Bioconcentration of metals in the body muscle and gut of Clarias gariepinus exposed to sublethal concentrations of soap and detergent effluent
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The toxicity of Sublethal concentrations of effluents from a soap and detergent industry were investigated on African catfish Clarias gariepinus using a renewable static bioassay. The trend of bioconcentration of metals in the muscle and gut of the test organisms differs significantly (p < 0.05) and it followed the order, gut > muscle. The result revealed that the muscle had the least concentration of manganese at 0.1 x 10^{-3} mg/kg and 10.7 x 10^{-3} mg/kg recorded for zinc as the highest. While the highest iron concentration of 15.80 x 10^{-3} mg/kg was recorded in the gut tissues of C. gariepinus, but mercury had the least concentration of 1.00 x 10^{-3} mg/ kg. It was revealed that fish can bioaccumulate heavy metals from a polluted environment, which may result in impairment of natural population size; thus consumption of fish from such polluted environment should be discouraged.
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INTRODUCTION

Metal contamination of aquatic ecosystems has long been recognized as a serious pollution problem. When fish are exposed to elevated levels of metal in a polluted aquatic ecosystem, they tend to take these metals up from their direct environment. (Dupreez and Steyn, 1992; Seymore, 1994). Heavy metal contamination may have devastating effects on the ecological balance of the recipient environment and a diversity of aquatic organisms (Farombi et al., 2007; Vosyliene and Jankaite, 2006; Ashraj, 2005).

Transport of metals in fish occurs through the blood where the ions are usually bound to proteins. The metals are brought into contact with the organs and tissues of the fish and consequently accumulated to a different extent in different organs or tissues of the fish. Most heavy metals released into the environment find their way into the aquatic environment as a result of direct input, atmospheric deposition and erosion due to rainwater; therefore aquatic animals may be exposed to elevated levels of heavy metals due to their wide use for anthropogenic purposes, (Kalay and Canli, 2000).

Contamination of a river with heavy metals may cause devastating effects on the ecological balance of the aquatic environment, and the diversity of aquatic organisms becomes limited with the extent of contamination (Suziki et al., 1988).

Once heavy metals are accumulated by an aquatic organism, they can be transferred through the upper classes of the food chain. Carnivores at the top of the food Chain including humans, obtain most of their heavy metal burden from the aquatic ecosystem by way of their food, especially where fish are present so there exist the potential for considerable biomagnifications (Cumbie, 1975; Mance, 1987; Langston, 1990).

However all the metals taken up by fish are not accumulated because fishes have the ability to regulate their body metal concentration to some extent (Romanenko et al., 1986). Heath (1987) showed that excretion of metals could occur through the gills, bile (via faeces), kidney and skin. There are five potential routes for a pollutant to enter a fish. These routes are through the food, non-food particles, gills, oral consumption of water and the skin. Once the pollutants are absorbed, they are transported by the blood to either a storage point (that is, bone) or
to the liver for transformation and storage (Oronsaye and Ogbebo, 1995). If the pollutants are transformed by the liver, they may be stored there or excreted in the bile or passed back into the blood for possible excretion by the gills or kidneys, or stored in fat, which is an extra hepatic tissue (Heath, 1987).

The effect of a pollutant on a target organism may either be lethal or sublethal (Manson, 1991). Lethal effect occurs quickly and death is usually the criterion, sub lethal concentration of toxic pollutants may affect the behaviour of organisms that may lead to reduction in the fitness of the natural population.

Heavy metals are non-biodegradable and once they enter the environment, bioconcentration occurs in the fish tissue in the case of aquatic environment, by means of metabolic and biosorption processes (Hodson, 1988; Carpene et al., 1990; Wicklund-Glynn, 1991).

Many researchers have been concerned with the physiological effects and bioconcentration patterns of individual metals in aquatic ecosystems. Senthil et al. (2008) reported that bioconcentration of zinc followed the order of liver > kidney > intestine > muscle of *Ghana punctatus* after chronic exposure to zinc. Trace metals like copper, iron and zinc are readily concentrated in different fish tissues (Villelaga-Navarro and Villarreal-Trevino, 1989; Grobler van Heerden et al., 1991; Mohan and Choudhary, 1991; Peres and Pihan, 1991; Pelgrom et al., 1995; Odieta, 1999; Adewoye et al., 2005). It has further been shown that uptake of sublethal concentrations of these metals leads to altered physiological processes which reduces the normal functioning of the organism (Groblar et al., 1989; Wepener et al., 1992). Trace metals, copper and cadmium, and the organochlorine pesticide, dieodrin have all been shown to alter metabolism in fish (Mc Geer et al., 2000; Rajotte and Coutre, 2002).

This study focuses on the bioaccumulation of metals in the body muscle and gut of African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* exposed to sublethal concentrations of soap and detergent effluents.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The waste water (effluent) used for the toxicity test was collected from Global soap and detergent industry Ilorin, Kwara State. The collections were made daily between 8:00 am to 9:00 am for two weeks. The wastewaters were then pooled together to avoid variability in concentration. The samples were then kept in the refrigerator to avoid moisture accumulation before digestion. The digestion procedure was carried out as described by (Kotze et al., 2006).

Careful observations were then made to note the number of mortalities of the test organisms. The specimens were dissected to remove the whole body muscle and gut, which were then kept in the freezer prior to analysis. The dissected parts were oven dried at 70 - 73°C until constant weight was obtained. The specimens were then ground to fine powder and stored in desiccators in order to avoid moisture accumulation before digestion. The digestion procedure was then carried as described by (Kotze et al., 2006).

The heavy metals were analyzed using PYE UNICAM Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (AAS). The obtained data were statistically analyzed by using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Duncan multiple range tests as a posy-hoc test, with the aid of SPSS 10 computer statistical software package.

**RESULTS**

**Physico-chemical analysis**

Table 1 shows the results of the physico-chemical characteristics of the detergent effluent used and the values obtained shows some level of deviations FEPA (1991) standard for effluent discharge into any category of water bodies.

**Observed fish behaviour**

Two hours after the introduction of the effluent into the plastics containing the test organisms, test organisms were observed to exhibit erratic swimming, frequent movement to water surface gasping for air, with their opercula and mouth moving rapidly. The fish were observed to later exhibit reduced activities (swimming and feeding). Colouration change from black to pale black was also observed, the fish later became weak with thick mucus covering the entire fish body and their barbels became reddened.

**Mortality response**

Figure 1 shows the mortality response of the test organisms to the detergent effluent used.

Table 2 shows the bioaccumulation of metals in the body tissue of the test organisms at sublethal level. There were significant differences (p < 0.05) among the levels of concentration. Zinc had the highest concentration of 10.7 x 10^{-3} mg kg^{-1} and the least one was recorded for manganese at concentration of 0.10 x 10^{-3} mg kg^{-1}.

Bioaccumulation of the metals in the gut of the test organisms is shown in Table 3. The bioaccumulation level also differed significantly (p < 0.05). Iron had the highest bioaccumulation level at 15.8 x 10^{-3} mg kg^{-1} and the least was recorded for mercury at 1.00 x 10^{-3} mg kg^{-1}.
Table 1. Physicochemical characteristics of detergent effluent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Detergent Effluent (mg/L)</th>
<th>F.E.P.A 1991 Specification (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total suspended solids</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and grease</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromium</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphide</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanide</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY
ND: NOT DETECTED

**DISCUSSION**

The physico-chemical characteristics of the effluent revealed that there were high total suspended solids, high pH level and low dissolve oxygen content. This might have resulted from the organic loads in the effluent, which serves as a suitable medium for microorganisms that competes with the test organisms for the utility of the limited available oxygen. Most of the parameters investigated in the physico-chemical characteristics of the effluent showed deviation from the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (1991) safe limit for waste discharge into water bodies, thus the discharge of the effluent into water bodies over time might lead to the bioaccumulation of metals in fish tissues and organisms in such water bodies.

In this study, the fish exposed to detergent effluent were observed to be highly irritable and they displayed erratic swimming, they were seen at the water surface frequently with their opercula and mouths moving rapidly. Toxic effect of the effluent causes the depletion of the oxygen content of the medium. This might have led to the reactions of the test organisms like erratic swimming and frequent surfacing. Activities of test organisms like swimming and feeding reduced drastically and they became very weak since they could no longer feed well. Colour changes from black to pale black with mucus covering the entire body in response to the toxic effect of the effluent. The mucus covering the entire body of the test organisms might have resulted from the excretion of some accumulated metals in their tissues. Khalaf et al. (1985) supported this observation; he reported that the skin is an important excretory organ for heavy metals; presumably by means of mucus (Heath, 1987). Varanasi and Markey (1978) reported that sloughing off of mucus from the fish skin surface assists in reducing the bioaccumulation of metals.

The 4-week LC$_{50}$ recorded in this study indicated that at 0.003 mgL$^{-1}$ concentration of the effluent in an aquatic habitat, half the natural population of such habitat would have died or impaired. In this study, it was observed that mortality response of the test organisms increases as concentration of the effluent increases as can be seen in Figure 1. Also accumulation of metals in the body muscle and gut of the test organisms increased as the concentration of the detergent effluent increases ($p < 0.05$). This result was confirmed by Adewoye et al. (2005) who found that the accumulation of metals in muscles of *C. gariepinus* exposed to cassava waste water was
Table 2. Levels (± se) of bioaccumulated metals in the body muscle of C. gariepinus exposed to sublethal concentrations of detergent effluent for 4 weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METALS</th>
<th>LEAD (Pb) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>MERCURY (Hg) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>COPPER (Cu) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>IRON (Fe) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>MANGANESE (Mn) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>ZINC (Zn) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>CADMIUM (Cd) (x 10^-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc. mg/l</td>
<td>1.37± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>1.00± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>0.80± 0.00</td>
<td>0.15± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>3.51± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>2.00± 0.00</td>
<td>4.10± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>1.65± 0.00</td>
<td>0.50± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>3.60± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>4.00± 0.00</td>
<td>7.35± 0.00</td>
<td>0.10± 0.00</td>
<td>2.85± 0.00</td>
<td>1.22± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>3.73± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>4.50± 0.00</td>
<td>8.80± 0.00</td>
<td>0.20± 0.00</td>
<td>6.00± 0.00</td>
<td>1.50± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>3.81± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>4.50± 0.00</td>
<td>9.25± 0.00</td>
<td>1.90± 0.00</td>
<td>6.95± 0.00</td>
<td>1.62± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means within the same column having the same alphabet (s) are not significantly different (P > 0.05) S.E = standard error.

Table 3. Levels of bioaccumulated metals in the gut of C. gariepinus exposed to sublethal concentration of detergent effluent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>METALS</th>
<th>LEAD (Pb) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>MERCURY (Hg) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>COPPER (Cu) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>IRON (Fe) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>MANGANESE (Mn) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>ZINC (Zn) (x 10^-3)</th>
<th>CADMIUM (Cd) (x 10^-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conc. mg/l</td>
<td>0.10± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>2.00± 0.00</td>
<td>0.53± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>2.92± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>5.25± 0.00</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>2.00± 0.00</td>
<td>1.10± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>3.72± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>2.50± 0.00</td>
<td>5.85± 0.00</td>
<td>1.90± 0.00</td>
<td>2.75± 0.00</td>
<td>1.12± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>4.59± 0.01</td>
<td>0.00± 0.00</td>
<td>4.00± 0.00</td>
<td>5.80± 0.00</td>
<td>2.70± 0.00</td>
<td>5.45± 0.00</td>
<td>1.31± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>4.82± 0.01</td>
<td>1.00± 0.00</td>
<td>5.00± 0.00</td>
<td>5.95± 0.00</td>
<td>3.20± 0.00</td>
<td>6.25± 0.00</td>
<td>1.69± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>4.99± 0.01</td>
<td>3.50± 0.00</td>
<td>4.50± 0.00</td>
<td>15.80± 0.00</td>
<td>3.45± 0.00</td>
<td>6.40± 0.00</td>
<td>1.91± 0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

concentration dependent.

High concentration of copper and zinc were observed in the body muscle of the test organisms after four weeks of exposure of the effluent. This is in accordance with the observation made by Hughes and Flos (1978); Bezuidenhout et al. (1990); Seymore, (1994); Seymore et al. (1996); Oronsaye and Ogbebo (1995); Senthil et al. (2008) who stated that copper and zinc mainly accumulate in the skin, bone, liver, gill, heart, kidney and muscle tissue of fish. The recorded high level of manganese in the body muscle at higher concentration of the effluent agreed with the work of Coetzee (1996) who recorded high concentration of manganese in the flesh of *Labeo umbratus* and *C. gariepinus* from the Olifant River in South Africa.

It was observed from this study that the bioaccumulation of the analyzed metals was significantly higher in the gut at higher concentration than the whole body muscle (P < 0.05) when compared with the control group (gut > muscle) this agrees closely with the study done by kotze et al. (2006) and Senthil et al. 2008. The reason for this could be due to the fact that alimentary canal can be considered as the interface of the organisms and its ambience. It is the system which receives the metal directly from ambient source (Matheissen and Brafield, 1975). This could also be attributed to the fact that metals may be ingested along with water and food into the stomach and finally into the gut where absorption of digested food materials takes place. It was observed in this study that accumulation of heavy metals in the body muscle followed the order Zn > Fe > Mn > Cu > Pb > Hg > Cd. Similarly, in the case of the gut, the order was Fe > Zn > Cu > Pb > Hg > Mn > Cd. In all the heavy metals analysed, the bioaccumulation of iron and zinc proportion was significantly increased in the gut and body muscle of *C. gariepinus*. The result conformed closely with the work done by Vinodhini and Narayananam (2008) where they carefully observed the trend of bioaccumulation of heavy metals in various organs of the fresh water fish *Cyprinus carpio* (common carp) exposed to heavy metal contaminated water system.

Cadmium and mercury was found in this study to have low levels of bioaccumulation in the gut and muscle tissues investigated. These metals can remain in the body like other heavy metals for long period of time and can bioaccumulate for many years after exposure to low levels (Ground work, 2002), thus if a fish contaminated with these metals finds its way into man's food chain biomagnifications of such heavy metal might occur and
this becomes harmful to man’s health. The result indicates that the heavy metal contamination definitely affects the aquatic life of the fresh water fish. Hence, a scientific method of detoxification is essential to improve the health of these economic fish in any stressed environmental conditions.

However, the high concentration of the analyzed metals in the whole body muscle and gut investigated could be due to the storage role played by the gut and body muscle of fish. Fish in an ecosystem contaminated by trace metals are known to accumulate significantly more metals in edible muscle tissue than do fish in an uncontaminated ecosystem (Murphy et al., 1978; Du Preez et al., 1993).

The recorded significant differences in the bioconcentration of metals in the fish under study may be attributed to the physiological changes, behavioural and metabolic responses of the fish to the effluent.

**Conclusion**

It can be conclusively deduced from this study that fish has the tendency to bioaccumulate heavy metals in a polluted environment. Since virtually all metals investigated were found in higher concentrations except for cadmium and mercury, which may even be biomagnified over time, so Government should put up food quality assurance agency that will ascertain the quality of foods that enters the market for human consumption or the pre-existing agencies such as NAFDAC (National Agency for Food Drug Administration and Control) should be more empowered.

Therefore, Federal government should also enact laws that will ensure that industries make use of standard waste treatment plants for the treatment of their wastes. The government should not only enact laws on discharge of wastes, they should ensure compliance with these laws by enforcing the treatment of wastes by industries before they are being discharged into water bodies. Likewise, the general public should be given the awareness on the proper ways of handling and disposal of wastes and not to see water bodies as a sewer.

**REFERENCES**


Groundwork (2002).


Murphy BR, Atchison GJ McIntosh AW(1978),Cd and Zn in muscle of bluegill(Lepomis macrochirus) and Largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) from an industrially contaminated lake. Environ. Pollut. 17: 253−257.


Rajotte JW, Coutre P (2002). Effects of environmental metal contami-
nation on the condition, swimming performance, and tissue metabolic
Aquat. Sci. 59, 1296-1304.
Romanenko VD, Malzycheva TD, Yevtushenko N (1986). The role of
various organs in regulating zinc metabolism in fish. Hydrobiol. J
21(3) 7-12.
Senthil MS, Karuppasamy k, Poongodi S, Puranesurin (2008).
Bioaccumulation Pattern of Zinc in Freshwater Fish Channa
punctatus (Bloch) after chronic exposure. Turkish J. Fish. Aquat Sci.
8: 55 – 59 (2008)
Seymore T (1994). Bioaccumulation of Metals in Barbus marequensis
from the Olifants River, Kruger National Park and Lethal Levels of
Manganese to Juvenile Oreochromis mossambicus. M.Sc. Thesis,
Rand Afrikaans University, South Africa.
Seymore T, Du Preez, HH, Van Vuren JHJ, Deacon A, Strydom
G (1994). Variation in selected water quality variables and the metal
concentrations in the sediment of the lower Olifants and Selati Rivers.
Seymore T, Du-Preez HH, VAN-Vuren JHJ (1996). Concentrations of
zinc in Barbus marequensis from the lower Olifants River,
Mpuinalanga, south Africa. Hydrobiol. 332 141-150.
Binding of Cadmium and Copper in the mayfly Baetic thermicus
larvae that inhabit in a river polluted with heavy metals. Comp.
Biochem. Physiol. 91C: 487-492.
Varanasi U, Markey YD (1978). Uptake and release of lead and
cadmium in the skin and mucus of coho salmon (Oncorhynchus
zinc, copper, and lead in Texas cichlid (Cichlasoma cyanoguttatum).
Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 42 761-768.
Vindohini R, Navayanan (2008): bioaccumulation of heavy metal in organs
of fresh water fish Cyprius capio (Gounou camp). Int. J. Environ.
Vosyliene MZ, Jankaite A (2006). Effect of heavy metal model mixture
on rainbow trout biological parameters. Ekologija., 4, 12-17.
and manganese at an acidic pH on the hematolog of the banded
Tilapia (Tilapia sparmanii, Smith). Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.49
(4) 613-619.
Wicklund-Glynn A (1991) Cadmium and Zinc kinetics fish: Studies on
water-Borne $^{109}$Cd and$^{65}$Zn turnover and intracellular distribution in