

Review

Resistance to Bt Crops; Influence, mechanisms and management strategies

Mohammed Esmail Abdalla Elzaki

Department of Entomology, College of Plant Protection, Nanjing Agricultural University, Jiangsu/The Key Laboratory of Monitoring and Management of Plant Diseases and Insects, Ministry of Agriculture, Nanjing, 210095, Jiangsu, China.

Received 19 March, 2016; Accepted 16 May, 2016

The genetically engineered insect-resistant crops, Bt crops, were first commercially grown in 1996 and adopted in different countries. The economic benefits of Bt crops are reducing the use of insecticides and more safe to environment, however, development of resistance by insects might reduce their efficacy. Unfortunately, the field population evolved resistance to different Bt toxins and the number of resistant species is going to increase, which threat the continuous success of Bt crops. Thus, understanding the Bt resistance mechanisms, including the molecular basis of resistance is important for well-resistance control in Bt crops. This review paper displayed the mechanisms of insect pests resistance to Bt crops and appropriate strategies to delay and manage the resistance in Bt crops.

Key words: Field-evolved resistance, molecular mechanism, resistance to Bt toxins, resistance management strategies, transgenic crops.

INTRODUCTION

In 1948, the first case of insecticide resistance has been reported in synthetic insecticide (DDT) within 6 years of its introduction. Unfortunately, the number of resistance insects increased dramatically, and over 500 species evolved resistance to different kinds of insecticides (Denholm et al., 2002). Understanding the resistance mechanisms is important for insecticide resistance management, thus the resistance mechanisms have been studied in different insect's pest, for example, *Laodelphax striatellus*, *Nilaparvata lugens* and *Anopheles gambiae* (Ding et al., 2013; Elzaki et al., 2015; Mitchell et al., 2012).

Transgenic crops have been commercialized since 1996, which delivers *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) toxins to

kill certain insect pests and thus can decrease dependence on synthetic insecticides (Wu et al., 2008) in cotton, corn, peanut, soybean, and vegetables (James, 2010). Bt toxins disrupts the midgut membranes by binding to specific target sites and finally kill the insects (Morin et al., 2003). Cry1A family is the most commonly used Bt toxins, especially Cry1Ac in transgenic Bt cotton and Cry1Ab in transgenic Bt corn (Tabashnik et al., 2003).

Transgenic crops delivering Bt toxins are grown in millions of hectares. Although, the Bt crops increased the yield and reduced the use of conventional insecticide, however, their effectiveness would be decreased by evolution of resistance by insect pests (Ferré and Van

E-mail: alzaki_mohammed@yahoo.com.

Author(s) agree that this article remains permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Rie, 2002; Tabashnik et al., 2013, 2003. The number of resistant species has been increased worldwide, 13 cases of field-developed resistance to 5 Bt toxins in transgenic corn and cotton. Most of the resistance reported cases belong to Cry1A family (Tabashnik et al., 2013, 2014). Insect pests developed resistance to Bt crops by different mechanisms (Tabashnik, 2001). Therefore, understanding of the molecular and genetic basis of resistance to Bt could help in designing a suitable management approaches to delay the resistance development in the insect pests (Ferré and Van Rie, 2002).

This review focusing on the development of resistance to Bt crops and its underlying mechanisms, and discuss the significance of better understanding of these mechanisms, and resistance management strategies.

HISTORY OF RESISTANCE TO BT CROPS IN FIELD POPULATIONS

The first case of insect-resistance to Bt crops was reported in Mississippi and Arkansas between 2003 and 2006 in bollworm *Helicoverpa zea*. The bollworm resistance was discovered when an entomologist's team from University of Arizona investigated published data from monitoring studies of six main caterpillar pests of Bt crops in U.S, Australia, China and Spain. This case was reported after 7 years after being introduced by Bt cotton (Jensen, 2008). Then, different cases of resistance to Bt toxins has been stated in different Bt crops, such as, pink bollworm *Pectinophora gossypiella* evolved resistance to Cry1Ac in Bt cotton (Dennehy et al., 2004; Tabashnik et al., 2002, 2000; Zhang et al., 2012), *Spodoptera frugiperda* to Cry1F in Bt corn, *Busseola fusca* (Fuller) to Cry1Ab in Bt corn, *Helicoverpa punctigera* and *Helicoverpa armigera* to Cry2Ab in Bt cotton (Downes et al., 2010; Kruger et al., 2011; Mahon et al., 2007; Santos-Amaya et al., 2016; Van Rensburg, 2007). *Diabrotica virgifera virgifera* showed resistance to Cry3Bb1 in Bt maize (Gassmann et al., 2011). *S. frugiperda* evolved resistance to Cry1Fa toxin in Bt corn (Farias et al., 2015; Jakka et al., 2015; Monnerat et al., 2015).

Resistance to pyramid Bt crops

Pyramids Bt crop is a transgenic Bt crops producing two or more Bt toxins to kill the same pest and have been used widely to delay the development of pest resistance. While, a few cases of study in laboratory and field populations showed that the insect could evolve resistance to pyramid crops (Bernardi et al., 2015; Brévault et al., 2013; Fabrick et al., 2015; Wei et al., 2015; Welch et al., 2015). However, study by Carrière et al. (2015) who reported that the resistance to pyramids is due to similarity of amino acid sequence between Bt

toxins, which affect the cross-resistance when the resistance is associated with mutations, that reduce binding to midgut receptor. This study provides a valuable information that should be taken into consideration for designing pyramid crops and the development of sustainable management tactics for resistance in Bt crops (Carrière et al., 2015).

Molecular mechanisms of resistance

Understanding the resistance mechanism to Bt toxins is a key factor for resistance management in transgenic crops. The insect pests showed resistance to Bt crop by different mechanisms. For example, *H. armigera* conferred resistance to Cry1Ac by mutation in the promoter region of HaTryR. The insect adapted to Cry1Ac by decreasing the expression of trypsin, because the activation of trypsin is a critical step in toxicity for protoxin. Therefore, the durability of Bt transgenic crops might increase with activated toxins rather than protoxins (Liu et al., 2014a).

In the field populations of *P. gossypiella*, resistance to Cry1Ac in Bt cotton has been connected with 3 mutant alleles of a cadherin encoding gene (Morin et al., 2003; Ocelotl et al., 2015). *Bombyx mori* resistance to Cry1Ab has been conferred by a single amino acid mutation in ATP-binding cassette transporter gene (Atsumi et al., 2012).

The cadherin gene plays an important role in resistance to Bt crops. For example, *Manduca sexta* resistance to Cry1Ab was increased by silencing cadherin gene (Soberón et al., 2007). Similarly, in *Heliothis virescens*, resistance to Cry1Ac was associated with cadherin gene (Tabashnik, 2001). In *H. armigera*, resistance was associated with recessive cadherin mutations in field populations of cotton bollworm (Zhang et al., 2012). In *Sexigua*, resistance to Cry1Ac and Cry2Aa was related to cadherin gene (Qiu et al., 2015).

In addition, the *Trichoplusia ni* evolved resistance to Cry1Ac by differential alteration of two midgut aminopeptidases N (APN1 and APN6) (Tiewsirir and Wang, 2011).

Moreover, loss of a carbohydrate modifying enzyme creates resistance to Bt toxin, this mechanism is more threatening than a mutation in single receptor. In nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*, resistance to Cry5B conferred by losing bre-5 in the intestine. Bre-5 mutants exhibited resistance to Cry14A in nematodes and insects. Loss of a particular general modifier could affect the binding of various Bt toxins to several receptors, which cause a high level of resistance to a single toxin as well as cross-resistance to other Bt toxins (Griffitts et al., 2001).

Furthermore, in armyworm, *S. frugiperda* resistance to Cry1Fa in Bt corn was related to reduced toxin binding and expression of midgut alkaline phosphatase (Jakka et

al., 2015). In *H. armigera* and *H. punctigera*, resistance to Cry2Ab was conferred by mutations in ABC transporter (Coates and Siegfried, 2015; Tay et al., 2015).

RESISTANCE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

Refuges strategy

Providing refuges is considered as a primary strategy for delaying pest resistance to Bt crops. This strategy allowed the susceptible insects mating with resistant insects, which led to reduce the resistance allele in the pest population and led to delay the resistance (Gould, 1998; Hutchison et al., 2010; Liu et al., 1999; Shelton et al., 2000; Tabashnik et al., 2003, 2005; Tabashnik and Gould, 2012). The refugee strategy is most commonly used to delay resistance in Bt crops (Jin et al., 2015; Shelton et al., 2000; Tabashnik et al., 2008).

Pyramided plants

Pyramided plants delivering two or more Bt toxins targeting one pest are more essential for pest management and resistance management. Nowadays, pyramided plants have been broadly used to delay the development of insect-resistance (Carrière et al., 2015; Jin et al., 2015; Moar and Anilkumar, 2007; Roush, 1998; Tabashnik et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2002, 2003).

Pyramided Bt crops have been employed in different countries. For example, United States and Australia, totally replaced single-toxin Bt cotton. Pyramided Bt crops are expected to become even more dominant in the future, because they can enhance resistance management as well as pest control (Brévault et al., 2013).

The resistance in diamondback moth was delayed after the selection for Bt broccoli plants producing two toxins Cry1Ac and Cry1C (Zhao et al., 2005, 2003).

Modified Bt toxin

One promising way to develop modified Bt toxin is to determine how the target pests evolved resistance to particular toxins, then modify these toxins so that resistance must happen in another manner. This would probably increase the time for resistance development and increase the life expectation of insect-resistance to Bt crops.

Modified toxins, Cry1AbMod and Cry1AcMod killed *M. sexta* and *P. gossypiella* that had cadherin deletion mutations. These toxins could be valuable for delaying or countering pest resistance to Cry1A toxins (Soberón et al., 2007).

Resistance of greenhouse-selected strains of *T. ni*, to *B. thuringiensis* subsp. *kurstaki* was countered by

genetically modified toxins Cry1AbMod and Cry1AcMod lack of helix α -1 (Franklin et al., 2009).

The strength of the modified toxins was commonly higher against the most resistant strains in *Diatraea saccharalis*, *H. virescens*, *H. armigera*, *Plutella xylostella* and *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Tabashnik et al., 2011).

The engineering plants possessed a fusion protein linking the-endotoxin Cry1Ac and the galactose-binding domain of the nontoxic ricin B-chain (RB). This offers the toxin with extra binding domains, hence the potential number of interfaces at the molecular level in target insects. Maize and rice plants which have been engineered to produce the fusion protein were significantly more potent. The fusion genes have potential effect on resistance sustainability, crop improvement and biosafety (Mehlo et al., 2005).

RELEASE OF STERILE INSECTS

Release of sterile insect method is well-known for decades, which has been successfully used in different insect pests (Gould and Schliekelman, 2004; Krafur, 1998). The application of this technique to suppress pests resistant to transgenic crops was first reported by Tabashnik et al. (2010) using computer stimulation study, which showed that the resistance of pink bollworm in Bt cotton was suppressed by release of sterile moths (Tabashnik et al., 2010).

Released male-selecting (MS) transgenes was effective by preventing survival of female offspring, and offer a substitute resistance management approach by introgression of susceptibility alleles in the target pest populations. MS insects was provided an active and appropriate management option against *P. xylostella* and potentially could be useful for other pests (Harvey-Samuel et al., 2015).

Natural enemies

The preservation of natural enemies could delay resistance development in Bt crops. Study showed that *P. xylostella* populations were reduced when the *Corymbia maculata* were used with unsprayed non-Bt refuge plants. These study exhibited that natural enemies could delay the resistance to Bt plants and have significant effects on integrated pest management (IPM) in Bt crops (Liu et al., 2014b).

Seed mixtures

Seed mixtures strategy is also used to delay resistance to Bt crops, planting seed mixtures yielding random disseminations of non-Bt corn plants and pyramided Bt plants within fields, were effective only on pests with little inherent susceptibility to Bt toxins (Carrière et al., 2016).

Different study reported that the seed mixtures strategies had low efficiency in delaying resistance in pests with movable larvae and inherently low susceptibility to Bt toxins (Brévault et al., 2015). Thus, seed mixture strategies might be suitable for delaying resistance when used with other strategies.

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PROSPECT

Bt is the most successfully used bio-pesticide in the agriculture sector, and its insecticidal protein genes are used to control insect pests in transgenic crops. Although, transgenic plants provide distinct opportunities for management of pest populations, but the development of insect-resistance threatens the continuous success of the transgenic crops delivering Bt toxins. The resistance to Bt crops could affect the long-term future of Bt applications. Therefore, tactics for resistance management should be conducted. Moreover, understanding the molecular mechanism of resistance is a key factor for Bt resistance management. Although, this review displayed the reported molecular resistance mechanisms in Bt crops, but still more study showed be conducted for well-resistance management to ensure the continuous use of Bt crops.

Furthermore, different strategies have been used to increase pest control efficiency and delay the evolution of resistance to Bt crops. This review displayed the most promising resistance management strategies. By using these strategies resistance management could be achieved for the sustainable use of transgenic plants delivering insecticidal proteins from Bt.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author sincerely thanks all the people who have ever helped in providing valuable information for understanding resistance and resistance management.

Conflict of interests

The author has not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Atsumi S, Miyamoto K, Yamamoto K, Narukawa J, Kawai S, Sezutsu H, Kobayashi I, Uchino K, Tamura T, Mita K (2012). Single amino acid mutation in an ATP-binding cassette transporter gene causes resistance to Bt toxin Cry1Ab in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109: E1591-E1598.
- Bernardi D, Salmeron E, Horikoshi RJ, Bernardi O, Dourado PM, Carvalho RA, Martinelli S, Head GP, Omoto C (2015). Cross-resistance between Cry1 proteins in fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) may affect the durability of current pyramided Bt maize hybrids in Brazil. *PLoS One* 10: e0140130.
- Brévault T, Heuberger S, Zhang M, Eilers-Kirk C, Ni X, Masson L, Li X, Tabashnik BE, Carrière Y (2013). Potential shortfall of pyramided transgenic cotton for insect resistance management. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110:5806-5811.
- Brévault T, Tabashnik BE, Carrière Y (2015). A seed mixture increases dominance of resistance to Bt cotton in *Helicoverpa zea*. *Sci. Rep.* 5:9807.
- Carrière Y, Crickmore N, Tabashnik BE (2015). Optimizing pyramided transgenic Bt crops for sustainable pest management. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33:161-168.
- Carrière Y, Fabrick JA, Tabashnik BE (2016). Can Pyramids and Seed Mixtures Delay Resistance to Bt Crops? *Trends Biotechnol.* 34(4):291-302
- Coates BS, Siegfried BD (2015). Linkage of an ABC transporter to a single QTL that controls *Ostrinia nubilalis* larval resistance to the *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry1Fa toxin. *Insect. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 63:86-96.
- Denholm I, Devine G, Williamson M (2002). Insecticide resistance on the move. *Science* 297:2222-2223.
- Dennehy TJ, Unnithan G, Brink SA, Wood BD, Carrière Y, Tabashnik B, Antilla L, Whitlow M (2004). Update on pink bollworm resistance to Bt cotton in the Southwest. *Cotton: A College of Agriculture and Life Sciences Report.*
- Ding Z, Wen Y, Yang B, Zhang Y, Liu S, Liu Z, Han Z (2013). Biochemical mechanisms of imidacloprid resistance in *Nilaparvata lugens*: Over-expression of cytochrome P450 CYP6AY1. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 43:1021-1027.
- Downes S, Parker T, Mahon R (2010). Characteristics of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin Cry2Ab in a strain of *Helicoverpa punctigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) isolated from a field population. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 103:2147-2154.
- Elzaki MEA, Zhang W, Han Z (2015). Cytochrome P450 CYP4DE1 and CYP6CW3v2 contribute to ethiprole resistance in *Laodelphax striatellus* (Fallén). *Insect Mol. Biol.* 24:368-376.
- Fabrick JA, Unnithan GC, Yelich AJ, DeGain B, Masson L, Zhang J, Carrière Y, Tabashnik BE (2015). Multi-toxin resistance enables pink bollworm survival on pyramided Bt cotton. *Sci. Rep.* 5:16554.
- Farias JR, Andow DA, Horikoshi RJ, Sorgatto RJ, dos Santos AC, Omoto C (2015). Dominance of Cry1F resistance in *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on TC1507 Bt maize in Brazil. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 72(5):974-979.
- Ferré J, Van Rie J (2002). Biochemistry and Genetics of Insect Resistance to *B. acillus thuringiensis*. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 47:501-533.
- Franklin MT, Nieman CL, Janmaat AF, Soberón M, Bravo A, Tabashnik BE, Myers JH (2009). Modified *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins and a hybrid B. thuringiensis strain counter greenhouse-selected resistance in *Trichoplusia ni*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75:5739-5741.
- Gassmann AJ, Petzold-Maxwell JL, Keweshan RS, Dunbar MW (2011). Field-evolved resistance to Bt maize by western corn rootworm. *PLoS One* 6:e22629.
- Gould F (1998). Sustainability of transgenic insecticidal cultivars: integrating pest genetics and ecology. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 43:701-726.
- Gould F, Schliekelman P (2004). Population genetics of autocidal control and strain replacement. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 49:193-217.
- Griffitts JS, Whitacre JL, Stevens DE, Aroian RV (2001). Bt toxin resistance from loss of a putative carbohydrate-modifying enzyme. *Science* 293:860-864.
- Harvey-Samuel T, Morrison NI, Walker AS, Marubbi T, Yao J, Collins HL, Gorman K, Davies TE, Alphey N, Warner S (2015). Pest control and resistance management through release of insects carrying a male-selecting transgene. *BMC Biol.* 13:1.
- Hutchison W, Burkness E, Mitchell P, Moon R, Leslie T, Fleischer SJ, Abrahamson M, Hamilton K, Steffey KL, Gray M (2010). Areawide suppression of European corn borer with Bt maize reaps savings to non-Bt maize growers. *Science* 330:222-225.
- Jakka SR, Gong L, Hasler J, Banerjee R, Sheets JJ, Narva K, Blanco CA, Jurat-Fuentes JL (2015). Field-evolved Mode 1 fall armyworm resistance to Bt corn associated with reduced Cry1Fa toxin binding and midgut alkaline phosphatase expression. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* AEM-02871.
- James C (2010). Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops:

2010. International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA) Ithaca, NY, USA.
- Jensen MN (2008). First documented case of pest resistance to biotech cotton. < <http://uanews.org/node/18178>>. Acesso em 10.
- Jin L, Zhang H, Lu Y, Yang Y, Wu K, Tabashnik BE, Wu Y (2015). Large-scale test of the natural refuge strategy for delaying insect resistance to transgenic Bt crops. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33:169-174.
- Krafsur E (1998). Sterile insect technique for suppressing and eradicating insect population: 55 years and counting. *J. Agric. Entomol.* 15:303-317.
- Kruger M, Van Rensburg J, Van den Berg J (2011). Resistance to Bt maize in *Busseola fusca* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from Vaalharts, South Africa. *Environ. Entomol.* 40:477-483.
- Liu C, Xiao Y, Li X, Oppert B, Tabashnik BE, Wu K (2014a). Cis-mediated down-regulation of a trypsin gene associated with Bt resistance in cotton bollworm. *Sci. Rep.* 4:7219.
- Liu X, Chen M, Collins HL, Onstad DW, Roush RT, Zhang Q, Earle ED, Shelton AM (2014b). Natural enemies delay insect resistance to Bt crops. *PLoS One* 9:e90366.
- Liu Y-B, Tabashnik BE, Dennehy TJ, Patin AL, Bartlett AC (1999). Development time and resistance to Bt crops. *Nature* 400:519-519.
- Mahon R, Olsen K, Garsia K, Young S (2007). Resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin Cry2Ab in a strain of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Australia. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 100:894-902.
- Mehlo L, Gahakwa D, Nghia PT, Loc NT, Capell T, Gatehouse JA, Gatehouse AM, Christou P (2005). An alternative strategy for sustainable pest resistance in genetically enhanced crops. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 102:7812-7816.
- Mitchell SN, Stevenson BJ, Muller P, Wilding CS, Egyir-Yawson A, Field SG, Hemingway J, Paine MJ, Ranson H, Donnelly MJ (2012). Identification and validation of a gene causing cross-resistance between insecticide classes in *Anopheles gambiae* from Ghana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109:6147-6152.
- Moar WJ, Anilkumar KJ (2007). Plant science. The power of the pyramid. *Science* 318(5856):1561-1562.
- Monnerat R, Martins E, Macedo C, Queiroz P, Praça L, Soares CM, Moreira H, Grisi I, Silva J, Soberon M (2015). Evidence of Field-Evolved Resistance of *Spodoptera frugiperda* to Bt Corn Expressing Cry1F in Brazil That Is Still Sensitive to Modified Bt Toxins. *PLoS One* 10:e0119544.
- Morin S, Biggs RW, Sisterson MS, Shriver L, Eilers-Kirk C, Higginson D, Holley D, Gahan LJ, Heckel DG, Carrière Y (2003). Three cadherin alleles associated with resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* in pink bollworm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 100:5004-5009.
- Ocelotl J, Sánchez J, Arroyo R, García-Gómez BI, Gómez I, Unnithan GC, Tabashnik BE, Bravo A, Soberón M (2015). Binding and Oligomerization of Modified and Native Bt Toxins in Resistant and Susceptible Pink Bollworm. *PLoS One* 10:e0144086.
- Qiu L, Hou L, Zhang B, Liu L, Li B, Deng P, Ma W, Wang X, Fabrick JA, Chen L (2015). Cadherin is involved in the action of *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins Cry1Ac and Cry2Aa in the beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua*. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 127:47-53.
- Roush R (1998). Two-toxin strategies for management of insecticidal transgenic crops: can pyramiding succeed where pesticide mixtures have not? *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 353:1777-1786.
- Santos-Amaya OF, Tavares CS, Monteiro HM, Teixeira TP, Guedes RN, Alves AP, Pereira EJ (2016). Genetic basis of Cry1F resistance in two Brazilian populations of fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda*. *Crop Prot.* 81:154-162.
- Shelton AM, Tang JD, Roush RT, Metz TD, Earle ED (2000). Field tests on managing resistance to Bt-engineered plants. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 18:339-342.
- Soberón M, Pardo-López L, López I, Gómez I, Tabashnik BE, Bravo A (2007). Engineering modified Bt toxins to counter insect resistance. *Science* 318:1640-1642.
- Tabashnik BE (2001). Breaking the code of resistance. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 19:922-924.
- Tabashnik BE, Brévault T, Carrière Y (2013). Insect resistance to Bt crops: lessons from the first billion acres. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31:510-521.
- Tabashnik BE, Carrière Y, Dennehy TJ, Morin S, Sisterson MS, Roush RT, Shelton AM, Zhao J-Z (2003). Insect resistance to transgenic Bt crops: lessons from the laboratory and field. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 96:1031-1038.
- Tabashnik BE, Dennehy TJ, Carrière Y (2005). Delayed resistance to transgenic cotton in pink bollworm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 102:15389-15393.
- Tabashnik BE, Gassmann AJ, Crowder DW, Carrière Y (2008). Insect resistance to Bt crops: evidence versus theory. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26:199-202.
- Tabashnik BE, Gould F (2012). Delaying corn rootworm resistance to Bt corn. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 105:767-776.
- Tabashnik BE, Huang F, Ghimire MN, Leonard BR, Siegfried BD, Rangasamy M, Yang Y, Wu Y, Gahan LJ, Heckel DG (2011). Efficacy of genetically modified Bt toxins against insects with different genetic mechanisms of resistance. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 29:1128-1131.
- Tabashnik BE, Liu Y-B, Dennehy TJ, Sims MA, Sisterson MS, Biggs RW, Carrière Y (2002). Inheritance of resistance to Bt toxin Cry1Ac in a field-derived strain of pink bollworm (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 95:1018-1026.
- Tabashnik BE, Mota-Sanchez D, Whalon ME, Hollingworth RM, Carrière Y (2014). Defining terms for proactive management of resistance to Bt crops and pesticides. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 107:496-507.
- Tabashnik BE, Patin AL, Dennehy TJ, Liu Y-B, Carrière Y, Sims MA, Antilla L (2000). Frequency of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* in field populations of pink bollworm. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 97:12980-12984.
- Tabashnik BE, Sisterson MS, Ellsworth PC, Dennehy TJ, Antilla L, Liesner L, Whitlow M, Staten RT, Fabrick JA, Unnithan GC (2010). Suppressing resistance to Bt cotton with sterile insect releases. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 28:1304-1307.
- Tabashnik BE, Zhang M, Fabrick JA, Wu Y, Gao M, Huang F, Wei J, Zhang J, Yelich A, Unnithan GC (2015). Dual mode of action of Bt proteins: protoxin efficacy against resistant insects. *Sci. Rep.* 5:15107.
- Tay WT, Mahon RJ, Heckel DG, Walsh TK, Downes S, James WJ, Lee S-F, Reineke A, Williams AK, Gordon KH (2015). Insect resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin Cry2Ab is conferred by mutations in an ABC transporter subfamily A protein. *PLoS Genet.* 11:e1005534.
- Tiewsirir K, Wang P (2011). Differential alteration of two aminopeptidases N associated with resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin Cry1Ac in cabbage looper. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108:14037-14042.
- Van Rensburg J (2007). First report of field resistance by the stem borer, *Busseola fusca* (Fuller) to Bt-transgenic maize. *S. Afr. J. Plant Soil* 24:147-151.
- Wei J, Guo Y, Liang G, Wu K, Zhang J, Tabashnik BE, Li X (2015). Cross-resistance and interactions between Bt toxins Cry1Ac and Cry2Ab against the cotton bollworm. *Sci. Rep.* 5:7714.
- Welch KL, Unnithan GC, Degain BA, Wei J, Zhang J, Li X, Tabashnik BE, Carrière Y (2015). Cross-resistance to toxins used in pyramided Bt crops and resistance to Bt sprays in *Helicoverpa zea*. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 132:149-156.
- Wu K-M, Lu Y-H, Feng H-Q, Jiang Y-Y, Zhao J-Z (2008). Suppression of cotton bollworm in multiple crops in China in areas with Bt toxin-containing cotton. *Science* 321:1676-1678.
- Zhang H, Tian W, Zhao J, Jin L, Yang J, Liu C, Yang Y, Wu S, Wu K, Cui J (2012). Diverse genetic basis of field-evolved resistance to Bt cotton in cotton bollworm from China. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109:10275-10280.
- Zhao J-Z, Cao J, Collins HL, Bates SL, Roush RT, Earle ED, Shelton AM (2005). Concurrent use of transgenic plants expressing a single and two *Bacillus thuringiensis* genes speeds insect adaptation to pyramided plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102:8426-8430.
- Zhao J-Z, Cao J, Li Y, Collins HL, Earle ED, Roush RT, Shelton AM (2002). Plants expressing two *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins delay insect resistance compared to single toxins used sequentially or in a mosaic. In The 2002 ESA Annual Meeting and Exhibition.
- Zhao J-Z, Cao J, Li Y, Collins HL, Roush RT, Earle ED, Shelton AM (2003). Transgenic plants expressing two *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins delay insect resistance evolution. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 21:1493-1497.