany, The American College, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Extract preparation

The leaves were shade-dried and made into a coarse powder which was passed through a 40-mesh sieve to get a uniform particle size and then used for extraction. A weighed quantity (500 g) of the powder was then subjected to continuous hot extraction in Soxhlet apparatus with methanol, chloroform and diethyl ether and the residual marc was collected. The extract was evaporated under reduced pressure using a rotovac evaporator until all the solvent had been removed to give an extract sample with a yield of 16, 14 and 12% w/w in relation to the dried starting material. Preliminary phytochemical analysis was carried out to identify the % yields of extracts in A. vasica (Table 2).

Experimental animals

Adult male Wister rats of 150 to 200 g were weighing about 150 to 200 g were divided into 5 groups of 5 animals each. The dosages of drugs administered to the different groups were as follows:

Group-1: Control (normal saline 10 ml/kg)
Group-2: Cedrus deodara wood oil (100 mg/kg)
Group-3: Alcoholic extract of A. vasica (400 mg/kg)
Group-4: Chloroform extract of A. vasica (400 mg/kg)
Group-5: Diethyl ether extract of A. vasica (400 mg/kg)

The method of Wilkinson (Wilkinson, 1978) was used. The rats were treated orally with C. deodara wood oil and Adhatoda extracts at the doses of 100 and 400 mg/kg/day for 8 days. On the 8th day blood samples were collected from the retro-orbital plexus in heparinized vials and analyzed for total leukocyte count (TLC). The differential leukocyte count (DLC) was performed by fixing the blood smear and staining with leucofine and percent neutrophils in each sample were determined. The product of TLC and percent neutrophils gave the neutrophil index of blood sample. Percent neutrophil adhesion was calculated from the following formula:

Neutrophil adhesion (%) = \( \frac{N_{lu} - N_{lt}}{N_{lu}} \)

Where, \( N_{lu} \) = Neutrophil index of untreated blood sample. \( N_{lt} \) = Neutrophil index of treated blood sample.
Table 3. Effect of Leaf extracts of *A. vasica* on neutrophil Adhesion test in rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>TLC (cells/mm$^3$) (A)</th>
<th>Neutrophils (%) (B)</th>
<th>Neutrophil index(AXB)</th>
<th>Neutrophil Adhesion (%)</th>
<th>Inhibition (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control (normal saline)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.25±2.54</td>
<td>10.6±2.16</td>
<td>26.75±3.84</td>
<td>8.75±0.92</td>
<td>300.93±64.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. deodara</em> wood oil (standard)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.33±2.9</td>
<td>13.05±2.86</td>
<td>20.0±2.76</td>
<td>15.25±2.72</td>
<td>266.6±5.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test extract -I</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>11.34±1.09</td>
<td>10.98±1.07</td>
<td>18.56±2.7</td>
<td>17.9±1.68</td>
<td>210.47±2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test extract -II</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>11.43±2.54</td>
<td>11.2±2.5</td>
<td>18.56±2.86</td>
<td>16.6±2.83</td>
<td>212.14±6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test extract –III</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>10.12±2.32</td>
<td>9.28±2.3</td>
<td>17.26±2.7</td>
<td>13.0±2.68</td>
<td>174.67±5.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean ± SEM from five observations as compared to control group by students t test. n=5,* P<0.01,**P<0.002, ***P<0.001.

Table 4. Effect of *A. vasica* extracts on delayed type hypersensitivity footpad thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Group (Treatment)</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg p.o)</th>
<th>Paw volume at 24 h (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Control PBS (pH 7.4)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30.44 ± 5.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Alcoholic extract</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>11.56 ± 4.37* (62.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Chloroform extract</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>15.60 ± 4.30* (48.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Diethyl ether extract</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>20.10 ± 4.22* (33.96)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean ± S.D, n = 5 per group. p<0.05 (compared to respective control). Student’s t-test. In brackets, inhibition percentage is reported.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were expressed as the mean ± the correspondent standard deviation (S.D), n=5 and statistical analysis was carried out by employing Student’s t-test.

**Delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH)**

Hypersensitivity reaction to SRBC was induced in mice following the method reported by Ray et al. (1996). Sheep’s erythrocytes (SRBCs) collected in elsewer’s solution, were washed three times with pyrogen-free sterile normal saline and adjusted to a concentration of 1×10$^8$ cells/ml for sensitization and challenge. Animals were sensitized with 10% SRBC (1×10$^8$ cells) at Days 0 and 7 subcutaneously (s.c). After administration of various test extracts of *A. vasica* (400 mg/kg /day). Whereas control group was administered with equal volume of PBS (pH 7.4).On Day 9, both groups were challenged with 1×10$^8$ SRBC cells, intradermally into the left footpad of each mouse, while PBS (pH 7.4) was injected into right hind paw. The increase in footpad thickness (FPT) was measured 24 h after SRBC challenge by volume differential meter. The degree of DTH reaction was expressed as the percentage increase in FPT over the control values.

**RESULTS**

Various extracts of *A. vasica* was administered at a dose of 400 mg/kg/oral for 8 days, which significantly inhibited the adhesion of neutrophils to nylon fibres which simulates the process of margination of cells in the blood vessels, this indicates that at the site of inflammation alcoholic extracts of *A. vasica* reduces the number of neutrophils, thus decreasing their phagocytosis action and the release of various enzymes and mediators that make inflammation.

Percentages of neutrophil adhesion were observed on the 8th day at a dose of 400 mg/kg/oral, respectively. The percentage increase in neutrophil adhesion showed a dose dependent activity (P<0.001) (Table 1 and 3). The alcoholic extract at a dose of 400 mg/kg showed highly significant activity (P<0.001) (Figure 1).

Various extracts of *A. vasica* at a dose of 400 mg/kg was found to suppress delayed type hypersensitivity reactions induced by SRBC in mice, respectively (Table 4). Decrease in delayed type hypersensitivity reactions revealed the inhibitory effect of various extracts of *A. vasica* on T lymphocytes and accessory cell types required for expression of the reaction (Figure 2).
Figure 1. Effect of leaf extracts of *A. vasica* on neutrophil adhesion test in rats. Group-2: C.deodara wood oil (standard), Group-3: Alcoholic extract of *A. vasica*, Group-4: Chloroform extract of *A. vasica*, Group-5: Diethyl ether extract of *A. vasica*.

Figure 2. Effect of *A. vasica* extracts on delayed type hypersensitivity footpad thickness. Group 1: Control PBS (pH 7.4), Group 2: Alcoholic extract, Group 3: Chloroform extract, Group 4: Diethyl ether extract.

**DISCUSSION**

Intensive course of chemotherapy can have the opposing effects of tumor elimination and immunosuppression; it is incumbent upon clinicians and basic scientists to continue to explore the maximization of tumor killing balanced with the reconstitution of immunity. Control of disease by immunological means has two aspects, namely the development and improvement of protective immunity and the avoidance of undesired immunological side reactions. Modulation of the immune system by cytostatic agents is emerging as a major area in pharmacology, especially in cases where undesired immunosuppression is the result of therapy (Bach, 1976). The modulation of immune response by using medicinal plant products as a possible therapeutic measure has become a subject of active scientific investigations. The main objective of the present study was to evaluate the immunomodulatory activity of plant *A. vasica* Linn.

*A. vasica* administered orally showed significant increase in adhesion of neutrophils to nylon fibers which correlates to the process of margination of cells in blood vessels. The neutrophil adhesion was significantly increased with the dose of 400 mg/kg/day when compared
to untreated control. Neutrophils circulate in the vasculature in a passive state and become more adhesive upon stimulation at sites of inflammation, while it was marginated to the vessel wall, subsequently by transmigration and phagocytosis.

In the present study, we found various extracts of *A. vasica* to inhibit DTH reactiveness, but the maximum inhibition was observed using the treatment of alcoholic extract of *A. vasica*. The interaction of sensitized T-cells with presented antigen is known to be associated with the release of mediators, such as histamine. Products of arachidonic acid metabolism (Griswold et al., 1982) and interferon-gamma eventually lead to DTH. Therefore, the inhibitory action could be due to an influence of fraction on the biological mediators (Desai et al., 1966).

Delayed type hypersensitivity reaction is characterized by large influxes of non-specific inflammatory cells, in which the macrophage is a major participant. It is a Type IV hypersensitivity reaction that develops when antigen activates sensitized T<sub>DTH</sub> cells (Dunnet, 1964). These cells generally appear to be a T<sub>H1</sub> subpopulation although sometimes TC cells are also involved. Activation of T<sub>DTH</sub> cells by antigen presented through appropriate antigen presenting cells results in the secretion of various cytokines including Interleukin-2, Interferon-γ, macrophage migration inhibition factor and tumor necrosis factor-β (Askenase and Van Loveren, 1983). The overall effects of these cytokines are to recruit macrophages into the area and activate them, promoting increased phagocytic activity vis-à-vis increased concentration of lytic enzymes for more effective killing. Several lines of evidence suggest that DTH reaction is important in host defense against parasites and bacteria that can live and proliferate intracellularly. Treatment of alcoholic extract of *A. vasica* enhanced DTH reaction, which is reflected from the increased footpad thickness compared to control group suggesting heightened infiltration of macrophages to the inflammatory site (Ecobichon, 1999). This study may be supporting a possible role of alcoholic extract of *A. vasica* in assisting cell-mediated immune response. T-sesquiterpene lactones, which are isolated from *Tridax*, have been identified and are known to cause delayed type hypersensitivity (Picman, 1986). So it might be possible that these sesquiterpene lactones may be present in the alcoholic extract of *A. vasica*.

Conclusion

The present study has shown the immunostimulatory activity of the plant *A. vasica* by potentiating humoral as well as cellular immunity (Galighor, 1976). These findings also suggested that *A. vasica* does not suppress the immune system while treating cancer like other chemotherapeutic agents (Harborne, 1984). Promising approaches to immune reconstitution using natural products regimens continue to be developed and it appears that the future of cancer chemotherapy continues to be bright (Gennaro, 1995). Further detailed studies are required which might establish a possible mechanism of immunomodulation effects of this plant.
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