Effect of household income and expenditure on tertiary school enrolment in Nigeria
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The bounds testing (augmented autoregressive distributed lag, ARDL) technique to cointegration was used in this study to investigate the effect of household income and expenditure on tertiary school enrolment in Nigeria from 1970 to 2020. The model was employed to estimate the relationship between these three variables while also accounting for interactions with total education expenditure. When the dependent variable is tertiary school enrollment, the bounds tests show that the variables of interest are bound together in the long run. Tertiary education is widely regarded in Nigeria as a path to higher-paying jobs and a better quality of life, so some interesting observations were made. It was discovered that there is a significant negative relationship between GDP per capita, which is a proxy for household income. The findings also show that a household’s consumption expenditure provides tertiary education enrollment power; an increase in a household’s consumption expenditure increases the tertiary education enrollment ratio. When the variables deviate from their equilibrium values, the speed of adjustment to equilibrium is 128% within a year. The study suggests that the government establish more tertiary institutions and improve the reputation of existing ones by adequately funding them.
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INTRODUCTION

Getting access to tertiary education is the most effective way for students from low-income or rural families to progress from the lower to upper class in society (Zhou, 2001; Xu and Yi, 2014). Tertiary education encompasses all post-secondary formal education, including public and private universities, colleges, technical training institutes, and vocational schools. Tertiary education is widely regarded as a path to higher-paying jobs and a better quality of life. Indeed, there is a direct relationship between higher education and development (Luhanga et al., 2003). The rate of development, on the other hand, varies from country to country. Universities, according to Altbach and Knight (2007), are the engines of the postindustrial age and the knowledge economy. The primary role of the university is multifaceted. While the ultimate goal is to develop a skilled workforce, the end result is economic growth. Alternatively, higher education and economic development are inextricably linked (Makulilo, 2012). Even when students attend public tertiary institutions where tuition is waived, tertiary
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education is not a free activity for families. Aside from the opportunity cost of tertiary education, households supplement government efforts in funding and preparing students for tertiary education. The cost of tertiary schooling to households includes monetary costs associated with schooling, non-monetary contributions such as time spent in tertiary school and travel to and from tertiary school, time and labor contributed by other household members in support of tertiary schooling (Yu, 2017). These monetary and non-monetary costs of tertiary education may be difficult for some households to bear and, in some cases, may be so burdensome that members do not attend tertiary school or leave tertiary education. Only 26% of the 10 million applicants who sought admission into Nigerian tertiary institutions between 2010 and 2015 were admitted, according to data from Nigeria’s National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and the Joint Admissions and Matriculation Board (JAMB) (Kazeem, 2017).

For the 1.9 million students who registered for the JAMB exam in 2019, there were only 1.1 million slots available across the country’s tertiary institutions. In other words, 40.4% of students enrolled that year are automatically expelled. Some courses, such as medicine and law, are extremely popular. This is one of the reasons students were denied admission, according to JAMB. Individual tertiary education options are now limited not only by personal abilities, but also by the ability of families to finance education. As a result, families’ financial ability to pay for tertiary education weakens the minimum ability requirements. For both state-subsidized and full-tuition students, the educational process and final certificates of degrees obtained are the same. As a result, under the current educational system, Nigerian youth have two options: they can be smart enough to enter universities, or their parents can “buy” them admission. A country’s consumption expenditure patterns reveal the level of welfare and poverty that it is experiencing. An analysis of household consumption expenditure over time is very important in every country because it provides a clear picture of the various components of household consumption expenditure and consumption trends. It provides information about people’s living standards and the degree of inequality. As a result, assessing the effects of household spending on student achievement is an important issue for both researchers and stakeholders in educational systems. Although public expenditure has been extensively researched in the context of debates on enrolment, school effectiveness, and government accountability (Baldacci et al., 2004; Tsang and Ding 2005; Ihugba et al., 2019), very little or no research has been conducted on the relationship between household expenditure and enrolment. The primary goal of the current study is to examine families’ tertiary education choices in relation to their income, the impact of family expenditure, and existing tuition policies on these choices.

Brief description of Nigeria’s tertiary education

Tertiary education includes all forms of postsecondary formal education, including public and private universities, colleges, technical training institutions, and vocational schools. Tertiary education is critical for promoting growth, alleviating poverty, and increasing shared prosperity. Innovation and growth require a highly skilled workforce that has access to a good postsecondary education for life. People with more education are more likely to get jobs, are more productive, earn more money, and can handle economic changes better. Tertiary education benefits society as a whole, not just the individual. Graduates of tertiary education are more environmentally conscious, have healthier habits, and participate in civic activities at a higher level. Increased tax revenue from higher earnings, healthier children, and smaller family sizes all contribute to stronger nations. In short, tertiary education institutions prepare individuals not only for adequate and relevant job skills but also for active participation in their communities and societies. The economic returns for tertiary education graduates are the highest in the educational system, with an estimated 17% increase in earnings compared to 10% for primary and 7% for secondary education. In Sub-Saharan Africa, where graduates earn an estimated 21% more (World Bank, 2022) than those who did not go to college, these high returns are even bigger. Nigeria’s tertiary education is now made up of public and private universities, polytechnics, monotechnics, and education colleges. As of August 2017, the country had 153 universities registered by the NUC (Nigeria University Commission), of which the federal and state governments owned 40 and 45 respectively, 68 were privately owned. According to the Federal Ministry of Education, Nigeria has 43 federal universities, 47 state universities, 75 private universities, 28 federal polytechnics, 43 state polytechnics, 51 private polytechnics, and 152 colleges of education (27 federal, 82 private, and 54 state colleges of education) (Wikipedia, 2022). For example, in Nigeria, the number of students enrolled in tertiary education programs has more than doubled in the last decade. This is critical because, according to a World Bank Group (WBG) report, a student with a tertiary education degree will earn more than twice as much as a student with only a secondary school diploma over their lifetime. As the youth population grows and graduation rates in primary and secondary schools rise dramatically, there is an increasing demand for expanded access to high-quality tertiary education. Tertiary technical and vocational education and training can be an effective and efficient way to give students skills and knowledge that are useful in the job market in addition to traditional university studies.

State and federal governments are increasingly realizing that the entire educational system—from pre-kindergarten to tertiary education—must reflect the new
social and economic needs of the global knowledge economy, which increasingly requires a better-trained, more skilled, and adaptable workforce. But there are still problems. Even among the larger group of college graduates, many don't have the locally relevant skills needed for successful integration into the workforce. At the same time, increased enrollment puts a strain on publicly funded institutions of higher learning, and many countries with limited resources are struggling to meet the growing needs of a larger student body without sacrificing the quality of their educational offerings. Tertiary education is also out of reach for many of the world's poorest and marginalized people. In Sub-Saharan Africa, only 9% of people in the traditional age group for tertiary education go from secondary to tertiary education. This is the lowest enrollment rate of any region in the world. The Nigerian government has restructured its tertiary education systems in order to expand their reach and effectiveness. Progress, however, has been uneven. Participating in strategic reforms of the country's tertiary sectors benefits from ensuring that their national strategies and policies prioritize equitable access, improved learning and skill development, efficient retention, and consideration of the employment and education outcomes sought by graduates and the labor market. Policy and academic degrees must both be strategically tailored to meet the needs of the local society and economy. Only then will the government be able to turn gains in primary and secondary education into long-term economic and social growth through access to and progression in tertiary education.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

The importance of education in a country's social, political, and economic development is well documented in the literature. Human resources, according to Aliu (2001), determine a country's growth and development. And it has been stated that the primary goal of education in Nigeria is to provide much-needed manpower to accelerate economic growth and development (Schultz, 2002). This belief in the efficacy of education as a powerful development tool has led many nations to commit vast sums of money to the establishment of educational institutions at all levels—primary, secondary, and tertiary. According to Ajayi and Ekundayo (2006), education funding should not be viewed as an expense but as a long-term investment that will benefit society as a whole. Cameron and Heckman (2001) looked at the research on why people go to school and found that a strong link exists between family income and finishing school. The most prevalent explanation of this data is that while choosing a school, teenagers encounter educational funding limitations. Another reason for the favorable association between parental income and educational attainment is that family income has long-term effects. Several studies have revealed a link between family income and other variables of family background and accomplishment in elementary and secondary school test results. This result suggests that parental income, like parental education, influences children's cognitive abilities and educational preferences. The impact of family income and other family characteristics has been demonstrated in many different situations, including those with free tuition and no admission limitations, according to Carneiro and Heckman (2002). Several studies, however, have found family income to be the most influential of all household factors (Behrman and Knowles, 1999; Glick and Sahn, 2000; Orazen and King, 2008). There is controversy concerning the constraints of family income estimates, which include measurement errors associated with utilizing current yearly income. In the literature, it has also been highlighted that household income is disclosed less accurately in surveys than spending. To compensate for this error, some research (Tansel, 1997) has utilized expenditure as a substitute for income. Tansel (2002) revealed, using an ordered probit model and a well-designed dataset encompassing 26256 households in Turkey, that family education spending had a positive connection with school attendance at the elementary, middle, and high school levels. However, this was not a causal conclusion, because test scores were not used as a measure of educational performance in the research. Aside from that, it is often considered that the association between family income and education is favorable (Glick and Sahn, 2000; Lincove, 2009). This is because impoverished families may be unable to pay the direct and indirect expenses of education as well as borrow to meet the expenditures. In general, if a household becomes destitute, its children will not attend school. Indeed, it has been said that one of the key reasons why so many youngsters drop out of school and begin working is because their parents' income is poor (Ray, 2000). While some studies contend that child labor prevents children from fully benefiting from school by increasing opportunity costs, resulting in a decrease in child schooling (Ray, 2000; Lincove, 2009), Patrinos and Psacharopoulos (1997) find that in Peru, working allows children to attend school, particularly when parents do not have enough funds to keep their children enrolled. The level of price elasticity in private schools is higher than in public schools. Price sensitivity is higher in poorer families than in affluent ones (Alderman et al., 2001).

In Nigeria, several efforts have been made to scientifically verify the impact of educational expenditure on growth. Akangbou (1983), Mbanefoh (1980), and Anyanwu (1996) are three of these initiatives (1996). Using 1974 to 1975 data from the former Midwestern Nigeria, Akangbou (1983) estimated the crude private average rates of return on education for secondary and postsecondary levels. The expected crude private rate of return for lower secondary schools was 13.4%. The
percentages were 11.9, 11.2 and 17.2% for secondary technical schools, upper secondary schools, and universities, respectively. He also computed crude societal average returns for lower secondary, secondary technical, upper secondary, and university levels to be 12.3, 11.0, 10.4 and 12.7%, respectively. His results imply that, regardless of how much money is spent on education, the private and societal returns are always lucrative and reasonable. Investing in education also helps the economy.

Okedara (1985) used the three-year experimental adult literacy program at the University of Ibadan to create the private and societal advantages connected with formal and informal (adult literacy program) elementary education. He calculated the private rate of return on formal elementary education. These values were derived after accounting for economic growth. As a result, both formal and informal elementary education boost productivity not just via wages but also through greater capacity for future earning possibilities, which in turn leads to growth. Mbanefoh (1980) also did a cost-benefit study of university education in Nigeria. His conclusion was that investing in university education at any discount rate between one and ten is always profitable. Because of this, more people in many developing countries want to go to school now that they know how helpful it can be.

METHODOLOGY

The structure of the augmented autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) model is explained in this section. For example, when a researcher looks at possible relationships between two or more variables, they often use Equation 1, where \( Y \) is the dependent variable, \( X \) is a vector of independent variables, and \( f \) is some function.

\[
Y = f(X)
\]  

(1)

The ARDL model attempts to represent the relationship in \( f(X) \). Pesaran and Shin (1999) and Pesaran et al. (2001) say that the ARDL \((q, p)\) model of equation 1 can be described by Equation 2, where \( y_t \) is the dependent variable, is the \( xt \) independent variable, and \( q, p \) are the respective lags.

\[
\Delta y_t = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \delta_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \sum_{j=0}^{p} \omega_j \Delta x_{t-j} + \mu_1 y_{t-1} + \mu_2 x_{t-1} + \epsilon_t
\]  

(2)

The coefficients \( \beta_0 \) is the constant term and \( \epsilon_t \) is the error term. The coefficients \( \delta_j \) and \( \omega_j \) for all \( j \) corresponds to the short-run relationship while the \( \mu_j, j = 1,2 \) corresponds to the long-run relationship.

The ARDL model requires two steps to be completed. The first step is to calculate Equation 2 so that an F-bounds test for a long-run relationship between the variables can be performed. The ARDL model is then used to generate an Error Correction Model (ECM).

F-Bounds test

After obtaining the results of Equation 2, we can determine whether the variables have a long-run relationship. To determine the existence of a long-run relationship, an F-test is used. The test entails solving Equation 2 and determining whether the coefficients for the one-period lagged variables, namely, \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) are jointly zero. As a result, the following hypothesis test is carried out:

\[ H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0 : \text{There is no such thing as a long-term relationship} \]

\[ H_1 : \mu_1 \neq 0 \cup \mu_2 \neq 0 : \text{There is a long-term relationship} \]

The F-test in the ARDL framework has a non-standard distribution that depends on:

1. The mix of \( I(0) \) and \( I(1) \) independent variables.
2. The number of independent variables
3. If the model includes an intercept and/or trend term.

The hypothesis test has upper and lower critical value bounds, as well as three different cases. The F-test results are compared to the critical values tabulated by Pesaran et al. (2001). If the computed F-statistic is greater than the upper bound, the null hypothesis is rejected, and the existence of a long-run relationship between the variables is evident regardless of the order of integration. The null hypothesis cannot be rejected if the F-statistic falls below the lower bound, and the presence of cointegration is not significant. Finally, the test is inconclusive if the F-statistic falls between the upper and lower bounds (Pesaran et al. 2001): Failure to Reject \( H_0 \) <inconclusive'Reject\( H_0 \)

Error correction model

In the case of inconclusive F-bounds test results, Banerjee et al. (1998) and Kremers et al. (1992) proposed testing the error correction term. There are a few assumptions that must be made in order to define an ECM-term. Since the F-bound test gives good results, the long-run equilibrium relationship can be found without using spurious regression. This is because the linear combination of the non-stationary variables is stationary in a simple OLS framework (Haq and Larsson, 2016):

\[
y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_t + \epsilon_t
\]  

(3)

To capture the convergence of the model towards equilibrium an error correction term is defined by:

\[
ECM_{t-1} = y_{t-1} - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_{t-1}
\]  

(4)

The estimators from Equation 4 are \( \hat{\beta}s \). Note that the residual from Equation 4 is \( ECM_{t-1} \). Furthermore, if the model is approaching equilibrium in the long run, the difference between the independent and dependent variables \( (ECM_{t-1}) \) cannot increase because this would impose divergence. As a result, the difference must
...the regression in Equation 5, $ECM_{t-1}$ form a new data series. To obtain the short-run dynamics, we estimate the lagged variables $x_t, y_t$ with the error correction term $ECM_{t-1}$ using Equation 2. The equation is written as follows:

$$\Delta y_t = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \beta_i \Delta y_{t-i} + \sum_{j=0}^{p} \beta_j \Delta x_{t-j} + \lambda ECM_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

(5)

The primary goal of estimation is to obtain a model that will converge to equilibrium. If the ECM coefficient $\lambda$ is statistically significant and negative, then our model has reached equilibrium. A significant coefficient also confirms the existence of a long-run stable relationship and cointegration between the independent and dependent variables. The coefficient also influences the rate of adjustment towards equilibrium; for example, suppose we have annual data and $\lambda = 0.6$. Then, $Y$ after a shock in $X$ will return to equilibrium in the long run at a rate of 60% per year.

**Unit root tests**

To test for stationarity in time series data, a common method is to use an Augmented Dickey-Fuller test (ADF) (Dickey and Fuller, 1979). A non-stationary time series is one that has a unit root. Other common methods for determining a variable's stationary state include the Phillips and Perron (1990) test. The test is similar to the ADF test, but with a few changes to account for autocorrelated residuals. Both tests will be used in our research. Although the ARDL framework does not require variable pre-testing, unit root tests can tell us about the order of integration for each variable and convince us whether the ARDL model should be used or not, as well as investigate the order of integration for each variable. The computed F statistics provided by Pesaran et al. (2001) are not invalid in the presence of $I(2)$ variables. The bound test assumes that the variables are $I(0)$ or $I(1)$. As a result, unit root tests in the ARDL procedure may still be required to ensure that none of the variables are $I(2)$ or exceed.

**Lag selection**

The lag selection, like the unit root tests, is critical because it determines the model's results. There are several methods for determining the best lag for each variable. In the ARDL framework,

$$\Delta TSER_t = C + \sum_{i=0}^{p} \beta_i \Delta TSER_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \beta_{1i} \Delta FCEXP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \beta_{2i} \Delta LTEDEXP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \beta_{3i} \Delta LGDPPC_{t-i}$$

$$+ \mu_0 TSER_{t-i} + \mu_1 FCEXP_{t-i} + \mu_2 LTEDEXP_{t-i} + \mu_3 LGDPPC_{t-i} + \epsilon_t$$

(6)

Where $TSER_t$ = Tertiary education enrollment ratio, FCEXP = Final Consumption Expenditure, TEDEXP = Total government expenditure on education, GDPPer = GDP per capita, $p$ lags are used for dependent variables and $q$ lags used for independent variables. $C$ is the intercept, $\epsilon$ is the white noise. The remaining coefficients describe short-run and long-run relationships. The $j = 0, 1, ..., 3$ correspond to the long-run relationship while the short-run effects are captured by the coefficients for the first difference variables i.e., $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Equation 7 shows the corresponding error correction model equation. The significance of each variable will be determined based on significance rather than the magnitude of the coefficients or the $p$-value. This means that if the variables are found to have an explainable relationship with the tertiary education enrollment ratio, they are deemed significant.
Table 1. Measurement of variables and data sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S/N</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Expected sign</th>
<th>Sources of data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Tertiary school enrolment ratio (TSER)</td>
<td>The tertiary enrolment ratio is the proportion of total enrollment, regardless of age, to the population of the age group that corresponds to the level of education shown. Tertiary education, whether to an advanced research qualification or not, usually requires successful completion of secondary education as a minimum condition of admission.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>UNESCO Institute for Statistics (<a href="http://uis.unesco.org/">http://uis.unesco.org/</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Household final consumption expenditure is the market value of all goods and services purchased by households, including durable goods (such as cars, washing machines, and home computers). It excludes dwelling purchases but includes imputed rent for owner-occupied dwellings. It also includes payments to governments for permits and licenses. Even when reported separately by the country, household consumption expenditure includes the expenditures of nonprofit institutions serving households.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Central bank of Nigeria (CBN) statistical bulletin volume 17, December 2006 and 31, December 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Final consumption expenditure of Household (FCEXP)</td>
<td>Total government expenditure on education (current, capital, and transfers). It includes expenditure funded by transfers from international sources to government. General government usually refers to local, regional and central governments.</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td><a href="https://data.worldbank.org/">https://data.worldbank.org/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Total education expenditure (TEDEXP)</td>
<td>GDP per capita (constant 2010 US $), it is a proxy for the level of household income</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td><a href="https://data.worldbank.org/indicator">https://data.worldbank.org/indicator</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>GDP per capita (GDPPC)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Compilation of researchers, 2022

Table 2. Unit root test result.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ADF test statistic</th>
<th>PP test statistic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSER</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>2.62*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFCEXP</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTEDEXP</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDPPC</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>-1.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes (ADF): Test critical values at 5% (At level: constant = -2.93, Constant and trend = -3.51, none = -1.95 while at First difference = -2.93); P-value= Probability value, * signifies stationarity. (PP): Test critical values at 5% (At level: constant = -2.92, Constant and trend = -3.50, none = -1.94 while at First difference = -2.92); P-value= Probability value, * signifies stationarity.

\[
\Delta TSER_t = C + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \beta_i \Delta TSER_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q_1} \beta_{1i} \Delta LFCEXP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q_2} \beta_{2i} \Delta LTEDEXP_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q_3} \beta_{3i} \Delta LGDPPC_{t-i} + \lambda (ECM)_{t-1} + \epsilon_t
\]

The following hypotheses are used to investigate the causation and co-integration of TSER and LFCEXP, LTEDEXP, and LGDPPC: is there a short-run relationship in Nigeria between TSER and the independent variables? (ii) In Nigeria, is there a long-run relationship between TSER and the independent variables?

Analysis of result

Although the ARDL cointegration approach does not require unit root tests, nevertheless we need to conduct this test to ensure that none of the variables are the integrated of order 2, that is, \(I(2)\) because, in case of \(I(2)\) variables, ARDL procedures makes no sense. If a variable is found to be \(I(2)\), then the computed F-statistics, as produced by Pesaran et al. (2001) can no longer be valid. The unit root test results are presented in Table 2. All variables are non-stationary when examined at levels with a constant, constant and trend, and none, as indicated by the
Table 3. VAR Lag order selection criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lag</th>
<th>LogL</th>
<th>LR</th>
<th>FPE</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>HQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-99.09387</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.000945</td>
<td>4.386973</td>
<td>4.544433</td>
<td>4.446226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>87.66089</td>
<td>333.7745</td>
<td>6.62e-07</td>
<td>-2.879187</td>
<td>-2.091890*</td>
<td>-2.582922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>107.6095</td>
<td>32.25727</td>
<td>5.69e-07</td>
<td>-3.047211</td>
<td>-1.630077</td>
<td>-2.513934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>120.2750</td>
<td>18.32460</td>
<td>6.82e-07</td>
<td>-2.905319</td>
<td>-0.858348</td>
<td>-2.135030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>157.0528</td>
<td>46.95033*</td>
<td>3.05e-07*</td>
<td>-3.789479*</td>
<td>-1.112670</td>
<td>-2.782178*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher's calculations from Eviews 9, 2022. * indicates lag order selected by the criterion.

Table 4. ARDL bound test of cointegration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test statistic</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical value bounds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance (%)</td>
<td>I0 Bound</td>
<td>I1 Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>4.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>4.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>5.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher's calculations from Eviews 9, 2022.

asterisk. Because the series is not stationary when examined at constant and trend, it is argued that they are non-stationary at the level, with the exception of TSER, which is stationary at zero in the ADF test but proved otherwise in the PP test, which is a confirmatory test. However, when estimating a large sample, ADF tests are frequently influenced by the lag length (p) chosen and lose power. As a result, the Phillips–Perron (PP) test confirms the results of the ADF tests. So, all series are stationary because the PP test statistics are less than the test critical values of 5% (ADF test statistics < test critical value at 5%).

Lag determination

The results of lag-order selection are shown in Table 3. The lag order for the SC, HQ, and FPE selection criteria is one, while the lag order for the AIC selection criteria is four. Because AIC has the lowest value, the investigation will move on to other tests using lags (4).

F-Bounds test

The presence of long run cointegration has been tested using the bounds test now that it has been established that none of the selected series are $I(2)$ or beyond and the optimal order of lag has been determined. Table 4 displays the results of the ARDL bound test of cointegration. The F-statistics has a higher value (13.07) than the upper bound critical value (4.35) (at 5% significance level) provided by Pesaran et al. (2001). As a result, we have sufficient reasons to reject the null hypothesis of no long-run relationship at the 5% significance level, as well as the possibility of cointegration among the variables studied.

Estimation of long-run relationships

To determine whether the variables are cointegrated in the long run, the applicable hypothesis is that there is no long-run relationship, such as:

H₀: $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0$ (there is no long-run relationship)
H₁: $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3 \neq \lambda_4 \neq 0$ (there is a long-run relationship)

The long-run results are presented in Table 5. The coefficient of final consumption expenditure of a household isFTCEXP in its first and second lags is 2.40 and 2.51, which are both statistically significant, which implies that a 1% increase in the first lag of final consumption expenditure of a household will lead to a 2.40% increase in tertiary education enrollment ratio in the long run. It will increase by 2.51% in the second lag. The coefficient of GDP per capita in its fourth lag is -16.64 and it is statistically significant, which implies that a 1% increase in GDP per capita (proxy for income) will reduce tertiary education enrollment ratio by 16.64% in the long run. The coefficient of total education expenditure (proxy for income) will reduce tertiary education enrollment ratio by 16.64% in the long run. The coefficient of total education expenditure in its first lag is 0.54 and it is statistically significant, which implies the effect of government policy on education on tertiary education enrollment in its third lag is 54% increase in the long run.

Model checking

Table 6 shows different diagnostic test values and demonstrates that the ARDL model is an identically full-fitted model for both long-run and short-run results for testing tertiary education enrollment in
Table 5. Long-run relationship: Selected model (ARDL (4, 2, 4, 3)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TSER(-1)</td>
<td>0.238591</td>
<td>0.129228</td>
<td>1.846280</td>
<td>0.0747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSER(-2)</td>
<td>0.033138</td>
<td>0.155958</td>
<td>0.212481</td>
<td>0.8332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSER(-3)</td>
<td>-0.355574</td>
<td>0.160212</td>
<td>-2.219396</td>
<td>0.0342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSER(-4)</td>
<td>0.285517</td>
<td>0.122535</td>
<td>2.330083</td>
<td>0.0267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFCEXP</td>
<td>0.497797</td>
<td>1.024380</td>
<td>0.485949</td>
<td>0.6305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFCEXP(-1)</td>
<td>2.405635</td>
<td>1.173611</td>
<td>2.049772</td>
<td>0.0492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFCEXP(-2)</td>
<td>2.511872</td>
<td>1.043761</td>
<td>2.406558</td>
<td>0.0225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDPCC</td>
<td>-5.767826</td>
<td>5.368651</td>
<td>-1.074353</td>
<td>0.2912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDPCC(-1)</td>
<td>8.975693</td>
<td>6.450248</td>
<td>1.391527</td>
<td>0.1743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDPCC(-2)</td>
<td>-12.23132</td>
<td>6.188983</td>
<td>-1.976305</td>
<td>0.0574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDPCC(-3)</td>
<td>8.570619</td>
<td>7.099882</td>
<td>1.207150</td>
<td>0.2368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGDPCC(-4)</td>
<td>-16.64023</td>
<td>5.729821</td>
<td>-2.904144</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTEDEXP</td>
<td>1.024664</td>
<td>0.323997</td>
<td>3.162567</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTEDEXP(-1)</td>
<td>-0.609826</td>
<td>0.424622</td>
<td>-1.436162</td>
<td>0.1613</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTEDEXP(-2)</td>
<td>0.017850</td>
<td>0.362953</td>
<td>0.049180</td>
<td>0.9611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTEDEXP(-3)</td>
<td>0.544930</td>
<td>0.243182</td>
<td>2.240830</td>
<td>0.0326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>-13.36016</td>
<td>5.761374</td>
<td>-2.318920</td>
<td>0.0274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R-squared=0.98; Adjusted R-squared=0.97; Prob. (F-statistic) =0.000; DW=2.1

Source: Researcher’s calculations from Eviews 9, 2022.

Figure 1. Normality test.
Source: Author

Nigeria. The null hypothesis is tested to ensure that there is no serial correlation in the long-run model, with a guideline of accepting the null hypothesis \( H_0 \) if the probability is greater than 5%. Table 6 demonstrates that there is no serial correlation. Similarly, the normalcy test is carried out. The skewness is 0.04 and the kurtosis is 2.64, as shown in Figure 1. The JB is represented by 0.06 and has a probability value of 0.97, which is not significant at a 5% critical value. Based on this test, our model is normally distributed. Table 6’s heteroscedasticity test indicates constant variance. At the 5% critical value, neither of the observed R-square probability values for the Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey Test is significant. As a result, the TSER systems equation is stationary and homoscedastic, and thus suitable for economic analysis. The model passes the Reset Specification test with an F-statistics value of 7.231509 and a probability value of 0.1108, indicating that there is no functional misspecification in the model.

Short-run relationship

The model is specified as follows:
Table 6. Diagnostic tests results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>F-statistics</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serial correlation</td>
<td>0.833813</td>
<td>0.5161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heteroskedasticity</td>
<td>4.526297</td>
<td>0.3524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reset Test</td>
<td>7.231509</td>
<td>0.1108</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher’s calculations from Eviews 9, 2022.

Table 7. Serial correlation test of the dynamic model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>F-statistic</th>
<th>Prob. F(4,27)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>1.628509</td>
<td>0.1959</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs*R-squared</td>
<td>8.940902</td>
<td>0.0626</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher’s calculations from Eviews 9, 2022.

\[ \sum_{j=0}^{p} \delta_j \Delta L M V A_{4t-j} + \sum_{1=0}^{q} \varphi_1 \Delta L E N G L_{2t-1} + \sum_{m=0}^{q} \delta_m \Delta L E L C_{3t-m} + \sum_{n=0}^{q} \eta_n \Delta L I N F_{5t-n} + \sum_{a=0}^{q} \mu_a \Delta L M L_{5t-a} + \epsilon_i \]  

(8)

Figure 2: Normality test.
Source: Author

Table 6 displays the short-run results. All of the determinants are clearly statistically significant. Furthermore, the ECT \(-1\) coefficient is negative, as expected, and statistically significant. The significance of the lagged error correction term implies that all variables in the tertiary education enrollment model have long-term causality towards consumption and expenditure. The error correction term has an error correction coefficient of around \(-1.28\), indicating that 128% of the disequilibrium in tertiary education enrollment is corrected annually. To be more specific, it takes a year to correct short-term disequilibrium and restore Nigeria’s previous year’s shock to return to long-term equilibrium of income and consumption. The error correction model results show that there is a short-term relationship between tertiary education enrollment and household income, household consumption, and the government education policy model. The final consumption spending of households with a lag of one is significant at the 1% confidence level. This shows that there is a short-term cause-and-effect link between a household’s final consumption spending and the number of people in the household who go to tertiary school.

Model checking

Table 7 demonstrates that there is no serial correlation. Similarly, the normality test is carried out. The skewness is 0.22 and the kurtosis is 3.09, as shown in Figure 2. The JB is represented by 0.40 and has a probability value of 0.82, which is significant at a 5% critical value. Figure 3 shows the stability test result, which shows that the Cusum plot test statistic did not cross the 5% critical lines, indicating that the model is stable. Finally, Brown, Durbin, and Evans (1975) propose the cumulative sum of recursive residuals (CUSUM) and CUSUM square (CUSUMSQ) tests to investigate the
model's stability. Figures 3 and 4 show that the plot of the CUSUM or CUSUMSQ line do not break the limits. This means that the coefficients are stable.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The ARDL test results suggest a positive, significant relationship between final consumption expenditure of a household and tertiary education enrollment in the first and second lags. The significant relationship indicates that final consumption expenditure of households and tertiary education enrollment are related in the short and long run. Changes in Nigerian households’ final consumption expenditure explain changes in tertiary education enrollment. It also shows that consumption expenditure of a household gives tertiary education enrollment power; an increase in consumption expenditure of a household increases tertiary education enrollment ratio. The findings also show that the high cost of living reduces the ability of households to train their children and wards in tertiary institutions. The findings back up prior studies by Behrman and Knowles (1999), Glick and Sahn (2000) and Orazen and King (2008) that household income is revealed less accurately in surveys than expenditure when it comes to school enrolment.

Other results are equally interesting. For example, total education expenditure (LTEDEXP) is insignificant and negatively related to tertiary school enrolment in Nigeria, which is not in line with the findings of Baldacci et al. (2004) and Ihugba et.al. (2019). However, the coefficient of household income (proxied by GDP per capita) in its second and fourth lags is significant and negatively related to tertiary school enrolment in Nigeria, which contradicts the findings of Glick and Sahn (2000) and Lincoe (2009). The findings support the report of Nigeria's National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) and the Joint Admissions and Matriculation Board (JAMB) as reported by Kazeem (2017) about the disparity between the applicants who sought admission into Nigerian tertiary institutions and the number of tertiary institutions. The large percentage of candidates who don’t get admission into tertiary institutions in Nigeria is not as a result of the income of those that will sponsor them. It is also confirmed by the negative relationship that if the income of households increases, the demand for public tertiary institutions will reduce. Parents choose public
The estimated results suggest that the model has a reasonable good fit with robust diagnostic tests for error processes such as the absence of serial correlation, stability, and normality. The result presented in Table 7 also shows that the coefficient of the first lag of household expenditure is positively related to tertiary school enrolment and statistically significant. This implies that, holding other variables constant, a percentage change in the lag of household expenditure in the first year will result in a 295% change in tertiary school enrolment. This is consistent with our a priori expectation that increased household expenditure will lead to an increase in tertiary school enrolment.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The study concluded that household expenditure, which measures the standard of living, has a relationship with tertiary school enrolment and is a key determinant of students’ participation in tertiary schools in Nigeria. An increase in household income affects the number of candidates who get admitted into tertiary institutions negatively. The study recommends that the government should establish more tertiary institutions and improve the reputation of the existing ones by funding them adequately.
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