Short Communication

Some results about log-harmonic mappings

Melike AydogAn

Yeni Yüzyil University Yilanli Ayazma Road Num: 26 Cevizlibağ-Topkapi-Istanbul, Turkey. E-mail: melike.aydogan@yeniyuzyil.edu.tr.

Accepted 7 March, 2011

Let A(α , β) be a subclass of certain analytic functions and H (D) is to be a linear space of all analytic functions defined on the open unit disc D = {z| |z| < 1}. A sense-preserving log-harmonic function is

the solution of the non-linear elliptic partial differential equation; $\overline{f_z} = w_f^f f_z$, where w(z) is analytic, satisfies the condition |w(z)| < 1 for every $z \in D$ and is called the second dilatation of f. It has been shown that if f is a non-vanishing log-harmonic mapping, then f can be represented by; f(z) = h(z)g(z), where h(z) and g(z) are analytic in D with h(0) = 0, g(0) = 1([1]). If f vanishes at z = 0, but it is not identically zero, then f admits the representation; $f(z) = z |z|^{2\beta} h(z)g(z)$, where Re $\beta > 1/2$, h(z) and g(z) are analytic in D with g(0) = 0. The class of sense-preserving log-harmonic mappins is denoted by SLH. The aim of this paper is to give some distortion theorems of these classes.

Key words: Starlike, subordination, distortion.

INTRODUCTION

Let $A(\alpha, \beta)$ be the class of functions of the form:

$$h(z) = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{X} \circ \mathbf{x}}{c_n z^n} (c_n \ge 0)$$
(1)

which are in the analytic , in the open unit disc $D = \{z | |z| < 1\}$ and satisfy

$$\operatorname{Reh}(z) + \alpha z h^{U}(z) > 0, z \in D$$
⁽²⁾

where $\text{Re}(\alpha) > 0$ and $0 \le \beta < 1$. The class $A(\alpha, \beta)$ for real $\alpha > 0$ was studied by Osman and Shigeyoshi (1992)

Let Ω be the family of functions $\varphi(z)$ which are regular in D and satisfying the conditions $\varphi(0) = 0$, $|\varphi(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in D$.

Let $S_1(z)$ and $S_2(z)$ be analytic functions in D with $S_1(0) = S_2(0)$. We say that $S_1(z)$ subordinated to $S_2(z)$ and denote by:

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C55, 30C45.

$$\mathbf{S}_1(\mathbf{z}) \prec \mathbf{S}_2(\mathbf{z}) \tag{3}$$

if $S_1(z) = S_2(\varphi(z))$ for some function $\varphi(z) \in \Omega$ and every $z \in D$. If $S_1(z) \prec S_2(z)$, then $S_1(D) \subset S_2(D)$ (Zayid and Abu, 1996).

Further, S₁ (z) is said to be quazi subordinate to S2 (z) if there exists an analytic function $\varphi(z)$ Such that $S_1(z)$ is analytic in D, S₁(z):

$$\frac{S_1(z)}{\varphi(z)} \prec g(z), (z \in D)$$
(4)

and $\phi(z) \leq 1$, $(z \in D)$. We also denote this quazi subordination () is equivalent to:

$$S_1(z) = \varphi(z).S_2(w(z)),$$
 (5)

where $|\varphi(z)| \le 1$, $(z \in D)$ and $|w(z)| \le z$ $(z \in D)$ studied by Zayid and Walter (1996).

In the quazi subordination if $\varphi(z) \equiv 1$ then, it becomes

the subordination. For analytic functions $S_1(z)$ and $S_2(z)$ in D, we say that, $S_1(z)$ is majorized by $S_2(z)$ if there exists an analytic function $\phi(z)$ in D satisfying $|\phi(z)| \leq 1$ and $S_1(z) = \phi(z).S_2(z)$ ($z \in D$). We denote the majorization by;

$$S_1(z) \ll S_2(z), (z \in D)$$
 (6)

If we take w(z) = z; then quazi subordination becomes the majorization (Yasunori and Shigeyoshi, 2008). Finally, let H (D) be the linear space of all analytic functions defined on the open unit disc D. A sense-preserving log-harmonic mapping is the solution of the non-linear elliptic partial differential equation:

$$\frac{\overline{\mathbf{f}_{\overline{z}}}}{\overline{\mathbf{f}}} = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{z})\frac{\mathbf{f}_{z}}{\mathbf{f}},\tag{7}$$

where $w(z) \in H(D)$ is the second dilatation of f such that |w(z)| < 1 for every $z \in D$. It has been shown that if f is a non-vanishing log-harmonic mapping, then f can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{z})\overline{\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z})} \tag{8}$$

where h(z) and g(z) are analytic functions in D.

On the other hand, if f vanishes at z = 0 and at no other point, then f admits the representation:

$$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{z} \, |\mathbf{z}|^{2\beta} \, \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{z}) \overline{\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z})},\tag{9}$$

where $\text{Re}\beta > -1/2$, h(z) and g(z) are analytic in D with g(0) = 1 and h(0) = 0. We note that the class of log-harmonic mappings is denoted by SLH.

Let f = zh(z)g(z) be an element of S_{LH} was studied by Zayid and Daoud (1988).

RESULTS

Theorem 1

Let $f = zh(z)\overline{g(z)} = \phi(z).\overline{g(z)}$ be an element of $S_{LH}^*(A, B)$ $\phi(z) = zh(z)$, $g(z) = 1 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + ..., h(z) = b_0 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + ...$ And let $H(z) = \frac{z\Phi^{0}(z)}{\Phi(z)}$. Then:

$$H(z) \in A(\alpha, \beta) \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Re}(H(z) + \alpha z H^{\mathbb{V}}(z)) > \beta, (|z| < 1)$$
(10)

for some complex $\alpha,~(\alpha=0)$; and for some $\beta~(0\leq\beta<1).$

Proof

Let $f \in S^*_{LH}$ (A, B). Then:

$$H(z) = \frac{z\phi^{0}(z)}{\phi(z)} = \frac{z(b_{0} + 2b_{1}z + 3b_{2}z^{2} + ...)}{b_{0}z + b_{1}z^{2} + b_{2}z^{3} + ...}$$
(11)

$$= (1 + 2\frac{b_1}{b_0}z + 3\frac{b_2}{b_0}z^2 + ...)(1 + \frac{b_1}{b_0}z + \frac{b_2}{b_0}z^2 + ...)^{-1}$$
(12)

$$=(1+2\frac{b_1}{b_0}z+3\frac{b_2}{b_0}z^2+...)(1-(\frac{b_1}{b_0}z+\frac{b_2}{b_0}z^2+...)+(\frac{b_1}{b_0}z+\frac{b_2}{b_0}z^2+...)^2-(\frac{b_1}{b_0}z+...)^3+...)$$
(13)

$$= (1 + 2\frac{b_1}{b_0}z + 3\frac{b_2}{b_0}z^2 + ...)(1 - \frac{b_1}{b_0}z + (\frac{b_1^2}{b_0^2} - \frac{b_2}{b_0})z^2 + ...)$$
(14)

$$= 1 + \frac{b_1}{b_0}z + \frac{3b_1b_0 - b_1^2 - b_1b_2}{b_0^2}z_2 + \dots$$
(15)

$$= 1 + h_1 z + h_2 z^2 + \dots$$
(16)

satisfied easily.

Lemma 1: If H(z) is an element of $A(\alpha, \beta)$ then;

$$\underset{n=1}{\overset{\mathbf{X}}{\text{H}(z)}} = 1 + \underset{n=1}{\overset{h_n z^n}{\text{H}(\alpha, \beta)}} \in A(\alpha, \beta), h_n = |h_n| \cdot e^{i(n\theta + \pi)} \Rightarrow \underset{n=1}{\overset{\mathbf{X}}{\text{(1+nRe}(\alpha))}} |h_n| \le 1 - \beta.$$
(17)

Proof

$$\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{H}(z) + \alpha z \operatorname{H}^{\emptyset}(z)) = \operatorname{Re}(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + n\alpha)h_{n}z^{n})$$
(18)

$$= \operatorname{Re}(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + \alpha n) |h_n| e^{i(n\theta + \pi)} z^n) > \beta$$
(19)

for all $z \in D$ Let $z = |z| \ e^{-i\theta}$, then $\ z^n = |z|^n \ e^{-in\theta}$ and,

Telling $|z| \rightarrow 1^-$, we have;

$$\mathbf{X}_{n=1} (1 + n \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)) |\mathbf{h}_n| \le 1 - \beta$$
(21)

Theorem 2

If H(z) is an element of $A(\alpha, \beta)$ then:

$$H(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n z^n \in A(\alpha, \beta), h_n = |h_n| e^{-in\theta + \pi}, Re(\alpha) \ge 0$$
(22)

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + Re\alpha} |z| \le ReH(z) \le |H(z)| \le 1 + \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + Re\alpha} |z|$$
 for $|z| < 1$. (23)

Proof by Lemma 1,

$$\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mathbf{h}_n| \le \frac{1-\beta}{1+\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}$$
(24)

Thus:

$$|H(z)| \le 1 + |z| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n| \le 1 + \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + Re\alpha} |z|$$

(25)

and,

ReH (z) = 1 + Re(
$$\lim_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n z^n$$
) $\ge 1 - |z| \lim_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n| \ge 1 - \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + Re(\alpha)} |z|$ (26)

Theorem 3

If H(z) is an element of $A(\alpha, \beta)$ then:

$$H(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n z^n \in A(\alpha, \beta), h_n = |h_n| e^{-in\theta + \pi}, Re(\alpha) \ge 0$$
(27)

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Re}(h_{1}) - \frac{1 - \beta - (1 + \operatorname{Re}(\alpha_{1}) |h_{1}|)}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} |z| \leq \operatorname{ReH}^{0}(z)$$
(28)

$$\leq |H^{0}(z)| \leq |h_{1}| + \frac{1 - \beta - (1 + Re(\alpha_{1}) |h_{1}|)}{Re(\alpha)} |z|$$
 for $|z| < 1$. (29)

Proof

Note that:

$$(1+\operatorname{Re}(\alpha))|h_{1}| + \underbrace{\mathbf{X}}_{n=1}(1+n\operatorname{Re}(\alpha))|h_{n}| \leq \underbrace{\mathbf{X}}_{n=1}(1+n\operatorname{Re}(\alpha))|h_{n}| \leq 1-\beta,$$
(30)

This gives that:

$$\underset{n=2}{\overset{\times}{\underset{n=2}{\times}}} n |h_n| \leq \frac{1 - \beta - (1 + \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)) |h_1|}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}.$$
(31)

Thus we have that:

$$|H^{0}(z)| = h_{1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} nh_{n}z^{n-1} \leq |h_{1}| + |z| + |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n|h_{n}|$$
(32)

$$\leq |\mathbf{h}_{1}| + \frac{1 - \beta - (1 + \operatorname{Re}(\alpha))|\mathbf{h}_{1}|}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}|\mathbf{z}|$$
(33)

and,

$$\operatorname{ReH}^{0}(z) = \operatorname{Re}(h_{1} + \operatorname{Nh}_{n} z^{n-1}) \geq \operatorname{Re}(h_{1}) - |z| \stackrel{\mathbf{X}}{=} n |h_{n}|$$
(34)

$$\geq \operatorname{Re}(h_1) - \frac{1 - \beta - (1 + \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)) |h_1|}{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)} |z|.$$
(35)

REFERENCES

- Osman A, Shigeyoshi O (1992). Majorizations and Quazi-Subordinations for Certain Analytic Functions, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A. Math. Sci., 68: 181-185.
- Yasunori H, Shigeyoshi O (2008). Majorization Problems for Certain Analytic Functions, Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., pp. 1-3.
- Zayid A, Daoud B (1988). Univalent functions in H.H (D), Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 305: 841-849.
- Zayid A, Walter H (1996). One pointed univalent logharmonic mappings, J. Math. Anal. Apply., 203(2): 333-351.
- Zayid Å, Åbu M (2006). Starlike log-harmonic mappings of order $\alpha,$ JIPAM., 123: 7-4.