## Full Length Research Paper

# In-silico analysis of *Mycobacterium leprae* genome to find out potential drug targets

Asad Amir<sup>1</sup>, Asif Siddiqui<sup>1</sup>, Anjali Malik<sup>1</sup>, Neeraj<sup>2</sup>\*, Garima Dua<sup>1</sup>, Garima Rastogi<sup>1</sup>, Gayatri Sharma<sup>1</sup>, Richa Goel<sup>1</sup> and Singhal Gauvav<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Meerut Institute of Engineering and Technology, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, Indian.

<sup>2</sup>Scientific and Applied Research Center, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, Indian.

Accepted 29 September, 2009

Mycobacterium leprae is the causative agent of the disease, leprosy. In-silico analysis can be performed on M. leprae genome to find out the potential drug targets. This was done first by database search to find the recorded complete genes with complete sequences of M. leprae and then their comparative study with human by using homology searching using human BLAST. From a total of 1605 genes, potential drug targets have been identified.

Key words: Mycobacterium leprae Genome, BLAST, NCBI, drug target

#### INTRODUCTION

Leprosy remains an important health problem world (Britton and Lockwood, 2004). At the beginning of 2004, the number of leprosy patients under treatment in the world was around 460,000. About 515,000 new cases were detected during 2003 (WHO, Leprosy Elimination Group, 2004). Among them, 43% were multibacillary cases. 12% were children, and 5% diagnosed with severe disabilities (WHO, Leprosy Elimination Group, 2004). Mycobacterium leprae is the causative agent of the disease, leprosy, also known as Hanson's Disease. The bacterium was discovered in 1873 by a Norwegian physician named Gerhard Armauer Hansen (Luis Fernandez et al., 2004). M. leprae is a grampositive, aerobic rod surrounded by the characteristic waxy coating unique to Mycobacteria. In size and shape, it closes resembles M. tuberculosis. Leprosy has afflicted humanity since time immemorial. It once affected every continent and it has left behind a terrifying image in history and human memory - of mutilation, rejection and exclusion from society. An important problem in the

control of leprosy is drug resistance (Mistry and Antia, 1993; Williams and Gillis, 2004). Newer molecular approaches, including the polymerase chain reaction (PCR), may be more useful and it will be important to undertake studies to develop such tools (Gupta and Katoch, 1999). The use of advanced molecular biology technology to discover new drugs to treat resistant organisms is needed.

#### The need for tools to rapidly identify drug targets

The cost of research and development in the pharmaceutical industry has been rising steeply and steadily in the last decade, but the amount of time required to bring a new product to market remains around ten to fifteen years (Humer, 2005). This problem has been labeled an "innovation gap," and it necessitates investment in inexpensive technologies that shorten the length of time spent in drug discovery. The target identification stage is the first step in the drug discovery process (Terstappen GC and Reggiani A, 2001) and as such can provide the foundation for years of dedicated research in the pharmaceutical industry. As with all the other steps in

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: neeraitandan@gmail.com

drug discovery, this stage is complicated by the fact that the identified drug target must satisfy a variety of criteria to permit progression to the next stage. Important factors in this context include homology between target and host (to prevent host toxicity such homology must be low or nonexistent (Freiberg, 2001) activity of the target in the diseased state (Wang et al., 2004) and the essentiality of the target to the pathogen's growth and survival. The values of some of these selection criteria can be found easily by querying publicly available bioinformatics resources, including metabolic pathway databases such as KEGG (Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes), NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) for retrieving complete genome of any organism, and databases of 'druggable' (potentially useful as drug targets) proteins (Sanseau, 2001).

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Searching for the M. leprae complete genes

Complete genes of *M. leprae* can identify by database searching method. We had used National Center for Biotechnology Information (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) for identifying all gene sets.

#### Comparative analysis with human

The identified genes from *M. laprae* genome were compared with human genes in order to find out drug target genes. Using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (human BLAST) (McGinnis and Madden, 2004) did comparative study. Genes which lack the homology with human was considered as potential drug target candidates for further drug development process.

#### Finding the functions shown by the targets

The obtained targets were further taken and scan by uniprot (www.uniprot.org) database to find out their functions (Table 2).

### **RESULTS**

After database search we have found total 1605 genes in the *M. laprae* genome, we had annotated all the genes and removed all hypothetical genes to refine the results. After removing all hypothetical genes, 805 genes have been derived for further analysis. Out of these 805 genes, 126 genes (Table 1) were found to lack significant homologues to the human genome and were identified as potential candidates for further target based drug development. After comparative study with human, we have found genes with or without homologue to human. Genes those were homologous to human were neglected as they were functionally similar with those of human and as a drug, they can led to unwanted toxicity. However on the other hand, there were 126 genes found by human BLAST homology searching method that were showing

no similarity with human. These genes can work for future drug discovery process.

#### DISCUSSION

According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the global registered prevalence of leprosy at the beginning of 2008 stood at 212,802 cases, while the number of new cases detected during 2007 was 254,525 (Mary Kugler R.N., About.com, 2009).

Since 1940, treatment using dapsone has been used to suppress leprosy (WHO, Leprosy Elimination Group, 2004). Seldom can leprosy be completely eradicated from a patient's skin and tissues; modest expectations for newer and better drug combinations led to MDT for the control of leprosy (Noordeen, 2000; WHO, Leprosy Elimination Group, 2004).

Since it is generally believed that the genomes of bacteria contain both genes with and without homologues to the human host. Using in silico approach for drug targets target identification is very quick to produce a desirable list.

Here we performed database search and found total 1605 genes in the *M. laprae* genome, we had annotated all the genes and removed all hypothetical genes to refine the results. After removing all hypothetical genes, 805 genes have been derived for drug target selection.

#### Conclusion

Our research provides a simple framework for integrating the vast amount of genomic data that can be used in the drug target identification stage. Drugs that specifically target genes with high homology to the host can lead to unwanted toxicity, therefore, finding new antileprosy drugs should based on genome homology.

We were able to predict about 126 genes (Table 1) out 1605 protein coding genes of *M. leprae* genome. These 126 genes were found to lack significant homologues to the human genome. However on the other hand there were 126 genes found by human BLAST homology searching Method (Thammarongtham and Palittapongarnpim, 2002) that were showing no similarity with human. These genes can work for future drug discovery process.

Table 2 shows some targets involved in some important functions. Of these 6 candidate targets are involved in cell wall biosynthesis, 11 targets involves in ATP binding. It has been noted, however, the drugs that target cell wall synthesis are more likely to be active against growing bacteria. Also we have found 2 antibiotic resistance target and 5 target are involved in folate biosynthesis, which are interesting and important pathway to target for drug development.

Table 1. Mycobacterium leprae potential drug target genes with Gene ID. S. No Gene Id **Drug Target** Similarity with human genes 1 908143 dnaA Nil 2 Nil 908144 dnaN 3 Nil 910311 rodA 4 Nil 908231 menG 5 Nil 908182 hns 6 910395 pheA Nil 7 embB Nil 908335 8 908337 embA Nil 9 908339 embC Nil 10 908361 rfbE Nil 11 908384 Nil lipE 12 fadD29 Nil 908436 13 908464 lppX Nil 14 908466 mmpL7 Nil 15 908505 uvrD Nil 16 908560 mscL Nil 17 908570 rimJ Nil folP Nil 18 908646 19 folB Nil 908411 20 folK Nil 908689 21 Nil 908653 panC 22 Nil 908703 pabB 23 908726 rplY Nil 24 908727 Nil **IpqT** 25 908850 thiG Nil 26 908861 thiE Nil 27 908869 glnH Nil 28 Nil 909217 pssA 29 909986 lpqE Nil 30 908920 ispF Nil 31 909060 alr Nil 32 909169 **IppS** Nil 33 909202 pgsA Nil 34 909213 dedA Nil 35 909230 ruvC Nil 36 909231 ruvA Nil 37 Nil 909239 yajC 38 909240 secD Nil Nil 39 909241 secF 40 aroE Nil 909272 41 909276 aroD Nil 42 Nil 909283 nusB 43 909285 adi Nil 44 909117 pyrF Nil 45 PΕ Nil 909302

PPE

46

909303

Nil

Table 1. Cont.

47	909319	priA	Nil
48	909336	ribC	Nil
49	909338	ribA	Nil
50	909360	ppc	Nil
51	910135	tal	Nil
52	909396	subl	Nil
53	910360	mtb12	Nil
54	909420	uvrD2	Nil
55	909422	whiB7	Nil
56	909655	ftsX	Nil
57	910083	smpB	Nil
58	909593	sdhD	Nil
59	909683	purK	Nil
60	909681	purE	Nil
61	909766	entC	Nil
62	909792	dnaG	Nil
63	909803	cysE	Nil
64	909819	narK	Nil
65	098629	cobT	Nil
66	909885	trpD	Nil
67	909902	murE	Nil
68	909915	murF	Nil
69	909911	murD	Nil
70	909914	ftsW	Nil
71	909917	murG	Nil
72	909916	murC	Nil
73	909922	ag84	Nil
74	909964	ppdK	Nil
75	909974	metE	Nil
76	909997	ftsK	Nil
77	910283	recX	Nil
78	910020	dapF	Nil
79	910085	ppgK	Nil
80	910461	tagA	Nil
81	910163	sigE	Nil
82	910225	thrB	Nil
83	910324	IspA	Nil
84	910322	bioD	Nil
85	910333	nadA	Nil
86	910337	papA3	Nil
87	910336	mmpL10	Nil
88	910348	hisB	Nil

Table 1. Cont.

89	910370	hisl	Nil
90	910382	trpC	Nil
91	910528	rpml	Nil
92	910543	pheT	Nil
93	910547	argB	Nil
94	909482	nadD	Nil
95	909495	rplU	Nil
96	909506	mmuM	Nil
97	909509	Tig	Nil
98	909521	fdxA	Nil
99	910150	folP2	Nil
100	910233	atpF	Nil
101	910413	uppP	Nil
102	910454	tatA	Nil
103	910487	tlyA	Nil
104	910489	recN	Nil
105	910501	cmk	Nil
106	910543	pheT	Nil
107	910545	argC	Nil
108	910546	argJ	Nil
109	910553	argR	Nil
110	909820	rimM	Nil
111	909837	glnE	Nil
112	910042	thiL	Nil
113	910044	ddl	Nil
114	910764	fecB	Nil
115	910758	nrdl	Nil
116	910738	sdaA	Nil
117	910722	uspE	Nil
118	910696	hsp18	Nil
119	910663	PPE	Nil
120	910651	sppA	Nil
121	910650	rplO	Nil
123	910632	rplV	Nil
124	908542	greA	Nil
125	908512	umaA2	Nil
126	908410	aac	Nil

Table 2. No. of target showing different functions.

Functions obtained from uniprot.	No. Targets Involved
www.uniprot.org	
ATP binding,	11
Antibiotic resistance	04
DNA binding	02
Biosynthetic process	06
Cell wall biosynthesis	06
Ribonuclease inhibitor activity	01
Metabolic process	03
Amino-acid biosynthesis	04
Transferase activity	04
Protein transport	02
Transcription regulation	02
Translation	03
Thiamine biosynthesis	03
DNA damageDNA recombination DNA repair	02
Folate biosynthesis	05
Phospholipid biosynthetic process	03
Hydrolase activity	01
Sugar transport	01
Iron ion transmembrane	01
Signal peptide processing	01
Electron carrier activity	01
Homocysteine S-methyltransferase activity	01

#### **REFERENCES**

Freiberg C (2001). Novel computational methods in anti-microbial target identification. Drug Discovery Today 6: S72-S80.

Gupta UD, Katoch VM (1999). Drug resistance in leprosy: lessons from past and future perspective. Indian J. Lepr. 71: 451-63.

Humer F (2005). Innovation in the Pharmaceutical Industry—Future Prospects. Available: http://www.roche.com/fbh\_zvg05\_e.pdf. Accessed 12 May 2006.

McGinnis S, Madden,BLAST TL (2004). At the core of a powerful and diverse set of sequence analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 32: W20-5.

Noordeen SK (2000). Leprosy research and elimination. Lepr. Rev. 71 (suppl): S12-4.

Sanseau P (2001). Impact of human genome sequencing for in silico target discovery. Drug Discover Today 6: 316–323.

Terstappen GC, Reggiani A (2001). In silico research in drug discovery. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 22: 23-26.

Thammarongtham C, Palittapongarnpim P (2002). In silico analysis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* genome: searching for drug targets [Abstract]. Presented at The International Conference on Bioinformatics: North – South Networking. Bangkok.

W.H.O (2004). Leprosy Elimination Group. Leprosy today. Available at: URL: http://www.who.int/lep.

Wang S, Sim TB, Kim YS, Chang YT (2004). Tools for target identification and validation. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 8: 371–377.

WHO (2004). Leprosy Elimination Group. Leprosy today. Available at: URL: http://www.who.int/lep.

Williams DL, Gillis TP (2004). Molecular detection of drug resistance in *Mycobacterium leprae*. Lepr. Rev. 75: 118-30.