Full Length Research Paper

Role of Rose Bengal-Mannitol system for generation of electrical energy in photogalvanic cell

Mahesh Chandra¹*, Anoop Singh² and R. C. Meena²

¹Department of Chemistry, Deshbandhu College, New Dehli-110019, India. ²Department of Chemistry, Jai Narain Vyas University, Jodhpur (Rajasthan)-342 005, India.

Accepted 18 October, 2012

Photogalvanic effect was studied in photogalvanic cells containing Rose Bengal as dyes and Mannitol as reductants. The photogalvanic cells were determined by the photo potential, photocurrent, conversion efficiency, power of cell and performance of cell. The effects of various parameters like pH, light intensity, diffusion length, reductant concentration and dye concentration on the electrical output of the cell is studied. The current voltage (i-V) characteristic of the cell is also studied and a mechanism for the generation of photocurrent is proposed.

Key words: Photogalvanic cell, photo potential, Rose Bengal, Mannitol, photocurrent.

INTRODUCTION

The global warming and the rapid decrease in energy resources caused by the large-scale consumption of fossil fuels have become a serious problem. Accordingly, renewable energy resources are attracting a great deal of attention and solar energy will be one of the most promising future energy resources. In the present investigation, Rose Bengal has been used as photo sensitizer and Mannitol as reductant for generation of electrical energy in photogalvanic cell.

The photo effects in electrochemical systems were first reported by Becquerel (1839a, b). Jana and Bhowmik (1999) reported enhancement in the power output of a solar cell consisting of mixed dyes. Hara et al. (2003) investigated design of new coumarin dyes having thiophene moieties for highly efficient organic dyesensitized solar cells. It has been reported the use of toluidine blue nitroloacetic acid (TB-NTA) (Ameta et al., 1998), in Azur A-Glucose (Ameta et al., 1990),

Bromophenol-Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Ameta et al., 2006) and Fluoroscein-EDTA (Madhwani et al., 2007) systems. Similarly, it has been reported that the

*Corresponding author. E-mail: drmahesh100@gmail.com

photogalvanic cells for classroom investigation (Bohrmann-Linde and Tausch, 2003) and femto-second excited state dynamics of an iron (II) polypyridyl solar cell (Monat and McCusker, 2000). Schwarzburg and Willig (1999) explored the origin of photo voltage and photocurrent in nanoporous, dye-sensitized, photo electrochemical solar cell.

The sensitization of nanoporous films on TiO_2 with santaline (red sandal wood pigment) and the construction of a dye-sensitized solid state photovoltaic cell were attempted by Tennakone and Kumara (1998). Yadav et al. (2008) reported the use of bismarck brown-ascorbic acid (BB-AA) system in photogalvanic cell for solar energy conversion. A detailed literature survey reveals that different photo sensitizers and reductant have been used in photogalvanic cell (Meena et al., 2003; Ameta et al., 1989; Gongotri et al., 1999; Meena, 2008).

EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

All the solutions were prepared in doubly-distilled water and stored in amber-coloured containers to protect them from light. A mixture of the solution of the dye, Mannitol, sodium hydroxide and water were filled into an H-shaped glass cell. A platinum electrode $(1 \times 1$ cm²) was placed in one compartment of the cell and a reference saturated calomel electrode (SCE) in the other compartment. The platinum electrode was exposed to a 200 W tungsten lamp, while the SCE was kept in the dark.

The temperature of the system was maintained at 303K (\pm 0.1). A water filter was used to cut-off infrared radiations. A digital pH meter and a microammeter were used to measure the potential and current, respectively. The current-voltage (i-V) characteristics were determined by applying extra load with the help of carbon pot (log 500K) connected in the circuit. With this variable resistor (carbon pot), i-V curve was plotted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of pH

The effect of pH on the electrical output of the cell is shown in Figure 1. Photo potential and photocurrent are increased with increase in pH until pH is 13. Further increase in pH results in a decrease in the electrical output of the cell.

Effect of Rose Bengal concentration

The dependence of photo potential and photocurrent on the concentration of the dye was studied and the results are shown in Figure 2. On increasing the concentration of Rose Bengal, both the photo potential and the photocurrent increase till a maximum was achieved at 4.8 $\times 10^{-6}$ M, after which both characteristics are decreased. A small output is obtained at a low concentration of Rose Bengal because a smaller number of dye molecules are available for excitation and consecutive donation of electrons to the platinum electrode. A large concentration of dye results in a decrease in photo potential because the intensity of light reaching the dye molecules (near the electrode) decreases due to the major portion of the light being absorbed by the dyes available in its path.

Effect of Mannitol concentration

The dependence of photopotential and photocurrent on the concentration of the reductant (that is, Mannitol) was studied and the results are shown in Figure 3. Both the photopotential and the photocurrent achieve maximum values at the concentration of 2×10^{-3} M of Mannitol. At low concentrations, the power output is small due to the fewer number of reductant molecules available for electron donation to the dye molecules, whereas a large concentration of reductant hinders the movement of dye molecules reaching the electrode in the desired time limit.

Effect of light intensity

The variation of two electric parameters with light intensity is shown in Figure 4. The photocurrent is linearly increased with increase in the intensity of light, whereas the photopotential is increased in a logarithmic manner. The number of photons per unit area (incident power) that strike the dye molecules around the platinum electrode increases with increase in light intensity. Hence, the photocurrent and the photopotential of the photogalvanic cell are favourably increased.

Effect of diffusion length

H-cells of different dimensions were used to study the effect of the variation of diffusion length on the current parameters of the cell (i_{max} , i_{eq} and initial rate of current generation). The results are shown in Figure 5. There was a sharp increase in photocurrent (i_{max}) initially. This behaviour indicates an initial rapid reaction, followed by a slow rate-determining step at a later stage.

Current voltage (I - V) characteristics, conversion efficiency and performance of the cell

The open-circuit voltage (V_{oc}) and short-circuit current (i_{sc}) of the photogalvanic cell were measured by means of a digital multi-meter (keeping the circuit open) and a micro-ammeter (keeping the circuit closed), respectively. The current and potential between two extreme values (V_{oc} and i_{sc}) were recorded with the assistance of a carbon pot (linear 470K) that was connected in the circuit of the multi-meter and through which an external load was applied. The i–V characteristic of the cell containing a Rose Bengal-Mannitol system is shown in Figure 6. With the help of the i–V curve, the fill factor and conversion efficiency of the cell are found to be 0.55 and 0.8560%, respectively, using the formula:

Fill Factor =
$$\frac{V_{pp} \times i_{pp}}{V_{oc} \times i_{so}}$$

Conversion efficiency = $\frac{V_{pp} \times i_{pp}}{10.4 mW cm^{-2}} \times 100\%$

The potential and the current at the power point [A point in the i-V curve is called the power point (pp) and was determined where the product of photocurrent and photo potential is maximum] are represented by V_{pp} and i_{pp} , respectively.

The performance of the cell was studied by applying the external load that was necessary to have the current and the potential at the power point after removing the source of light. The cell can be used in the dark at its power point for 46 min, whereas photovoltaic cell cannot be used in the dark even for a second; a photogalvanic system has the advantage of being used in the dark but at lower conversion efficiency.



Figure 1. Variation of photo potential and photocurrent with pH.



Figure 2. Variation of photopotential and photocurrent with Rose Bengal concentration.



Figure 3. Variation of photo potential and photocurrent with Mannitol concentration.



Figure 4. Variation of photopotential and photocurrent with light intensity.



Figure 5. Variation of current with diffusion length.

Mechanism

As no reaction is observed between the Rose Bengal and Mannitol in the dark, it may be concluded that the redox potential of Mannitol is much higher than that of Rose Bengal. A rapid fall in potential is observed when the platinum electrode is illuminated. The potential reaches a steady value after certain period of exposure.

Although, the direction of the change of potential is reversed on removing the source of light, the potential does not return to its initial value. This means that the main reversible photochemical reaction is also accompanied by some side irreversible reactions. The electro active species in this photogalvanic system is thus different from that of the well-studied thionine-iron (II) system. In the present case, the leuco- or semi reduced dye is considered to be the electrode active species in the illuminated chamber and the dye itself in dark chamber.

On the basis of the information gained previously, the mechanism of photocurrent generation in the photogalvanic cell can be represented as follows:

Illuminated chamber

Bulk solution

hvPS \rightarrow PS^{*}

 $PS^* + R \rightarrow PS^- + R^+$

At electrode

 $PS \rightarrow PS + e^-$ (Platinum electrode)

Dark chamber

At electrode

$$PS + e^- \rightarrow PS^-$$

Bulk solution

 $PS^- + R^+ \rightarrow PS + R$ (SCE electrode)

Where, R, R+, PS, PS- are the reductant Mannitol, its oxidized form, Rose Bengal and its leuco or semileuco forms, respectively.

Conclusion

On the basis of the results, it is concluded that Rose Bengal can be used successfully as a photo sensitizer in a photogalvanic cell. The conversion efficiency of the cell is 0.8560% and the cell can be used in dark at its power point for 46 min. Photogalvanic cells have the advantages of having in-built storage capacity. Thus, photogalvanic cells showed good prospects of becoming commercially viable.



Figure 6. Current-potential (i-V curve) of the Rose Bengal-Mannitol cell systems.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the Principal, Deshbandhu College, kalkaji, New Delhi for providing the necessary laboratory facilities to conduct this research works.

REFERENCES

- Ameta SC, Ameta R, Seth S, Dubey TD (1998). Studies in the use of toluidine bluenitrilotriacetic acid (TB-NTA) system in photogalvanic cell for solar energy conversion. Afinidad. XLV:264-266.
- Ameta SC, Khamesare S, Ameta R, Bala M (1990). Use of micelles in photogalvanic cell for solar energy conversion and storage: AzurA-Glucose system. Int. J. Energy Res.14:163-167.
- Ameta SC, Punjabi PB, Vardia J, Madhwani S, Chaudhary S (2006). Use of Bromophenol Red–EDTA system for generation of electricity in a photogalvanic cell. J. Power Sources. 159:747-751.
- Ameta SC, Sadhana K, Chittoro AK, Gangotri KM (1989). Use of sodium lauryl sulphate in a photogalvanic cell for solar Energy Conversion and Storage: Methylene blue – EDTA System. Int. J. Energy Res. 13:643-647.
- Becquerel E (1839a). Studies of the effect of actinic radiation of sunlight by means of electrical currents. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 9:145-159.
- Becquerel E (1839b). On electric effects under the influence of solar radiation. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 9:561.
- Bohrmann-Linde C, Tausch MW (2003). Photogalvanic cells for classroom investigations- A contribution for the ongoing curriculum modernization. J. Chem. Educ. 80:1471-1473.
- Gongotri KM, Meena RC, Meena R (1999). Use of micelles in photogalvanic cells for solar energy conversion and storage: Cetyetrimethyl ammonium bromide glucose toluidine blue system. J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 123:93-97.

- Hara K, Kurashige M, Dan-oh Y, Kasada C, Shinpo A, Suga S, Sayama K, Arakawa H (2003). Design of new coumarin dyes having thiophene moieties for highly efficient organic dye- sensitized solar cells. New J. Chem. 27:783-785.
- Jana AK, Bhowmik BB (1999). Enhancement in power output of solar cells consisting of mixed dyes. J. Photochem. Photobiol. 122A:53.
- Madhwani S, Ameta R, Vardia J, Punjabi PB, Sharma VK (2007). Use of Fluoroscein-EDTA System in Photogalvanic Cell for Solar Energy Conversion. Energy Sources 29:721-729.
- Meena RC (2008). Studies of solar effect of Safranine, Methylene blue and Azur-B with reductants and their photo galvanic effect. J. Indian Chem. Soc. 85:280-285.
- Meena RC, Gautam S, Gangotri KM (2003). Role of reductants and photosensitizer in Solar Energy Conversion and Storage: Photogalvanic cells a newer approach. Afinidad 59(501):253-256.
- Monat JE, McCusker JK (2000). Femtosecond excited-state dynamics of an Iron (II) polypyridyl solar cell sensitizer model. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122:4092-4097.
- Schwarzburg K, Willig F (1999). Origin of Photovoltage and Photocurrent in the Nanoporous Dye- Sensitized Electrochemical Solar Cell. J. Phys. Chem. 103B:5743.
- Tennakone K, Kumara GRRA (1998). Dye-sensitized photoelectrochemical and solid-state solar cells: Charge separation, transport and recombination mechanisms. J. Photochem. Photobiol. 117A:137.
- Yadav S, Yadav RD, Singh G (2008). Use of Dyes in Photogalvanic cell for solar energy conversion and storage: Bismarck Brown and Ascorbic Acid System. Int. J. Chem. Sci. 6(4):1960-1966.