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The aim of this study was to examine how people within individualistic and collectivistic cultures differ in their intentions to seek professional mental health help. As such, it was crucial to examine possible predictors of intention to seek help for mental health issues. We explored the cultural differences between American and Japanese students and their intentions to seek help from mental health professionals. A total of 155 undergraduate students from America and 116 undergraduate students from Japan participated in this quantitative study. Participants completed surveys regarding public stigma, self-stigma, self-efficacy, confidence in mental health professionals, and intention to seek help. A 2 × 2 MANOVA was performed to test the hypotheses. The American college students had less public and self-stigma and had more self-efficacy, confidence in mental health professionals, and intention to seek help compared to the Japanese college students. Interventions for eradicating public and self-stigma and increasing self-efficacy are further discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

The intention to seek professional mental health help and the stigma surrounding help seeking can vary across cultures depending on specific cultural norms (Mojaverian et al., 2013; Vogel et al., 2017). For instance, individuals in the Eastern world tend to be more interdependent and have encompassing social relationships with each other, with thoughts, feelings, and actions that are contingent on these relationships (Markus and Kitayama, 1991). As such, the act of seeking help outside of these encompassing social relationships may lead to the interruption of interpersonal relationships for individuals within collectivistic societies (Mojaverian et al., 2013), such as Japan, and collectivism has been found to be negatively associated with having positive, help-seeking attitudes (Sun et al., 2016). Furthermore, people in collectivistic cultures prioritize emotional restraint over emotional expression e.g., discussing mental health issues (Chen et al., 2015).

In comparison, individuals in the western world, such as Americans, tend to be more independent and are encouraged to be autonomous and self-enhancing and place importance on personal identity (Wang and Lau, *Corresponding author. E-mail: niwako_yamawaki@byu.edu.
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Moreover, there is less emphasis on considering the ingroup and those close to individualists when making decisions, such as seeking help, and the decision to seek help from mental health professionals may not be influenced by individuals’ relationships with others. Indeed, previous researchers have found that Asians and Asian Americans tend to underutilize mental health services (Kikuzawa et al., 2019; Kim and Zane, 2016), while the prevalence of certain mental illnesses is relatively similar between America and Japan (Inaba et al., 2005). Researchers have also found that Asians tend to avoid seeking mental health services and frequently terminate therapy prematurely in comparison to Americans, and they may also delay seeking help in general (Atkinson and Gim, 1989; Han and Pong, 2015). Due to these findings, it is necessary to examine the differences between American and Japanese individuals’ intentions to seek professional mental health help. The purpose of this exploratory study was to examine the cultural differences of public stigma, self-stigma, self-efficacy, and confidence in mental health professionals’ abilities between American and Japanese college students. We then considered how these differences influence students’ help-seeking intentions. Such investigation is vital since it helps identify effective ways to reach out to many Asian and Asian American individuals who are suffering through mental health struggles without sufficient treatment.

**REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE**

**Effects of stigma on intention to seek help**

Previous researchers have focused on the effects of stigma on attitudes toward seeking, and intention to seek, mental health services (Clement et al., 2015; Corrigan, 2004). In fact, these researchers revealed that stigma was a significant predictor of barriers to seek help. In particular, researchers have revealed that Americans are less concerned about the stigma that comes from others (that is, public stigma) than Japanese individuals are (Mojaverian et al., 2013), and this finding holds true for those seeking help for mental health concerns. Japanese individuals are readily concerned about the results that their actions may bring toward other members of their ingroups e.g., focusing on ingroup harmony (Hui and Triandis, 1986; Su et al., 2015), as actions that go against one’s ingroup could be seen as disruptive (Mojaverian et al., 2013). Furthermore, it is considered less appropriate to disclose personal issues in Japan. In a study of personal control and social accommodation, researchers found that choosing to disclose to others is regarded as more appropriate in western (individualistic) cultures compared to eastern (collectivistic) cultures (Ishii et al., 2017).

Corrigan (2004) identified two types of stigma associated with mental health and psychological services: public stigma and self-stigma. Public stigma is the act of discriminating against individuals with mental illness (Corrigan, 2004; Saavedra et al., 2020), and this term is used to describe how the public treats individuals who seek professional mental health help. Mental illnesses and seeking help for them are both widely stigmatized in Japan (Kasahara-Kiritani et al., 2018; Shimotsu et al., 2014). As a result, Japanese students view the disclosure of mental illnesses less favorably than U.S. students do (Masuda et al., 2005). This is possibly due to the negative consequences that could occur from being stigmatized and from being afraid of bringing disharmony to their families or inner circles. In a recent study of Japanese college students, researchers found that reducing public stigma toward mental illnesses in young people could enable them to utilize mental health resources (Yamawaki et al., 2011). Researchers have found that more Japanese individuals see mental illness as a weakness compared to those living in individualistic countries. Furthermore, Japanese people accept the belief that having a weak personality causes mental illnesses, a belief that contributes to public stigma in Japan (Yoshioka et al., 2016). Therefore, the first hypothesis is: Public stigma would show greater significant impact on intention to seek help among Japanese participants than among American participants. Public stigma influences the development of self-stigma, a facet in determining if individuals seek help (Vogel et al., 2007), in individuals with mental illnesses, leading to decreased intention to seek help and limiting the establishment of positive attitudes about oneself (Vogel et al., 2013). Self-stigma is the act of personally internalizing the public stigma being displayed by other people and the stereotypes surrounding mental illness (Corrigan, 2004; Corrigan et al., 2016; Heath et al., 2018; Vogel et al., 2013). Researchers have found that self-stigma results in treatment avoidance and decreased participation in treatment (Corrigan, 2004; Vogel et al., 2013).

In a study with a 90% European American sample (which represents a highly individualistic culture), self-stigma was found to be a more prominent predictor of help-seeking attitudes than public stigma, and self-stigma was negatively related to peoples’ attitudes about and intention to seek help from mental health professionals (Vogel et al., 2007). This could partially be due to the fact that people in individualistic cultures are encouraged to promote and maintain their distinctiveness from others (Taylor et al., 2004). Therefore, self-stigma would be an important determining factor to individualistic people regarding seeking help because self-stigma pertains to the self and upholds one’s distinctiveness from others. However, since self-stigma is heavily influenced by public stigma e.g., higher public stigma can result in higher self-stigma (Vogel et al., 2006, 2017), it may be a significant
predictor of intention to seek help in both collectivistic and individualistic cultures. Therefore, the second hypothesis is: There would be a significant main effect of self-stigma, while there would not be any significant difference between Japanese and American participants on one’s intention to seek help.

Effects of self-efficacy on intention to seek help

Self-efficacy might be also a significant predictor between collectivistic and individualistic cultures of one’s intention to seek help (O’Connor et al., 2014). Self-efficacy is an individual’s belief about the competence they have to complete an action for a specific goal (Bandura, 1986), and it is the perception of one’s ability to engage in certain behaviors and be successful in doing so (Florner, 2015). Previous researchers have found that adherence to European American values is positively related to self-efficacy (Kim and Omizo, 2005) and is beneficial for one’s mental health (Alamilla et al., 2014). American students have been found to place greater importance on self-efficacy than individuals in collectivistic cultures (Chen et al., 2006; Yıldız and Şimşek, 2016), with general self-efficacy being less important for Japanese individuals (Kiuchi, 2006). While researching three groups of students (European American students, Japanese international students in America, and native Japanese students in Japan), Kiuchi (2006) found that, while all three groups placed priority on independent construals of the self, native Japanese students in Japan ranked independent construals of the self as the lowest in priority and were the least independent of the three groups. This finding suggests that one’s self-efficacy may be related to one’s independent construal of the self, and other researchers have found that one’s independent construal of the self is positively related to self-efficacy (Suryaningrum, 2018). Because collectivistic individuals focus on maintaining both group harmony and their relationships with their ingroups, they may be less interested in constructing an independent construal of the self because their identities rely heavily on their ingroups instead of themselves.

Other researchers have found that Japanese individuals have lower scores of self-efficacy than do Americans and people from other individualistic cultures (e.g., Lithuania), and this research supports the finding that collectivistic cultures yield lower levels of self-efficacy as a whole (Kononovas and Dallas, 2009). Indeed, a previous study showed this finding by using general self-efficacy to measure respondents’ overall self-efficacy to predict help-seeking attitudes and behaviors (Corrigan et al., 2006). However, according to Eden and Granat-Flomin (2000), self-efficacy in a specific domain could much more efficiently predict specific domain behavior while general self-efficacy could not. For the purpose of this study, we perceive that it is vital to examine the effect of respondents’ self-efficacy to overcome psychological problems with the help of mental health professionals on their intention to seek help. Therefore, the third hypothesis is: Self-efficacy to overcome psychological problems would show greater significant impact on one’s intention to seek help among American participants compared to Japanese participants.

Confidence in mental health professionals’ abilities

When seeking help to overcome mental illness, having confidence in the abilities of mental health professionals is crucial. Researchers have found that the responsiveness of professionals, which was coded as professionals’ competence (e.g., ability), was found to be strongly related to peoples’ confidence in mental health professionals (Zartaloudi and Madianos, 2010). Zartaloudi and Madianos (2010) also found that people who had friends who previously sought help from a mental health professional were less concerned about mental health professionals’ abilities to help them with their own mental illnesses. In their multidimensional model, Fischer and Turner (1970) stated that positive help-seeking attitudes involve the confidence that individuals have in mental health professionals’ abilities to help with mental illnesses. Other researchers using this model have found that being a woman and having previously received mental health help are both connected to having positive help-seeking attitudes, including more confidence in mental health professionals’ abilities (Masuda et al., 2005). In fact, researchers found that American students reported having more favorable attitudes toward mental health professionals’ abilities than did both Japanese and Asian-American students (Masuda and Boone, 2011; Masuda et al., 2005).

Other researchers have found that having an ethnic identity that corresponds to a collectivistic culture is negatively correlated with attitudes of seeking help (Li et al., 2016). Japanese students who previously sought help had more confidence in the abilities of mental health professionals than Japanese students who had never sought help (Masuda et al., 2005). However, even though past experiences with mental health professionals increased Japanese students’ confidence in seeking help, American students were still found to be more confident in mental health professionals’ abilities than were these Japanese students (Masuda et al., 2005).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Description of the sample/procedure

American participants were recruited from an undergraduate student research pool in the psychology department at a large private university in the Rocky Mountain region in America, and they received research credit that fulfilled course requirements. A total of 155 American students (65 men and 90 women) participated in this quantitative study, and their ages ranged from 18 to 36 (M = 20.75, SD = 2.96). Among American participants,
87% identified themselves as Caucasian American, 6% Hispanic American, 6% Asian American, and 1% as other. Approximately 80% were single, 18% were married, and 2% were divorced. As for Japanese participants, we invited university instructors who are members of the Japan Mental Health Research Association to assist in collecting responses from Japanese students. Two instructors from one Japanese private university agreed to collect data in their classes on a completely volunteer basis. They all were undergraduate students taking introductory college classes at a large private university in the metropolitan area of Japan. A total of 116 Japanese students (43 men and 73 women) participated in this study, and their ages ranged from 18 to 23 (\( M = 18.53, SD = 0.88 \)). All students were unmarried. All participants consented to participate in this study and received research credit that fulfilled a course requirement.

**Translation**

All measures and the consent form used in the present study were translated from English into Japanese by a professional Japanese translator. The Japanese versions of these materials were then translated from Japanese into English by a Japanese university instructor fluent in both languages. This individual was not shown the original English version. All materials were evaluated by a bilingual psychologist to make sure that the translations were accurate and that the content was the same.

**Instruments and measurements**

Intention to seek counseling for psychological and interpersonal concern (ISCPIC) (Cash et al., 1975). The ISCPIC was measured by the Intent to Seek Counseling Inventory (ISCI), which is a widely used and validated scale that is designed to measure the degree to which respondents are willing to seek help from mental health professionals. The ISCI consists of three subscales: 10 items for “Psychological and Interpersonal Concern,” four items for “Academic Concern,” and two items for “Drug Use Concern.” For the purpose of this cross-cultural study, only the Psychological and Interpersonal Concern subscale was used. The subscale asks respondents about their intention to seek help when they have depression, anxiety, loneliness, or feelings of inferiority. Respondents were asked to rate items on a 5-point Likert scale that ranged from 1 (“Not likely”) to 5 (“Very likely”). All 10 items were summed, and higher scores represent greater intention to seek mental health treatment. The Cronbach’s alphas of this subscale for Japanese and American data were 0.94 and 0.87, respectively.

Stigma of seeking professional psychological help (SSPPH) (Komiya et al., 2000). The SSPPH contains five items that are designed to assess respondents’ perceptions of the societal stigma associated with seeking professional psychological help. Respondents were asked to rate all five items on a 5-point Likert scale that ranged from 1 (“Strongly disagree”) to 5 (“Strongly agree”). One item example is “People tend to react negatively to those who are receiving professional psychological help.” Participants’ scores were totaled, and higher scores denote respondents’ perception of greater societal stigma toward seeking professional help. The Cronbach’s alphas of this measure were 0.87 for Japanese participants and 0.89 for American participants.

Self-stigma of seeking help (SSOSH; Vogel et al., 2006). This measure was designed to evaluate the degree to which participants self-evaluate for seeking psychological help. It consists of 10 items, such as “My self-confidence would NOT be threatened if I sought professional help.” All 10 items were rated on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 (“Strongly disagree”) to 5 (“Strongly agree”). One item (the one used as a sample earlier) was reverse scored and summed with all other items. Therefore, higher scores indicate greater self-stigma for seeking help. The Cronbach’s alphas of this measure were 0.31 for Japanese respondents and 0.39 for American respondents. Due to these low reliabilities and to ensure that both Japanese and American data show similar patterns of self-stigma, principal component factor analyses were performed separately for Japanese and American data. In particular, a one-factor solution with varimax rotations was imposed since this measure is designed to assess one component of self-stigma. Items were dropped that did not load highly (greater than 0.50) on one factor, and this analysis resulted in similar patterns in loading for both countries. Four items were dropped, and a total of six items were selected for the final self-stigma scale for this data. Those six items were (a) “I would feel inadequate if I went to a therapist for psychological help,” (b) “My self-confidence would NOT be threatened if I sought professional help,” (c) “Seeking psychological help would make me feel less intelligent,” (d) “It would make me feel inferior to ask a therapist for help,” (e) “If I went to a therapist, I would be less satisfied with myself,” and (f) “I would feel worse about myself if I could not solve my own problems.” One item, (b), was reverse scored and summed to the other five items. Higher scores indicate the strength of respondents’ self-stigma toward seeking professional help. The Cronbach’s alphas for the final, six-item measure were 0.89 for Japanese participants and 0.82 for American participants.

Self-efficacy to overcome psychological problems with help of mental health professionals (SEOPPHMHP). In a previous study, some researchers used the General Self-Efficacy Scale to measure respondents’ overall self-efficacy (Corrigan et al., 2006) to predict help-seeking attitudes and behaviors. However, according to Eden and Granat-Flomin (2000), self-efficacy in a specific domain could much more efficiently predict specific domain behavior, while general self-efficacy could not. Therefore, the SEOPPHMHP was developed for the purpose of this study. The SEOPPHMHP refers to respondents’ judgments of their capability to overcome psychological problems successfully with professional help. The SEOPPHMHP contains 10 items and was constructed following the suggestions offered by Bandura (2006) and Lent and Brown (2006). Items for this measure were generated from existing published self-efficacy scales, that is, Career Decision Making Self-Efficacy Scale-Short Form (Betz et al., 1996) and Career Search Efficacy Scale (Rosenberg, 1994). Respondents’ confidence to achieve a certain degree of confidence in their ability to overcome psychological problems with help from mental health professionals on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (“Strongly disagree”) to 5 (“Strongly agree”). All items were summed, and higher scores represent greater self-efficacy to overcome psychological problems with the help of mental health professionals. The Cronbach’s alphas for this measure for Japanese and American participants were 0.89 and 0.81, respectively.

Confidence in mental health professionals (CMHP). The CMHP was created to measure respondents’ confidence in mental health professionals in general. It contains five items, and respondents were asked to rate their general confidence in mental health professionals on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (“Strongly disagree”) to 5 (“Strongly agree”). Typical items for the CMHP are “In general, I am (a) ‘confident that mental health professionals are competent,’ (b) ‘confident that mental health professionals are effective,’ (c) ‘worried that mental health professionals do not have the ability to assist individuals to overcome their problems,’ (d) ‘confident that mental health professionals have the knowledge and skills to help people effectively,’ and (e) ‘worried that mental health professionals cannot understand peoples’ problems.’” Items (c) and (e) were reverse scored and then all items were added to create the CMHP. Therefore, higher scores represent greater confidence in mental health professionals. The Cronbach’s alphas for this scale for Japanese and American respondents were 0.77 and 0.83, respectively.
### RESULTS

**Country and gender differences in public and self-stigma, intention to seek help, and self-efficacy**

First, we performed a 2 (country) × 2 (gender) MANOVA with country and gender as independent variables and with public stigma, self-stigma, intention to seek help, and self-efficacy as dependent variables. Then, multivariate analyses of covariance (MANCOVA) were conducted to control for respondents’ general confidence in mental health professionals.

Means and standard deviations on the measured variables as functions of country and gender are shown in Table 1. Neither marital status nor age predicted intention to seek help in this study either directly or in an interaction with other variables. In line with the hypothesis, there was a significant main effect for country ($F[4, 263] = 38.02, p < 0.001, r = 0.37$). This analysis found no main effect for gender and no interaction effects ($F[4, 263] = 1.83, p = n.s.; F[4, 263] = 1.21, p = n.s.$, respectively). A follow-up univariate test revealed that Japanese participants tended to hold greater public stigma and self-stigma than did American participants ($F[1, 269] = 81.37, p < 0.001, r = 0.24$; $F[1, 269] = 12.28, p < 0.001, r = 0.05$, respectively). Conversely, Japanese participants tended to hold less intention to seek professional help and less self-efficacy to overcome psychological problems with the help of mental health professionals compared to American participants ($F[1, 269] = 15.86, p < 0.001, r = 0.06$; $F[1, 269] = 82.93, p < 0.001, r = 0.24$, respectively).

Results of a MANCOVA indicated that there were main effects of both country and gender of participants ($F[4, 262] = 37.29, p < 0.001, r = 0.36$; $F[4, 262] = 2.41, p < 0.05, r = 0.04$, respectively). An ANCOVA indicated that even when controlling for confidence in mental health professional, Japanese participants were still likely to hold greater public and self-stigma and had less intention to seek help and self-efficacy in comparison to American participants ($F[1, 262] = 79.29, p < 0.001, r = .23$; $F[1, 262] = 10.71, p < 0.001, r = 0.04$; $F[1, 262] = 15.32, p < 0.001, r = 0.06$; $F[1, 262] = 104.22, p < 0.001, r = 0.28$), respectively. As such, the difference in confidence in mental health professionals between Japanese and American participants did not have a significant impact on the difference on the dependent variables between the countries.

One of the main differences between the MANOVA and MANCOVA results was that gender differences became significant after controlling for confidence in mental health professionals. ANCOVA results revealed that public and self-stigma as well as self-efficacy were all significant ($F[1, 262] = 5.39, p < 0.05, r = 0.02$; $F[1, 262] = 7.00, p < 0.001, r = 0.03$; $F[1, 262] = 5.87, p < 0.05, r = 0.02$, respectively). That is, male participants, in general, tended to hold greater public and self-stigma and self-efficacy with the help of mental health professionals than did female participants.

**Effects of public and self-stigma and self-efficacy on intention to seek professional help**

All measurements were centered to the means in order to reduce the possibility of multicollinearity influencing the results prior to the analyses (Jaccard et al., 1990). Participants’ gender was not part of our hypotheses, but it is included in all of the analyses. Zero-order correlation coefficients for all variables are shown in Table 2. Overall, statistically significant correlations emerged among all the measured variables at $p < 0.05$ except for the relationship between intention to seek help and public stigma and the relationship between intention to seek help and confidence in mental health professionals ($n.s.$). The correlations among the measured variables that were significant ranged from $r = 0.182$ to $r = 0.585$. To investigate any potential moderators on intention to seek professional help, hierarchical regression analyses were performed. In the first model, country, public stigma, self-stigma, self-efficacy, confidence in mental health professionals, and gender of the participants on intention...
Table 2. Correlations among all measured variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlated variable</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intention</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.299**</td>
<td>-0.455**</td>
<td>0.305**</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public stigma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.504**</td>
<td>-0.585**</td>
<td>-0.093</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-stigma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.532**</td>
<td>-0.182**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.148*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intention = Intent to seek counseling for psychological and interpersonal concern; Public Stigma = Stigma of seeking professional psychological help; Self-Stigma = Self-stigma of seeking help; Self-Efficacy = Self-efficacy to overcome psychological problems with help of mental health professionals; Confidence = Confidence in mental health professionals. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

Table 3. Hierarchical regression analyses of the effect of public stigma, self-stigma, self-efficacy, confidence in mental health professionals, country, and participants’ gender on intention to seek help from mental health professionals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression model</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>ΔR²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 1: Main effects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public stigma</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-stigma</td>
<td>-0.43</td>
<td>-6.15***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confidence</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.35*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Step 2: Interaction effects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country × Public stigma</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>2.94**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country × Self-efficacy</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.52*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender × confidence</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.94***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender × Self-stigma</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.02*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intention = Intent to seek counseling for psychological and interpersonal concern; Public Stigma = Stigma of seeking professional psychological help; Self-Stigma = Self-stigma of seeking help; Self-Efficacy = Self-efficacy to overcome psychological problems with help of mental health professionals; Confidence = Confidence in mental health professionals. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

to seek help were entered. Then, two-way interaction terms were entered in the second model. The results of these analyses are summarized in Table 3.

The results of the first model indicated a main effect of country and self-stigma. That is, Americans tended to show greater intention to seek professional help than did Japanese individuals, and participants who endorsed greater self-stigma toward seeking mental health help were less likely to seek help (Table 3). These main effects grant the further analysis of an interaction effect. As such, all two-way interaction terms were entered for intention to seek professional help in the second model. When the interaction terms were entered, country, self-stigma, country × public stigma, country × self-efficacy, gender × confidence, and gender × self-stigma became significant predictors of intention to seek help. To investigate the pattern of the interaction, simple effect analyses were conducted. The results revealed that self-efficacy was a significant predictor in Japan (β = -0.25, p < 0.05) but was not a significant predictor in America (β = 0.04, p = n.s.). The effect of public stigma in America was small (β = -0.18, p < 0.05), while it was significant in Japan (β = -0.43, p < 0.001). As for the gender × confidence interaction, a simple effect analysis revealed that confidence in mental health professionals positively predicted intention to seek help among women (β = 0.40, p < 0.001), while it was a negative predictor among men (β = -0.25, p < 0.001). Furthermore, self-stigma was a significant predictor among men (β = -0.49, p < 0.001) but was not a significant predictor among women (β = -0.11, n.s).

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to examine the differences between American and Japanese students regarding intentions to seek help for mental illnesses. We examined the following possible variables that could influence intentions to seek help: public stigma, self-stigma, self-efficacy, and confidence in mental health professionals’ abilities.
As hypothesized, public stigma had a greater impact on intentions to seek help for Japanese individuals than for Americans. With this finding, we recommend taking actions to reduce public mental health stigma in Japan. In one systematic review, researchers found that mass media campaigns and interventions for target groups concerning stigma-related knowledge, intended behaviors, and attitudes about mental illnesses helped reduce public stigma toward mental health problems (Gronholm et al., 2017). Other researchers have recommended the following interventions for reducing public stigma: employing mass anti-stigma interventions, improving knowledge about mental health, making available more literature about mental health, implementing educational programs for students, facilitating direct and positive contact with individuals who have mental illnesses, and discrediting cultural myths about mental health (Corrigan et al., 2012; Corrigan and Shapiro, 2010; Crowe et al., 2018; Evans-Lacko et al., 2012; Mak et al., 2014; Parcesepe and Cabassa, 2013; Wong et al., 2018).

Furthermore, online resources (e.g., more online literature about mental health, social support groups), peer services (e.g., people who have experienced the same mental health issues and can provide support), and policy changes to help protect individuals with mental illnesses (e.g., Americans with Disabilities Act), are recommended to help eradicate public stigma (National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, 2016). Implementation of these types of interventions, either alone or simultaneously, within collectivistic cultures such as Japan may help reduce the amount of public stigma individuals within those cultures have toward mental health illnesses and seeking professional help. Overall, it is recommended that interventions address both wide populations and specific target groups, as both help reduce public stigma.

As hypothesized, Japanese individuals had greater self-stigma than Americans. Since there is a strong connection between public stigma and self-stigma (Vogel et al., 2006, 2007), and since Japanese individuals in this study had greater public stigma, greater self-stigma was expected to follow. Moreover, self-stigma was greater for both American and Japanese men than for American and Japanese women. This finding could be explained by gender differences. Previous researchers have found gender differences for intentions to seek help and have reported that men are less likely to seek help than women and even recommend self-care over seeking professional help (Haavik et al., 2017; Nam et al., 2010; Sen, 2004; Pattyn et al., 2015). This difference between men and women could also be due to men having more self-stigma about needing professional help for mental illnesses than women.

Researchers have found that masculinity ideology, masculine norms, and masculine gender-role conflict can discourage men from seeking professional help for mental health, and these factors ultimately promote avoidant behaviors toward seeking professional help (Addis and Mahalik, 2003; Cole and Ingram, 2019; Levant et al., 2009; Ramaeker and Petrie, 2019). Lynch et al. (2018) focused on barriers to seeking help that arose in a study of men. One theme was "traditional masculine ideals," and men gave examples including the feeling that seeking help would compromise their masculinity, which was defined by self-reliance and strength. These qualities may be incompatible with disclosing one's emotions, including negative feelings caused by mental illness, and can result in self-stigma. Another theme that appeared was "personal challenges," which included examples of communication issues (e.g., difficulty communicating emotions), asking for help and then feeling a personal loss, and the inability to recognize the symptoms that coincide with mental illnesses. Both themes and the reasons listed correspond to masculinity ideologies and masculine gender roles, reflect previous research about men having less intention of seeking help than women, and can be classified as influencing self-stigma.

To reduce self-stigma, it would first be important to decrease public stigma since both types of stigma, while separate constructs, are highly related. To combat self-stigma, interventions have been implemented that are similar to the interventions used in reducing public stigma. Yanos et al. (2015) reviewed different psychoeducation programs to correct individuals' current knowledge about mental health and to counteract any myths about the topic. Furthermore, cognitive techniques were reviewed, such as Narrative Enhancement and Cognitive Therapy (NECT). Yanos et al. (2015) discussed that NECT can promote learning the skills necessary to help individuals identify having self-stigma and combat their own negative thoughts and beliefs about mental health. Other researchers have designed and implemented programs such as the "Ending Self-Stigma" program (Lucksted et al., 2011). This program is nine weeks long and is tailored for individuals with serious mental illnesses. It helps reduce individuals' self-stigma about mental illnesses, and individuals in this program have shown increases in personal strengths (Lucksted et al., 2011). Corrigan and Rao (2012) reiterated the importance of ensuring that individuals know that having self-stigma is not their fault, but rather a product of society. Corrigan and Rao (2012) emphasized utilizing the "Ending Self-Stigma" program and encouraged using it alongside peer support programs to reduce self-stigma. We recommend implementing the aforementioned interventions, based on their previous trials, to reduce the prevalence of self-stigma and to increase intentions to seek help.

In line with our hypothesis, Japanese individuals had less self-efficacy than Americans to overcome a mental illness with the help of a mental health professional. This could be due to the Japanese culture of showing modesty in public situations. In a study about accepting credit for
prosocial behavior, American children viewed modest lies less favorably than Japanese children, and Japanese children were in favor of not taking credit for their prosocial behavior (Heyman et al., 2010). Other researchers reported that Americans tended to show self-enhancing tendencies, while Japanese individuals only showed self-enhancing tendencies when no reasons were present for making an evaluation (Yamagishi et al., 2012). Fu et al. (2011) found that people in individualist cultures were generally accepting of taking credit for doing good deeds while people in East Asian collectivistic cultures were not. Since Japanese populations have high levels of modesty, it could be that they are less likely than individualistic populations (e.g., Americans) to think they have the self-efficacy to overcome a mental illness. Therefore, Japanese individuals may attribute the success of overcoming a mental health illness to a professional instead of to themselves.

Gupta and Kumar (2010) found that self-efficacy was positively correlated with both mental health and one's mood and suggested that self-efficacy be increased to help with one's mental health status. Moreover, self-efficacy beliefs may determine if and how people motivate themselves (e.g., how one motivates oneself to seek professional help) and how they think about themselves (Andersson et al., 2014). This motivation, or lack thereof, may determine whether an individual seeks help. We recommend that motivation, along with one's mood and attitudes about mental health and professionals, be increased among individuals for self-efficacy to be increased. Furthermore, diminishing stigma and improving mental health literacy among individuals could help increase self-efficacy (Andersson et al., 2014). Other researchers have recommended peer support services, structured interventions (e.g., motivational interviewing, cognitive behavioral therapy for treatment seeking), and creating health care services that ensure individuals seeking help know that treatment for mental illnesses is a positive opportunity (Johnson and Possemato, 2019).

In the present study, Japanese individuals had less intention to seek help than Americans did, and if individuals exhibited self-stigma, they were less likely to seek help. This finding can be attributed to this study’s findings that Japanese individuals have more public and self-stigma and less self-efficacy than Americans. To increase the intention to seek help, we recommend using the aforementioned interventions to decrease public and self-stigma and increase self-efficacy.

In addition to men having greater self-stigma, public stigma, and self-efficacy than women, there was also a gender difference in their confidence in the abilities of mental health professionals and in their intentions to seek help. Having more confidence in the abilities of mental health professionals was a positive predictor of seeking help for women, whereas it was a negative predictor for men. Although there were no hypotheses for gender, this finding corresponds to previous findings that women tend to have more positive attitudes toward seeking help (Efstathiou et al., 2019; Leong and Zachar, 1999; Masuda et al., 2005), and this could lead to women having more confidence in the abilities of mental health professionals.

Limitations

There were some limitations to this study. All participants were college students, making these findings difficult to generalize to other age groups and settings. Moreover, there was a larger than average number of Caucasian participants in the American sample, making it difficult to generalize these findings to other races and ethnicities. However, this study had strong internal reliability within the measures used.

Conclusion

Many people never seek help, or they fail to fully engage themselves when they are seeking help for mental health illnesses (Corrigan, 2004). This could be due to the prevalence of high public and self-stigma and low self-efficacy. Therefore, multiple interventions have been suggested to reduce both public and self-stigma and to increase self-efficacy. Many studies on these topics have not been longitudinal, and future researchers should conduct longitudinal studies to examine the lasting effects of these interventions. It is further necessary to monitor any changes, both short and long term, in the societies in which these interventions are utilized. Interventions should also address gender differences when examining whether interventions increase intentions to seek professional mental health help. Interventions such as mass media campaigns, targeting groups with large amounts of mental illnesses, and programs like “Ending Self-Stigma” should be considered and implemented in areas such as schools, colleges, and the broader public to help reduce both public and self-stigma around seeking help for mental health problems.
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