

Full Length Research Paper

Quasi-radical operation on the submodules in a module

Esra Şengelen Sevim^{1*} and Ünsal Tekir²

¹Department of Mathematics, Istanbul Bilgi University, Dolapdere, Istanbul, Turkey.

²Department of Mathematics, Marmara University, Göztepe-Ziverbey, Istanbul, Turkey.

Accepted 04 April, 2011

All rings are commutative with identity and all modules are unital. The purpose of this paper is to introduce interesting and useful properties of quasi-radical operation on the submodules in a module.

Key words: Prime submodules, quasi-radical operation.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper all rings will be commutative with identity and all modules will be unitary. Let R be a ring and M be a unital R -module. For any submodule N of M , we define $(N:M) = \{r \in R: rM \subseteq N\}$. A submodule N of M is called prime if $N \neq M$ and whenever $r \in R$, $m \in M$ and $rm \in N$, $m \in N$ or $r \in (N:M)$. Let $PSpec(M)$ denote the collection of all prime submodules. Note that some modules have no prime submodules (that is, $PSpec(M) = \emptyset$). In recent years, prime submodules have attracted a good deal of attention (Lu, 1984; John, 1978; James and Patrick, 1992; Shahabaddin, 2004). An R -module M is called a multiplication module provided for each submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = IM$. We say that I is a presentation ideal of N . Let N and K be submodules of a multiplication module M with $N = I_1M$ and $K = I_2M$ for some ideals I_1 and I_2 of R . The product N and K denoted by NK is defined by $NK = I_1I_2M$. Then, the product of N and K is independent of presentation of N and K (Reza, 2003, Theorem 3.4). Moreover, for $a, b \in M$, by ab we mean the product of Ra and Rb . Let M be a nonzero multiplication R -module. Then, every proper submodule of M is contained in maximal submodule of M (Zeinab and Patrick, 1988, Theorem 2.5). Let N be a submodule of M . Then, the radical of N denoted by \sqrt{N} is defined to be intersection of all prime submodules of M containing N . If N is not contained in any prime submodule of M , then $\sqrt{N} = M$. Let N be a submodule of a multiplication R -module M . Then

$$\sqrt{N} = \{m \in M: m^k \subseteq N \text{ for some } k > 0\},$$

(Reza, 2003, Theorem 3.13).

In this paper, we generalize some properties of quasi-radical operation on the ideals in a ring to quasi-radical operation on the submodules in a module (Magnus, 2004).

Definition 1

Let M be an R -module. An operation F on the submodules of M is a correspondence that to every submodule N in M associates a submodule $F(N)$ in M .

Definition 2

(i) Let M be an R -module. Let F be an operation on the submodules of M , and let N be a submodule in M . We say that $F(N)$ is the F -radical of N .

(ii) Let M be an R -module. We say that N is F -radical if $F(N) = N$. A prime submodule N is called F -prime if it is F -radical.

Definition 3

Let M be an R -module and F an operation on the submodules of M . We define F -prime spectrum of M as:

$$Spec(M) = \{F\text{-prime submodules } N \subset M\}.$$

*Corresponding author. E-mail: esra.sengelen@bilgi.edu.tr.

Definition 4

Let M be an R -module. Let F be an operation on the submodules in M . We say that M satisfies the ascending chain condition (acc) for F -radical submodules if for every chain $\{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of F -radical submodules we have that $N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots$ stabilizes.

Relations 1

Let M be an R -module and F be an operation on the submodules of M . It is natural to ask if F satisfies the following relations for any submodules N, K and $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ in M :

- $N \subseteq F(N)$,
- $F(F(N)) = F(N)$,
- $F(N \cap K) = F(N) \cap F(K)$,
- $(c)^*$ If M is a multiplication R -module then $F(N \cap K) = F(N) \cap F(K) = F(NK)$,
- $F(\sum_{i \in I} N_i) = F(\sum_{i \in I} F(N_i))$,
- $\sqrt{N} \subseteq F(N)$ if M is a multiplication R -module,
- $N \subseteq K$ implies $F(N) \subseteq F(K)$,
- $F(\cup_{i \in I} N_i) = F(\cup_{i \in I} F(N_i))$ if $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ is ordered family.

Proposition 1

Let M be an R -module. Let F be an operation on the submodules in M . The following assertions hold for (a) – (g) of Relations 1.

- If F satisfies (a), (b) and (f) then F satisfies (d).
 - If F satisfies (c) then F satisfies (f).
 - Let M be a multiplication R -module. If F satisfies (a) and $(c)^*$ then F satisfies (e).
 - If F satisfies (d) then F satisfies (b).
 - If F satisfies (a) and (d) then F satisfies (f) and (g).
- (Let M be a multiplication R -module. The relations: (a), (b) and $(c)^*$ imply the relations (d), (e), (f) and (g)).

Proof

- We have from (a) that $N_i \subseteq F(N_i)$ for each $i \in I$. It follows that $\sum_{i \in I} N_i \subseteq \sum_{i \in I} F(N_i)$. Consequently, we see by (f) that $F(\sum_{i \in I} N_i) \subseteq F(\sum_{i \in I} F(N_i))$. Conversely, since $N_j \subseteq \sum_{i \in I} N_i$ for each $j \in I$, we have by (f) that $F(N_j) \subseteq F(\sum_{i \in I} N_i)$ for each $j \in I$. Thus since $F(\sum_{i \in I} N_i)$ is a submodule we see that $\sum_{i \in I} F(N_i) \subseteq F(\sum_{i \in I} N_i)$. This implies, again by (f), that $F(\sum_{i \in I} F(N_i)) \subseteq F(F(\sum_{i \in I} N_i))$. Now since from (b) $F(F(N)) = F(N)$ for any submodule N in M we get that $F(\sum_{i \in I} F(N_i)) \subseteq F(\sum_{i \in I} N_i)$. This shows that $F(\sum_{i \in I} N_i) = F(\sum_{i \in I} F(N_i))$, that is (d) holds.
- Assume (f) is not true. There exist N, K such that

$N \subseteq K$ but $F(N) \not\subseteq F(K)$. This implies $F(N \cap K) = F(N) \neq F(N) \cap F(K)$ which contradicts (c). Thus $N \subseteq K$ implies $F(N) \subseteq F(K)$ for any submodules $N, K \subseteq M$, that is (f) holds.

3. From the relation $(c)^*$ we get $F(t^2) = F((t)) \cap F((t)) = F((t))$ for every $t \in M$. By induction on n , we obtain $F(t^n) = F((t))$ for all positive integer n . Let N be a submodule of M and $t \in \sqrt{N}$. Then $t^n \in N$ for some positive integer n . We have that and from relation (a) that $t \in F((t))$. Hence $t \in F(N)$ and we have proved that $\sqrt{N} \subseteq F(N)$, that is (e) holds.

4. If $F(N) \neq F(F(N))$, then $F(\sum_{i \in I} N_i) \neq F(\sum_{i \in I} F(N_i))$ for $I = 1$ and $N_1 = N$ that is we get a contradiction of (d). Thus (b) is satisfied.

5. If $N \subseteq K$ does not imply that $F(N) \subseteq F(K)$ then there exist submodules $N \subseteq K$ in M such that $F(N) \not\subseteq F(K)$. Then $F(K) \subset F(N) + F(K)$ so we have by (a) that $F(N + K) = F(K) \neq F(N) + F(K) \subseteq F(F(N) + F(K))$, which contradicts (d). Thus (f) satisfied. If $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an ordered family then it is clear that $\cup_{i \in I} N_i = \sum_{i \in I} N_i$. Since from (f) we have that $N \subseteq K$ implies $F(N) \subseteq F(K)$; it follows that $\{F(N_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is an ordered family of submodules as well. This implies that $\cup_{i \in I} F(N_i) = \sum_{i \in I} F(N_i)$. Thus (d), that is $F(\sum_{i \in I} N_i) = F(\sum_{i \in I} F(N_i))$ implies $F(\cup_{i \in I} N_i) = F(\cup_{i \in I} F(N_i))$, that is (g) holds.

Lemma 1

Let M be an R -module. Let N be a prime submodule in M and let F be an operation on the submodules in M satisfying (a) and (f) of Relations 1. The following two conditions are equivalent.

- $F(N) = N$
- $A \subseteq N$ implies $F(A) \subseteq N$ for each submodule A in M .

Proof

Assume (1) does not hold, that is by (a) we have that $N \subset F(N)$ then condition (2) with $A = N$ does not hold. Thus (2) implies (1). Conversely, assume that (2) does not hold. Then there is a submodule A in M such that $A \subseteq N$ but $F(A) \not\subseteq N$. From (f) we get that $F(A) \subseteq F(N)$. Thus by (a) we see that $N \subset F(N)$ that is condition (1) does not hold. This shows that (1) implies (2).

Definition 5

Let M be a multiplication R -module. A quasi-radical operation F on the submodules in M is defined as an operation on the submodules in M such that for all submodules A and B in M the following conditions hold:

- $A \subseteq F(A)$

- (b) $F(F(A)) = F(A)$
- (c) $F(A \cap B) = F(A) \cap F(B) = F(AB)$

Remark 1

From Proposition 1 we see that any quasi-radical operation satisfies (a) – (g) of Relations 1.

Proposition 2

Let M be a multiplication R -module. A quasi-radical operation F on the submodules in M satisfies $F(N) = \sqrt{F(N)} = F(\sqrt{N})$ for any submodules $N \subseteq M$.

Proof

It is clear that $F(N) \subseteq \sqrt{F(N)}$. Conversely, let $m \in \sqrt{F(N)}$. Then $m^n \in F(N)$ for some positive integer n . Therefore $F(m^n) \subseteq F(F(N))$ and so $m \in F((m)) \subseteq F(N)$. Hence, $\sqrt{F(N)} \subseteq F(N)$. Thus we have that $F(N) = \sqrt{F(N)}$. Since F is quasi-radical operation it satisfies Relations 1 (b), (e) and (f). This implies that $F(N) \subseteq F(\sqrt{N}) \subseteq F(F(N)) = F(N)$. Thus $F(N) = F(\sqrt{N})$ and we have proved the proposition.

Proposition 3

Let M be a multiplication R -module. Let F be a quasi-radical operation on the submodules in M . Then for each submodule A in M the following holds:

$$F(A) = \bigcap_{F(A) \subseteq N, N \text{ a prime submodule}} N$$

Proof

We have that:

$$F(A) = \sqrt{F(A)} = \bigcap_{F(A) \subseteq N, N \text{ a prime submodule}} N.$$

By Proposition 2, we get first equality. The second equality is clear.

Theorem 1

Let M be a multiplication R -module. Let F be a quasi-

radical operation on the submodules in M . If M satisfies the acc for F -radical submodules, then any F -radical submodule is the intersection of a finite number of F -prime submodules.

Proof

Let T be the set of F -radical submodules which are not intersection of a finite number of F -prime submodules. Assume that $T \neq \emptyset$. Then T admits a maximal element N , because the acc for F -radical submodules holds. Then N is F -radical and can not be prime. Take $m \notin N$ and $r \notin (N:M)$ such that $rm \in N$, then $N \subset N + Rm$ and $N \subset N + rM$. Since N is maximal in T these two new modules are not in T . From (a) we get $N \subset N + Rm \subseteq F(N + Rm)$ and $N \subset N + rM \subseteq F(N + rM)$. Thus the submodules $F(N + Rm)$ and $F(N + rM)$ are F -radical by (b) but are not in T and therefore expressible as a finite intersection of F -prime submodules. By (c) we have:

$$N \subseteq F(N + Rm) \cap F(N + rM) = F((N + Rm)(N + rM)) = F(N^2 + rN + mN + rmM) \subseteq F(N) = N$$

So, $N = F(N + Rm) \cap F(N + rM)$ and thus, a finite intersection of F -prime submodules, which contradicts the assumption that N is in T . Thus $T = \emptyset$.

REFERENCES

Lu CP (1984). Prime submodules of modules. Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul, 33(1): 61-69.
 John D (1978). Prime submodules. J. Reine. Angew. Math., 298: 156-181.
 James J, Patrick FS (1992). On the prime radical of a module over a commutative ring. Comm. Algebra, 20: 3593-3602.
 Magnus R (2004). Radical operations in rings and topological spaces. Doctoral Dissertation, Stockholm.
 Reza A (2003). On the prime submodules of multiplication modules. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 27: 1715-1725.
 Shahabaddin EA (2004). Multiplication modules and related results. Archivum Math., 40: 407-414.
 Zeinab AB, Patrick FS (1988). Multiplication modules. Comm. Algebra, 16(4): 755-799.