Vol. 11(2), pp. 23-28, February 2019 DOI: 10.5897/IJFA2018.0705 Article Number: 6812B4F59923 ISSN 2006-9839 Copyright ©2019 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/IJFA



International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture

Full Length Research Paper

# Effects of feeding rates and feeding frequencies on growth performance, uniformity of the batch and survival rate of Amazon ornamental fish larvae

# Daniel Abreu Vasconcelos Campelo<sup>1\*</sup>, Matheus Henrique Correa Marques<sup>1</sup>, Odinilson Pereira Marim<sup>1</sup>, Lorena Batista de Moura<sup>1</sup>, Bruno José Corecha Fernandes Eiras<sup>1</sup>, Marcos Ferreira Brabo<sup>1</sup> and Galileu Crovatto Veras<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Fisheries Engineering, Faculty of Faculty of Fisheries Engineering, Federal University of Pará, Bragança, PA, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup> School of Veterinary, Aquaculture Laboratory, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.

# Received 10 September, 2018; Accepted 7 October, 2018

The aim of this study is to evaluate the effect of different feeding rates and frequencies on angelfish (*Pterophyllum scalare*) and severum (*Heros severus*) larvae. For this purpose, two similar experiments were conducted in parallel. For each experiment, a total of 360 larvae were distributed in 36-1 L aquariums. The experiments were done using a factorial 3x3 completely randomized design, with four replicates. The feeding rates of 50, 100 and 150 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup> and the feeding frequencies of one, two and four meals day<sup>-1</sup> were tested. Parameters of growth performance, uniformity of the batch and survival rate were determined at the end of both experimental periods. No interaction was observed between feed rates and frequencies in angelfish for all parameters evaluated; growth performance was higher in fish fed with 150 nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup>, which were divided in two and four meals day<sup>-1</sup>. The severum larvae presented interaction between feeding rates and feeding frequencies; growth performance was higher in fish fed with two and four meals day<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, in order to facilitate feed management and reduce manpower costs during ornamental angelfish and severum larvae production, it is recommended to supply 150 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup> divided in two meals day<sup>-1</sup> to fish.

Key words: Angelfish, feeding practices, ornamental fish farming, severum.

# INTRODUCTION

Angelfish (*Pterophyllum scalare*) and severum (*Heros severus*) are both freshwater cichlid fish, native to the Amazon basin and widely distributed in South America

(Shukla, 2010). Angelfish has been classified as the most popular freshwater fish species in aquarium trade industry (Garcia-Ulloa and Gomez-Romero, 2005); it has

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: danielvc@ufpa.br. Tel: +55 91 3425 4536.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u> high economic value due to its elegance, reproductive ability and adaptability to captivity (Karayucel et al., 2006; Ortega-Salas et al., 2009). Severum, on the other hand, has become widespread because of its predominantly yellowish color with shades of olive green. It presents relatively easy reproduction and good adaptation in captivity (Alishahi et al., 2014).

In contrast to the culture of edible fish, information on the dietary requirements and feeding practices of ornamental fish is limited (Jobling, 2012), especially on their first life stages. Larvae rearing is the most critical step in the aquaculture production chain (Herath and Atapaththu, 2013), as this period involves functional and morphological changes in internal organs, tissue systems and, particularly, in fish digestive tract (Korzelecka-Orkisz et al., 2012).

Nutrition is one of the most important factors influencing the ability of cultured fish to exhibit its full growth potential (Kasiri et al., 2011). In this context, some study has shown that formulated feeds can substitute the need for live feed in larviculture of some species (Maithya et al., 2017), although, for most ornamental fish larvae, live feed is generally considered as the most suitable feed (Conceição et al., 2010). Among live feed used in the aquaculture, artemia nauplii are the most common item (EL-Sebaie et al., 2014), being suitable and convenient food source for edible and ornamental fish larvae (Treece, 2000). Factors such as fish behavior, feed quality, water parameters and feeding practices also influence fish growth (Kasiri et al., 2011).

In aquaculture, failures on feeding practices can lead to weight loss, non-standardized growth and an inefficiency of nutrient absorption, impairing growth performance, as well as water quality of the growing environment (Veras et al., 2016a). Thus, it is important to assess an optimum feeding rate and frequency for fish larvae, since it directly influences the survival and growth of individuals, enabling food scheduling, optimizing manpower and consequently increasing productivity (Abe et al., 2016; Zuanon et al., 2011). Therefore, this study was designed to evaluate the effects of different feeding rates and frequencies and their potential interaction on growth parameters, uniformity of the batch and survival rate of angelfish and severum larvae fed with artemia nauplii.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were approved by the local Ethics Committee for Animal Use (Protocol n° 7656100517). For each larvae specie, a 2week feeding trial was conducted at the Laboratory of Ornamental Fish, of the Faculty of Fisheries Engineering, Institute for Coastal Studies, Federal University of Pará, Bragança *Campus*, Pará State, Brazil.

#### Fish and culture conditions

The angelfish and the severum larvae used for this study were hatched in the Laboratory of Ornamental Fish, under controlled

environmental conditions. A total of 360 larvae were used for each experiment. The angelfish presented an initial body weight and length of  $0.93 \pm 0.13$  mg and  $3.95 \pm 1.07$  mm (mean  $\pm$  SD) respectively, and the severum presented an initial body weight and length of  $2.10 \pm 0.14$  mg and  $5.23 \pm 0.30$  mm (mean  $\pm$  SD), respectively. For each experiment, the larvae were randomly distributed at a density of 10 larvae L<sup>-1</sup> in 36 aquariums (1 L) and kept under natural photoperiod of approximately 12/12 h light/dark condition.

For each experiment, larvae were fed only with newly hatched artemia nauplii, submitted to three feeding rates: 50, 100 and 150 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup>, in combination with three feeding frequencies: one meal at 08:00 h, two meals at 08:00 and 14:00 h, and four meals at 08:00, 11:00, 14:00 and 17:00 h. An hour after the last feeding, the aquaria were siphoned to ensure the maintenance of water quality, exchanging one third of the water volume. Fish were counted during the cleaning management for the adequacy of feeding rates, in case of mortality. During the whole trial no artemia nauplii surplus was observed, indicating that all artemia nauplii provided were consumed by the fish larvae.

#### Artemia hatching

For artemia nauplii hatching, 20.0 g of cysts was divided into twelve containers (1 L) and incubated for approximately 24 h with constant aeration. Water saline concentration was 30 g L<sup>-1</sup> and temperature, 28°C. After hatching, aeration was withdrawn and the living nauplii were collected from the suspension of unhatched cysts by siphoning. A pipette was used to withdraw a 0.5 mL volume of nauplii, counted in triplicate through a stereomicroscope to obtain a mean value. Artemia nauplii were then filtered through a 120  $\mu$ m mesh and washed twice with running freshwater to remove impurities, reduce salinity and ensure food quality. After estimating nauplii density, the volume of artemia nauplii to be supplied in each treatment for each experiment was calculated.

#### Water parameters

During both feeding trial water temperature, pH, and total ammonia were  $27.51 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$ C,  $7.50 \pm 0.02$ , and  $0.005 \pm 0.01$  mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Oxygen was maintained at  $4.82 \pm 0.26$  mg L<sup>-1</sup> throughout the experiment. Temperature and dissolved oxygen were measured daily with a thermometer and a digital oximeter (Lutron DO -5510, São Paulo, SP, Brazil). Total ammonia and pH were measured every two days with a multi-parameter meter (HI 3512, Hanna Instruments, Barueri, SP, Brazil). There was no effect of the treatments on water quality parameters (P > 0.05).

#### Growth performance

At the end of each feeding trial, after 12 h of starvation, all fish from each aquarium unit were counted and weighed on a precision scale (model AG200 Gehaka® 0.0001 g) to evaluate the growth performance parameters of final weight, weight gain (final weight initial weight), specific growth rate ((In final weight - In initial weight) days<sup>1</sup>) x 100) and the weight and length uniformity of the batch. To determine the batch uniformity, the following equation by Furuya et al. (1998) was used:  $U = (N \pm 20\%)/Nt) \times 100$ , where: U = the uniformity of the batch, that is, variation in weight or total length (%); Nt = the total number of fish in each experimental unit; and N ± 20% = the number of fish with weight or total length ± 20% of the mean for each experimental unit. Survival rate was evaluated every day. Individuals were considered dead when spontaneous movements or responses to mechanical stimuli were lacking. Dead fish were removed from the aquarium and counted to calculate the survival

| Treetment                                | Performance indices    |                        |                        |                         |            |           |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-----------|
| reatment                                 | FW (mg)                | WG (mg)                | SGR (%)                | WU (%)                  | LU (%)     | SR (%)    |
| Feed Rate                                |                        |                        |                        |                         |            |           |
| 50 Artemia nauplii larvae <sup>-1</sup>  | 10.79±2.5 <sup>°</sup> | 9.89±2.5 <sup>°</sup>  | 16.30±1.4 <sup>c</sup> | 72.04±11.3              | 100.00±0.0 | 97.50±3.7 |
| 100 Artemia nauplii larvae <sup>-1</sup> | 15.41±1.5 <sup>b</sup> | 14.41±1.5 <sup>b</sup> | 18.90±0.6 <sup>b</sup> | 71.15±15.4              | 100.00±0.0 | 93.33±8.9 |
| 150 Artemia nauplii larvae <sup>-1</sup> | 20.48±2.3 <sup>a</sup> | 19.58±2.3 <sup>a</sup> | 20.78±0.7 <sup>a</sup> | 68.68±17.1              | 100.00±0.0 | 90.50±5.0 |
| Feed Frequency                           |                        |                        |                        |                         |            |           |
| One meals day <sup>-1</sup>              | 13.30±3.7 <sup>b</sup> | 12.40±3.7 <sup>b</sup> | 17.55±2.1 <sup>b</sup> | 66.53±13.2 <sup>b</sup> | 100.00±0.0 | 91.67±6.9 |
| Two meals day <sup>-1</sup>              | 16.03±3.5 <sup>a</sup> | 15.13±3.5 <sup>a</sup> | 18.94±1.5 <sup>a</sup> | 63.68±15.3 <sup>b</sup> | 100.00±0.0 | 91.67±6.9 |
| Four meals day <sup>-1</sup>             | 17.35±3.9 <sup>a</sup> | 16.45±3.9 <sup>a</sup> | 19.49±1.5 <sup>ª</sup> | 81.66±10.4 <sup>a</sup> | 100.00±0.0 | 92.50±7.5 |
| Feed Rate                                | ***                    | ***                    | ***                    | NS                      | NS         | NS        |
| Feed Frequency                           | *                      | *                      | **                     | *                       | NS         | NS        |
| Interaction                              | NS                     | NS                     | NS                     | NS                      | NS         | NS        |

**Table 1.** Growth performance, uniformity of the batch and survival rates of angelfish (*Pterophyllum scalare*) larvae fed with artemia nauplii at different feeding rates and frequencies.

Final weight (FW); Weight gain (WG); Specific growth rate (SGR); Weight uniformity (WU); Length uniformity (LU); Survival rate (SR). Each value is a mean ± SD.

Mean values in the same column, with different letters, are significantly different by Tukey test at 5% probability.

ANOVA: NS: non-significant (P>0.05); \*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01; \*\*\*P<0.001.

rate.

#### Experimental design and statistical analysis

Both experiments were conducted using a completely randomized design with four replicates, testing three different feeding rates and frequencies in a factorial 3x3 design. Normality was assessed via the Shapiro–Wilk normality test (P < 0.05) and the Cochran test was used to establish the homogeneity of variance. Using the Statistic software version 7, data were evaluated by two-way analysis of variance (P < 0.05). If no interaction between feeding rates and frequencies was detected, one-way ANOVA (P < 0.05) of single factors was performed. In case of differences, the Tukey test at a probability level of 5% was performed.

# RESULTS

There was no interaction between feeding rates and feeding frequencies on the growth performance of angelfish larvae (P > 0.05). Final weight, weight gain and specific growth rate were higher in fish fed with 150 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup>. Also, there was an improvement of growth performance of angelfish larvae fed with artemia nauplii divided in two and four meals day<sup>-1</sup>. Weight uniformity was higher in angelfish larvae fed with four meals day<sup>-1</sup> and was not affected by the feeding rates. Survival rate and length uniformity were not affected by the feeding rates or feeding frequencies (Table 1).

Results similar to the angelfish were observed for severum larvae, which presented highest final weight, weight gain and specific growth rate values when fed 150 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup>. Survival rate, weight and length uniformity was not affected by the feeding rates or feeding frequencies (Table 2). The interaction between feeding rates and feeding frequencies had also a significant effect; final weight, weight gain and specific growth rate were higher in fish fed two and four meals day<sup>-1</sup> only in the highest feeding rate (Table 3).

# DISCUSSION

In aquaculture industry, nutrition cost accounts for about 60% of the operating cost especially in intensive fish culture (Kasiri et al., 2011). Thus, improving feeding practices during fish production aims to increase the productivity and quality of aquaculture products. Ornamental fish are usually subjected to stressful factors during their management before reaching the end consumer. This process can cause high mortality rates and reducing profits (Zuanon et al., 2011). Therefore, estimating the optimum feeding rate and frequency for a particular fish species may reduce stress, improve fish development and quality of fish supply, especially in ornamental aquaculture production (EL-Sebaie et al., 2014; Kasiri et al., 2011).

In the present study, fish that received 150 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup> presented the best results of growth performance, regardless of the specie. Similar results were observed in pyrrhulina (*Pyrrhulina brevis*) larvae, another Amazon ornamental fish, which had the best feeding rate set at 150 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup> (Abe et al., 2015). Different results were found during the larvae

| Tractmant                                | Performance indices    |                        |                        |            |            |           |  |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|--|
| Treatment                                | FW (mg)                | WG (mg)                | SGR (%)                | UW (%)     | UL (%)     | SR (%)    |  |
| Feed rate                                |                        |                        |                        |            |            |           |  |
| 50 Artemia nauplii larvae <sup>-1</sup>  | 8.30±1.1 <sup>°</sup>  | 6.20±1.1 <sup>°</sup>  | 9.09±0.8 <sup>c</sup>  | 59.84±14.1 | 100.00±0.0 | 95.00±6.7 |  |
| 100 Artemia nauplii larvae <sup>-1</sup> | 13.98±1.3 <sup>b</sup> | 11.88±1.3 <sup>b</sup> | 12.60±0.6 <sup>b</sup> | 68.70±15.3 | 100.00±0.0 | 95.00±5.0 |  |
| 150 Artemia nauplii larvae <sup>-1</sup> | 18.36±0.6 <sup>a</sup> | 16.26±0.6 <sup>a</sup> | 14.45±0.2 <sup>a</sup> | 68.87±13.3 | 100.00±0.0 | 95.83±5.6 |  |
| Feed frequency                           |                        |                        |                        |            |            |           |  |
| One meals day <sup>-1</sup>              | 12.30±3.5              | 10.20±3.5              | 11.35±2.1              | 59.47±16.1 | 100.00±0.0 | 94.17±6.8 |  |
| Two meals day <sup>-1</sup>              | 13.40±3.7              | 11.31±3.7              | 11.95±2.1              | 67.59±16.3 | 100.00±0.0 | 95.00±5.0 |  |
| Four meals day <sup>-1</sup>             | 14.93±3.3              | 12.83±3.3              | 12.83±1.6              | 70.35±11.7 | 100.00±0.0 | 96.67±5.0 |  |
| Feed Rate                                | ***                    | ***                    | ***                    | NS         | NS         | NS        |  |
| Feed Frequency                           | NS                     | NS                     | NS                     | NS         | NS         | NS        |  |
| Interaction                              | *                      | *                      | **                     | NS         | NS         | NS        |  |

**Table 2.** Growth performance, uniformity of the batch and survival rates of severum (*Heros severus*) larvae fed with artemia nauplii at different feeding rates and frequency.

Final weight (FW); Weight gain (WG); Specific growth rate (SGR); Weight uniformity (UW); Length uniformity (UL); Survival rate (SR). Each value is a mean ± DP.

Mean values in the same column, with different letters, are significantly different by Tukey test at 5% probability.

ANOVA: NS: non-significant (P>0.05); \*P<0.05; \*\*P<0.01; \*\*\*P<0.001.

Table 3. Splitting feeding rates and frequency interaction for final weight, weight gain and specific growth rate of severum (*Heros severus*) larvae.

|                              |   | Final weight (mg)  |                          |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Feed frequency               | Feed rate (artemia nauplii larvae <sup>-1</sup> ) |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
|                              | 50  | 100  | 150                      |  |  |  |  |
| One meals day <sup>-1</sup>  | 7.15±0.29 <sup>cB</sup>                           | 12.34±0.30 <sup>bB</sup>                                       | 17.41±0.36 <sup>aB</sup> |  |  |  |  |
| Two meals day <sup>-1</sup>  | 7.80±0.37 <sup>cB</sup>                           | 13.75±0.26 <sup>bB</sup>                                       | 18.69±0.23 <sup>aA</sup> |  |  |  |  |
| Four meals day <sup>-1</sup> | 9.94±0.33 <sup>cA</sup>                           | 15.86±0.78 <sup>bA</sup>                                       | 18.98±0.47 <sup>aA</sup> |  |  |  |  |
|                              |   | Weight g <sup>a</sup> in (mg)                                  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Feed Frequency               | Feed rate (artemia nauplii larvae <sup>-1</sup> ) |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
|                              | 50  | 100  | 150                      |  |  |  |  |
| One meals day <sup>-1</sup>  | 5.05±0.29 <sup>cB</sup>                           | 10.24±0.30 <sup>bC</sup>                                       | 15.31±0.36 <sup>aB</sup> |  |  |  |  |
| Two meals day <sup>-1</sup>  | 5.70±0.37 <sup>cB</sup>                           | 11.65±0.26 <sup>bB</sup>                                       | 16.59±0.23 <sup>aA</sup> |  |  |  |  |
| Four meals day <sup>-1</sup> | 7.84±0.33 <sup>cA</sup>                           | 13.76±0.78 <sup>bA</sup>                                       | 16.88±0.47 <sup>aA</sup> |  |  |  |  |
|                              |   | Spe <sup>c</sup> ifi <sup>c</sup> growth r <sup>a</sup> te (%) |                          |  |  |  |  |
| Feed Frequency               | Feed rate (artemia nauplii larvae <sup>-1</sup> ) |  |                          |  |  |  |  |
|                              | 50  | 100  | 150                      |  |  |  |  |
| One meals day <sup>-1</sup>  | 8.16±0.27 <sup>cC</sup>                           | 11.80±0.16 <sup>bC</sup>                                       | 14.10±0.14 <sup>aA</sup> |  |  |  |  |
| Two meals day <sup>-1</sup>  | 8.74±0.32 <sup>cB</sup>                           | 12.53±0.13 <sup>bB</sup>                                       | 14.57±0.08 <sup>aA</sup> |  |  |  |  |
| Four meals day <sup>-1</sup> | 10.36±0.22 <sup>cA</sup>                          | 13.47±0.32 <sup>bA</sup>                                       | 14.67±0.16 <sup>aA</sup> |  |  |  |  |

Different minuscule letters on the same line indicate difference by Tukey test at 5% probability.

Different capital letters in the same column indicate difference by Tukey test at 5% probability.

rearing of pacamã (*Lophiosilurus alexandri*). It is recommended to supply 1600 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup> divided in three feeds daily, for higher values of weight and total length (Santos et al., 2015). These different results indicate that, although the optimal feeding rate is specie-specific, more effort is needed to determine an optimum feeding practice (EL-Sebaie et al., 2014), especially in first life stages of ornamental fish production.

Determining the optimal feeding rate should be done

aiming food utilization improvement and reducing production costs (Abe et al., 2016). Both, excess and lack of food can be harmful to fish health and may increase their susceptibility to diseases and parasites (Lee et al., 2000). The excess of live food also makes the activity more costly (Luz and Portella, 2005; Zuanon et al., 2011). In addition, excess supply of artemia nauplii may promote water quality reduction, since it presents rapid mortality in freshwater (Santos et al., 2015).

The appropriate feeding rate is directly related to feeding frequency. Thus, it is important to establish how many meals the defined amount of food will provide. When larvae of freshwater fish are fed with live marine organisms, such as artemia nauplii, optimal feeding frequency is critical to increase fish opportunity to consume live nauplii, improving nutrient uptake (Portella et al., 2000) and avoiding possible problems with water quality. In the present study, although no interaction was observed between feeding rates and feeding frequencies by angelfish, increasing of feeding frequency had an improvement effect on growth performance. Once higher weight gain was observed when angelfish was fed two or four meals a day. For severum, different from the results reported by Abe et al. (2016), the interaction observed between feeding rates and feeding frequencies reduced the feed frequency for two meals daily, when the larvae was fed with 150 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup>

Feeding frequency has a significant effect on feed conversion ratio and growth (Kasiri et al., 2011). Normally, by using a reduced feeding frequency for fish in early developmental stages, the amount of food might exceed the intake capacity of the animal (Veras et al., 2016b). This excess consumption can lead to a rapid passage of food through the digestive tract and decrease the efficiency of digestion and absorption of nutrients (Luz and Portella, 2005). On the other hand, high feeding frequency increases manpower costs and can lead to a greater dispute between individuals, which may also affect the development of the animals (Hayashi et al., 2004). Dominant fish consume more of the provided food (Goncalves Júnior et al., 2013) and are benefited by inadequate feeding frequency. Thus, an adequate feed frequency can lead to greater uniformity and survival, which facilitates the management and marketing of fish (Hayashi et al., 2004).

For ornamental fish a uniform development is important, as they are marketed individually (Abe et al., 2016). Although the weight uniformity of angelfish larvae was higher by fish fed four meals a day, there was no significant difference in the length uniformity. The length uniformity of the batch facilitates the handling of individuals within the production system, as well as the marketing of species. Thus, since ornamental fish commercialization usually takes the length of the animals and the unit value into consideration (Veras et al., 2016b), the lack of weight uniformity in angelfish fed two meals a day may not be a problem. The survival rate of both specie larvae tested in the present study did not show significant differences. Similarly, severum larvae submitted to different feeding rates and frequencies also did not present differences in survival rates (Abe et al., 2016). The sociability of the fish larvae and its preference for living in shoals (Gómez-Laplaza, 2006) may have contributed to the observed survival rates, since the negative interactions of territorialism were not intense enough to justify a reduction of this parameter.

### Conclusions

According to the results, the feeding rates of 150 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup> led us to obtain better growth performance in angelfish and severum larvae production. Moreover, as there was no difference in growth performance of angelfish larvae fed with artemia nauplii in two or four meals day<sup>-1</sup> as well as severum larvae fed with 150 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup>, the feeding frequency of two meals day<sup>-1</sup> is recommended. Thus, the supply of 150 artemia nauplii larvae<sup>-1</sup> divided into two meals day<sup>-1</sup> is recommended, for easy handling and manpower reduction costs.

# **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

#### REFERENCES

- Abe HA, Dias JAR, Cordeiro CAM, Ramos, FM, Fujimoto RY (2015). Pyrrhulina brevis (Steindachner, 1876) como uma nova opção para a piscicultura ornamental nacional: larvicultura. Boletim do Instituto de Pesca 41(1):113-122.
- Abe HA, Dias JAR, Reis RGA, Costa Sousa N, Ramos FM, Fujimoto RY (2016). Manejo alimentar e densidade de estocagem na larvicultura do peixe ornamental amazônico. *Heros severus*. Boletim do Instituto de Pesca 42(3):514-522.
- Alishahi M, Karamifar M, Mesbah M, Zarei M (2014). Hematoimmunological responses of *Heros severus* fed diets supplemented with different levels of *Dunaliella salina*. Fish Physiology and Biochemistry 40(1):57-65.
- Conceição LEC, Yúfera M, Makridis P, Morais S, Dinis MT (2010). Live feeds for early stages of fish rearing. Aquaculture Research 41(5):613-640.
- EL-Sebaie HE, Mahmoud NH, Mahmoud HI, Saad YM (2014). Biological performance of *Pterophyllum scalare* larvae fed on artemia and artificial diet. World 6(3):289-294.
- Furuya WM, Souza SR, Furuya VRB, Hayashi C, Ribeiro RP (1998). Dietas peletizada e extrusada para machos revertidos de tilapia do Nilo (*Oreochromis niloticus*) na fase de terminação. Ciência Rural 28(3):483-487.
- Garcia-Ulloa M, Gomez-Romero HJ (2005). Growth of angel fish *Pterophyllum scalare* juveniles fed inert diets. Advances En Investigation Agropecuaria 9(3):49-60.
- Gómez-Laplaza LM (2006). Shoal choice in juvenile angelfish (*Pterophyllum scalare*): effects of social status and activity. Ethology, Ecology and Evolution 18(4):261-273.
- Gonçalves Júnior LP, Pereira SL, Matielo MD, Mendonça PP (2013). Efeito da densidade de estocagem no desenvolvimento inicial do

acará bandeira *Pterophyllum scalare*. Arquivo Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia 65(4):1176-1182.

- Hayashi C, Meurer F, Boscolo WR, Lacerda CHF, Kavata LCB (2004). Frequência de arraçoamento para alevinos de lambari do raboamarelo (Astyanax bimaculatus). Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 33(1):21-26.
- Herath SS, Atapaththu KSS (2013). Sudden weaning of angel fish *Pterophyllum scalare* (Lichtenstein) (Pisces, Cichlidae) larvae from brine shrimp (Artemia sp) nauplii to formulated larval feed. Springerplus 2(1):102.
- Jobling M (2012). National Research Council (NRC): Nutrient requirements of fish and shrimp. Washington, D.C: National academies press.
- Karayucel I, Orhan AK, Karayucel S (2006). Effect of different level of 17 a methyltestosterone on growth and survival of angelfish (*Pterophyllum scalare*). Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances 5(3):244-248.
- Kasiri M, Farahi A, Sudagar M (2011). Effects of Feeding Frequency on Growth Performance and Survival Rate of Angel Fish, *Pterophyllum* scalare (Perciformes: Cichlidae). Veterinary Research Forum 2(2):97-102.
- Korzelecka-Orkisz A, Szalast Z, Pawlos D, Smaruj I, Tañski A, Szulc J, Krzysztof F (2012). Early ontogenesis of the angelfish, *Pterophyllum* scalare Schultze, 1823 (Cichlidae). Neotropical Ichthyology 10(3):567-576.
- Lee SM, Hwang UG, Cho SH (2000). Effects of feeding frequency and dietary moisture content on growth, body composition and gastric evacuation of juvenile Korean cock fish (*Sebastes schlegeli*). Aquaculture 187(3-4):399-409.
- Luz RK, Portella MC (2005). Frequência alimentar na larvicultura do trairão (*Hoplias lacerdae*). Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 34(5):1442-1448.
- Maithya J, Mbithi NM, Wanjala P (2017). Growth performance of *Oreochromis variabilis* larvae: A case study of effect of live and formulated diets on growth and survival rates. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture 9(2):14-23.
- Ortega-Salas AA, Cortes GI, Reyes-Bustamante H (2009). Fecundity, growth, and survival of the angelfish *Pterophyllum scalare* (Perciformes: Cichlidae) under laboratory conditions. Revista de Biología Tropical 57(3):741-747.

- Portella MC, Verani JR, Cestarolli MA (2000). Use of live and artificial diets enriched with several fatty acid sources to feed *Prochilodus scrofa* larvae and fingerlings. Effects on survival and growth. Journal of Aquaculture in the Tropics 15(1):45-58.
- Santos JCE, Correia ES, Luz RK (2015). Effect of daily artemia nauplii concentrations during juvenile production of *Lophiosilurus alexandri*. Boletim do Instituto de Pesca 41:771-776.
- Shukla JP (2010). Freshwater aquarium: preparation and maintenance. In: Fish and fishery. Rostagi, Meerut, India. pp 461-473.
- Treece GD (2000). Artemia production for marine larval fish culture [Abstract]. Stoneville, Mississippi: Southern Regional Aquaculture Center p. 702.
- Veras GC, Soares LMO, Brabo MF, Paixão DJMR, Dias BCB, Alves AX, Murgas LDS, Campelo DAV (2016a). Fotoperíodo e frequência alimentar na larvicultura do acará-bandeira *Pterophyllum scalare*. Archivos de Zootecnia 65(252):581-584.
- Veras GC, Brabo MF, Dias JA, Abe HA, Nunes ZMP, Murgas LDS (2016b). The effect of photoperiod and feeding frequency on larval of the Amazonian ornamental fish *Pyrrhulina brevis* (Steindachner, 1876). Aquaculture Research 47(3):797-803.
- Zuanon JAS, Salaro AL, Furuya WM (2011). Produção e nutrição de peixes ornamentais. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 40(1):165-174.