academicJournals

Vol. 12(17), pp. 205-210, 16 September, 2017 DOI: 10.5897/IJPS2017.4624 Article Number: B22288166018 ISSN 1992 - 1950 Copyright ©2017 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/IJPS

International Journal of Physical Sciences

Full Length Research Paper

Studies on equalities and inequalities of heat, work and ratio of work to temperature

Chengshu Jin

School of Food and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Suihua University, Suihua, Heilongjiang Province 152061, China.

Received 4 April, 2017; Accepted 22 August, 2017

This research investigates relationships between resistances with heat and work. It is completely proven that the ratio of work to temperature for the realistic process is no less than that for the reversible process. The equalities and inequalities on the heat, work and ratio of work to temperature could be applied to the gravitational field and chemical reactions. The relationships between path functions and state functions are studied in the chemical reactions. Some criteria for spontaneous directions have been suggested such as the equalities and inequalities on the heat, work, and ratio of work to temperature, except the Clausius inequality and must be ordinarily obeyed in the spontaneous process.

Key words: First law of thermodynamics, Clausius inequality, thermodynamic functions, chemical reactions, gravitational field, resistances, ratio of work to temperature.

INTRODUCTION

The first law of thermodynamics was as a result of applying the conservation of energy to thermodynamics (Sandler and Woodcock, 2010). The Carnot theorem could be proven by the second law of thermodynamics. The Clausius inequality was derived from the Carnot theorem (Atkins and Paula, 2014; Nieto et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2015). In the gravitational field and chemical reactions, relations between the entropy or free energy with the kinetic, gravitational potential, and electrical energy was studied (DeVoe, 2013; Gislason and Craig, 2013; de Abreu and Guerra, 2012). The equalities and inequalities in regard to the work and ratio of work to temperature between the reversible process and irreversible process are entirely denied and neglected

in the fundamental theory; hence, they are seldom researched yet. The motion path of solids and liquids is only affected by external force, which is different from the gas. The application of the scopes of Clausius inequality will be enhanced by the equalities and inequalities on the work and the ratio of work to temperature.

In a reversible process, the first law of thermodynamics is given by:

$$dU_r(A) = \delta Q_r(A) + \delta W_r(A) \text{ or } \Delta U_r(A) = Q_r(A) + W_r(A)$$
(1)

Where U_r , Q_r and W_r are the internal energy, heat, and work in a reversible path (A), respectively.

In an irreversible process, the resistances including

E-mail: jinchengshu_1@163.com.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> License 4.0 International License frictions or internal resistances must be considered. The first law of thermodynamics is given by:

$$dU_{real}(B) = \delta Q_{real}(B) + \delta W_{real}(B) \text{ or } \Delta U_{real}(B) = Q_{real}(B) + W_{real}(B)$$
 (2)

where Q_{real} and W_{real} are the heat and work in a realistic path (B), respectively. Q_{resist} and W_{resist} are the heat and work generated by resistance in an irreversible path (B), respectively. The realistic process or path includes the reversible and irreversible process or path. The resistances are equal to zero in any reversible process. A process may have unlimited paths.

The heat and work are taken as positive if energy is transferred into the system and negative if energy is transferred out of the system. The heat and work are the path functions in a realistic process. U is the state function. "d" and " δ " are total differential symbols to the state function and path function, respectively. " Δ " expresses a change of quantity value.

In a reversible isothermal process, $Q_{\rm r}=T\Delta S$, where T is the thermodynamic temperature, ΔS expresses the entropy change (state function). So that, in a reversible isothermal process, both Q_r and W_r are state functions (the temperature must be kept constant). In a reversible adiabatic process, W_r is the state function (the system must be kept adiabatic). In an isochoric process, Q_r is the state function (the volume must be kept constant). In a reversible isobaric process, Q_r and W_r are all the state functions (the pressure must be kept constant).

EQUALITIES AND INEQUALITIES OF HEAT, WORK, AND RATIO OF WORK TO TEMPERATURE

Equalities and inequalities of heat and work

In a process, we assume:

$$Q_{real}(B) = Q_r(B) + Q_{resist}(B), \qquad (3)$$

$$W_{real}(B) = W_r(B) + W_{resist}(B).$$
(4)

In a spontaneous process, the following equations must be obeyed (Jin, 2016):

$$Q_{r}(A) \ge Q_{real}(B), \tag{5}$$

$$W_{r}(A) \le W_{real}(B), \qquad (6)$$

where the equality sign is the same for a reversible or irreversible process in which $W_{resist}(B)=0$, whereas the sign of inequality is for an irreversible process. In a process or cycle, $\Delta U_r=\Delta U_{real}$, on the basis of

Equations 1 to 4, $Q_{resist}(B) = -W_{resist}(B)$ for a realistic path (B). According to Equations 5 and 6, $Q_r(B) \ge Q_{real}(B)$ and $W_r(B) \le W_{real}(B)$ are also right; as such we could obtain:

$$W_{\text{resist}}(B) = -Q_{\text{resist}}(B) \ge 0 \tag{7}$$

where the sign of equality is the same for a reversible or irreversible process in which $W_{resist}(B) = 0$, while the sign of inequality is for an irreversible process.

Equations 5 and 6 were derived from the Clausius inequality and the Carnot theorem. Any reversible cycle could be simulated by the infinitesimal reversible Carnot cycles. When the area of infinitesimal reversible Carnot cycles is equal to that of the simulated reversible cycle, then they are the same work. Because their ΔU_r are all zero in arbitrary cycle, then they are also the same heat. Therefore, Equations 5 and 6 can be extended and applied to any reversible cycle.

Assuming that a realistic path (B) and its reversible path (A) with another reversible path (C) constitute both a realistic and a reversible cycle (Figure 1), then we can gain $Q_r(A) - Q_r(C) \ge Q_{real}(B) - Q_r(C)$ and $W_r(A) - W_r(C) \le W_{real}(B) - W_r(C)$. Thus,

 $Q_r(A) \ge Q_{real}(B)$ and $W_r(A) \le W_{real}(B)$ in a process. Therefore, Equations 5 and 6 can be extended and applied to arbitrary process.

Complete derivation of the inequality on the ratio of work to temperature from the Clausius inequality

The Clausius inequality can be rewritten as follow:

$$\int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta Q_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta Q_{real}(B)}{T_{i}} \ge 0, \qquad (8)$$

where the sign of equality is for a reversible process and the sign of inequality is for an irreversible process. "J" is integral symbol. The entropy change $\int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta Q_{\rm r}\left(A\right)}{T}$ is the ratio of heat to temperature in a reversible path (A). $\int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta Q_{\rm real}\left(B\right)}{T_{\rm i}}$ is the ratio of heat to temperature in a representation of a realistic path (B). In a process, the state "j" expresses the

initial state, the state "i" expresses the final state, T_j and T_i are the initial and final states thermodynamic temperature of the surroundings or reservoirs, respectively. If a complex process has many processes, T_i should include thermodynamic temperatures of many surroundings or reservoirs. T varies from T_i to T_i , which is



Figure 1. The reversible cycle "jAiCj" and realistic cycle "jBiCj".

different from the contact temperature (Muschik, 2014). In the reversible process, T_i and $Q_{real}(B)$ will be replaced by T and $Q_r(B)$ in Equation 8.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{From Equations 1 and 2, we can obtain} \\ \int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_r(A)}{T} = \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta Q_r(A)}{T} + \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_r(A)}{T} & \mbox{and} \\ \int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{real}(B)}{T_i} = \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta Q_{real}(B)}{T_i} + \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{real}(B)}{T_i} & \mbox{Thus, the following} \\ \mbox{equation is given by:} \end{array}$

$$\int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{real}(B)}{T_{i}} = \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta Q_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta Q_{real}(B)}{T_{i}} + \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{real}(B)}{T_{i}}, \quad (9)$$

where $\int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{r}(A)}{T}$ or $\int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{real}(B)}{T_{i}}$ are the ratio of internal energy change to temperature in a reversible path (A) or in a realistic path (B), respectively. $\int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{r}(A)}{T}$

or $\int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{real}(B)}{T_{i}}$ are the ratio of work to temperature in a

reversible path (A) or in a realistic path (B), respectively.

If
$$\int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{real}(B)}{T_{i}} \ge 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad$$

 $\int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{real}(B)}{T_{i}} > 0 \,, \quad \text{in} \quad \text{accordance} \quad \text{with}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Equation} & \mbox{9}, & \mbox{we} & \mbox{have} \\ & \int_j^i \frac{\delta Q_r(A)}{T} - \int_j^i \frac{\delta Q_{real}(B)}{T_i} \geq - (\int_j^i \frac{\delta W_r(A)}{T} - \int_j^i \frac{\delta W_{real}(B)}{T_i}), \end{array}$$

where
$$-(\int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{real}(B)}{T_{i}})$$
 is negative value

which violates the Clausius inequality. This is impossible.

So that, the equality and inequality on the ratio of work to temperature can be written as follow:

$$\int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{real}(B)}{T_{i}} \leq 0.$$
(10)

$$\text{If} \quad \int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{\text{real}}(B)}{T_{i}} \geq 0 \,, \quad \text{on the basis of}$$

Equations 9 and 10, we can obtain:

$$\int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta Q_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta Q_{real}(B)}{T_{i}} \geq -\left(\int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{\delta W_{real}(B)}{T_{i}}\right) \geq 0,$$

This confirms the Clausius inequality. Therefore, Equation 10 is right in any case. Where the sign of equality should belong to the reversible process, the sign of inequality should belong to the irreversible process; otherwise, Equation 10 will be incorrect.

According to Equations 9 and 10, the inequality $\int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{real}(B)}{T_{i}} < 0 \text{ violates the Clausius}$ inequality. Therefore, $\int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{r}(A)}{T} - \int_{j}^{i} \frac{dU_{real}(B)}{T_{i}} \ge 0 \text{ is}$

correct in any case.

The ideal gas equation of state is pV = nRT. By this (Borgnakke and Sonntag, 2014; Jacobs, 2013), we know that:

 $\delta W_r = -pdV = -\frac{nRTdV}{V}$,

$$dU_{r} = C_{V}dT + \left[T\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial T}\right)_{V} - p\right]dV = C_{V}dT$$
,

where p is the pressure, V is the volume, R is the gas constant, and n is the amount of substance. Because $\oint \frac{dU_{\rm r}}{T} = \oint \frac{C_{\rm V} dT}{T} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \oint \frac{\delta W_{\rm r}}{T} = -\oint \frac{p dV}{T} = -\oint \frac{n R dV}{V} = 0 \,,$ they are all state functions in the reversible process for ideal gas. It should be noted that the van der Waals equation of state is an approximate for the real gases and could not prove the aforementioned results. " ∂ " is partial differential symbol.

APPLICATIONS OF EQUALITIES AND INEQUALITIES **ON HEAT AND WORK**

Applications to the chemical reactions

The non-expansion work does not exist

If the chemical reactions could happen, Equations 5 to 8 and 10 must be obeyed. In the isothermal and isobaric process, we know that $W_{real} = W_{other} - p\Delta V$ and $W_r = W_{max} - p\Delta V$, where $p\Delta V$ is the volume expansion work, W_{other} expresses the non-expansion work (namely that the other work) in the realistic process except W_{resist}, while W_{max} expresses other work in the reversible process. In the isothermal and isobaric process, $\Delta G = \Delta H - T\Delta S$, $\Delta H = \Delta U + p\Delta V$; where G is the free energy, H is the enthalpy. It should be noted that ΔU and ΔS are state functions in any process. ΔH is the state function in any isobaric process. In any isothermal and isobaric process, ΔG is the state functions.

If the non-expansion work does not exist in the chemical reactions, in the isothermal and isobaric reversible path (A), we can gain $W_r(A) = -p\Delta V(A)$, $\Delta H = Q_r(A) = T\Delta S$, $W_{resist}(A) = 0$, $W_r(A) = \Delta U - Q_r(A) = \Delta U - T\Delta S = -p\Delta V(A),$ namely $\Delta G(A) = 0$. Attention, the temperature must be kept constant in the isothermal and isobaric reversible path (A). In the isothermal and isobaric irreversible path (B), $W_{real}(B) = -p\Delta V(B)$. According to Equation 4, we have

$$W_{real}(B) = W_r(B) + W_{resist}(B) = \Delta U - Q_r(B) + W_{resist}(B) = -p\Delta V(B) .$$

So, Q. (B) = $\Delta U + p\Delta V(B) + W_r(B) = \Delta H(B) + W_r(B) .$

0,
$$Q_r(B) = \Delta U + p\Delta V(B) + W_{resist}(B) = \Delta H(B) + W_{resist}(B)$$
.

On the basis of Equations 3 and 7, we obtain $Q_{real}(B) = Q_r(B) + Q_{resist}(B) = \Delta H(B)$. In the isothermal and isobaric process, Equation 5 can become

 $Q_r(A) = T\Delta S \ge \Delta H(B)$ or $-\Delta G(B) \ge 0$.

In the isothermal and isobaric process, Equation 6 will vary:

$$W_{_r}(A) = \Delta U - Q_{_r}(A) \le W_{_{real}}(B) = -p\Delta V(B) \text{ or } \Delta G(B) \le 0.$$

While the non-expansion work does not exist in the chemical reactions, $Q_{real}(B) = \Delta H(B)$ and $\Delta G(B) \le 0$ are consistent with the actual facts. Equations 5 and 6 are confirmed.

The non-expansion work exist

If the other work exists in the chemical reactions, we can obtain $W_r(C) = \Delta U - Q_r(C) = \Delta U - T\Delta S = W_{max}(C) - p\Delta V(C)$ in the isothermal and isobaric reversible path (C), thus, $\Delta G(C) = W_{max}(C)$. In the isothermal and isobaric irreversible path (D), according to Equation 4, we will gain $W_{real}(D) = W_r(D) + W_{resist}(D) = \Delta U - Q_r(D) + W_{resist}(D) = W_{other}(D) - p\Delta V(D)$ namely that, $W_{other}(D) = \Delta H(D) - Q_r(D) + W_{resist}(D)$. According to Equations 3 and 7, we obtain $Q_{real}(D) = Q_r(D) + Q_{resist}(D) = \Delta H(D) - W_{other}(D)$.

In the isothermal and isobaric process, according to Equation 5, have $Q_r(C) = T\Delta S \ge Q_{real}(D) = \Delta H(D) - W_{other}(D),$ then $-\Delta G(D) \ge -W_{other}(D)$. In isothermal and isobaric process, Equation 6 can be converted into $W_r(C) = \Delta U - Q_r(C) \le W_{real}(D) = W_{other}(D) - p\Delta V(D)$, that is, $\Delta G(D) \leq W_{other}(D)$.

In the meantime, if the paths (C) and (D) exists, the result is $\Delta G = \Delta G(C) = \Delta G(D) = W_{max}(C)$. When the path (B) with the paths (C) and (D) exists in the meantime, the following equation will be given by:

$$\Delta G = \Delta G(B) = \Delta G(C) = \Delta G(D) = W_{max}(C).$$

If the paths (B), (C), and (D) with the path (A) exists in the meantime, we have AC(C) ACIA

electrons transferred per mole in cell reaction, F is the Faraday constant, Vele is the cell potential when the electric current approaches zero namely W_{resist}(C)=0.

Applications to the gravitational field

In the gravitational field, mg∆h is the gravitational

potential energy change, m is the mass, g is the acceleration of gravity, and Δh is the elevation change. In the reversible process ($W_{\rm resist}=0$), according to the conservation of energy, we can obtain $\Delta U_{\rm r} + \Delta E_{\rm cal} + \int_{\rm j}^{\rm i} p dV + \Delta E_{\rm ele} + mg \Delta h + \Delta E_{\rm kin} = 0 \,. \label{eq:Lagrange}$

Where p is not the internal pressure (Marcus, 2013). ΔE_{cal} is the heat energy change of calorimeter in the reversible process, $Q_r = -\Delta E_{cal}$. ΔE_{ele} and ΔE_{kin} are electrical energy change and kinetic energy change in the reversible process, respectively. When compared with Equation 1, if $\int_i^i p dV$ and ΔE_{ele} are neglected, we find:

$$W_{\rm r} = -mg\Delta h - \Delta E_{\rm kin}. \tag{11}$$

In the realistic process, on the basis of the conservation of energy, we can gain $\Delta U_{real} + \Delta E_{cal}' + \int_{j}^{i} p dV + \Delta E_{ele}' + mg\Delta h + \Delta E_{kin}' = 0$, where $\Delta E_{ele}'$ and $\Delta E_{kin}'$ are electrical energy change and kinetic energy change in the realistic process, respectively, $Q_{real} = -\Delta E_{cal}'$. When compared with Equation 2, if $\int_{j}^{i} p dV$ and $\Delta E_{ele}'$ are neglected, we find:

$$W_{real} = -mg\Delta h - \Delta E_{kin}'.$$
 (12)

The gravitational potential energy change is identical in the irreversible and reversible process. In accordance with Equation 6, we gain:

$$\Delta E_{kin} \ge \Delta E_{kin}^{'}.$$
 (13)

The falling matter and flowing river water have to obey Equation 13. Equation 13 is an approximate formula. When the mechanical energy want to keep the conservation, the changes of ΔU_r , ΔE_{cal} , $\int_j^i p dV$, and ΔE_{ele} are equal to zero or could be neglected.

Applications to free expansive ideal gas

The ideal gas in the adiabatic isochoric container was freely expanding to the adiabatic isochoric vacuum container; the temperature will be without any change. In the irreversible path (B), $W_{real}(B) = Q_{real}(B) = 0$ and $\Delta U = 0$. The reversible process is isothermal expansion

in the path (A); the result is
$$Q_{\rm r}(A) = -W_{\rm r}(A) = \int_{\rm j}^{\rm i} \frac{nRTdV}{V} = nRT \ln \frac{V_{\rm i}}{V_{\rm j}} > 0 \,, \qquad \text{where}$$

 $V_i > V_j$. Therefore, Equations 5 to 7 are all obeyed. It should be noted that according to Equations 3, 4 and 7, we have $Q_r(B) = -Q_{resist}(B) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad W_r(B) = -W_{resist}(B) < 0.$

Conclusion

If the work does not exist, $Q_r = Q_{real}$, the difference in temperature would cause the heat to be transferred. For example, the temperature either rises or falls in isochoric gas; Equations 6 and 10 are disobeyed, but Equation 8 is obeyed.

 W_{real} is approximately equal to W_r in the He(II) superfluid, namely, that $W_{resist}\approx 0.$ So the difference in temperature would cause the motion of the He(II) superfluid, but Equation 10 will be obeyed. If the He(II) superfluid is heated it will flow from low temperature to high temperature; on the basis of Equation 10 $W_{real}\approx W_r<0$ (namely,

$$-\Delta E_{\rm kin} - mg\Delta h \approx -\Delta E_{\rm kin} - mg\Delta h < 0 \qquad \qquad {\rm or} \qquad \qquad \label{eq:kin}$$

$$\Delta E_{\rm kin} \approx \Delta E_{\rm kin} > mg \Delta h$$
). Therefore, if $\Delta h \le 0$,

 $\begin{array}{ll} \Delta E_{\rm kin}\approx\Delta E_{\rm kin}>0\,, \ \mbox{If} \quad \Delta E_{\rm kin}\approx\Delta E_{\rm kin}\leq 0\,, \ \ \Delta h>0\,. \end{array}$ The aforementioned conclusion could not be obtained from other fluids.

If
$$\int_j^i \frac{\delta Q_r(A)}{T} - \int_j^i \frac{\delta Q_{real}(B)}{T_i} = X$$
 , $X \ge 0$, where X is the

entropy generation with ΔS_g (Grazzini et al., 2013). We will gain $\Delta S = X + \frac{Q_{real}(B)}{T_i} = \Delta S_g + \frac{Q_{real}(B)}{T_i}$, as the ratio

of heat to temperature $~\frac{Q_{\rm real}(B)}{T_{\rm i}}$ is entropy flow.

Equation 8 is the criteria for a spontaneous process and must be obeyed by the spontaneous process. Equations 5 to 7 are always the criteria for a spontaneous process except $W_{resist} = 0$. Equation 10 is always criteria for а spontaneous process except $W_{r} = W_{real} = W_{resist} = 0$. Equations 3 and 4 are well reasonable presumptions. Because the resistance exists, ΔG will change to zero when the chemical reaction reaches balance state in the isothermal and isobaric realistic path. The resistance should have relation to the rate and activation energy in the chemical reactions. In Equations 5, 6, and 13, the reversible process could not be arbitrarily invented or fabricated. The reversible and irreversible paths generally have a distinction for gas.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author has not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Atkins P, Paula J (2014). Atkins' physical chemistry, tenth ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford. pp. 45-130.
- Borgnakke C, Sonntag RE (2014). Fundamentals of thermodynamics. 8nd ed. John Wiley & Sons Singapore Pte. Ltd., Singapore. pp. 35-496.
- de Abreu R, Guerra V (2012). Introducing thermodynamics through energy and entropy. Am. J. Phys. 80(7):627-37.
- DeVoe H (2013). A comparison of local and global formulations of thermodynamics. J. Chem. Educ. 90(5):591-597.
- Gislason EA, Craig NC (2013). Criteria for spontaneous processes derived from the global point of view. J. Chem. Educ. 90(5):584-590.
- Grazzini G, Borchiellini R, Lucia U (2013). Entropy versus entransy. J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn. 38:259-271.
- Jacobs P (2013). Thermodynamics. Imperial College Press, London. pp. 1-52.

- Jin C (2016). The inequality of work derived from Clausius inequality and Carnot theorem. 2016 International Conference on Energy, Power and Electrical Engineering. Atlantis Press, Paris. pp. 315-317.
- Lee S, Lee K, Lee J (2015). An alternative presentation of the second law of thermodynamics. J. Chem. Educ. 92(4):771-773.
- Marcus Y (2013). Internal pressure of liquids and solutions. Chem. Rev. 113:6536-6551.
- Muschik W (2014). Contact temperature and internal variables: A glance back, 20 years later. J. Non-Equilib. Thermodyn. 39(3):113-121.
- Nieto R, González C, Jiménez Á, López I, Rodríguez J (2011). A missing deduction of the Clausius equality and inequality. J. Chem. Educ. 88 (5):597–601.
- Sandler SI, Woodcock LV (2010). Historical observations on laws of thermodynamics. J. Chem. Eng. Data. 55(10):4485-4490.