

Review

Productive and reproductive performance of indigenous chickens in Ethiopia

Milkias Matawork

Department of Animal Production, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Jimma University, Ethiopia.

Received 23 January, 2018; Accepted 15 February, 2018

This study reviews the productive and reproductive performance of indigenous chickens in Ethiopia with the aim of delivering summarized and synthesized information for the beneficiaries and producers. Chicken production encompasses into traditional scavenging, small and large-scale market orientated systems based on the objective of the producer, the type of inputs used and the number and types of chickens kept. In Ethiopia, indigenous chickens produces 10 to 20 eggs per clutch and 30 to 65 small eggs per hen per year in 3 to 4 clutches. Local chickens reach slaughter/market age at 8 to 12 months with 0.6 to 2.5 kg average weight at farmer management system. Indigenous chickens require long time to reach sexual maturity and takes longest time to recover reproductive cycle by local broody hen. The average mortality rate was highest and which affects both productive and reproductive performance of indigenous chickens by reducing survival rate. There were huge number of indigenous chickens existing in Ethiopia but productivity was disproportional to the number of chickens. The major constraint which affects productive and reproductive performance of indigenous chickens are diseases and predators, feed shortages, lack of training and extension services, and lack of proper marketing systems. Conclusively, lowest productive and reproductive performance was recorded which needs further improvement by adjusting training and extension service for farmers.

Key words: Indigenous chickens, reproductive performance, productive performance, Ethiopia.

INTRODUCTION

Poultry production is an important sector in Ethiopia where chickens and their products are important sources for income generation for rural peoples and important source for high quality protein for developing countries. Poultry in Ethiopia is similar with chicken and total chicken population were 60.5 million, from 94.33, 2.47 and 3.21% were indigenous, exotic and hybrid chickens, respectively (CSA, 2016). Backyard poultry production in

Ethiopia represents a significant part of the national economy in general and the rural economy in particular which contributes 83.5% of the national egg and meat products (CSA, 2016).

Chicken production encompasses into traditional scavenging, small and large-scale market orientated sectors which is based on the objective of the producer, the type of inputs used and the number and types of

E-mail: mataworkmilkias@gmail.com.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

chickens kept (Halima, 2007). The rural poultry sector constitutes about 98% of the total chicken population (FAO, 2007) and largely consists of the indigenous or native domestic fowl. The traditional back yard system are characterized by mainly low-input and small-scale with 4 to 10 mature birds per household, reared in the back yards with inadequate housing, feeding and health care. Scavenging is the most important component of the poultry diet (Fisseha et al., 2010; Meseret, 2010).

The Ethiopian indigenous chickens are known to possess desirable characters such as thermo tolerant, resistance to some disease, good egg and meat flavor, hard egg shells, high fertility and hatchability as well as high dressing percentage (Aberra, 2000). According to Abubakar et al. (2007) the impact of the Ethiopian village chicken in the national economy and its role in improving the nutritional status, family income, food security and livelihood of many smallholders is significant owing to its low cost of production. The diverse agro-ecology and agronomic practice prevailing in the country together with the huge population of livestock in general and poultry in particular, could be a promising attribute to boost up the sector and increase its contribution to the total agricultural output as well as to improve the living standards of the poor livestock keepers (Aleme and Mitiku, 2015; Hunduma et al., 2010).

The Ethiopian indigenous chickens are none descriptive breeds closely related to the jungle fowl and vary in color, comb type, body conformation, weight and may or may not possess shank feather and broodiness is pronounced (Demeke, 2008). The mean annual egg production of indigenous chickens is estimated to be 60 small-size eggs per year with a thick shell and deep yellow yolk color. Indigenous chickens are poor in productive and reproductive performance which are characterized by small sized eggs, slow growth rate, late maturity, slow age at first mating, small clutch size, a natural learning to broodiness and high mortality of chicks among the flock. Low productivity of indigenous chickens is due to low hatchability and high mortality of chicks (Fissaha et al., 2010; Getachew and Negassi, 2016).

There were huge number of indigenous chickens in Ethiopia but its productive and reproductive performance were low and varies in different area, and are not reviewed and well documented for users and producers. There is a need for reviewing the productive and reproductive performance of village chickens to improve the indigenous chicken productivity and to save the indigenous genotype from distinction or replacement by exotic chickens. This being the cases, the objective of this review is to review the productive and reproductive performance of indigenous chickens in Ethiopia with the following specific objectives:

1. To review the productive performance of indigenous chickens in Ethiopia
2. To review the reproductive performance of indigenous

chickens in Ethiopia

3. To review the constraints that affects productive and reproductive performance of indigenous chicken in Ethiopia

LITERATURE REVIEW

Productive performance of village chickens

The productive performance of indigenous chickens are low, which includes clutch number, average number of eggs laid per clutch, average days per clutch, average number of eggs per hen per year, slaughter age and weight of chickens.

Clutch number

Clutch numbers of Ethiopian indigenous chicken is different at different production and management systems. According to CSA (2016) report the national average clutch number of Ethiopia indigenous chicken was 4 per year. The number of clutch periods showed by local hens per year is 3.8, 2-6 and 3.7 in Bure, Fogéra and Dale, respectively (Fissaha et al., 2010). According to Melkamu and Wube (2013) in Debsan, Tikara and Kebele at Gonder, Zuria and Woreda the average clutch number were 3 per year. Alem (2014) reports in Central Tigray, the average clutch number per year were 3.15 to 3.2 and 3.2 at lowland and midland agro-ecologies, respectively.

The number of clutch periods recorded per year was 4.29 ± 0.17 (range 3.38 to 6.11) in Metekel zone of Northwest Ethiopia, respectively (Solomon et al., 2013). The average number of clutches per year per hen was 3.2 for local hens ranged from 2 to 5 with an average clutch length of 21.6 days, ranged from 15 to 28 days in lowland and midland agro-ecological zones of Central Tigray (Alem, 2014). The average number of clutches per year recorded from the Gomma Wereda was 3.43 (Meseret, 2010). The overall average clutch number of chicken in North Wollo of Amhara region was 3.62 per year (Addisu et al., 2013). Mekonnen (2007) reported that the mean clutch number of indigenous chicken in three districts of SNNPRs was 3.8 per year.

Egg production

Indigenous chickens' produces lowest number of eggs and is small in size. An indigenous chicken in Ethiopia produces 12 eggs per clutch (CSA, 2016). According to Yadessa et al. (2017) finding indigenous chickens produces 14.3 small eggs per clutch in Mezhenger, Sheka and Benchi -Maji zones of south western Ethiopia. Solomon et al. (2013) report under existing farmer

management condition, number of eggs produced per clutch was 13.56 ± 0.26 in Metekel zone of Northwest Ethiopia. Addisi et al. (2013) reported that, average eggs laid/clutch/hens was 16.88, 14.23 and 11.9 eggs in Quara, Alefa and Tach Annachiho districts, respectively. Average number of eggs laid per hen per clutch was 13.6 for local hens which ranged from 9 to 18 eggs in lowland and midland agro-ecological zones of Central Tigray (Alem, 2014). The average number of eggs per clutch of indigenous chickens reported from Gomma district was 12.92 (Meseret, 2010).

Egg production potential of local chicken is 30 to 60 eggs/year/hen, with an average of 38 g egg weight under village management conditions while exotic breeds produce around 250 eggs/year/hen with 60 g egg weight in Ethiopia (Alganesh et al., 2003). Indigenous chickens produce 48 small eggs per hen per year at farmers' management conditions in Ethiopia (CSA, 2016). The average number of eggs produced per hen per year was 54.5 in Mezhenger, Sheka and Benchi -Maji zones of south western Ethiopia (Yadessa et al., 2017).

According to Addisu et al. (2013) 49.51 eggs per hen per year was reported from North Wollo, Amhara Region, Ethiopia. Solomon et al. (2013) also reported 59.5 eggs per hen per year in Metekel zone, Northwest Ethiopia. According to Fissaha et al. (2010) finding, the total egg production/hen per year of local hens under farmer management condition is estimated to be 60, 53 and 55 in Bure, Fogéra and Dale woredas, respectively. Melkamu (2014) finding showed that an indigenous chicken produces average of 65 eggs per hen per year. Indigenous chickens produce 59.51 ± 2.66 (range 45.38 to 93.19) per hen per year in Metekel zone of Northwest Ethiopia (Solomon et al., 2013). Mean annual egg production of the indigenous chickens of Gomma Wereda was 43.8 eggs (Meseret, 2010), mean annual number of eggs produced from Dale district was 55.2 eggs/year/hen (Mekonnen 2007) and average number of eggs per hen per year in Ambo was 36 to 42 (Fikre, 2000). The mean annual egg production/hen in North Wollo of Amhara region was 49.51 ± 0.38 (Addisi et al., 2013).

Slaughter weight of indigenous chickens

Bogale (2008) indicated that the meat production ability and growth performance of indigenous chicken are limited and the local males reach 1.5 kg live weight at 6 months of age and females of about 30% less. According to Gain (2017), local Ethiopian chickens 1.25 kg are in slaughter village management condition. The average weight of mature males (cocks) was significantly higher in midland (1.812) kg than in lowland (1.694) agro-ecology in Central Tigray. But, similar body weight of hens (1.37 and 1.356 kg), cockerels (1.024 and 1.119 kg) and pullets (1.021 and 1.064 kg) was recorded in lowland and midland agro ecology, respectively. These significant

differences in body weight of indigenous chickens were attributed to non-genetic factors like supplementary feeding, watering and health care in different agro-ecology of Central Tigray (Alem, 2014).

According to Meseret (2010) finding the mean market weight of indigenous male chickens in Gomma wereda was 1.5 kg at 8.62 months in village management condition. Mekonnen (2007) reported that the mature body weight of cocks and hens at farmers management condition in Wonsho, Loka abaya and Dale districts of Southern Ethiopia were 1.58 and 1.30 kg, respectively. The average weight of local hens ranges from 0.6 to 2.1kg and local cocks ranges from 0.6 to 2.5kg at selected districts of North Western Amhara region.

Slaughter/Market age of indigenous chickens

According to Gain (2017) report Ethiopian indigenous chickens reach slaughter at the age of 8 to 12 months in village management system. Mean age at slaughter for indigenous male chickens of Gomma Wereda was 8.62 months (Meseret, 2010). Getiso et al. (2017) reported that in three agro-ecologies of SNNPR, indigenous chickens reach slaughter at 9.9 months. Also in western, Tigray indigenous chickens reach slaughter at 4.66 and 4.5 months for male and female chickens, respectively (Shishay et al., 2015).

In other hand, indigenous male chickens of Wolaita zones in southern Ethiopia requires 8.6, 9.4 and 8.9 months to reach slaughter at highland, midland and lowland areas, respectively (Zereu and Lijalem, 2016).

Reproductive performance of village chickens

Reproductive cycle takes longest time for indigenous chickens because they require long time to reach sexual maturity age and replace parent stock by traditional broody hens which require long time to recover the reproductive cycle.

Age at sexual maturity of indigenous chickens

The overall mean age of cock at first mating was 4.9 months in Mezhenger and Sheka but in benchi-Maji zone it requires 5.2 months (Yadessa et al., 2017). Meseret (2010) reported that the mean sexual maturity of indigenous chicken at Gomma district of Jimma zone were about 6.33 months.

According to Aberra et al. (2013) report, age at first egg of scavenging chickens in different agro-ecological zones of Amhara region was 6.6 months. The average age of indigenous pullets and cockerels at first mating was 5.2 ± 1.16 and 5.44 ± 1.3 months in Metekel zone of Northwest Ethiopia, respectively (Solomon et al., 2013).

Average age at first egg was 27.2 weeks for local breeds ranged from 24 to 28 weeks and average age at first mating of cockerels was 26 weeks for local chickens in lowland and midland agro-ecological zones of Central Tigray (Alem, 2014). Mekonnen (2007) reported that, age at first egg was 7.07 months for indigenous pullets of Dale wereda. The overall mean age of sexual maturity was 24.25 ± 0.04 and 23.84 ± 0.05 weeks for indigenous male and female chickens in North Wollo of Amhara Region, respectively (Addisu et al., 2013). In Bogale (2008) finding, the mean age of sexual maturity of indigenous chicken in Fogera district was 23.48 ± 0.1 and 23.6 ± 0.11 weeks for male and female, respectively.

Hatchability of indigenous chickens

Natural incubation is the most commonly used method for replacing and increasing the size of flocks by the help of broody hens. Incubating hens uses dark and quite place for laying and incubating eggs. Producers adjust appropriate place and makes nest for broody hens which uses clay pot and straw bedding (cartoons) but in some cases uses clay without bedding (broken pot). Farmers are very conscious and concerned for preparation of appropriate place which provide good feed resources and best environment for incubating by broody hens. Traditionally, farmers incubate at dry season and uses eggs which were laid within the houses (Bikila, 2013).

The average number of eggs incubated per hen in different agro-ecological zones of Amhara region was 12.8 and out of the incubated eggs, only 10 chicks were hatched, giving an average hatchability of 79.1% (Aberra et al., 2013). According to Solomon et al. (2013) report the average number of eggs set per hen was 14.74 ± 0.25 (range 12.40 to 16.91) with a hatchability of 84.7% in Metekel zone of Northwest Ethiopia. According to Fissaha et al. (2010) report, 13 eggs (ranged 7 to 22) have hatchability percentage of 82.6 and 89.1 at Bure and Dale districts of Ethiopia, respectively. According to Alem (2014) report in both agro-ecologies of the Central Tigray the average numbers of eggs set for incubation per broody hen were 10.2 eggs with hatchability of 85.8% for local eggs.

The number of eggs set per hen depends on availability of eggs, size of eggs and size of broody hen and the maternal instinct of the broody hen. However, the overall mean number of eggs incubated was 11.32 eggs with minimum of 6 and maximum 20 eggs per hen and the percent hatchability was 82.74% in Nole Kabba Woreda and Western Wollega, Ethiopia (Habte et al., 2013). The mean percent total hatchability calculated for the indigenous chickens of the Gomma Wereda was 22% (Meseret, 2010). The Average number of eggs set for incubation was 13 ranging from 10 to 20 per hen from which relatively fair number (83%) chicks were hatched in East Gojam zone of Amhara regional state (Melese and

Melkamu, 2014). Samson and Endalew (2010) reported that, productive indigenous hens lay on average 10 to 18 eggs per clutch and 7 to 15 eggs were incubated using a broody hen from the incubated eggs 5 to 10 chicks hatched per clutch.

Mortality and survival rate of indigenous chickens

Scavenging system is characterized by high chick mortality in the first two weeks of life, caused mainly by predators and Newcastle disease in Southern region of Ethiopia (Melesse and Negesse, 2011).

According to Alganesh et al. (2003) and Negussie et al. (2003) the low productivity of the local scavenging hens is not only because they are low producers of small sized eggs and slow growers but also the system is characterized by high chick mortality before they reach around 8 weeks of age. In different agro-ecological zones of Ethiopia at Amhara region, 10 chicks were hatched and among these only 5.5 chicks reached market age, which implies 58.3% survival rate suggesting high chick mortality during the growing period (Aberra et al., 2013). Chicks which reached grower stage 8 weeks (survival rate) were 65.8% for local chickens in lowland and midland agro-ecological zones of Central Tigray (Alem, 2014). According to Tadelles et al. (2003) finding, average survival rate of chicks in Ethiopia was 51.3% and about 44.2% mortality of chicks (55.8% survived) was reported by Abraham and Yayneshet (2010) from Northern Ethiopia. Mean chick mortality (to an age of 8 weeks) of indigenous chickens of Gomma Wereda was 41% (Meseret, 2010) and the mean number of chicks which survives to market age in East Gojam zone of Amhara region was 65.91% (Melese and Melkamu, 2014).

Constraints of indigenous chicken production

Disease and predators

Disease and predator were the main constraints of indigenous chicken production at farmer management condition in Lemo district of Hadiya zone in southern Ethiopia (Salo et al., 2016). Halima (2007) reported that, diseases and predator were the major factor that causes loss of chicken in Northwest Ethiopia. Shishay et al. (2014) revealed that, both diseases and predators have highly prevalent challenges which hinder indigenous chicken productivity. According to their report Newcastle disease (1st), fowl salmonella (2nd), coccidiosis (3rd), fowl typhoid (4th), fowl cholera (5th), fowl pox (6th) and fowl coryza (7th) were the major and economically important diseases that hinder the expansion of village chicken production in Western Zone of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia.

Fentie et al. (2013) also, recently reported that poor health care, incidence of predation, poor housing and feeding management were the major constraints of village chicken production of which, poultry diseases (46.2%) and predation (27.1%) were the most predominant causes of chicken loss. New castle disease was the biggest constraints of family chicken production in North Gondar of Northwest Ethiopia. Diseases and predators were the first and second major constraints that cause loss of chickens in North West Ethiopia (Halima, 2007). A study conducted in Mekele zone of North West Ethiopia also revealed that, seasonal outbreak of diseases and predators were major factors that cause loss of chickens, and lack of credit services, limited skill of management practices and low productivity of local chickens were outlined as major constraints of chicken production (Solomon et al., 2013). The most serious constraint hindering poultry production is predator and poor housing system and the scavenging feeding system of poultry leads for this problem in Arbegona Woreda of Sidama Zone in Southern Ethiopia (Feleke et al., 2015). The most important constraints impairing the existing chicken production system under farmer's management condition in their order of significance were disease, lack of veterinary health service, traditional management system with limited feed supplementation, poor housing and no access of improved breeds with limitation of extension service (Melese and Melkamu, 2014).

Feed shortage

Bogale (2007) reported that, shortage of supplementary feed (19.4%) was the main constraint which hinders indigenous chicken productivity in Fogera district. There is no purposeful feeding of chickens under the village conditions in Ethiopia and scavenging is almost the only source of diet. Scavenging feed resource base for local birds are inadequate and the main constraints in Fogera district (Bogale, 2008). Scavenge feed resources are defined as the total amount of feed products available to all scavenging animals in a given area. It depends on the number of households, the type of crop grown and crop processing as well as climatic conditions (Sonaiya and Swan, 2004).

The local birds in the farming community are allowed to wander freely inside and outside the house in search of the food. Anything in and around the house is used as the most important part of their diet. So, the important sources of the feed for bird are household wastes, anything from the environment and small amount of grain thought to be useful sources of nutrition (Meseret, 2010). The local chickens in the farming community are allowed to wander freely inside and outside the house in search of the food. So the important sources of the feed for bird are household wastes, anything from the environment and small amount of grain thought to be useful sources of

nutrition (Resource-Centre, 2005).

Marketing system

There is no formal poultry and poultry product marketing channel and informal marketing of live birds and eggs involving open markets are common throughout the Woreda, which affects production of indigenous chickens in Haramaya (Abera and Geta, 2014). Fluctuation (seasonality) in prices of chicken products was the most prevailing chicken and egg marketing constraint (Bikila, 2013). The major constraints in rural chicken marketing were identified as low price, low marketing output and long distance to reliable markets. As a result, the smallholder farmers are not in position to get the expected return from the sale of chickens in North West Ethiopia (Awol, 2010).

Seasonal fluctuation of chicken and eggs, low supply (output) of chickens and eggs due to disease and predation, presence of limited market outlets and lack of space for chicken marketing in urban area were market related constraints which affects poultry production (Moges et al., 2010).

Lack of training and extension service

There was low extension support from responsible bodies to improve indigenous chicken production in Eastern Ethiopia (Getachew et al., 2015). According to Bikila (2013), low supply of exotic breed and limited credit for poultry production, weak extension service, lack of appropriate chicken and egg marketing information to producer farmer and lack of enough space for chicken marketing in urban markets were the major challenges which hinders indigenous chicken productivity. The extension linkage between the research output and the ministry of agriculture and the farmers are found to be extremely weak, thus in general there is no consistent feedback to the research. Fisseha et al. (2007) also reported that, lack of access to extension agents for chicken farmers is one of the main reasons for the lower extension service in Burie district of Amhara region.

Lack of access to get extension agents was the main reason (31.8%) for absence of extension service with regard to village chicken production. Lack of modern poultry rearing knowledge through extension service and training was the other constraint in both districts of Ethiopia (Fissaha et al., 2010). It is also reported that training for both farmers and extension staff focusing on disease control, improved housing, feeding, marketing and entrepreneurship could help to improve productivity of local chicken (Moges et al., 2010).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Chicken production system encompasses into traditional,

small and large-scale market oriented production system based on the objectives of the producers, the type of inputs used and type and number of chickens reared. Traditional production system is characterized by low-input and small-scale with 4 to 10 mature birds per households with inadequate housing, feeding and health care practice. The productive performances of indigenous chickens are low in village management condition (inappropriate feeding, housing and health care practice).

In Ethiopia, indigenous chickens produces 10 to 20 eggs per clutch and 30 to 65 small eggs per hen per year was laid in 3 to 4 clutches. Local chickens reach slaughter/market age at 8 to 12 months with 0.6 to 2.5kg average live weight at farmer management condition. Indigenous chickens require long time to reach sexual maturity and takes longest time to recover reproductive cycle by local broody hen.

Chickens take 5 to 7.2 months to reach first mating and egg lying age. They reproduce by natural incubation system by broody hen with the average hatchability of 75 to 85%. The average mortality rate was highest, which affects both productive and reproductive performance of indigenous chickens by reducing survival rate. There were huge number of indigenous chickens existing in Ethiopia but productivity was disproportional to the number of chickens. The major constraints which affects productive and reproductive performance of indigenous chickens includes diseases and predators, feed shortages, lack of training and extension services, and lack of proper marketing systems.

Depending on the above conclusions, the following recommendations were needed to improve productive and reproductive performance of indigenous chickens.

1. Farmers should improve management conditions (feeding, housing and health care practice).
2. Government should adjust training and extension service to improve this sector.
3. Government should adjust proper market channel to solve input-output marketing challenges.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author has not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Abera B, Geta T (2014). Study on Challenges and Opportunities of Village Chicken Production in Haramaya District, Eastern Ethiopia. *International Journal of Science Research Publication* 4(12):2250-3153.
- Abera M, Zemene W, Yosef T (2013). Assessment of the prevailing handling and quality of eggs from scavenging indigenous chickens reared in different agro-ecological zones of Ethiopia. *Journal of Environmental and Occupational Science* 2(1):1-8.
- Abera M (2000). Comparative studies on performance and physiological responses of Ethiopian indigenous (Angetemelata) chickens and their F1 Crosses to long term heat exposure. PhD dissertation, Martin-Luther University. Halle-witten berg Germany P 127.
- Abraham L, Yayneshet T (2010). Performance of exotic and indigenous poultry breeds managed by smallholder farmers in northern Ethiopia. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 22(7).
- Abubakar M, Ambali A, Tamjdo T (2007). Rural chicken production: Effects of gender on ownership, and management responsibilities in some parts of Nigeria and Cameroon. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 6(6):413-416.
- Addisu H, Hailu M, Zewdu W (2013). Indigenous Chicken Production System and Breeding Practice in North Wollo, Amhara Region, Ethiopia. *Poultry, Fish and Wildlife Science* 1:108.
- Alem T (2014). Production and Reproduction Performance of Rural Poultry in Lowland and Midland Agro-Ecological Zones of Central Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *British Journal of Poultry Science* 3(1):06-14.
- Aleme A, Mitiku E (2015). Traditional Chicken Production System and Marketing in Ethiopia. *Journal of Marketing and Consumer Research* 8:2422-8451.
- Alganesh T, Matewos B, Gizaw K (2003). Survey on traditional livestock production system. *Proceeding 11th Annual Conference of Ethiopian Society of Animal production*, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, August 28-30, pp. 141-150.
- Awol Z (2010). Analysis of Poultry Market Chain: The case of Dale and Alaba 'special' woredas of SNNPRS, Ethiopia.
- Bikila N (2013). Study of Production Practices, Productivity, and Egg Quality of Village Chicken in Chelliya District Western Shewa, Ethiopia. A Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies (School of Animal and Range Sciences). Haramaya University, Ethiopia.
- Bogale K (2008). In Situ Characterization of Local Chicken Eco-Type for Functional Traits and Production System in Fogera Woreda, Amhara Regional State. M.Sc. Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate of Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia.
- Central Statistical Agency (CSA) (2016). *Agricultural sample survey report on livestock and livestock characteristics*. volume II, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Demeke S (2008). Ethiopia: Poultry sector country review. FAO, Rome, Italy. <ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/011/ai320e/ai320e00.pdf>.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (2007). Poultry sector country review, Animal Production and Health Division, Emergency center for trans-boundary animal diseases socio economics, production and biodiversity unit, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome., Italy. Sources: <ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/011/ai320e/ai320e00.pdf>
- Feleke A, Tekla T, Abeba D (2015). Challenges and Opportunities of Village Poultry Production in Arbegona Woreda, Sidama Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Developing Country Studies* 5(11). ISSN 2224-607X (Paper) ISSN 2225-0565 (Online).
- Fentie T, Abebe B, Kassa T (2013). Small –Scale Family Poultry Production in North Gondar: Characteristics, Productivity and Constraints. *Livestock Research for Rural Development* 25 (9) .Retrived October 15, 2014, from <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd25/9/fent25161.htm>.
- Fikre A (2000). Base line data on chicken population, productivity, husbandry, feeding and constraints in four peasant associations in Ambo Wereda. Department of Animal Sciences, Ambo College of Agriculture, Ambo, Ethiopia.
- Fisseha M, Azage T, Tadelle D (2010). Indigenous chicken production and marketing systems in Ethiopia: Characteristics and opportunities for market-oriented development. IPMS (Improving Productivity and Market Success) of Ethiopian Fanners Project Working Paper 24. Nairobi, Kenya, ILR.
- Fisseha M, Azage T, Tadelle D (2007). Indigenous chicken production and marketing systems in Ethiopia: Characteristics and opportunities for market-oriented development. International livestock institute, ILRI, working paper No. 4, www.ilri.org, Ethiopia.
- Getachew K, Negassi A (2016). Study of Indigenous Chicken Production System in Bench Maji Zone, South Western Ethiopia. *Journal of Science and Frostry Research* XVI(11):1.
- Getachew T, Kebede E, Ameha N, Terefe AT (2015). Village Chicken Husbandry Practice, Marketing and Constraints in Eastern Ethiopia. *Journal of World's Poultry Research* 5(4):104-108.

- Global Agricultural Information Network (GAIN) (2017). Ethiopia's Demand for Chicken Meat is Expected to Grow. This report contains assessments of commodity and trade issues made by USDA staff and not necessarily statements of official U.S. government policy. USDA Foreign Agricultural Service.
- Habte M, Ameha N, Demeke S (2013). Production performance of local and exotic breeds of chicken at rural household level in Nole Kabba Woreda, Western Wollega, Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 8(11):1014-1021.
- Halima HM (2007). Phenotypic and genetic characterization of indigenous chicken populations in North-West Ethiopia. Ph.D Thesis. Submitted to the faculty of natural and agricultural sciences department of animal, wildlife and grassland Sciences. University of the Free State, Bloemfontein, South Africa.
- Hunduma D, Regassa C, Fufa D, Endale B, Samson L (2010). Major Constraints and Health Management of Village Poultry Production in Rift Valley of Oromia, Ethiopia. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science* 9(5):529-533.
- Mekonnen GM (2007). Characterization of smallholder poultry production and marketing system of Dale, Wonsho and Loka Abaya weredas of Southern Ethiopia. A Thesis submitted to the department of animal and range sciences, Awassa College of agriculture, school of graduate studies Hawassa University Awassa, Ethiopia.
- Melesse A, Negesse T (2011). Phenotypic and morphological characterization of Indigenous chicken population in Southern region of Ethiopia. *Animal Genetic Resources* 49:19-31.
- Melkamu B, Wube A (2013). Constraints and Opportunities of Village Chicken Production in Debsan TiKara Keble at Gonder Zuria Woreda, North Gonder, Ethiopia. *International Journal Scientific Research Publication* 3(9):2250-3153.
- Melkamu (2014). Performance evaluation of local chicken at Enebsie Sar Midir woreda, Eastern Gojjam, Ethiopia. *Global Journal Agriculture and Food Science Research* 1(2):1-8.
- Meseret M (2010). Characterization of Village Chicken Production and Marketing System. M.Sc. Thesis Submitted to the Department of Animal Science, Jimma University, College of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, School of Graduate Studies. P 110.
- Moges F, Mellese A, Dessie T (2010). Assessment of village chicken production system and evaluation of the productive and reproductive performance of local chicken ecotype in Bure district, North West Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 5:1739-1748.
- Negussie D, Alemu Y, Tadelle D, Samuel W (2003). On-station and on-farm evaluation of the "hay-Box chick brooder" using different insulation materials at Debre Zeit Agricultural Research Center and Denbi village, Adaa woreda. In: Proceedings of the 10th annual conference of the Ethiopian Society of Animal Production (ESAP), August 21–23, held in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp. 211-216.
- Resource-Centre (2005). Improved management of indigenous chicken. Kenya Agricultural Institute. Kenya, (Retrieved from: resource center@Kari.org).
- Salo S, Tadesse G, Hilemeskel D (2016). Village Chicken Production System and Constraints in Lemo District, Hadiya Zone, Ethiopia. *Poultry, Fish and Wildlife Science* 4:158.
- Samson L, Endalew B (2010). Survey on Village Based Chicken Production and Utilization System in Mid Rift Valley of Oromia, Ethiopia. *Global Veterinary* 5(4):198-203.
- Shishay M, Berhanu B, Tadelle D (2014). Village Chicken Production Constraints and Opportunities in Western Zone of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia. *Journal of Biology and Agricultural Health* 4(27):2224-3208.
- Solomon Z, Binyam K, Bilatu A, Ferede A (2013). Village chicken production systems in Metekel zone, Northwest Ethiopia. *Wudpecker Journal of Agricultural Research* 2(9):256-262.
- Sonaiya EB, Swan SEJ (2004). Small-scale poultry production, technical guide manual. FAO Animal Production and Health 1.FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), Rome, Italy.
- Tadelle D, Million T, Alemu Y, Peters KJ (2003). Village chicken production systems in Ethiopia: Flock characteristics and performance. *Livestock Research and Rural Development* 15(1).
- Yadessa E, Tulu D, Bogale A, Mengistu G, Alemu M, Shiferaw S, Esatu W, Amare A (2017). Characterization of smallholder poultry production systems in Mezhenger, Sheka and Benchi-Maji zones of south western Ethiopia. *Research Journal of Agricultural Science Research* 5(1):2360-7874.
- Zereu G, Lijalem T (2016). Production and reproduction performance of local chicken breeds and their marketing practices in Wolaita Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 11(17):1531-1537.