Vol. 10(12), pp. 161-167, December 2018

DOI: 10.5897/IJNM2018.0303 Article Number: BB6157A59667

ISSN: 2141-2456 Copyright ©2018

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/IJNM



# International Journal of Nursing and Midwiferv

Full Length Research Paper

## Success of labour induction institution based crosssectional study Wolaita Sodo, South Ethiopia

### Eyasu Tamru Bekru<sup>1\*</sup> and Bezalem Eshetu Yirdaw<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nursing, College of Health Sciences and Medicine, Wolaita Sodo University, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia. <sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, College of Natural and Computational Science, Wolaita Sodo University, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia.

Received 26 February 2018; Accepted 27 August 2018

There are a number of pregnancy complications that confer significant risk to the mother or fetus. Induction of labour is as an artificial termination of pregnancy utilized to decrease both maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality. Institution based retrospective cross-sectional study was conducted among 347 pregnant women undergone induction of labour from 2013-2015 in Otona referral hospital. Nearly 207 (59.7%) of the women who undergone through induction of labor procedure had successful delivery of their baby. Premature rupture of the membranes 167(48.1%) was the most common reason for induction. Being women of age  $\leq$  24 years, having Bishop Score > 5 and Apgar score  $\geq$  7 at first minute were positively associated with successful induction of labour. Hospitals should have quality assurance programs and induction policies, including safety tools such as checklists, to ensure that inductions are performed in the best possible quality.

**Key words:** Induction of labour, failed induction, successful induction.

#### INTRODUCTION

Induction of labor refers to artificial stimulation of uterine contractions before the true onset of spontaneous labor in order to achieve vaginal delivery by medical or surgical means (Sanchez-Ramos, 2005; Rouse et al., 2011).

Augmentation of labor refers to increasing the frequency and the intensity of already existing uterine contractions in a patient in true labor but progressing inadequately, in order to achieve vaginal delivery (Sanchez-Ramos, 2005). There are a number of complications of pregnancy that confer significant ongoing risk to the mother or fetus like preeclampsia; preterm premature rupture of the membranes (PPROM); intrauterine growth restriction

(IUGR); and post term pregnancy. For these conditions, induction of labour (IOL) is often the principal medical intervention utilized to decrease both maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality (Sanchez-Ramos, 2005).

The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends induction to be performed with a clear medical indication and when expected benefits outweigh potential harms. In addition to the rise in the rate of indicated induction of labour, it seems that there has also been an increase in the rate of induction of labour that is not indicated for a medical reason (Rouse et al., 2011). Major indications for

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>License 4.0 International License</u>

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: esugogle@gmail.com.

induction of labour include maternal, fetal, social or a combination of these factors; these indications may also either be evident or anticipated (World Health Organization (WHO), 2011).

Despite its undisputed importance for ending risky pregnancies, this intervention may result in undesirable effect. Its outcomes are multi factorial, involving the synergistic influences of the patient, provider, system, and the intervention itself. Induction sometimes fails with potential risks of increased rate of operative vaginal delivery, caesarean birth, excessive uterine activity, uterine rupture, maternal water intoxication, abnormal fetal heart rate patterns, delivery of preterm infant due to incorrect estimation of dates, and possibly cord prolapse (MacKenzie, 2006).

The greatest maternal risk of ILO is the risk of morbidity associated with cesarean section (CS) for failed induction and for other obstetric indications like non-progress of labour and fetal distress (National Collabourating Centre for Women's and Children's (NCC-WCH), 2008).

There is a consensus that the success of induced labour is directly related to the status of the cervix, with higher CS rates in those with an unfavorable cervix. In addition other factors that contribute towards increasing the risks of a CS following labour induction include null-parity, obesity, mother's age above 30 years, fetal macrosomia, use of epidural anesthesia, use of magnesium sulphate and chorioamnionitis (Vrouenraets et al., 2005).

Despite the fact that IOL plays a vital role in reduction of maternal mortality, the success rate of induction and factors that contributes to it are not well studied in the study area. Therefore, this study aimed to identify factors that affect success of induced labour; which helps to improve quality of IOL and thereby decrease unnecessary indication of the procedure.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Study design and setting

A retrospective cross-sectional study was employed from 2012 to 2015 in Wolaita Sodo University teaching referral hospital. The hospital is located in Wolaita zone of southern nations nationalities and people's regional state; 327 km from the capital Addis Ababa. Established in 1928, the hospital is currently serving more than 2 million people with about 195 inpatient beds.

#### Sampling and sample size

Single population proportion formula was used to calculate the sample size with the assumptions 0.05 significance level, 66.9% proportion of labor induction success (Barthélémy et al., 2013), 5% margin of error and 10% nonresponse rate. The final sample size was calculated to be 347. All women with induction of labor from registration book were selected back starting from 2015 to 2012 until desired sample size was achieved.

#### Data collection tool and procedure

The study included all registered women who had been delivered a gestational age of 28 weeks and above through induction of labour. Data were collected using a pretested structured questionnaire from the induction register and medical record files. Information regarding demographic features, details of induction of labour (indication, method, mode of delivery, complications, and neonatal outcome). Seven midwifery nurses guided by three supervisors collected the data on January 7, 2012.

#### Data processing and analysis

The filled questionnaires were checked for completeness and entered into EPI INFO version 3.5.3 statistical software and then exported to SPSS version 21 for further analysis. Descriptive statistics was used to describe the study population in relation to relevant variables. Both bivariate and multivariate logistic regression models were used to identify associated factors. Odds ratios and their 95% confidence intervals were computed and variables with p - value less than 0.05 were considered as significantly associated with the outcome variable.

#### **Ethical consideration**

Ethical clearance was obtained from the college of health sciences and medicine, Wolaita Sodo University. A formal letter of cooperation was written to the hospital. Anonymity was maintained to assure confidentiality.

#### Data quality assurance

Data quality was controlled by giving trainings and appropriate supervisions for data collectors. A pre-test was conducted on 5% of the records that are not included in the study. Appropriate modifications were made after analyzing the pretest result before the actual data collection.

#### **Operational definitions**

#### Induction of labour

Initiation of uterine contractions prior to its spontaneous onset after 28 weeks of gestation.

#### Failed induction of labour

Failure to achieve a vaginal delivery within 12 h after induction of labour was commenced.

#### Successful induction of labour

When a woman had achieved vaginal birth within 12 h after induction of labour was started.

#### Fetal heart rate non-reassuring

Fetal heart either below or above normal range following induction of labour

**Table 1.** Socio demographic characteristics women who undergone induction of labour from 2012 to 2015 in WSUTRH (N=347).

Characteristics	Frequency N=347	Percentage (%)	
Age			
15-24	86	24.8	
25-34	261	75.2	
Religion			
Orthodox	161	46.3	
Protestant	120	34.5	
Muslim	53	15.2	
No information	14	4	
Ethnic group			
Wolaita	142	40.9	
Amhara	73	21.1	
Gurage	58	16.7	
Hadiya	39	11.2	
Other	35	10.1	
Marital status			
Married	340	98.0	
Others	7	4.0	

#### **RESULTS**

## Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents

A total of 347 women's document was reviewed during the study period. From these women 231 were in the age group 25-34 years with the mean age of 27.23 (±4.2) years. Greater proportion 161 (46.3%) of the women were Orthodox Christian and 132 (38.0%) of the participants belong to Amhara in ethnicity. Information on educational status of the participant was not found for greater than half 198 (57.1%) of the participant (Table 1).

#### **Obstetric condition**

The mean gestational age was  $39.55 \pm 2.49$  weeks (range: 30- 44 weeks). Of the included 347 women, 167(48.1%) undergone induction of labour for premature rupture of membranes (PROM). Out of the 294 women who had recorded information on bishop score 256 (73.8%) of them had a modified bishop score of greater than or equal to 6. Regarding method of induction, it is reported as all of the women had received intravenous Pitocin infusion (Table 2).

#### Success of labour induction

More than half (59.7%) of the women delivered vaginally within 12 h after induction, while 132 (37.8%) delivered

by CS; from this, 92 (26.5%) undergone CS due to failed induction of labor that means unable to deliver vaginally within 12 h, 24 (6.9%) were due to fetal distress, while the rest were due to different reasons like Cephalo-pelvic disproportion and malposition (Table 3).

#### Factors associated with success of labour induction

The odds of women with age of less than 24 years were 2 times [AOR=2.437 (1.126, 5.275)] higher than those who were age of 25 and above to experience successful induction. The likely hood of successful labour induction was approximately 2.6 times more prevalent among those women's with Apgar score of greater than 7 [AOR=2.61(1.40, 4.86)]. Significant association between women's bishop score and success of labour induction was observed, in which women with bishop score greater than 5 were about 7 times more likely to have successful induction when compared to those with less than or equal to 5 [AOR=7.51(2.44, 23.07)]. On the other hand the success of induction was 64% times lower among women with fetal heart rate record of non-reassuring [AOR=0.3(0.13-0.98)] (Table 4).

#### DISCUSSION

Induction of labour is one of the fastest growing medical procedures in current obstetric practice. In this study out of five women who undergone induction, three of them

Table 2. Obstetric condition of women who undergone induction of labour from 2012 to 2015 in WSUTRH (N=347).

Characteristics	Frequency	Percentage
Reason for induction		134
PROM	167	48.1
Post term	122	35.2
Medical disorders with pregnancy	42	12.1
No information	16	4.6
More than 90 DBP at booking		135
Yes	15	4.3
No	332	95.7
Bishop score		136
≤ 5	38	11.0
> 6	256	73.8
No information	53	15.3
Liquor foul smelling		137
Yes	18	5.2
No	307	88.5
No information	22	6.1
Gestational age		138
Less than 37 weeks	27	7.8
38 to 41 weeks	203	58.5
Greater than 42 weeks	91	26.2
No information	26	7.5

had successful induction. This finding was similar with study done in Nepal (Rayamajhi et al., 2009) and Congo (Barthélémy et al., 2013) where 65.38 and 66.9% of the participant undergone successful induction. In other way successful induction rate in this study was lower than study conducted in Saudi Arabia (Ghadeer et al., 2012) and Pakistan (Neelofur et al., 2012) in which 84 and 81.9% of women had successful outcome of IOL respectively. This discrepancy may be due to difference in quality of induction care provided by the hospitals.

Various methods have been recommended induction of labour such as intra-cervical Foleys balloon. prostaglandin E2 and intra venous Oxytocin etc. In this study oxytocin IV infusion was used exclusively to induce induction. This finding was comparable with study done in Latin America (Guerra et al., 2009) where oxytocin IV infusion was used in about 90% of all labour inductions. This is also in agreement with other studies that have reported its use in 85-100% of inductions (Goffinet et al., 2003; Vahratian et al., 2005; Le Ray et al., 2007). But in study conducted in Egypt, 65.5% of the women received vaginal misoprostol while only 34.4% received intravenous oxytocin infusion as a method of labour induction. The decision whether to induce with oxytocin or misoprostol was probably not dependent on the favorability of the woman's cervix, but more on their availability and culture within the unit.

According to this study the primary reason for induction of labour was premature rupture of membranes followed by post-date and medical disorders with pregnancy. This finding was in line with study done in Egypt (Mohamed et al., 2013). Premature rupture of membranes was also found to be the commonest indication for induction of labour in study done on unmet need for induction of labour in Africa (Fawole et al., 2012). In other study done in Saudi Arabia (Ghadeer et al., 2012) post-term pregnancy was found to be the most common indications. While the common indications for induction in Nepal study was post term pregnancy (Rayamajhi et al., 2009). In this study it was found that, age was significant predictor for success of labour induction, its likelihood being greater among women of age less than 24 years; which is similar with study from Nepal (Rayamajhi et al., 2009). The condition of the cervix at the start of induction is an important predictor, with the modified Bishop score being a widely used and predominant risk factor scoring system (National Collabourating Centre for Women's and Children's (NCC-WCH), 2008) induction of labour results in high failure rate if the cervix is not ripe or vice versa (SOGC Clinical practice guidelines, 2001).

In line with these studies, the current finding also showed strong association between bishop score and successful induction of labour. The odds of successful induction were 7 times more likely in women with Bishop

Table 3. Outcome of induction of labour among women who undergone induction of labour from 2012 to 2015 in WSUTRH.

Characteristics	Frequency N=347	Percentage
Membranes already ruptured before induction (N=347)		
Yes	159	45.8
No	180	51.9
No information	8	2.3
Fetal heart rate non-reassuring following induction of labour (N=347)		
Yes	51	14.7
No	290	83.6
No information	6	1.7
Mode of delivery (N=347)		59.7
Vaginal delivery	207	
Caesarean section	131	37.8
Instrumental vaginal delivery	9	2.5
Newborn status at birth (N=347)		93.9
Alive	326	
Fresh/macerated still birth	21	6.1
APGAR at Birth (N= 326)		
≥8	177	54.3
≤7	149	45.7
APGAR at 5 min (N= 326)		84.7
≥8	276	
≤7	50	15.3
Admission to NICU (N= 326)		12.0
Yes <7 APGAR	39	
No	287	88.0
Reason for admission to NICU(N= 39)		46.2
Neonatal distress	18	
Meconium aspiration	9	23.1
Preterm	4	10.3
Others	8	20.5

Score above 5. Similarly study conducted at Kathmandu medical college teaching hospital to assess indications for labour induction and predictors for failed induction the 0-5 Bishop Score group accounted for the majority of failures 70.1% (Arulkumaran et al., 2008). Other study conducted to quantify the risk of cesarean delivery associated with medical and elective induction of labor, Bishop score of 5 or less was a predominant risk factor for a CS (Vrouenraets et al., 2005). In study that examines influence of labor induction on obstetric outcomes in patients with prolonged pregnancy, the Bishop score before induction was an important factor that affected the delivery outcome, resulting in significantly higher rates of cesarean section and vacuum

extraction when the score was unfavorable (Bodner-Adler et al., 2005).

In Ethiopian study done to evaluate the relation of Bishop Score and induction outcome measured by length of induction initiation-vaginal delivery time and modes of delivery, 45.7% induction failures was observed among the 0-5 Bishop score group (Berhan and Dwivedi, 2007). Apgar score at first and fifth minute was found to be one of indicators of successful induction related to the baby.

Pervious study done in Latin America has shown that induction was associated with lower 5th minute Apgar scores. In our study even though Apgar score at fifth minute did not show significant association, the success of induction of labour has increased as first Apgar score

**Table 4.** Factors associated with successful induction of labour among women who undergone to 2015 in WSUTRH.

induction of labour from 2012

Characteristics	Induction success		000 (05% 01)	
Characteristics	Yes	No	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI
Age				
18-24	59(68.6)	27(31.4)	1.64(0.98, 2.77)	2.44(1.13,5.28) *
25-34	142(57.0)	107(43.0)	1.00	1.00
Gestational age				
Less than 41 weeks	150 (59.5)	102(40.5)	1.01 (0.62, 1.64)	0.66(0.24,1.86)
Greater than 42 weeks	54(59.3)	37(40.7)	1.00	1.00
Membranes already ruptured before induction				
Yes	102(64.2)	57(35.8)	1.43 (0.92, 2.22)	2.21(0.77,6.37)
No	100(55.6)	80(55.6)	1.00	1.00
Fetal heart rate non-reassuring following indu	ction of labour			
Yes	20(39.2)	31(60.8)	0.31(0.17, 0.62)	0.36(0.13,0.99) *
No	184(63.4)	106(36.6)	1.00	1.00
Liquor meconium stained				
Yes	14(35.0)	26(65.0)	0.92 (0.61, 1.40)	0.40(0.15,1.06)
No	185(63.4)	107(36.6)	1.00	1.00
Bishop score				
> 5	169(66.0)	87(34.0)	8.60(3.64,20.33)	7.70(2.49,23.8)*
≤ 5	7(18.4)	31(81.6)	1.00	1.00
Reason for induction				
Post term	69(56.6)	53(43.4)	1.67(0.53, 2.15)	0.97(0.26,3.77)
PROM	106(63.5)	61(36.5)	0.80(0.39, 1.64)	0.79(0.19,3.33)
Medical disorders with pregnancy	26(61.9)	16(38.1)	1.00	1.00
Apgar score at birth				
> 7	128(72.3)	49(27.7)	3.88(2.45,6.67)	2.61(1.40,4.86)*
≤ 7	60(40.3)	89(59.7)	1.00	1.00

become greater than 7.

#### Limitation of the study

The study was limited by the retrospective use of adatabase, allowing only the available variables to be used. In this particular hospital, for example, information on general medical and past obstetric history were not routinely and clearly recorded. The study was conducted in one hospital and the results may not be representative of the entire country.

#### Conclusion

Three from five women who undergone induction had successful induction. Age ≤ 24, Bishop score greater than

5 and Apgar score ≤ 7 at first minute were positively associated with successful induction of labour. On the other hand women's with non-reassuring fetal heart beat at the beginning of induction were found to be less likely to have successful induction. Developing national evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for induction of labour is essential to ensure that inductions are performed only for acceptable indications.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTERESTS**

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

Authors are very grateful to Wolaita Sodo university teaching referral hospital community for their cooperation.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Arulkumaran S, Tambyraja RL, Heng S, Rathnam SS (2008). Failed induction of labour. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 25(3):190-193.
- Barthélémy T, Robert LT, Andy MM (2013). Maternal and perinatal outcomes of induction of labour at term in the university clinics of Kinshasa, DR Congo. Open Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology 3(01):154.
- Berhan Y, Dwivedi AD (2007). Currently used. Oxytocin regimen outcome measures at term and post term: Outcome indicators in relation to Bishop Score and other covariates. Ethiopian Medical Journal 45(3):243-250.
- Bodner-Adler B, Bodner K, Patelsky N (2005). Influence of labour induction on obstetric outcomes in patients with prolonged pregnancy: a comparison between elective labour induction and spontaneous onset of labour beyond term. Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift 117(7-8):287-292.
- Fawole B, Nafiou I, Machoki (2012). Unmet need for induction of labour in Africa: secondary analysis from the 2004 - 2005 WHO Global Maternal and Perinatal Health Survey (A cross-sectional survey). BMC Public Health 12(1):722.
- Ghadeer K, Al-Shaikh GK, Wahabi HA, Fayed AA, Esmaeil SA, Al-Malki GA (2012). Factors associated with successful induction of labor. Saudi Medical Journal 33(3):298-303.
- Goffinet F, Dreyfus M, Carbonne B, Magnin G, Cabrol D (2003). Survey of the practice of cervical ripening and labour induction in France. Journal de gynecologie, obstetrique et biologie de la reproduction 32(7):638-646.
- Guerra G, Cecatti J, Souza J (2009). Factors and outcomes associated with the induction of labour in Latin America. BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 116(13):1762-1772.
- Gulmezoglu AM, Crowther CA, Middletaion P (2006). Induction of labour for improving birth outcomes for women at or beyond term. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 6:CD004945.
- Le Ray C, Carayol M, Bre'art G, Goffinet F, PREMODA Study group (2007). Elective induction of labour: failure to follow guidelines and risk of cesarean delivery. Acta obstetricia et gynecologica Scandinavica 86(6):657-665.
- Mackenzie IZ (2006). Induction of labour at the start of the millennium. Reproduction 131(6):989-998.
- MacKenzie JZ (2006). Induction of labour at the start of the new millennium. Reproduction 131(6):989-998.
- Mohamed SA, Mohamed AA, El-Sayed MA (2013). The Distance from Maternal Perineum to Fetal Head as a Predictive of Successful Induction of Labour. Natural Science 11(5):19-25.
- National collabourating centre for women's and children's (NCC-WCH) (2008). Induction of labour Clinical Guideline. RCOG Press, Health 2nd edition.

- Neelofur BK, Iffat A, Ayesha M, Lumaan S (2012). Factors associated with failed induction of labour in a secondary care hospital. Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association 62(1):6.
- Rayamajhi RT, Karki C, Shrestha N, Padhye SM (2009). Indications for labour induction and predictors for failed induction at KMCTH. Kathmandu University Medical Journal 7(1):21-25.
- Rouse DJ, Weiner SJ, Bloom SL, Varner MW, Spong CY, Ramin SM (2011). Failed labor induction: Toward an objective diagnosis. Obstetrics and Gynecology 117(2 01):267.
- Sanchez-Ramos L (2005). Induction of labor. Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics 32(2):181-200.
- Vahratian A, Zhang J, Troendle JF, Sciscione AC, Hoffman MK (2005). Labour progression and risk of cesarean delivery in electively induced nulli-paras. Obstetrics & Gynecology 105(4):698-704.
- Vrouenraets FP, Roumen FJ, Dehing CJ, van den Akker ES, Aarts MJ, Scheve EJ (2005). Bishop score and risk of cesarean delivery after induction of labour in nulliparous women. Obstetrics and Gynecology 105(4):690-697.
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2011). Recommendations for induction of labour. Geneva.
- World Health Organzation (2007). Perinatal mortality. Country, regional and global estimates 2004. Geneva:
- World Health Organization (2010). Trends in maternal mortality: 1990 2008. Estimates developed by WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA and the World Bank, Geneva.