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This experiment was undertaken at Mechara Agricultural Research center of on-station site for two consecutive years of 2016 and 2017 cropping season. The aim of the research was to identify the best adaptable and high biomass and dry matter yielder from four ecotype of Desho grass (*Pennisetum pedicellatum*) to demonstrate promising ecotypes for livestock producer’s community in the study area. Randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications was employed to evaluate the ecotypes. The result revealed that the agronomic performance of regeneration percentage, plot cover, plant vigor and leaf to stem ratio were not shown significant difference (P>0.05) between Desho grass lines. However, significantly difference (P<0.05) were observed in plant height and dry matter yield between four Desho grass lines. The highest dry matter yield were produced from Areka-DZF#590 (28.74 t/ha), Kulumsa-DZF#592 (26.14 t/ha) and KK1-DZF#591 (23.59 t/ha) lines and produced 0.71, 0.66 and 0.59 leaf to stem ratio, respectively. The mean value of dry matter yield, biomass (herbage) yield and leaf to stem ratio was increased from first to second harvesting year. The dry matter yield had strongly positive correlation with morphological parameters of leaf to stem ratio (r=0.8; P<0.01), plant height (r=0.62; P<0.05), plot cover (r=0.75; P<0.05), and low correlation with biomass yield (r=0.2). Based on the result, Areka-DZF#590, Kulumsa-DZF#592, and KK1-DZF#591 lines were well adapted and performed from Desho grass lines evaluated in this experiment. Therefore, these selected Desho grass lines should be further demonstrated and scaled-up at around Mechara Agricultural Research station and similar agro-ecologies of Hararghe areas.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Despite Ethiopia having a large livestock population (Central Statistical Agency, 2016), the productivity of livestock is low. The major setback is shortage in quantity and quality of feed resource (Tegegne and Assefa, 2010; Yayneshet, 2010). To combat existing livestock nutritional constraints, the use of locally available forage plants as feed resources is highly recommended as they are familiar to smallholder farmers, grow with low inputs and are adaptable to local agro-ecological conditions (Anele et al., 2009).

Desho grass (*Pennisetum pedicellatum*) is among the indigenous grass in Ethiopia belonging to the Poaceae family of monocot angiosperm plants. Desho grass is perennial grass collected from Chencha district in
Southern Ethiopia (Welle et al., 2006). It grows in naturally spreading across the escarpment of the Ethiopian highlands and used for multiple purposes (Smith, 2010). Desho grass is used as a year-round livestock fodder. It is a very palatable species to cattle and sheep (Ecocrop, 2010) mainly grown on small home plots used for livestock fodder, soil conservation practices, and sold for income generation as small business opportunity mostly for high land Ethiopian farmers (IPMS 2005; Shiferaw et al., 2011; Leta et al., 2013). To maintain the sustainability of the intervention, the plot is permanently made inaccessible to free grazing livestock; instead, a cut-and-carry system is encouraged (Danano, 2007). Due to its rapid growth rate, Desho grass provides regular harvests, even reaching monthly harvests, which was 3.5 m length with 3.5 m width within space between rows; plots and replication were used 40 and 75 cm, respectively. Inorganic fertilizer of 100 kg/ha of NPS and 50 kg/ha of urea were applied during the establishment.

Experimental material and design

Four Desho grass lines of DZF#590, Kulumsa-DZF#592, KK2-DZF-589 and KK1-DZF#591 were planted in roots as brought from Dabrazite Agricultural Research Center. The experimental material was design in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replication. The plot size used for the experiment was 10.5 m² area, which was 3.5 m length with 3.5 m width within space between rows; plots and replication were used 40, 50 and 75 cm, respectively. Inorganic fertilizer of 100 kg/ha of NPS and 50 kg/ha of urea were applied during the establishment.

Experimental management

A total area of 209.25 m² was prepared for this experiment. The land was ploughed in February and harrowed in June 2016. The prepared experimental land was divided into three blocks each of which consisted 12 plots with each plots size was 10.5m². Desho grass was planted using vegetative root splits in 8 rows on a well-prepared soil. The spacing between rows and plants were 40 and 15 cm, respectively. Land preparation, plant splitting, weeding and harvesting were done according to recommended made by Leta et al. (2013). DAP and urea were applied at planting and after establishment, respectively at the rate of 100 and 50 kg per ha for establishment and 25kg of urea was applied after each harvesting cycle for maintenance (Danano, 2007). Weeding and related management practices were applied according to the grass’s requirements.

Data collection

The collected data for the trial was including regeneration percentage, plot cover, plant vigor, leaf to stem ratio, herbage yield, and dry matter yield through oven dry was collected.

Statistical analysis

All data was analyzed with General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of SAS version 9.0 for least Square analysis of variance. The mean separation was carried out using least significance difference (LSD) test at 5% probability level. The statistical model for the analysis data was:

\[ Y_{ijk} = \mu + A_i + B_j + e_{ijk} \]

Where; \( Y_{ijk} \) = response of variable under examination, \( \mu \) = overall mean, \( A_i \) = the jth factor effect of treatment/ lines, \( B_j \) = the ith factor effect of blocks/ replication, \( e_{ijk} \) = the random error.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Performance of Desho grass lines

Performance of Desho grass lines in study site are
presented in Table 1. The result revealed that the agronomic performance of regeneration percentage, plot cover, stand vigor, leaf to stem ratio were not shown significance difference (P>0.05) between Desho grass lines considered in this experiment. However, the analysis variance for plant height (cm) and dry matter yield (t/ha) were shown significance difference (P<0.05) between Desho grass lines. After Desho grass lines was established by splitting method and after each harvesting cycle all Desho grass lines were regenerated well performance greater than 97% of population. This result good performance was indicated that the climate condition and soil type was suitable for crop to provided further investigation.

The mean value of plot cover performance of Desho grass lines considered in this experiment were promised for future works (95.4%). Even though the mean value between grasses lines was not indicated significance difference (P>0.05) on plot cover, numerically had different values. The higher plot cover were produced from Areka-DZF # 590 (96.3%) and Kulumsa-DZF #592 (96.2%) lines. This finding was comparable with Tekalegn et al. (2017) who report that Areka-DZF # 590 (95.8%) and Kulumsa-DZF #592(99.2%) lines at Wondogenet Agricultural Research Center, Southern Ethiopia. The similarity of finding indicated that the ability of Desho grass adaptability at different environments and soil types. This might be due to Desho grass is indigenous ecotype for Ethiopia (Smith, 2010).

Plant vigor percent was not shown significant difference (P> 0.05) however, numerically it had different values. The highest plant vigor percent was recorded from Kulumsa-DZF #592 (93.67%) and Areka-DZF # 590 (91.7%) of Desho grass lines. This finding was comparable with Tekalegn et al. (2017) who reported that 98.3% for Kulumsa-DZF #592 and 96.3 % for Areka-DZF # 590 Desho grass lines plot covar percent in Southern, Ethiopia.

Plant height is an important parameter contributing to yield in forage crops. The mean value of plant height were shown significance difference (P <0.05) among Desho grass lines considered in this experiment. The
highest plant height was produced from Areka-DZF # 590 (92.67 cm) followed by KK1-DZF # 591 (92.6 cm) whereas the lowest was produced from KK2-DZF # 589 (71.27 cm). This finding was comparable with Bimrew et al. (2017) who reported that plant height for Desho grass was (94 cm) at mid (87 cm) high land altitude of Northern, Ethiopia.

The analysis of variance was not shown significance difference (P>0.05) on leaf to stem ratio, however, numerically it had different values. Numerically, the higher value of leaf to stem ratio was produced by Areka-DZF # 590 (0.71) and Kulumsa-DZF #592 (0.66) Desho grass lines. The lowest leaf to stem ratio was produced by KK2-DZF # 589 (0.51) Desho grass lines. The result recorded from Areka-DZF # 590 was comparable with Tekalegn et al. (2017) who reported that leaf to stem ratio (0.72). However, as plant maturity increased, the value of leaf to stem ratio was decreased. In contrast the current finding was below the finding of Bimrew (2016) who reported that leaf to stem ratio 1.25, 1.18 and 0.8 at 90, 120 and 150 consecutive harvesting dates for Desho grass. This large difference might be due to difference made in stage of maturity to harvest.

The analysis variance of herbage yield was not shown statistically significance difference (P>0.05) between Desho grass lines, however, numerically the value had difference. The highest herbage yield were produced from Kulumsa-DZF #592 (59.87 t/ha), KK2-DZF # 589 (46.13 t/ha) and Areka-DZF # 590 (44.8), respectively. The analysis variance for dry matter yield was indicated strongly significance difference (P<0.01) between considered Desho grass lines in this experiment. The highest least square mean values of dry matter yield (DMY) were produced from Areka-DZF # 590 (28.74 t/ha) followed by Kulumsa-DZF #592 (26.14 t/ha) than the other two Desho grass lines. Whereas, the lowest DMY was produced by KK2-DZF # 589 (20.31 t/ha) lines. The current finding was better than Bimrew et al. (2017) who reported that mean value of dry matter yield (16.84 t/ha) at Midland and (14.62 t/ha) at high land of Northern Ethiopia. This difference might be due to soil type, stage of harvesting and management system.

### Performance of four Desho grass lines during first and second year

#### Plant height (cm) of four Desho lines

Plant height (cm) during first and second harvesting cycle of four Desho grass was shown on Figure 2. According to the figure indicated that first harvesting had had higher plant height than second harvesting cycle. This happened might be due to the behavior of crop were grown in lie erect at medium growing lifetime. During the first (47.33, 48.00 and 48.67 cm) and second (45.4, 44.6 and 45 cm) plant height were produced from the Areka-DZF # 590, KK1-DZF # 591 and Kulumsa-DZF #592 Desho grass lines, respectively. This result higher than finding of Bimrew et al. (2017b) who reported that the mean value of Desho grass plant height (39.4 cm) under irrigation at Northern Ethiopia. This difference might be due to soil type and harvesting at difference dates.

#### Leaf to stem ratio (LSR) of four Desho lines

The average of leaf to stem ration in first and second harvesting time were shown in Figure 3. The greater LST was produced at the second harvesting cycle than the first cycle. This was due to the behavior of plant were produced more leaf (prolific tiller) and lie horizontal than erect. The mean value of leaf to stem ratio were produced during the first (0.71, 0.59 and 0.6 ) and second (0.82, 0.58 and 1.07) from the Desho grass lines of Areka-DZF # 590, KK1-DZF # 591 and Kulumsa-DZF #592, respectively. The current result were higher than Tekalegn et al. (2017) who reported that leaf to stem ratio of Desho grass lines were (0.47, 0.44 and 0.55) during first and (0.57, 0.36 and 0.76) second harvesting cycle of Kulumsa-DZF #592, KK1-DZF # 591 and KK2-DZF # 589, respectively at Southern Ethiopia.
Biomass yield (t/ha)

The average biomass (herbage) yield in first and second harvesting were shown in Figure 4. The greater biomass yield (t/ha) was produced at the second harvesting cycle than first cycle. This result produced might be due to tiller number is increased up to optimum growth of plant tissue. However, gradually the morphological performance is decreased. The current finding was supported by Tilahun et al. (2017) who reported, that the mean value of tiller number per plant of Desho grass was increased from 36.4 t/ha at 75 days growth to 106.4 t/ha at 135 days.

Dry matter yield (t/ha) of four Desho lines

The average dry matter yield of four Desho grass lines are presented in Figure 5. More dry matter yield of ton per hectare were produced during the second than first harvesting cycle from all Desho grass lines. The mean average of dry matter yield was obtained during the first (28.74, 23.59 and 26.98 t/ha) and second (30.52, 28.62 and 30.98) from Areka-DZF # 590, KK1-DZF # 591 and Kulumsa-DZF #592 lines, respectively. The current finding was in lined with Tekalegn et al. (2017) who reported for similar Desho grass lines dry matter yield were produced 30.3, 28.43 and 30.9 t/ha, respectively at Wondogenet Agricultural Research Center, Southern, Ethiopia. However, the report of Tilahun et al. (2017) at different days of harvesting (7.1 t/ha at 90 days, 15.7 t/ha at 120 days and 25.5 t/ha at 150 at days) were produced lower dry matter yield than current finding. This difference might be due to line difference, agro ecology, and stage harvesting.

Correlation of morphological parameter and dry matter yield for Desho grass lines

Pearson correlation of Desho grass lines morphological parametric are presented in Table 2. The dry matter yield
Figure 4. Biomass yield of Desho grass (t/ha) lines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First harvesting</th>
<th>Second harvesting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desho(Areka)Dzf No 590</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desho(KK1)Dzf No 591</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>37.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desho(Kulumsa)Dzf No 592</td>
<td>59.867</td>
<td>67.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desho(KK2)Dzf No 589</td>
<td>46.133</td>
<td>55.733</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Dry matter yield of Desho grass (t/ha) lines.

Table 2. Pearson correlation of Desho grass lines morphological parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>PC</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>PH</th>
<th>BM</th>
<th>LST</th>
<th>DMY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.94*</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0.94*</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.62*</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.81**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMY</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.75*</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.62*</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.81**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(DMY) content had strongly positively correlation with plot cover (r= 0.75; P < 0.05), plant height (r= 0.62; P<0.01), leaf to stem ratio (r=0.81; P <0.01). The moderate correlation was recorded on dry matter yield with plant vigor (r=0.47), regeneration percent (r= 0.44) and lower correlation was recorded on biomass yield (r=0.44) with dry matter yield. The positive association of DMY with morphological parameters might be resulted from...
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

The results revealed that there were not shown significant differences (P>0.05) on regeneration percentage, plot cover, vigor and leaf to stem ratio between Desho grass lines. However, plant height and dry matter yield ton per hectare were shown significance difference (P<0.05) between Desho grass lines. Among the lines, particularly Areka-DZF#590 and Kulumsa-DZF#592 were performed more both in dry matter yield and leaf stem ratio. The dry matter yield was positive association with morphological parameters (leaf to stem ratio, plant height, plot cover and biomass yield. Based on the study result, Areka-DZF#590, Kulumsa-DZF#592 and KK1-DZF#591 lines were well-adapted and performed in forage yield potentials. Hence, these grasses are recommended to Mechara and in similar agro-ecologies for future demonstration and up-scaling as animal feed sources and land rehabilitation purpose. These selected Desho grass lines should be further demonstrated and scaled-up at Mechara on-farm condition and similar agro-ecologies of Hararghe areas.
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