

Review

Matter-antimatter models in cosmic and biologic systems

Raphael Kleinmann

Eilat, Israel.

Received 18 April, 2016; Accepted 1 June, 2016

The leading theories suggest that in the first fractions of the second following the Big Bang, equal amounts of matter and antimatter were formed, and annihilated. Therefore, the asymmetry of matter and antimatter, and formation of the visible universe is one of the major unsolved problems in physics. Surprisingly, when the major characteristics of the cosmic matter- antimatter interactions, were compared to the biologic body- antibody system, unexpected similarities were observed. They relate to the outcomes of weak and strong interactions, their specificities, annihilation of most of the antimatter, excess of matter over antimatter, difficulty in producing antimatter, early deletion of antimatter, and the material nature of the antimatter. Based on these similarities, it is suggested that a mechanism, different from the charge- parity symmetry (CP) violation, allowed the formation and preservation of the visible universe. This hypothesis could have far reaching consequences on basic concepts in cosmology.

Key words: Visible universe, CP symmetry violation, matter, antimatter, cosmology.

INTRODUCTION

There is considerable speculation as to why the observable universe is apparently composed almost entirely of ordinary matter, as opposed to a more symmetric combination of matter and antimatter. Neither the standard model of particle physics, nor the theory of general relativity provides an obvious explanation for why this should be so, and it is a natural assumption that the universe be neutral with all conserved charges. This asymmetry of matter and antimatter in the visible universe is one of the greatest unsolved problems in physics (Kolb and Turner, 1988; Bigi, 1997; Sather, 1999; Canetti et al., 2012).

In particle physics, antimatter is material composed of antiparticles, which have the same mass as particles of

ordinary matter but have opposite charge (charge parity symmetry, or CP-symmetry). CP-symmetry states that the laws of physics should be the same if a particle is interchanged with its antiparticle (C symmetry), and then its spatial coordinates are inverted ("mirror" or P symmetry). Encounters between particles and antiparticles lead to the annihilation of both (Dirac, 1928; Anderson, 1933; Utpal, 2007; Close, 2009).

During the period of baryogenesis, when the universe was extremely hot and dense, matter and antimatter were continually produced and annihilated (Kolb and Turner, 1988; Shaposhnikov and Farrar, 1993; Trodden, 1998). The presence of remaining matter, and absence of detectable remaining antimatter, (baryon asymmetry), is

E-mail: vasile@netvision.net.il.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

attributed to CP-violation, a violation of the CP-symmetry relating matter to antimatter. The exact mechanism of this violation during baryogenesis remains a mystery. However, as of yet, no theoretical consensus has been reached regarding this, and there is no experimental evidence of an imbalance in the creation rates of matter and antimatter (Kolb and Turner, 1988; Wolfenstein, 1989; Bigi, 1997; Trodden, 1998; Utpal, 2007; Sozzi, 2008; Canetti et al., 2012).

Recently, astrophysicists, cosmologists, biologists and philosophers suggested that there are similarities, analogies between cosmic and biologic systems. For instance it was suggested that the cosmos underwent an evolutionary Darwinian-like development, a cosmological natural (artificial) selection, in which, black holes may be mechanisms of universe reproduction within the multiverse, an extended cosmological environment in which universes grow, die, and reproduce (Smolin, 1992; Harrison, 1995; Gardner, 2000; Barrow, 2001; Balaz, 2005; Ellis, 2007; Vidal, 2010; Krioukov et al., 2012). Additional similarities have been suggested, in basic principles and mechanisms (Kleinmann 2008, 2016) and in holographic models (Amjamrooz et al., 2011). Therefore, it was intriguing to compare the matter-antimatter model in two different systems, in the cosmic, and the biologic systems.

DESCRIPTION OF MATTER- ANTIMATTER MODELS

The cosmic model: Matter-antimatter

There are competing hypotheses to explain the matter-antimatter imbalance that resulted in baryogenesis, but there is as yet no one consensus theory to explain the phenomenon (Sakharov, 1967; Kolb and Turner, 1988; Trodden, 1998; Canetti et al., 2012). The Big Bang should have produced equal amounts of matter and antimatter, and if CP-symmetry was preserved, there should have been total cancellation of both- protons should have cancelled with antiprotons, electrons with positrons, neutrons with antineutrons, and so on. This would have resulted in a sea of radiation in the universe with no matter and no life (Kolb and Turner, 1988). Since this is not the case, after the Big Bang, physical laws must have acted differently for matter and antimatter, that is, violating CP-symmetry. It was suggested that this is due to differences in the strength of matter antimatter interactions (Sakharov, 1967; Kuzmin et al., 1985; Wolfenstein, 1989; Bigi, 1997; Trodden, 1998; Utpal, 2007; Sozzi, 2008; Canetti et al., 2012; Turner, 2013):

1. The strong interactions seems to be invariant, the CP-symmetry is preserved and as a result, matter and antimatter are reciprocally annihilated (Wolfenstein, 1989; Utpal, 2007; Sozzi, 2008; Close, 2009).
2. The CP-symmetry is slightly violated during weak

interactions (Kuzmin et al., 1985; Trodden, 1998). As a result some matter escapes annihilation, and this allows the existence of visible universe, and of life.

The discovery of CP violation plays an important role both in the attempts of cosmology to explain the dominance of matter over antimatter in the present Universe, and in the study of weak interactions in particle physics (Sakharov, 1967; Kuzmin et al., 1985; Shaposhnikov and Farrar, 1993; Turner, 2013). However, as yet, no theoretical consensus has been reached regarding the exact nature of the CP-violation, and the exact mechanism of this violation during baryogenesis remains a mystery. In addition, there is no experimental evidence of an imbalance in the creation rates of matter and antimatter (Sakharov, 1967; Kolb and Turner, 1988; Trodden, 1998).

The biologic model: Body-antibody

The biologic body-"antibody" model is provided by the immune system. This is a highly complex, complicated and sophisticated system (Roitt and Delves, 2004). However, since this is an attempt to compare basic principles of cosmic and biologic phenomena, we are going to relate only to their major, basic characteristics. For instance, under the general term of "antibody", we will relate to all the different types of immune responses and their components, which provide the body with a self - defense mechanism. Following is a brief description of the principles governing the immune system (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014).

In the cosmic model, the matter is composed from particles and antiparticles. In the biologic model, the matter is composed from bodies and "antibodies". Each body has his own, specific antigens - the self-antigens that are different of antigens from another body - the foreign antigens.

Very early in body's development, the immune system will produce "antibodies" against billions of self and foreign antigens. These antigen-"antibody" interactions should lead to their reciprocal annihilation, resulting in destruction of the body, and preventing the existence of biologic matter and life (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Christopher et al., 2005; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014). Therefore, the question is how life is possible in spite of these reactions. The biologic systems provide some interesting answers that might be relevant to the cosmic systems. It is interesting, that the outcomes of the reactivity of the immune system, to the self, or to the foreign antigens are different, and depend on the strength of their interactions (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014).

1. Components of the immune system, which interact self-reacting components of the immune system are eliminated, leaving only those with capacity of reacting against foreign antigens (Shortman, 1990). A few self-

Table 1. Comparison of cosmic and biologic matter-antimatter models.

Characteristics	Cosmic	Biologic
Cosmic/biologic	Matter-antimatter	Body-"antibody"
1) Antimatter/"antibody" annihilation	Yes (99%)	Yes (96-99%)
2) Weak interactions-allow existence of matter/body	Yes	Yes
3) Strong interaction eliminate anti self-antimatter/"antibody"	Yes	Yes
4) Difficulty in producing anti self-antimatter/ "antibody"	Yes	Yes
5) Self-tolerance. Existing antimatter/"antibody" does not react with self-matter/body	Yes	Yes
6) Early deletion of antiself-antimatter/"antibody"	Yes	Yes
7) Excess of matter/body over antimatter/"antibody"	Yes	Yes
8) Antimatter/"antibody" are made out of matter	Yes	Yes
9) Antimatter/"antibody" is specific to matter/body	Yes	Yes

reacting components, that have escaped the above filtration, are later deleted in the peripheral organs (peripheral tolerance) (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014).

2. The immune system components that interact weekly with self-antigens, are allowed to exist, and they are responsible for reactivity against foreign antigens (Liu et al., 1995). The interaction of the anti-foreign "antibodies" with their corresponding foreign antigen, will lead to their annihilation, protecting and preserving the body (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014)

Therefore, the immune system has a dual function. From one hand, it induces a state of tolerance to self-antigens (matter) that prevents its own destruction, and allows the body (matter) and life to exist. On the other hand, it protects, preserves the integrity of body (matter) from invasion, destruction, by foreign antigens (matter). Altogether, it allows existence of life and its preservation.

DISCUSSION

The universe is made chiefly of matter, rather than consisting of equal parts of matter and antimatter as might be expected. The Big Bang should have produced equal amounts of matter and antimatter and if CP-symmetry was preserved, there should have been total cancellation of both. This would have resulted in a sea of radiation in the universe with no matter. Since this is not the case, physical laws must have acted differently for matter and antimatter, i.e. violating CP-symmetry (Kuzmin et al., 1985; Kolb and Turner, 1988; Wolfenstein, 1989; Trodden, 1998; Bigi, 1997; Utpal, 2007; Sozzi, 2008; Canetti et al., 2012) (Table 1). The cosmic matter-antimatter, and biologic body –"antibody" systems, seem to share several major similarities, that perhaps could help us understand why matter prevails. Following there

is a discussion of the similarities, their meanings, consequences, predictions and ways of testing (Table 1).

Antimatter annihilation

Theoretically, the Big Bang should have produced antimatter against all the matter of the universe. However, over 99% of it is annihilated during baryogenesis. It was suggested, that even if only one particle in a billion escapes annihilation, it would be enough to account for the entire visible universe (Kolb and Turner, 1988; Bigi, 1997; Canetti et al., 2012; Utpal, 2007; Trodden, 1998; Wolfenstein, 1989; Sozzi, 2008; Kuzmin et al., 1985).

Similarly, in the biologic model, the immune system produce reactants against every antigen. However, 96-99% of them are deleted (Christopher et al., 2005; Shortman, 1990). These are the ones with anti-self reactivity, the remaining components reacting only against foreign antigens. This mechanism allows the existence of the body and the life. In addition, the immune components left, possessing anti-foreign antigen activity, preserve and protect the body from annihilation by foreign bodies (viruses, bacteria, parasites) (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014).

In both systems , the existence of the matter, is allowed, is possible due to the existence of weak interactions between matter-antimatter, or between body –"antibody"

The CP violation during the weak interactions, allow some of the matter to escape the annihilation by the antimatter (Bigi, 1997; Canetti et al., 2012; Utpal, 2007; Trodden, 1998; Wolfenstein, 1989; Sozzi, 2008; Kuzmin et al., 1985). As a result a very complex, diverse and visible universe is formed. Similarly, in the biologic

system, the fact that the weakly interacting components of the immune system do not react against self-antigens, allow the existence of the body, and of life (Liu et al., 1995).

In both systems, strong interactions lead to the deletion of anti self reacting antimatter or "antibody"

In the cosmic system, the strong interacting antimatter is deleted (Bigi, 1997; Canetti et al., 2012; Utpal, 2007; Trodden, 1998; Wolfenstein, 1989; Sozzi, 2008; Kuzmin et al., 1985). The traces of antimatter remaining do not react with self-matter, and perhaps are reactive only against foreign matter, matter from other planetary/galactic/cosmic systems. Therefore, it is suggested that there are two types of antimatter, anti self and anti-foreign. Self-matter belonging to our planetary/galactic/cosmic system. Foreign matter it is most probably of other planetary/galactic/cosmic systems.

According to the multiverses hypothesis, parallel universes could exist, with different composition, and physical/chemical laws (Tegmark, 2003). Their matter could be the foreign matter. However, it has also been suggested that galaxies made of anti-matter could exist. Therefore, foreign matter will be considered as one from other planetary/galactic/cosmic systems. To test this assumption, antimatter from our galaxy/universe, should be mixed with matter from other galaxy or universe. In addition, matter from our planet, such as a rocket or a space ship could be annihilated by antimatter from other planetary/galactic/cosmic systems.

Similarly, in the biologic systems, the components of the immune system, interacting strongly with self-antigens, are deleted (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Robey and Fowlkes, 1994; Starr et al., 2003; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014). The components remaining after deletion do not react with self-antigens, but only against foreign antigens (substances, viruses, bacteria, parasites, other bodies) (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014).

In both systems is very difficult to produce anti self reacting substances

Antimatter is produced only under extreme conditions, very small amounts, and is immediately eliminated. For instance, high-energy cosmic rays impacting Earth's atmosphere (or any other matter in the Solar System) produce minute quantities of antiparticles in the resulting particle jets, which are immediately annihilated by contact with nearby matter (Moskalenko et al., 2002). Similarly, in biologic systems, under normal conditions there is no production of anti self "antibodies". In certain conditions, when such "antibodies" are produced, they are immediately eliminated (Roitt and Delves, 2004;

Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014).

In both systems there is a state of self tolerance

The deletion of anti self-antimatter, and the difficulty to produce antimatter (see above) suggests that there is a state of cosmic self-tolerance. It is suggested that this is the reason why, the antimatter left in our galaxy, does not react against its own components, such as our planetary system. Therefore, antimatter against matter from our planetary/galactic/cosmic system should be found in other planetary/galactic/cosmic systems.

Similarly, in the biologic systems, there is also a state of self-tolerance. The "antibodies" left after deletion of the strongly interacting components of the immune system, react only against foreign antigens (Klein et al., 2009; Kappler et al., 1987; Schwartz, 1989).

In both systems, the deletion of anti self-reacting substances, occurs at their very early phases of development

The self-reacting antimatter is eliminated in the first seconds after the Big Bang (Kolb and Turner, 1988). The anti self-reacting "antibodies" are also deleted very early, during the embryonic and newborn, phases of life (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014).

In both systems there is much more matter than antimatter

Most of the antimatter, reacted with matter during the first seconds after the Big Bang, and was eliminated at the birth of universe. Any anti self-antimatter left was continuously eliminated. Therefore only a small amount of it, the anti-foreign antimatter, was left. At the same time, the matter kept proliferating, and thus an excess of matter was produced. This resembles the biological systems, where most of the self-reacting "antibodies" were deleted in the early phases of its development; however the body kept proliferating, increasing. The "antibodies" left, constitute only a very small portion of the body (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014).

In both systems, the antimatter and the "antibody", are made out of matter

The antimatter is made out of matter, however they are not identical. One major difference is that they have opposite charges and different lepton and baryon number (Dirac, 1928; Anderson, 1933; Utpal, 2007; Close, 2009). The "antibody" is also made out of matter (complex molecules), however is not identical to the antigen. The

antigens could range from simple elements to complex molecules (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014).

In both systems, there is specificity

The antimatter has specificity to particles or atoms. For example, electrons to positrons, protons to antiprotons, and neutrons to antineutrons (Dirac, 1928; Anderson, 1933; Close, 2009; Cork et al., 1956; Chamberlain et al., 1955). However, such specificities have been shown even to more complex structures such as to nuclei of helium and atoms of hydrogen (Star Collaboration, 2011; Amoretti et al., 2002). "Antibodies" also have specificity to antigens. There are "antibodies" specific to billions of different antigens, starting from simple elements (silver, nickel), and up to very complex biochemical structures (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014).

The existence in our galaxy/universe of antimatter that does not react with self-components, suggests the existence of additional, different, foreign matter, that exist outside of our galaxy, universe

In biologic systems, the existence of "antibodies" that do not react with self-components, but only to foreign antigens, indicate the existence of foreign substances (antigens), belonging to other individuals (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014). Similarly, the existence of antimatter that does not react with self-matter suggests the existence of foreign matter, in other planetary/ galactic/cosmic (multiverses) systems.

The antimatter of our planetary system would not react with matter from Earth, since it has been depleted from "anti-self-antimatter". For instance our rockets traveled through the solar system, from Mars to Pluto, without being annihilated. Therefore, most of the antimatter in our planetary system is "anti-foreign antimatter". However, a space ship traveling to other planetary/galactic/cosmic systems, could be annihilated, by their "anti-foreign antimatter". It was suggested that galaxies composed of antimatter could exist in the universe (Close, 2009). Collisions of galaxies are considered to be very traumatic events. Perhaps part of it is due to the powerful foreign matter- anti foreign antimatter reactions. The need for a mechanism of self-defense against foreign antimatter, and preservation of self-matter, implies the existence of additional universes.

The constitution, the structure, the properties of antimatter from our galaxies/universe, could indicate what is the nature, the composition the structure of matter from other galaxies/universe

There is CP- symmetry between the matter-antimatter.

Therefore, the matter from other galaxies/universes, should be a mirror image of our anti-foreign antimatter, but with opposite charges (electron and positron, neutron and antineutron), and perhaps different lepton and baryon numbers (Dirac, 1928; Anderson, 1933; Utpal, 2007; Close, 2009). Since the antimatter in our galaxy is directed against matter from other galaxy/universe, finding its matter equivalent could teach us about the components, composition of other galaxies/universes.

In biologic systems, since the "antibody" has specificity to antigen, its combining sites could indicate the composition of the corresponding structure on the antigen (epitope). However, this is a much more complex interaction, involving additional features (electrical, structural, space configuration) (Roitt and Delves, 2004; Abbas et al., 2014; Chapel et al., 2014).

The matter-antimatter symmetry is a basic feature of the cosmos. It is suggested that similarly to the body-" antibody" system, its purpose is to defend, preserve its units (planetary/galactic/cosmic), from foreign matter of other planetary/ galactic/cosmic systems

It was suggested that the Big Bang created the universe (Kolb and Turner, 1988; Turner, 2013). Therefore, it does not seem logical, that immediately, in the first fractions of a second of its existence, an orderly matter –antimatter symmetry is produced, just for the sake of reciprocal annihilation? Could it be a programmed self-destruction, a cosmic "death wish", or just a pyrotechnical game? Or perhaps it is a mechanism of defense, preservation of the uniqueness of each cosmic entity (planetary/galactic/ universe), from destruction by foreign matter or antimatter? The biologic model , provides an explanation for the excess of matter and existence of life, based on a logical process, and not due to a mistake, or a violation of the laws of the nature. It also suggests that the purpose of matter-antimatter symmetry is not annihilation, but to the contrary, its defense and self-preservation. This, since only the self-reacting antimatter is destroyed, leaving our galaxy/universe with anti-foreign antimatter, thus protecting it from matter from foreign galaxies/ universes.

The similarities of cosmic and biologic mechanisms (Table 1), suggests that prevalence of the matter, and the existence of the visible universe and of life, is not the result of a violation of the CP-symmetry. It is not a violation of an existing law of physics, but rather it is a new, additional law. It is the law that allows the existence of matter, and rules the deletion of self-reacting antimatter, the induction of tolerance to self-matter, and the protection from foreign matter. It is the law of the "cosmic immune system". The aforementioned suggested similarity, could be one of the many other similarities between the biologic and cosmic systems, that have been previously suggested (Smolin, 1992; Harrison,

1995; Gardner, 2000; Barrow, 2001; Balaz, 2005; Ellis, 2007; Vidal, 2010; Krioukov et al., 2012). Recently, these similarities have been discussed also at the cellular level. For instance, it has been suggested that there are similarities between the production and the life cycles of cells and stars (Kleinmann, 2008). The cellular universe, a new cosmological model based on the holographic principle, suggests that all that exists in the macro universe is mirrored in a biological cell as a microuniverse (Amjamrooz et al., 2011). Finally, similarities were suggested at almost all of the major principles and mechanisms during the birth and life span of the universe (Kleinmann, 2016).

The possibility that the existence of matter and life, is not due to a mistake (one extra matter particle in a billion), or a violation of the physical laws (CP symmetry), but to a well-defined mechanism, could make the difference between the concept that the universe is the result of a random, casino like event, to a the concept that it is the result of an orderly, logic, new physical law. This new concept could have far reaching consequences on cosmology.

Abbreviations: "Antibody"- a general term for all the different types of immune response and their components, that provide the body with a self-defense mechanism; CP, symmetry - charge parity symmetry.

Conflict of interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Abbas AK, Lichtman AH, Pillai S (2014). Cellular and molecular immunology. 8th ed., Philadelphia, Elsevier Saunders.
- Amjamrooz SH, McConnell DJ, Azari H (2011). The cellular universe: A new cosmological model based on the holographic principle. *Int. J. Phys. Sci.* 6(9):2175-2183.
- Anderson CD (1933). The Positive Electron. *Phys. Rev.* 43(6):491-498.
- Amoretti MEA, Amsler C, Bonomi G, Bouchta A, Bowe P, Carraro C, Cesar CL, Charlton M, Collier MJT, Doser M, Filippini V (2002). Production and detection of cold antihydrogen atoms. *Nature* 419(6906):456-459.
- Baláz BA (2005). The Cosmological Replication Cycle, the Extraterrestrial Paradigm and the Final Anthropic Principle. *Diotima* 33:44-53.
- Barrow JD (2001). *Cosmology, Life, and the Anthropic Principle*. Ann. NY Acad. Sci. 950(1):139.
- Bigi I (1997). CP Violation -An Essential Mystery in Nature's Grand Design. *Surv. High Energy Phys.* 12:269-336.
- Chapel H, Haeney M, Misbah S, Snowden N (2014). *Essentials of Clinical Immunology*. 6th Edition, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, UK.
- Canetti L, Drewes M, Shaposhnikov M (2012). Matter and Antimatter in the Universe. *New J. Phys.* 14:095012.
- Chamberlain O, Segrè E, Wiegand C, Ypsilantis T (1955). Observation of antiprotons. *Phys. Rev.* 100(3):947.
- Christopher C, Goodnow CC, Sprent J, de St Groth BF, Vinuesa CG (2005). Cellular and genetic mechanisms of self-tolerance and autoimmunity. *Nature* 435:590-597.
- Close FE (2009). *Antimatter*. Oxford University Press: US
- Cork B, Lambertson GR, Piccioni O, Wenzel WA (1956). Antineutrons produced from antiprotons in charge-exchange collisions. *Phys. Rev.* 104(4):1193-1197.
- Dirac PAM (1928). The Quantum Theory of the Electron. *Proc. R. Soc. A* 117(778):610-624.
- Ellis GFR (2007). Issues in the Philosophy of Cosmology. In *Handbook in Philosophy of Physics*. Butterfield J, Earman J (Eds.). pp. 1183-1285.
- Gardner JN (2000). The Selfish Biocosm: complexity as cosmology. *Complexity* 5(3):34-45.
- Harrison ER (1995). The Natural Selection of Universes Containing Intelligent Life. *Q. J. R. Astron. Soc.* 36(3):193-203.
- Kappler JW, Roehm N, Marrack P (1987). T cell tolerance by clonal elimination in the thymus. *Cell* 49:273-280.
- Klein L, Hinterberger M, Wirnsberger G, Kyewski B (2009). Antigen presentation in the thymus for positive selection and central tolerance induction. *Nature Rev. Immunol.* 9:833-844
- Kleinmann R (2008). Similarities in star and cell formation. *J. Micro Macro. Cosmos* 2:1-25.
- Kleinmann R (2016). Similarities between basic principles of cosmic and biologic systems. *Int. J. Phys. Sci.* 11(1):1-10.
- Kolb E, Turner M (1988). *The Early Universe*. Redwood City: Addison-Wesley.
- Krioukov D, Kitsak M, Sinkovits RS, Rideout D, Meyer D, Boguna M (2012). Network cosmology. *Sci. Rep.* 2:793.
- Kuzmin VA, Rubakov VA, Shaposhnikov ME (1985). On anomalous electroweak baryon-number non-conservation in the early universe. *Phys. Lett. B* 155(1-2):36-42.
- Liu GY, Fairchild PJ, Smith RM, Prowle JR, Kioussis D, Wraith DC (1995). Low avidity recognition of self-antigen by T cells permits escape from central tolerance. *Immunity* 3(4):407-415.
- Moskalenko IV, Strong AW, Ormes JF, Potgieter MS (2002). Secondary antiprotons and propagation of cosmic rays in the Galaxy and heliosphere. *Astrophys. J.* 565(1):280-296.
- Robey E, Fowlkes BJ (1994). Selective events in T cell development. *Ann. Rev. Immunol.* 12:675-705.
- Roitt IM, Delves PJ (2004). *Essential Immunology*, 10th ed. Blackwell Science Inc., Malden, Mass., USA.
- Sakharov AD (1967). Violation of CP invariance, C asymmetry, and baryon asymmetry of the universe. *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* 5:24-27.
- Sather E (1999). The Mystery of the Matter Asymmetry. *Beam Line* 26(1):31-37.
- Schwartz RH (1989). Acquisition of immunological self-tolerance. *Cell* 57:1073-1081.
- Shortman K (1990). The generation and fate of thymocytes. *Semin. Immunol.* 2(1):3-12.
- Shaposhnikov ME, Farrar GR (1993). Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe in the Minimal Standard Model. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 70(19):2833-2836.
- Smolin L (1992). Did the universe evolve? *Classical Quantum Gravity* 9(1):173.
- Sozzi MS (2008). *Discrete symmetries and CP violation*. Oxford University Press.
- Star Collaboration (2011). Observation of the antimatter helium-4 nucleus. *Nature* 473(7347):353-356.
- Starr TK, Jameson SC, Hogquist KA (2003). Positive and negative selection of T cells. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 21:139-176.
- Tegmark M (2003). Parallel universes. *Sci. Am.* 289(5):40-51.
- Trodden M (1998). Electroweak Baryogenesis. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 71(5):1463.
- Turner MS (2013). Origin of the universe. *Sci. Am.* 22:36-43.
- Utpal S (2007). *Particle and astroparticle physics*. Boca Raton; CRC Press.
- Vidal C (2010). *Computational and Biological Analogies for Understanding Fine-Tuned Parameters in Physics*. *Found. Sci.* 15(4):375-393.
- Wolfenstein L (1989). *CP violation*. North-Holland Publishing.