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Sevoflurane pretreatment prevents lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced acute lung injury in rats through up-regulated heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) expression
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To observe the effect of sevoflurane pretreatment with different concentrations on lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced acute lung injury (ALI) and expression of heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1). Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats were divided into six groups in different sevoflurane pretreatment experiment, Group A (control), Group B (1.5 MAC sevoflurane), Group C (1.5 MAC sevoflurane + LPS), Group D (1.0 MAC sevoflurane + LPS), Group E (0.5 MAC sevoflurane + LPS) and Group F (LPS) at random. Besides, SD rats were divided into other six groups in induced HO-1 expression experiment, Group A (control group), Group B (ZnPP), Group C (1.0 MAC sevoflurane), Group D (1.0 MAC sevoflurane + LPS), Group E (ZnPP + 1.0 MAC sevoflurane + LPS) and Group F (LPS). Using traditional method to detect pathological changes, wet/dry ratio (W/D), myeloperoxidase (MPO) and HO-1 activity; detecting the mRNA and protein level of cytokine-induced neutrophil chemoattractant (CINC), and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) and HO-1 by RT-PCR and Western blot. 1.0 MAC sevoflurane pre-treatment could effectively protect ALI with decreasing pathomorphological scores, MPO activity, W/D and down-regulated expression of ICAM-1 and CINC. Furthermore, 1.0 MAC sevoflurane pre-treatment could significantly induced HO-1 expression suggesting sevoflurane may protect ALI from inflammation through inducing abundant HO-1 expression. Sevoflurane pre-treatment may be an effective avenue to alleviate ALI through inducing HO-1 expression.
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INTRODUCTION

Initiated by numerous factors, acute lung injury (ALI) is a diffuse heterogeneous lung injury marked by epithelial and endothelial cell perturbation and inflammatory cell influx that leads to hypoxemia, non-cardiogenic pulmonary edema, low lung compliance and widespread capillary leakage (Leikauf et al., 2002; Randhawa and Bellingan, 2007). Activation of neutrophil, release of cytokine-induced neutrophil chemoattractant (CINC) and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) may play a crucial role in the pathology mechanism of ALI (Bhatia et al., 2000; Lee and Downey, 2001; Coimbra et al., 2006).

Recently, study has indicated that pre-treatment with 0.5 MAC sevoflurane to alveolar epithelial cells for 30 min could distinctly alleviate lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced inflammation (Suter et al., 2007). Heme oxygenase (HO-1) was increasing expression while the rats cause inhalation ALI by erythrocyte-induced (Pang et al., 2008). However, there was no evidence that pre-treatment with sevoflurane could suppress inflammation through inducing HO-1 up-regulated expression. In our work, we designed different experiment groups to depict the protective effect of sevoflurane to ALI and the relationship between sevoflurane and HO-1 in protection process, with hope to give some theoretical supports for clinical application.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Forty-eight male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats weighting 220 to 280 g were provided by animal center of Central South University. The
animals were housed at a clean, controlled ambient temperature. They were given food and water ad libitum.

Methods

The effect of sevoflurane pre-treatment with different concentrations on LPS-induced ALI. Forty-eight male SD rats were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of 20% urethane 1 g/kg and were tracheostomized to implement mechanical ventilation (with 8 ml/kg tidal volume and 65 to 70 time/min respiratory frequency). The right femoral artery incubation was used to measure arterial pressure and blood collection, but the left was used for ringer solution and drug injection. After 30 min, these rats were randomly divided into six groups (n = 8): group A (control, ventilation + saline), group B (1.5 minimum alveolar concentration (MAC) sevoflurane + saline), group C (1.5 MAC sevoflurane + LPS), group D (1.0 MAC sevoflurane + LPS), group E (0.5 MAC sevoflurane + saline) and group F (ventilation + LPS). The mechanical ventilation and sevoflurane pre-treatment were all performed for 30 min long. The overall volume was identical in every group.

ALI model was established by femoral vein injection with 5 mg/kg LPS to rats (Jeyaseelan and Chu, 2004). Mean arterial pressure (MAP), PH and arterial blood gas index (PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$) were both measured and recorded at four time points T0 (before sevoflurane injection), T1 (after 30 min of sevoflurane injection), T2 (after 2 h of sevoflurane injection), T3 (after 6 h of sevoflurane injection) via Datex anaesthetic agent monitor. Sevoflurane, delivered to gas admixture (oxygen) at a concentration of 0.5 MAC, 1.0 MAC and 1.5 MAC via a calibrated vaporizer was administered via an endotracheal tube for 30 min and the inspired oxygen and sevoflurane concentration were also maintained and monitored constantly by Datex anaesthetic agent monitor. The rectal temperature of all the rats was controlled to 37 to 38°C by incandescent bulb heating.

The surgical method in all rats was the same as earlier mentioned. These rats were also randomly divided into six groups (n = 8): Group A (control, 10% DMSO + ventilation + saline), Group B (ZnPP + ventilation + saline), group C (10% DMSO + 1.0 MAC sevoflurane + saline), Group D (10% DMSO + 1.0 MAC sevoflurane + LPS), group E (ZnPP + 1.0 MAC sevoflurane + LPS) and Group F (ZnPP + 1.0 MAC sevoflurane + LPS). The mechanical ventilation and sevoflurane pre-treatment were all performed for 10 min, but the mechanical ventilation and sevoflurane pre-treatment were all performed for 30 min long. The overall volume was identical in every group.

Detection assay

After 6 h of drug treatment or saline, all the rats were killed by exsanguination and sample of left lung tissue was remained to assay the activity of MPO and HO-1, the mRNA and protein expression level of ICAM-1, CINC-1 and HO-1 by RT-PCR and Western blot. Right upper lobe was dyed with hematoxylin and eosin (HE) stain to observe the pathological changes but the right middle lobe was used to measure dry/wet ratio (W/D).

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed by SPSS 13.0 and the results were measured by average ± standard deviation (X±s. One-way ANOVA was used for comparisons between the groups where appropriate. Post hoc comparisons were performed using LSD test or Dunnett’s T3 test. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The effect of sevoflurane pre-treatment with different concentrations on LPS-induced ALI

The changes of MAP, PH and PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ in every group

The changes of MAP, PH and PaO$_2$/FiO$_2$ were all monitored by Datex anaesthetic agent monitor in different time, and the analysis results was shown in Table 1.

The pathological changes, pathomorphological scores, W/D ratio and MPO activity

In group A and B, the alveoli structure was normal with clean alveolar spaces, no inflammatory cell infiltration and no alveolar wall thickening. But in LPS-induced Groups C, D, E and F, we could obviously observe diffuse pulmonary edema in different extent, capillarectasia, hyperaemia, leukopedesis and bleeding, especially in Group F (Figure 1). The results of pathomorphological scores, W/D ratio and MPO activity were shown in Table 2.

mRNA and protein expression level of ICAM-1 and CINC

The mRNA expression level of every group was analyzed with RT-PCR, using GAPDH as an internal standard (Figures 2A and 3A). The protein expression level of every group was detected with Western blot, using β-actin as an internal standard (Figures 2B and 3B). The results of statistical analysis were showed in Figures 2C and 3C.

HO-1 expression in lung tissues of LPS-induced ALI rats with sevoflurane pre-treatment

The pathological changes, pathomorphological scores, W/D and MPO activity

The results of pathomorphological scores, W/D and MPO activity were showed in Table 3.

Activity of HO-1 in every group

The activity of HO-1 was evaluated by traditional method. The results are shown in Table 4.
Table 1. The changes in MAP, PH and PaO2/FiO2 in every group (x ± s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>A (n = 8)</th>
<th>B (n = 8)</th>
<th>C (n = 8)</th>
<th>D (n = 8)</th>
<th>E (n = 8)</th>
<th>F (n = 8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAP (mmHg)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>84.6 ± 10.1</td>
<td>88.9 ± 10.4</td>
<td>86.8 ± 9.1</td>
<td>89.1 ± 5.8</td>
<td>84.8 ± 6.1</td>
<td>86.8 ± 9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>81.8 ± 7.9</td>
<td>88.9 ± 4.9</td>
<td>70.0 ± 7.6</td>
<td>75.0 ± 6.4</td>
<td>79.4 ± 6.1</td>
<td>81.0 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>83.0 ± 7.4</td>
<td>81.6 ± 6.4</td>
<td>65.6 ± 8.6</td>
<td>61.6 ± 7.2</td>
<td>62.1 ± 6.5</td>
<td>58.5 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>82.4 ± 7.7</td>
<td>81.0 ± 5.6</td>
<td>65.5 ± 5.9</td>
<td>67.1 ± 6.1</td>
<td>66.6 ± 5.8</td>
<td>63.0 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.41 ± 0.07</td>
<td>7.41 ± 0.05</td>
<td>7.43 ± 0.08</td>
<td>7.42 ± 0.08</td>
<td>7.41 ± 0.05</td>
<td>7.38 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PaO2/FiO2 (mmHg)</td>
<td>424.5 ± 21.9</td>
<td>419.1 ± 30.8</td>
<td>422.5 ± 27.9</td>
<td>417.8 ± 29.0</td>
<td>430.1 ± 28.0</td>
<td>440.9 ± 27.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison within groups: Compare with T0 *P < 0.05 and compare with T1 *P < 0.05. Comparison between groups: Compare with Group A *P < 0.05; compare with Group B *P < 0.05; compare with Group C *P < 0.05.

Figure 1. The pathological changes of lung tissue in ALI with different treatment A, B, C, D, E and F indicated each group.

Table 2. Comparison between different group in W/D, pathomorphological scores and the activity of MPO (x ± s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>W/D ratio</th>
<th>MPO activity (U/gprot)</th>
<th>Pathomorphological scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (n = 8)</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.54</td>
<td>5.19 ± 0.54</td>
<td>1.63 ± 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (n = 8)</td>
<td>3.54 ± 0.58</td>
<td>5.06 ± 0.48</td>
<td>2.88 ± 1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (n = 8)</td>
<td>4.96 ± 0.56 *</td>
<td>6.60 ± 0.77 **</td>
<td>10.13 ± 1.81 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (n = 8)</td>
<td>4.28 ± 0.63 **</td>
<td>6.56 ± 0.70 **</td>
<td>9.9 ± 1.25 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (n = 8)</td>
<td>5.01 ± 0.71 *</td>
<td>7.31 ± 0.77 **</td>
<td>11.50 ± 1.0 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (n = 8)</td>
<td>5.22 ± 0.81 *</td>
<td>8.01 ± 0.80 **</td>
<td>13.88 ± 1.13 **</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compare with Group A *P < 0.05; compare with Group D *P < 0.05; compare with Group E *P < 0.05, and compare with Group F *P < 0.05.
Figure 2. The mRNA and protein expression level of ICAM-1 in every group. A: The mRNA expression level of ICAM-1; B: The protein expression level of ICAM-1; C: The result of statistical analysis (compare with Group A *P < 0.05, compare with Group E #P < 0.05 and compare with Group F ΔP < 0.05).

Figure 3. The mRNA and protein expression level of CINC-1 in every group. A: The mRNA expression level of CINC-1; B: The protein expression level of CINC-1 and C, the result of statistical analysis (compare with Group A *P < 0.05, compare with Group E #P < 0.05 and compare with Group F ΔP < 0.05).
Table 3. Comparison between different group in W/D, pathomorphological scores and the activity of MPO (±s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>W/D ratio</th>
<th>MPO activity (U/gprot)</th>
<th>Pathomorphological scores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (n = 8)</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.54</td>
<td>5.19 ± 0.54</td>
<td>1.63 ± 1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (n = 8)</td>
<td>3.50 ± 0.64</td>
<td>5.37 ± 0.63</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (n = 8)</td>
<td>3.50 ± 0.67</td>
<td>5.12 ± 0.76</td>
<td>2.75 ± 2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (n = 8)</td>
<td>4.28 ± 0.63*</td>
<td>6.56 ± 0.70*</td>
<td>9.88 ± 1.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (n = 8)</td>
<td>5.35 ± 0.66**</td>
<td>9.24 ± 1.11**</td>
<td>14.0 ± 1.07**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (n = 8)</td>
<td>5.22 ± 0.81**</td>
<td>8.01 ± 0.80***</td>
<td>13.88 ± 1.13***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compare with Group A  *P < 0.05, compare with Group D *P < 0.05 and compare with Group E *P < 0.05.

Table 4. The comparison of HO-1 activity between every group (±s).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HO-1 activity (nmol·g⁻¹·prot·h⁻¹)</td>
<td>166.5 ± 51.3</td>
<td>181.8 ± 79.0</td>
<td>172.6 ± 62.1</td>
<td>578.1 ± 92.0 *</td>
<td>218.8 ± 67.7 *</td>
<td>484.9 ± 88.6 **#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compare with Group A *P < 0.05, compare with Group D *P < 0.05 and compare with Group E # P < 0.05.

**The mRNA and protein expression level of HO-1 in every group**

The mRNA and protein expression level of HO-1 in every group were detected by RT-PCR and Western blot, respectively. The results were showed in Figure 4.

**The mRNA and protein expression level of ICAM-1 and CINC**

The mRNA and protein expression level of ICAM-1 and CINC in every group were also detected by RT-PCR and Western Blot, respectively. The results were showed in Figures 5 and 6.

**DISCUSSION**

LPS endotoxin, as a main component of the cell wall of gram-negative bacteria, is the most commonly used injury agent to induce ALI model (Davidson et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2003; Jeyaseelan and Chu, 2004). Therefore, in this work, the ALI model was established by intravenous injection with 5 mg/kg LPS to rats. The results indicated that after LPS injection for 6 h, the W/D ratio and MPO activity of lung tissue in Groups C, D, E and F were obviously increasing. And diffuse pulmonary edema in different extent, capillarectasia, hyperaemia, leukopenes and bleeding were all observed, especially in Group F, which demonstrated that the ALI model was successfully established. In addition, ICAM-1 and CINC-1 were identified up-regulated expression at mRNA and protein level, which demonstrated polymorphonuclear neutrophil (PMN) might accumulate in lung tissue to release inflammatory mediator to cause ALI in agreement with the microscopic observation (Menezes et al., 2005).

Dominik et al., (2007) reported that pre-treatment with 0.5 MAC sevoflurane to alveolar epithelial cells for 30 min could distinctly alleviate LPS-induced inflammation. Liu et al. (2000) found that the W/D ratio and lactate dehydrogenase activity of lung tissue in 1 MAC sevoflurane plus ischemia-reperfusion (I/R) group were lower significantly than I/R group, suggesting that sevoflurane could ease I/R ALI. In this study, we applied three different sevoflurane concentration gradients (0.5 MAC, 1.0 MAC and 1.5 MAC) to pre-treat for 30 min. The results showed that compare with group F, different concentration sevoflurane, all could induce down-regulated expression of CINC-1 and ICAM-1 at mRNA and protein level, MPO activity inhibited, and pathomorphological score decreasing to protect lung. However, there was extent difference in different concentration sevoflurane pre-treatment. 0.5 MAC sevoflurane pre-treatment (Group E) could relieve LPS-induced ALI compared with Group F, but there was no significant difference in the W/D ratio, expression level of CINC-1 and ICAM-1. 1.0 MAC (Group D) and 1.5 sevoflurane (group C) pre-treatment effect was ever more evident and significantly different from group E and F, but no significant difference between them. In general, we suppose that sevoflurane pre-treatment could protect LPS-induced ALI effectively, and the optimal sevoflurane
Figure 4. The mRNA and protein expression level of HO-1 in every group. A: The mRNA expression level of HO-1, B: the protein expression level of HO-1 and C: the result of statistical analysis (compare with Group A *P < 0.05, compare with Group D *P < 0.05 and compare with Group E *P < 0.05).

Figure 5. The mRNA and protein expression level of ICAM-1 in every group. A: The mRNA expression level of ICAM-1, B: the protein expression level of ICAM-1 and C, the result of statistical analysis (compare with group A *P < 0.05, compare with Group D *P < 0.05 and compare with Group E *P < 0.05).
concentration is 1.0 MAC.

HO-1 is the rate limiting enzyme in the degradation of heme and results in the release of equimolar quantities of biliverdin, iron and carbon monoxide (Tenhunen et al., 1968). HO-1 has been demonstrated playing a cytoprotective role in modulating tissue responses to injury in several pathophysiological states, such as I/R injury (Tsuchihashi et al., 2005), inflammation (Nath et al., 2001), transplantation (Baan et al., 2004), atherosclerosis (Morsi et al., 2006), cardiovascular diseases (Immenschuh and Schrder, 2006), hypoxia-induced lung injury (Fredenburgh et al., 2007), etc.

Among them, lung I/R is an important model of oxidant-mediated ALI (Fujita et al., 2001). Isoflurane pre-treatment increased hepatic HO-1 mRNA, protein, enzyme activity to protect rat livers from I/R injury, but administration of HO-1 inhibitors abolished the isoflurane-induced protective effects (Schmidt et al., 2007). However, whether sevoflurane could also induce HO-1 regulated expression to inhibit ALI inflammation? We designed six different groups for the test. This study demonstrated that as compare to group E and F, 1.0 MAC sevoflurane per-treatment (group D) could significantly enhance the activity of HO-1, increase the expression of HO-1 at mRNA and protein level, but reduce the pathomorphological score, MPO activity, and the expression of ICAM-1 and CINC. ZnPP, as a substrate of HO-1, weakly induces HO-1 expression but markedly decreases its activity, which explains its usage as potent HO-1 inhibitor (Tsuchihashi et al., 2005). ZnPP was introduced in group E to block up the effect of sevoflurane. The analysis showed that the HO-1 expression was seriously inhibited and ALI exacerbation. Group B was as controlled to exclude ZnPP influence on normal tissue. In conclusion, this work suggested that sevoflurane may take part in ALI protection through inducing HO-1 up-regulated expression.
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