# academic<mark>Journals</mark>

Vol. 8(10), pp. 457-461, October 2014 DOI: 10.5897/AJPS10.208 Article Number: 820FC4348464 ISSN 1996-0824 Copyright © 2014 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/AJPS

African Journal of Plant Science

Full Length Research Paper

# Comparison of qualitative and quantitative traits of some Advanced breeding lines of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.)

Olakojo, S. A.<sup>1</sup>\* and Adetula, O. A.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Agricultural Research and Training Obafemi Awolowo University, P. M. B. 5029 Moor Plantation, Ibadan. <sup>2</sup>National Horticultural Research Institute Idi-Isin, Ibadan.

#### Received 2 December, 2010; Accepted 30 September, 2014

Field trials and laboratory experiments were conducted on six advanced breeding lines of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) between 2004 and 2006 to genetically assess the organoleptic properties of the tomato lines, determine the relationships among tomato traits using correlation analysis and to estimate the fruit yield potential of the tomato lines. The trial was laid out in randomized complete block design with three replicates. Planting was done on four-row plots of 8 x 5 m using intra row spacing of 60 x 50 cm to obtain a plant population of 33,333 per ha. The results show that agronomic traits such as plant height, fruit weight and fruit yield differed significantly from one line to another, while significant differences exist in their organoleptic properties such as percentage titratable acid, organic acids, sugars and dry matter contents. Fruit yield ranged from 11.0 Mg/ha (CLN 1462B) to 30.0 Mg/ha (Manuella). The highest correlation coefficient (r=0.99) was found in the relationship between fruit weight and fruit yield. Titratable acids was highly and positively correlated with dry matter content, citric acid and malic acid, while malic acid among others was negatively and significantly correlated with fructose, glucose and pH.

**Key words:** Advanced tomato lines, Organoleptic properties, qualitative traits, correlation coefficients biochemical properties.

### INTRODUCTION

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L) is one of the most important fruit vegetables globally. In Nigeria, the most acceptable variety is Roma VF and generally adaptable to Nigerian Savanna ecology (Ibrahim and Dadari, 2002). Genetic improvement of tomato is a major thrust of many research Institutes in different parts of the globe. This research effort focused mainly on development of cultivars for higher yield potential, adaptation, and market value acceptability and enhanced organoleptic properties. Agong et al. (2000), used multiple correlation analysis to study relationship between some biochemical parameters and fruit weight in tomato; their results showed that most of the biochemical characters were negatively correlated with fresh tomato yields, suggesting that breeding programme may have to sacrifice larger fruit size to obtain or retain better quality. Mitchell et al. (1991) and

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: saolakojo@yahoo.co.uk

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u> <u>4.0International License</u>

Source of variation	Df	Plant height	Fruit weight	Yield (Mg/ha)	Titratable acid (%)	Dry matter content	Citric acid	Malic acid (%)	Fructose (%)	Glucose (%)	рН
		(cm)	(kg/plot)			(%)	(%)			. ,	
Replicate	2	1.19	0.0007	0.0002	0.00	0.003	0.0007	0.0005	0.0005	0.009	0.027
Variety	5	329.15**	0.006**	0.007**	0.12**	0.31**	0.17**	0.15**	0.04**	0.013**	0.10**
Error	10	67.08	0.0005	0.0007	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.0026	0.017
Total	17										

Table 1. Mean squares (MS) for the agronomic traits and proximate analysis of the Tomato lines.

\*\*Significant at P<0.01.

Agong et al. (1997) confirmed the use of biochemical characteristics such as electric conductivity brix %, pH value and total titratable acidity as major criteria to judge the organoleptic and processing quality of tomato. Therefore, these properties should be of the selection criteria for improving tomato for better organoleptic properties.

In Nigeria, the major tomato production constraint is bacterial wilt caused by Pseudomoans solanacearum. This disease is a serious vield depressing factor in the endemic zones reducing fruit yield by as much as 70%, and in critical situations absolute crop failure have been reported (Olakojo et al., 2005). Breeding efforts at the Institute of Agricultural Research and Training (IAR&T) Obafemi Awolowo University Moor Plantation and National Horticultural Research Institute Ibadan have resulted in selection of some genotypes with considerable level of tolerance to bacterial wilt. The need to improve the fruit size therefore became important for it to be acceptable to end users. On the other hand, fruit sizes have been found to be negatively correlated with important organoleptic and biochemical properties of tomato (Agong et al., 2000). In order to strike a balance between fruit yield and guality traits, this study aimed at assessing the organoleptic properties of some advanced tomato breeding lines identified to be useful as parent materials to improve the fruit size of bacterial wilt tolerant materials.

The objectives of the study therefore were: (i) to assess the organoleptic properties of the tomato lines (ii) determine relationships among the agronomic and biochemical properties and (iii) to ascertain the possibility of using them to improve both the fruit size and organoleptic quality of bacterial wilt resistant genotypes in our national breeding programme.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Six advanced breeding lines of tomato (*L. esculentum* L) were evaluated in 2006 at the Institute of Agricultural Research and Training Obafemi Awolowo University Moor Plantation, Ibadan for yield potential and biochemical properties. These advanced breeding lines of tomato were collected from The National Horticultural Research Institute Idi-Ishin, Ibadan and Asian Vegetable Development

Centre, China.

Filed was prepared mechanically by ploughing twice harrowing once during the early season of year 2006. Transplanting was done on flat surface of soil in four-row plots measuring 5 x 8 m with a spacing of 60 x 50 cm to obtain a population density of 33,333 plants/ha. Three weeks after transplanting, 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of (NPK: 20-10-10) fertilizer was applied in granular form round the seedlings. The experimental design was a randomized complete block design with three replications. Weeding was done twice but as at when due. The seedlings were however not staked so as to conform to the farming system practice of Nigerian tomato farmers.

From the laboratory analyses, using the procedure of Food Chemistry Practical Manual, Bruce et al. (2006) (A University of Queensland Publication), vitamin C, titratable acid (TTA) which are acids that can be neutralized with any available base, citric acid, fructose, glucose, dry matter content and percentage moisture were determined. Other data obtained included plant height (cm), fruit weight (kg/plot) and fruit yield Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>

Data were analysed using SAS software package for analysis of variance; correlation coefficients (r) were also computed to determine relationship among the tomato biochemical characters and yield related traits at P<0.05 and P<0.01.

#### RESULTS

The results of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) are presented in Table 1. Mean square (MS) for all agronomic characters such as plant height, fruit weight, fruit yield as well as biochemical properties including percentage of titratable acid, dry matter content, citric acid, malic acid, fructose, glucose and pH values were highly significant at p<0.01. This great variability suggests a wide diversity in the tomato lines and their potential for meaningful varietal development that will enhance desirable biochemical properties. These varieties also lend themselves to supporting a broad gene pool for tomato genetic conservation for varied nutritional quality and greater utilization potential.

Mean agronomic characteristics of tomato are presented in Table 2. The plant height varied from 29.0-50.0 (for P3 – 12B) to 55.13 cm (for CLN 1462B). Mean across varieties for plant height was 42.97 cm with standard error of 1.93 while P3-12B was a dwarf tomato, TAS 1-12A, DT95/7401 and DT/95/3023 were of moderate height. Manuella and CLN 1462B (temperate

Tomato line	Plant height (cm)	Fruit weight (kg/plot)	Fruit yield (Mg/ha)
DT/95/8023	31.40	0.23	15.0
P3 - 12B	29.50	0.20	13.0
Manuella	51.40	0.38	30.0
TAS 1-12A	46.66	0.22	15.00
CLN 1462B	55.13	0.16	11.0
DT 95/7401	43.75	0.28	20.0
Mean	42.97	0.24	16.0
S.E.	1.93	0.003	2.00

 Table 2. Mean values of agronomic characteristics of the tomato lines.

Table 3. Percentage of acid and sugar by proximate analysis for the Tomato lines (Lycopersicon esculentum).

Tomato line	рН	Dry matter content	Titratable acid	Citric acid	Malic acid	Fructose	Glucose
DT/95/3023	4.06	5.71	1.10	1.29	1.24	0.69	0.89
P3-12B	4.36	4.97	1.02	1.19	1.14	0.63	0.95
Manuella	4.16	5.59	0.99	1.16	1.11	0.75	0.96
TAS 1 - 12A	4.46	5.05	0.60	0.70	0.67	0.81	1.08
CLN 1462B	4.56	4.92	0.69	0.81	0.77	0.73	0.99
DT95/7401	4.30	5.11	0.81	0.95	0.90	0.80	1.04
Mean	4.32	5.23	0.87	1.02	0.97	0.74	0.98
S. E. (0.05)	0.03	0.01	0.09	0.11	0.01	0.01	0.01

origin) appear taller with a height of 50 cm. Fruit weight per plot ranged from 0.16 to 0.38 kg/plot. Mean weight across varieties were 0.24 kg/plot. Fruit yield varied from 11.0 Mg/ha (for CLN 1462B) to 30.0 Mg/ha (for Manuella). Although, Manuella recorded the highest fruit yield, DT95/7401 was equally good with 20 Mg/ha (Table 2).

Percentage of neutralizable acids and sugar from the proximate analysis are presented in Table 3. The pH of the tomato lines though varied significantly from one line to another, it ranged from 4.06(DT/95/3023) to 4.56% (CLN 1462B). DT/95/3023 had the most acidic material with pH of 4.06. These levels of acidity though very mild are able to preserve the tomato paste for some time before further processing. The dry matter content of the tomato lines was quite high. It varied significantly between 4.92 (for CLN 1462B) to 5.59 (Manuella). Titratable acid was slightly high in DT 95/3023 and P3-12B lines with the values of 1.10 and 1.02%, respectively. The values for other lines were generally low with less than 1.0%. Significant differences also exist in citric acid content. The values ranged from 0.70 to 1.29 while DT/95/3023, P3 - 12B and Manuella were high in citric acid, TAS1 - 12A, CLN 1462B and DT 95/7601 had moderate citric acids with less than 1% (Table 3). Malic acid in this study assumed similar trend as in citric acid for all the tomato line.

Proximate analysis of sugar in this study revealed significant differences from one tomato line to another at p<0.05. Fructose content of the tomato was generally less than 1% in all the lines with a range of 0.63 (for P-12B) to 0.81% (for TAS1-12A). TAS 1-12A and DT 95/7401 had 0.81 and 0.80%, respectively for % fructose content (Table 3). Glucose content was high in TAS 1-12A and DT95/7401 (over 1.0%), however it reduced in other lines below 1.0%.

Based on results of chemical compositions, all tomato lines are safe for raw consumption, domestically in soup, and are suitable for tomato puree and paste production because the acid content are not injurious to human health. The results of correlation analysis are presented in Table 4. Correlation coefficient (r) among both agronomic and chemical properties were determined. Plant height was significant and positively correlated with percentage fructose and pH with coefficients (r) of 0.54 and 0.50 respectively. On the other hand, negative and significant correlations were obtained for plant height and percentage of titratable acid (-0.60, p<0.01) plant height and citric acid (-0.54, p<0.05) plant height and % malic acid (-0.56, p<0.05) respectively.

Fruit weight was positively and highly (p<0.01) correlated with fruit yield (0.99) and percentage of dry matter content (0.62), however, it was negatively (r=0.50) correlated with pH. Similarly, fruit yield was positively and

Parameter	Plant height	Fruit weight	Fruit yield	% Titratable acid	% Dry matter content	% Citric acid	% Malic acid	% Fructose	% Glucose	PH
Plant height	-	0.18	0.19	0.60**	-0.20	-0.54*	-0.56*	0.54*	0.35	0.50*
Fruit weight		-	0.99**	0.33	0.62**	0.34	0.34	0.26	-0.01	-0.50*
Fruit yield			-	0.32	0.60**	0.34	0.34	0.26	-0.01	-0.50*
% Titrable acid				-	0.67**	0.97**	0.98**	-0.59**	-0.67**	-0.72**
% Dry matter					-	0.66**	0.67**	006	-0.45	-0.78**
% Citric acid						-	0.95**	-0.57*	-0.63**	-0.68**
% Malic acid							-	-0.62**	-0.71**	-0.68**
% Fructose								-	0.61**	0.14
% Glucose									-	0.48*
рH										-

\* and \*\* Significance at P<0.05 and 0.01, respectively.

highly (r<0.60, p<0.01) correlated with dry matter content, but negatively (r=-0.49, p<.05) correlated with pH. Percentage titratable acid was highly and positively correlated with dry matter content (r=0.67, p, <0.01), citric acid (r=0.97-, p<0.01) and malic acid (r=0.98-, p<0.01), but was negatively correlated with fructose percentage (-0.59-, p<0.01), glucose percentage (-0.67, p<0.01) and pH (-0.72, p<0.01), respectively (Table 4).

Percentage of dry matter content was positively and highly correlated with % citric acid (0.66) and malic acid (0.67), but negatively correlated with pH (-0.78). Percentage citric acid was only positive and significantly correlated with malic acid (0.95), but negatively correlated with percentage of fructose (-0.57) glucose (-0.63) and pH (-0.68) respectively. Malic acid was negatively correlated with tomato sugar such as fructose (-0.62) and glucose percentage (-0.71, p<0.01) and pH (-0.68). Percentage of fructose on the other hand was positively and significantly correlated with glucose percentage (0.61) but glucose percentage was significantly and positively correlated with pH (0.48). While traits with strong and positive relationships may be jointly selected by breeder to enhance quality of tomato for such traits, negative correlation coefficients among tomato characters is an indication that breeder may need to adopt other breeding approach in selecting for such traits in tomato improvement programme.

## DISCUSSION

This study reveals the organoleptic properties of the advanced breeding lines of the tomato. High variability of the agronomic and organoleptic properties will no doubt provide breeders with opportunity to select desirable genotypes when breeding for higher fruit yield and quality organoleptic properties. Fulton et al. (2002) have identified a total of 222 qualitative trait loci (QTL) for 15 tomato traits including sugar, glutamic acid and flavour suggesting the possibility of increasing fruit size without necessarily sacrificing the quality organoleptic traits using molecular genetics.

Percentage of glucose and fructose in this study were very close to the values obtained by Agong et al. (2000), in their study using other tomato varieties. Similarly, sucrose synthase activity and fruit size in tomato had been found to be linearly related by Jia-Hao et al. (1992); this further suggests that sucrose synthase can serve as an indicator of sink strength in growing tomato fruits. In another study by Causse et al. (2001), overall aroma intensity was positively correlated with sweetness and sourness as well as lemon, candy and citrus fruit aroma. This implies that positive and significant correlations among glucose and fructose (r=0.61, p<0.01) as found in this study will no doubt promote good aroma in resultant genotypes.

The significant importance of tomato organoleptic properties is currently attracting international research effort. Contreras et al. (2006) studied the effects of NPK fertilization and irrigation with quality on tomato fruit quality. They observed that increase in concentration of the fertilization solution increased marketable fruits and fruit citric acid in tomato, while high acidity water produced fruit with higher total soluble solid brix, titratable acid and fruit dry matter content.

In the same vein, Baldwin et al. (1991) reported that a complex mixture of sugars, acids, amino acids, minerals and volatile compounds contributes to the characteristic flavour of fresh tomato fruits. In fact, recent scientific findings regarding tomato fruit component have encouraged effort to improve these traits genetically (Paolo et al., 1009). The report of these workers have shown that a strong interconnected nodes among tomato organoleptic properties exist for dry matter, pH and Brix,

while a strong association was reported between some metabolic and sensory traits such as citric acid with tomato small, glycine with tomato smell and granularity with dry matter content. Dry matter content also showed a link with ascorbic acid and pH.

#### Conclusion

The organoleptic properties of the evaluated tomato lines, relationships among quality traits and their yield potential showed that they are good parental candidates that may be used in improving bacterial wilt tolerant varieties for enhanced fruit-size. In the same vein, the tomato breeding lines could serve as sources of gene for improving tomato crop for dry matter yield and various chemical composition. For example, dry matter content can be improved in tomato using DT/95/3023 and Manuella as parent lines, while glucose and fructose can be enhanced using parent lines TAS1-12A and DT95/7401 as sources of gene for breeding program specially designed to improve these tomato sugars.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

The author(s) have not declared any conflict of interests.

#### REFERENCES

- Agong SG, Schittenhelm S, Friedt W (1997). Assessment of salt tolerance in Kenyan tomato germplasm. Euphytica 95:57-66.
- Agong SG, Schittenhelm S, Friedt W (2000). Genotypic variation of Kenyan tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* L.) germplasm. Plant Genet. Resour. Newsl. 123:61-67.

- Baldwin EA, Nisperos-Carriedo MO, Baker R, Scott JN (1991). Qualitative analysis of flavour parameters in Six Florida tomato cultivars. J. Agric. Food Chem. 39:1135-1140.
- Bruce RDÁ, Geoff H, Ian B (2006). Food Chemistry Practical Manual: A Publication of University of Queensland
- Causse V, Saliba-Colombari I, Lesschave MB (2001). Genetic analysis of organoleptic quality in fresh market tomato 2: Mapping QTLS for sensory attributes. Theor. Appl. Genet 102:273-283.
- Contreras JI, Segura L, Pascual I, Catela JJ (2006). Effect of NPK fertilization and irrigation water quality on the quality of tomato fruit. Acta Hortic. 747:60.
- Fulton TM, Bucheli P, Voirol E, Lopez J, Petiard V, Tanksley SD (2002). Qualitative trait loci (QTL) affecting sugars, organic acids and other biochemical properties. Possibly contributing to flavor, identified in four advanced backcross populations of tomato. Euphytica 127:63-177.
- Ibrahim R, Dadan SA (2002). Effect of age of seedling at transplanting and variety in yield and componentsof tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*). Niger. J. Hortic. Sci. 6:2-6
- Jia-Hao S, Taddusz L, Shi-Jean SS, Clanton CB (1992). Sucrose synthate in wild tomato (*Lycapersicon ehmielwskil*) and tomato fruit sink strength. Plant Physiol. 98:1163-1169.
- Mitchell JP, Shonnen C, Grattan SR, May DM (1991).Tomato fruit yields and quality under water deficit and salinity. J. Amer. Soc. Horti. Sci. 116:215-221.
- Olakojo SA, Alonge VO, Obmo PM (2005). Evaluation of tomato accessions for resistance to *Pseudomonas solanacearum* (Smith) causal organism of bacterial wilt and yield in a tropical climate. Niger. J. Genet. 19:58-70.
- Paolo C, Serena A, Vincenzo F, Luca T, Luigi F, Maria RE (2009). Use of network analysis to capture key traits affecting tomato organoleptic quality. Oxford J. Exp. Bot. 60(12):3379-3386.