Full Length Research Paper

In vitro study of staining agents effects on optical properties of esthetic restorative materials

Welingtom Dinelli¹*, Renata Vidal Fernandes², Marcelo Ferrarezi de Andrade³, Norberto Catanzaro Guimaraes⁴ and Flavia Magnani Bevilacqua⁵

¹School of Dentistry, Sao Paulo State University at Araraquara (UNESP), Araraquara, SP, Brazil.
²Resident in of Restorative Dentistry, Sao Paulo State University at Araraquara (UNESP), Araraquara, SP, Brazil.
³Department of Restorative Dentistry, Sao Paulo State University at Araraquara (UNESP), Araraquara, SP, Brazil.
⁴Department of Dental Materials and Prosthodontics, Sao Paulo State University at Araraquara (UNESP), Araraquara, SP, Brazil.
⁵P, Brazil.

⁵University Center at Araraquara (UNIARA), Araraquara, SP, Brazil.

Accepted 12 October, 2010

The authors have studied the effect of four staining agents on the optical properties of esthetic restorative materials through translucency tests. Two commercial brands of composite resins were used: Point 4 (Kerr) and Charisma (Heraeus-Kulzer). The liquids tested were: wine, cola, chlorhexidine solution and nicotine solution. The translucency was measured at different periods of time: P_0 – before immersion (baseline), P_1 - 1 h after immersion, P_2 - 2 h after immersion and successively, P_3 – 24 h after immersion up to a period of 7 weeks. ANOVA statistical analysis was applied to the data (p < 0.05). The results lead to the following conclusions: (1) Composite resins submitted to the tested immersion mediums were stained, (2) the lower percentage of translucency was observed for nicotine containing solution, (3) The percentage of translucency decreased with the period of immersion.

Key words: Composite resin, dental materials, translucence.

INTRODUCTION

Clinical relevance

Staining may compromise the required esthetic results of resin composite restorations and thereby interfere with the longevity of the restorations.

In recent days, composite resins associated with adhesive systems are commonly used on a day-to-day practice in esthetic restorative dentistry. According to Dinelli et al. (1994), a restorative material for anterior teeth should be considered, not only for its biological properties, but for its esthetical properties as well. These properties are configured as decisive elements in the context of selective criteria for restorations in which this type of material is used. Regarding this opinion, Khokhar et al. (1991) emphasized that patients considered esthetics as a major factor for personal appearance. Whereas the need for a material which matches the natural appearance of teeth has become extremely important Powers et al. (1980).

Composite resins have been frequently modified in their composition in the past years, because of technological improvements. These improvements lead to advances in the esthetic and optical properties, surface texture and integrity, lower sorption of dye solutions and higher resistance to staining of composite resins.

Literature has mentioned a great number of immersion mediums in laboratory tests, aiming to assess resistance to staining of esthetic materials (Asmussen, 1981; Dinelli et al., 1996; Khokhar et al., 1991). Some products, such as tea, coffee, nicotine solution, cola, soy sauce, wine,

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: dinelliw@yahoo.com.br.

Variation source	DF	Sum of squares	Mean square	F	Significance
Groups	7	2,198.10	314.01	130.34*	<0.001
	10	4,787.16	478.71	198.71*	<0.001
Periods	70	1,439.45	20.56	8.53*	<0.001
Groups x Periods	352	848.00	2.41		
Residue	439	9,272.71			
Total					

Table 1. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the composite resin translucency percentage.

*Significant values (p < 0.05).

artificial saliva, distilled water; medicines and cigarette smoke were proven to stain teeth and materials (Asmussen, 1981; Dinelli et al., 1996; Khokhar et al., 1991).

Based on this scientific information, the authors decided to study the effect of staining agents on two commercial brands of composite resins, indicated for both anterior and posterior teeth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In order to perform this study, two commercial brands of composite resins were used: Point 4 (Kerr Corp., Orange, CA) and Charisma (Heraeus Kulzer, Inc, South Bend, IN).

The composite resins were carefully inserted into a circumferential stainless steel mold with 10 mm of internal diameter and 1 mm of height positioned onto a 0.051 mm thick transparent polyester film strip (Mylar, DuPont, Wilmington, Del.) over a glass slide. Another 0.051 mm thick transparent polyester film strip was applied on top of the metal matrix filled with the composite resin. An additional glass slide was placed over the previously positioned polyester film strip, and a 1 kg weight applied during 1 min. Afterward the weight was removed and the composite resin light-cured for 40 seconds each side (Optilux 501, Kerr Corp, Orange, CA), through the polyester film strip. The output light intensity was continuously monitored with a radiometer (SDS Demetron, Orange, CA) to ensure a constant value of 600 mW/cm².

After curing, the specimens were removed from the mold and randomly divided into eight treatment groups (n = 5):

- G1 Point 4 stained with red wine
- G2 Point 4 stained with cola
- G3 Point 4 stained with chlorhexidine
- G4 Point 4 stained with nicotine
- G5 Charisma stained with red wine
- G6 Charisma stained with cola
- G7 Charisma stained with chlorhexidine
- G8 Charisma stained with nicotine

The immersion was done 24 h after curing and the observation times were set as follows:

P₀ (baseline) – before immersion (no contact with the solution)

- $P_1 1$ h soaked in the solution
- P₂-2 h soaked in the solution

P₃ - 24 h soaked in the solution

After P₄ (1 week), the measurements were made one time for week

until periods of 7 weeks were completed. The percentage values of translucency were measured by means Jouan electrophoresis apparatus (series 021A/No. 10, Jouan, Paris, France) (Dinelli et al., 1994, 1996). Specimens were kept into the immersion medium at all times, except during the evaluation. Data were analyzed by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey's HSD test to identify the significant groups at a p value of 0.05.

RESULTS

The following results correspond to 440 values of the translucency percentage. It was considered two commercial brands of composite resins, four immersion solutions, five replicas for each experimental condition, and the periods of time programmed. The results obtained in the tests are shown in Table 1 for analysis of variance. Observing Table 1, it can be noted that the Group (type of resin composite) was significant, indicating that, regardless the periods considered, at least one of the groups showed an average translucency different from the others.

A Tukey's test was applied to compare averages aiming to identify where the significant differences occurred (p = 0.91). Thus, applying the value of the significant minimum difference to the averages in Table 2, it can be evidenced that: For Point 4 (Kerr), the staining capacity of red wine staining was lesser than the staining capacities of cola and nicotine, and similar to that of chlorhexidine. It was evidenced that this resin featured a higher susceptibility to discoloration by nicotine than that shown with cola.

For Charisma (Heraeus Kulzer) composite resin, the staining capacity of red wine staining was higher than the staining capacity of cola and chlorhexidine. Cola and chlorhexidine have shown similar staining effects. For this composite resin, nicotine was the most contaminating agent.

Table 3 showed that the period of staining was also significant. In order to identify which periods showed differences among the averages, Tukey's test was also applied. According to Table 3, the more time of contact the composite resin had with the staining solutions, the lesser translucent it becomes.

Resin	Vinho	Coca-cola	Clorex	Nicotuina	
Point 4	61.40b	59.67a	60.54ab	58.14a	
Charisma	62.27b	63.58c	63.69c	57.20a	

Standard deviation: 1.55, equal letters indicate no difference averages.

Table 3. Translucence averages for different periods of staining (%).

P₀ (Baseline)	P₁1 h	P₂ 2 h	P₃ 24 h	P ₄ 1 w	P₅ 2 w	P ₆ 3 w	P ₇ 4 w	P ₈ 5 w	P₀ 6 w	P ₁₀ 7 w
65.30f	65.3 f	64.22fe	63.25e	61.50d	60.62cd	59.95cd	59.95cd	57.90b	56.70ab	55.15a

Standard deviation: 1.55, h = hour, w = week, equal letters indicate no difference averages.

DISCUSSION

Many factors may have an impact on the alteration of optical and esthetic properties of resin composite restorations (Dinelli et al., 1996). Composite resins are susceptible to color alteration under ultraviolet rays, staining agents from food, and mainly due to bad hygiene habits (Asmussen, 1981, 1983; Bagheri et al., 2005; Santos, 2008).

It was evidenced that after 24 h all observation times showed differences in translucency, except for 2, 3 and 4 week which featured similar translucency averages. Translucency percentage decreased during the observation periods in which discoloration occurred. The transmission of light through the composite was probably reduced because of the action of dyes (Chan et al., 1980; Cooley et al., 1987; Powers et al., 1980; Settembrini et al. (1995). Therefore regardless of the experimental groups, both composite resins had a similar behavior (Table 1).

Several authors emphasized the deleterious action of the chemical agents present in cigarettes and in other substances containing dyes (Chan et al., 1980; Cooley et al., 1987; Ertas et al., 2006; Guler et al., 2005; Powers et al., 1980; Settembrini et al., 1995). Cigarette smoke was able to cause staining over esthetic restorative materials (Dinelli et al., 1996). The results of this study are consistent with the above authors.

The resin matrix has been shown to play an important role in staining susceptibility (Bagheri et al., 2005; Ertas et al., 2006). Alcohol facilitated staining by softening the resin matrix (Abu-Bakr et al., 2000; Ferracane, 1994). The quantity of alcohol in red wine played a substantial role in the staining of composite resin (Patel et al., 2004).

The wear resistance of composite resin-based materials may be reduced by immersion in low pH solutions, such as cola (Chadwick et al., 1990). According to um and Ruyter (1991), cola has low pH and it might damage the surface integrity of resin composite materials. Consequently the composite resin surface became vulnerable to staining (Table 1). Chlorhexidine

gluconate also had a staining effect over composite resin (Mutlu-Sagesen et al., 2005). Some authors believe that the contact of a composite resin with chlorhexidine and organic substances, such as mucin or serum, did not produce significantly higher color changes (Lee and Powers, 2005). However, this study found that chlorhexidine displayed the higher staining of the composite, regardless the composite resin composition (groups G3 and G7).

A significant amount of residual monomer or short chain polymers may remain unbound in the set composite resin material (Ferracane and Marker, 1992). Staining molecules may diffuse through the resin matrix. Changes in translucency were influenced by resin matrix composition and also the filler size (Kim et al., 2005). Composite with larger filler size tended to uptake more staining molecules.

The results of this study can be extrapolated to the clinical scenario because the consumption of red wine and cola is common. chlorhexidine is also frequently use in mouthwashes. With respect to nicotine, is also common that smokers have composite resin restorations. It is interesting in future work to test the staining in nanopaticulate resins.

Conclusion

1. Composite resins submitted to the tested immersion mediums were stained,

2. Translucency percentage decreased along the periods with dye solution,

3. For Point 4 (Kerr) composite resin, the staining capacity of red wine staining was lesser than the staining capacities of cola and nicotine, and similar to that of chlorhexidine,

4. For Charisma (Heraeus Kulzer) composite resin, the staining capacity of red wine staining was higher than the staining capacity of cola and chlorhexidine. Cola and chlorhexidine have shown similar staining effects,

5. The lower percentage of translucency was observed for nicotine containing dyes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to express their gratitude to Sillas Duarte Jr, DDS, MS, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Restorative Dentistry, Sao Paulo State University (UNESP), for reviewing this article.

REFERENCES

- Abu-Bakr N, Han L, Okamoto A, Iwaku N (2000). Color stability of compomer after immersion in various media. J. Restor. Esthet. Dent., 12(5): 258-263
- Asmussen E (1981). An accelerated test for color stability of restorative resins Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, 39(6): 329-332.
- Asmussen E (1983). Factors affecting the color stability of restorative resins Acta Odontologica Scandinavica, 41(1): 11-18.
- Bagheri R, Burrow MF, Tyas M (2005). Influence of food-simulating solutions and surface finish on susceptibility to staining of aesthetic restorative materials. J. Dent., 33: 389-398.
- Chadwick RG, MacCabe JF, Walls AW, Storer R (1990). The effect of storage media upon the surface microhardness and abrasion resistance of three composites. Dental Mater., 6(2): 123-128.
- Chan KC, Fuller JL, Hormati AA (1980). The ability of foods to stain two composite resins J. Prosthet Dent., 43(5): 542-545.
- Cooley R, Barkmeier WW, Matis BA, Siok JF (1987). Staining of posterior resins restorative materials Quintessence International 18(12): 823-827.
- Dinelli W, Candido MSM, Elizaur CABC (1996). Efeito da fumaça do cigarro na translucidez de materiais restauradores estéticos Revista da Associação Paulista de Cirurgiões Dentistas, 50(2): 121-124.
- Dinelli W, Candido MSM, Saad JRC, Oliveira Jr OB, Lofredo LCM (1994). Efeitos da influência de agentes opacificadores na translucidez de resinas compostas. Efeito de material, tempos e meios de imersão Revista ABO Nacional, 2(5): 343-346.

- Ertas E, Guler AU, Yucel AC, koprulu H, Guler E (2006). Color stability of resin composites after immersion in different drinks. Dent. Mater. J., 25: 371-376.
- Ferracane JL, Marker VA (1992). Solvent degradation and reduced fracture thoughness in aged composites. J. Dent. Res., 71(1): 13-19.
- Ferracane JL (1994). Elution of leachable components from composites. J. Oral Rehabil., 21(4): 441-452.
- Guler AU, Yilmaz F, Kulunk T, Guler E, Kurt S (2005). Effects of different drinks on stainbility of resin composite provisional restorative materials. J. Prosthet. Dent., 94: 118-124.
- Khokhar ZA, Razzoogg ME, Yaman P (1991). Color stability of restorative resins Quintessence International, 22(9): 733-737.
- Kim JH, Lee YK, Powers JM (2005). Discoloration of dental resin composites after immersion in a series of organic and chemical solutions. J. Biomed. Mater. Res., B Appl. Biomater., 73B(2): 361-367.
- Lee YK, Powers JM (2005). Discoloration of dental resin composites after immersion in a series of organic and chemical solutions. J. Biomed. Mater. Res. B Appl. Biomater., 73(2): 361-367.
- Mutlu-Sagesen L, Ergun G, Özkan Y, Semiz M (2005). Color stability of a dental composite after immersion in various media. Dental Mater. J., 24(3): 382-390.
- Patel SB, Gordan VV, Barrett AA, Shen C (2004). The effect of surface finishing and storage solution on the color stability of resin-based composites. J. Am. Dent. Assoc., 135(5): 587-594.
- Powers JM, Fan PL, Rapkis CN (1980). Colors stability of new composite restorative materials under accelerated aging J. Dent. Res., 59(12): 2071-2074.
- Santos PA (2008). Light sources influence on color stability of a composite resin. Immersion media effects. Araraquara, 78f. Thesis. Department of Restorative Dentistry, São Paulo State University at Araraquara (UNESP), Araraquara, SP, Brazil.
- Settembrini L, Penugonda B, Scherer W, Strasser H, Hittelman E (1995). Alcohol-containing mouthwashes: effect on composite color Operative Dentistry, 20(1): 14-17.
- Um CM, Ruyter IE (1991). Staining of resins-based veneering materials with coffee and tea Quintessence International, 22(5): 377-386.