### academic Journals

Vol. 6(4), pp. 182-189, April 2014 DOI: 10.5897/JENE2013.0433 ISSN 2006-9847 ©2014 Academic Journals http://www.academicjournals.org/JENE

Full Length Research Paper

# Effect of rainfall season on the chemical properties of the soil of a Southern Guinea Savanna ecosystem in Nigeria

Ayo Fatubarin<sup>1</sup> and M. R. Olojugba<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Joseph Ayo Babalola University, Ikeji-Arakeji, Osun State, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>Department of Soil Science and Management, Joseph Ayo Babalola University, Ikeji Arakeji, Osun State. Nigeria.

Accepted 6 February, 2014

Investigations were carried out on the effect of rainfall pattern on some soil chemical properties during 2011 in the Southern Guinea savanna ecosystem in Nigeria. The study was carried out in Oro Forest Reserve in Kwara State of Nigeria. Twenty plots were randomly selected for soil sampling at for different seasons namely: January (dry season), May (beginning of rains), September (peak of rains) and November (end of rains). Different soil depths were sampled: 0 to 5, 6 to 10, 11 to 15 and 16 to 20 cm at five randomly selected locations. The chemical properties that were mostly influenced by rainfall pattern are soil organic matter, total nitrogen, soil pH, available phosphorus, exchangeable cations (Ca, Mg and K), and cation exchange capacity (CEC). The two major seasons that show profound influence on soil properties are dry season (January) and peak of rainy season (September). Soil pH and available phosphorus were higher in dry season (January) and at the beginning of rainy season (May) and remain low at the peak of the rainy season (September). In contrast, soil organic matter and total nitrogen were low in dry season (January) due to burning of the vegetation. However, nitrogen content increased at the peak of the rainy season (September), due to nitrogen fixation. The increase in the total exchangeable bases (TEB) could be attributed to their importance in the tissue synthesis. There was decline in most soil nutrients during active growth of the woodland savanna trees. Therefore, the limitation of N, P, Ca, Mg, Na and K is most likely to occur in September (peak of rainy season).

Key words: Soil properties, seasonal changes, Southern Guinea savanna, sampling depths.

### INTRODUCTION

At different spatial and time scales, vegetation cover helps in protecting the soil from harsh climatic conditions, mostly soil erosion. The presence of dense vegetation affords the soil adequate cover, thereby reducing the loss in macro and micro nutrients that are essential for plants growth and energy fluxes (Iwara et al., 2011). The influence of soil factors on the composition and distribution of savanna vegetation in Nigeria has been reported (Child, 1974; Muogholu and Isichei, 1991). Others have discussed relationship between soil characteristics and distribution of plant communities in the Guinea Savanna (Menaut et al., 1985; Cole, 1986; Abdul Ameed et al., 2004). The evidence of possible literature shows that vegetation associations within the Guinea Savanna and tree species reflect differences in soil texture, structure and mineral content (Abdul Ameed, 2005). Change in soil chemical properties in the form of P mineralization–immobilization of organic P, are strongly influenced by seasonal variations in temperature, moisture, plant growth and root activity, and by organic matter accumulation from litter fall (Perrot et al., 1990; Mc Gvath et al., 2000). Land cover changes affect also soil properties and biogeochemical processes (Ross et al., 1999; Zeng et al., 2009). Each of the rainy and dry seasons of the seasonal climate of the tropical ecosystem, is characterized by a number of ecological phenomena which set up series of processes which influence the biotic and edaphic components of the ecosystem. Soil moisture is highly affected by soil texture and its stability. Various soil texture types may cause preferential flow or water immobilization (KodeSova et al., 2006, 2007). Bodner et al. (2008) discussed the impact of the rainfall intensity, soil drying and frost on the seasonal changes of soil hydraulic properties in the texture related range. Suwardji and Eberbach (1998) studied both aggregate stability and hydraulic conductivities. They documented the lowest aggregate stability during the winter and increased in spring.

The estimation of soil available nutrient contents - in a complex heterogeneous system, is of a great pedological as well as ecological importance. Understanding spatial changes in soil nutrients is important, as they may differ markedly among identical locations subjected to natural and man-made disturbances. Vertical, horizontal and temporal distribution of nutrients in soils are controlled by a combination of factors viz, parent material, topography, soil management practices and rainfall and area seasons. Similar to this, land use patterns and vegetation play important role in soil nutrient transformations and fertility. Anthropogenic changes such as fire (Sharma, 1988) alter several processes in soil; physical (porosity, soil structure and aggregate stability and water repel-lency), chemical (soil organic matter, nutrient availa-bility and cycling, pH and C: N) and biological (microbial composition, soil faunal diversity and density, biomass productivity and carbon sequestration). The use of C: N as an indicator of ecosystem stability has necessitated precise estimations on the soil C at N pools worldwide.

During literature review, we did not come across any study on seasonal variability on soil chemical properties in the Southern Guinea savanna in Nigeria, except for the limited information on the effects of savanna burning of the dry season on soil litter and the chemical composition of soil (Egunjobi, 1970). Thus, the present investigation is an attempt to document the seasonal dynamics of the chemical properties of the tropical savanna wood land ecosystem in Nigeria.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Site description

The present study was undertaken in 2011 at Oro Forest reserve, a woodland savanna of the Southern Guinea vegetation of Nigeria. It covers about 5414 ha which are located in the North-Eastern portion (Lafiagi Section) of the reserve, at a place approximately

08°53<sup>1</sup>E and 05°22<sup>1</sup>N.

### Topography and drainage

Most of the land of Oro Forest Reserve fall within an altitude of 120 + 155 m and the few isolated high lands in the north-eastern part, range in height from 1100 to 1150 m. The main drainage system within the reserve is the Oro River – a tributary of River Niger, which is about 20 km to the north of the reserve.

### Geology

The main geological formation of the reserve is the pre-Cambrian Basement complex, composed of metamorphic and igneous rocks. This basement complex includes the oldest rocks known in Nigeria, the dominant clay mineral of the area was kaolinithe (a low activity clay) (Kowal and Knabe, 1972).

### Climate

Oro Forest Reserve has a typical seasonal climate characterized by a two peaked pattern of rainfall- a feature common to all savanna types occurring south of latitude 9°N (Kowal and Knabe, 1972). However, the duration of the well defined dry and wet seasons varies from year to year.

### **Rainfall and temperature**

The annual rainfall of 913.5 mm occurred between March and October. The rainfall within a year shows two maxima, the first one being 202.3 mm occurring in June, while the second one with 254.7 mm is in September 2010 (Figure 1). In 2011, the first maximum was 201.2 mm while the second maximum was 295.2 mm (Figure 2). The temperature was fairly constant for the year 2010 and ranged between 20.7 and 27.3°C for the minimums, while the mean monthly maximum temperature ranged between 22.5 and 27.6°C(Figure 1). In 2011, however, the temperature values ranged between 21.2and 25.3°C minimums, while the mean monthly maximum ranged between 23.5 and 28°C (Figure 2).

### Plot description and samples collection

The study site was located in the North-Eastern of the reserve. In the location of the forest, one hectare (100 x 100 m) was separated and divided into 100 plots of  $10 \times 10$  m.

Twenty plots indicated plots were randomly selected for soil sampling at four different sampling periods viz: January (dry season), May (beginning of rains), September (peak of rains) and November (end of rains). Soil samples were collected at four different top soil depths; 0 - 5, 6 - 10, 11 - 15 and 16 - 20 cm at five randomly selected locations of each plot for one mean sample. A Dutch auger was used to collect soil sample which were carefully kept in well labeled plastic bags and sent immediately to laboratory for analysis.

### Statistical analysis

A Dutch auger was used to collect soil sample which were carefully kept in well labeled plastic bags and sent immediately to laboratory for analysis.

a. Particle size analysis: This was done by hydrometer method



Figure 1. Rainfall (cm), temperature (°C) and relative humidity (%) distributions for 2010.



Figure 2. Rainfall (cm), temperature (°C) and relative humidity (%) distributions for 2011.

Table 1. The mean values (n=10) of some soil chemical properties across sampling time (0 - 20 cm).

	Properties												
Time of sampling	pH (H₂0)	OC (%)	OM (%)	N (%)	C/N	Ca	Mg	К	Na	TEB	Av. P	E.A	CEC
	Cmol/kg of Soil										I		
А	6.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.46 <sup>d</sup>	0.8 <sup>d</sup>	0.05 <sup>c</sup>	6.43 <sup>b</sup>	3.0 <sup>d</sup>	0.88 <sup>b</sup>	0.31 <sup>b</sup>	0.05 <sup>a</sup>	5.33 <sup>a</sup>	2.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.15 <sup>b</sup>	4.81 <sup>b</sup>
В	6.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.58 <sup>c</sup>	1.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	6.65 <sup>a</sup>	3.36 <sup>c</sup>	0.84 <sup>c</sup>	0.35 <sup>a</sup>	0.04 <sup>b</sup>	5.11 <sup>b</sup>	2.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.15 <sup>b</sup>	4.81 <sup>b</sup>
С	6.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.66 <sup>b</sup>	1.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	6.25 <sup>c</sup>	3.48 <sup>b</sup>	0.96 <sup>a</sup>	0.29 <sup>c</sup>	0.04 <sup>b</sup>	4.51 <sup>d</sup>	1.73 <sup>b</sup>	0.16 <sup>a</sup>	4.93 <sup>a</sup>
D	6.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.85 <sup>a</sup>	1.47 <sup>a</sup>	0.08 <sup>a</sup>	6.42 <sup>b</sup>	4.24 <sup>a</sup>	0.96 <sup>a</sup>	0.29 <sup>c</sup>	0.04 <sup>b</sup>	4.87 <sup>c</sup>	1.73 <sup>b</sup>	0.16 <sup>a</sup>	4.93 <sup>a</sup>

Means on the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \le 0.05$ ; A = dry season (January); B = beginning of rains (May). C = peak of rains (September); D = end of rains (November); OC = organic carbon, OM = organic matter, N = total nitrogen, C/N = carbon : nitrogen ration, Ca = calcium, K = potassium, Na = sodium; TEB = total exchange bases, Av. P = average phosphorus, EA = exchange acidity and CEC = cations exchange capacity.

(Gee and Bauder, 1986) using sodium haxametaphosphate (calgon) as dispensing agent.

b. Chemical analysis: The soil samples were dried for few days sieved to pass through 2 mm mesh and chemically analysed. The pH (in water) was determined in a 1:2.5 solution (soil: distilled water) and was measured with a standard glass electrode. The organic carbon content of the soil was determined according to Walkey and Black (1965) dichromate oxidation method. The percentage organic matter content in the samples was calculated by multiplying the values of organic carbon by the conventional Van Bammeller factor of 1.724. Total soil nitrogen was determined by Macro kjeldahl methods (Bremner, 1965). Available phosphorus was extracted using Bray II method (Bray and Kutz, 1965) and determined by spectrophotometer.

Exchangeable Na, K, Ca and Mg were extracted with BaCl<sub>2</sub> 0.1 m (Hendershort, 1993) and analysed by atomic absorption. Exchangeable acidity was determined from 0.1 NaCl extracts and titrated with 1.0 N HCl.

Cation exchangeable capacity (CEC) was determined by summing up total exchangeable bases (TEBS) and total exchangeable acidity (TEA), which the:

Base saturation = TEB/CEC x 100

Where TEB = Total exchangeable bases; CEC = cations exchangeable capacity

### Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using two way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated by Duncan new multiple range test and student t- test was used to test the level of significance of some properties in the pre and post burn era at 5% level.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Effect of sampling month/periods on some chemical properties

Across the months of sampling, significant differences were observed for both exchangeable acidity (EA) and CEC. It is however noted there was no significant differences between the dry season (A) and the beginning of raining seasons (B) as well as peak and end of raining seasons (Table 1). Significant differences were recorded in the soil pH, soil organic carbon, total nitrogen and available phosphorus across the sampling time. There were significant differences in the values of calcium, magnesium, potassium and total exchange bases across the seasons (Table 1). However, calcium values were higher in the peak and end of rainfall. Potassium values were more at the beginning of rains, while sodium values had the highest values during the dry season. Total exchange bases values were more during the dry season and at the beginning of rains.

### Effect of dry season on soil chemical properties

There were significant differences in soil pH, soil carbon, total nitrogen and available phosphorus among the sampling depths during dry season, (January A)  $p \le 0.05$ (Table 2). The values of pH ranged from 6.2 to 6.3 and showed no appreciable trend down the depths, the organic carbon ranged from 0.31 to 0.63% at the 16-20 and 0-5 cm, respectively. It decreased down the depth. While the values of the available phosphorous ranged from 1.4 cmol/kg of soil to 2.8 cmol/kg of soil at 16-20 and 0-5 cm respectively, it decreased down the slope. In the same vein, there were significant differences among some of the parameters in the sampling depths at  $P \leq$ 0.05 in this season, calcium (ca), magnesium (Mg), potassium (K) and EA decreased down the depths, the cation exchange capacity showed no appreciable trend down the depth. The sodium (Na) and total exchange bases (TEB) showed no significant difference during this season.

### Effect of beginning of rains on soil chemical properties

At the beginning of the rains (May B) (Table 3), soil pH, soil organic matter, total nitrogen, calcium, magnesium, potassium and total exchange bases, exchange acidity

	Properties												
Depth (cm)	pH (H₂0)	OC (%)	OM (%)	N (%)	C/N	Ca	Mg	κ	Na	TEB	Av. P	E.A	CEC
	Cmol/kg of Soil												
0-5	6.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.63 <sup>a</sup>	1.09 <sup>a</sup>	0.06 <sup>a</sup>	6.43 <sup>a</sup>	6.15 <sup>a</sup>	1.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.54 <sup>a</sup>	0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	7.75 <sup>ab</sup>	2.8 <sup>a</sup>	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	4.99 <sup>b</sup>
6-10	6. 3 <sup>b</sup>	0.56 <sup>b</sup>	0.97 <sup>b</sup>	0.05 <sup>b</sup>	6.92 <sup>b</sup>	4.13 <sup>b</sup>	0.87 <sup>b</sup>	0.32 <sup>b</sup>	0.05 <sup>ab</sup>	5.37 <sup>a</sup>	2.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.17 <sup>b</sup>	5.52 <sup>a</sup>
11-15	6.1 <sup>d</sup>	0.34 <sup>c</sup>	0.59 <sup>c</sup>	0.04 <sup>c</sup>	6.18 <sup>c</sup>	2.90 <sup>c</sup>	0.85 <sup>b</sup>	0.28 <sup>b</sup>	0.06 <sup>a</sup>	4.09 <sup>b</sup>	1.8 <sup>c</sup>	0.15 <sup>c</sup>	4.22 <sup>d</sup>
16-20	6.2 <sup>c</sup>	0.31 <sup>d</sup>	0.53 <sup>d</sup>	0.04 <sup>c</sup>	6.18 <sup>c</sup>	3.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.75 <sup>c</sup>	0.30 <sup>b</sup>	0.04 <sup>b</sup>	4.12 <sup>b</sup>	1.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.14 <sup>c</sup>	4.50 <sup>c</sup>

Table 2. Soil chemical properties in dry season (January).

Means on the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P < 0.05; OC = organic carbon, OM = organic matter, N = total nitrogen, C/N = carbon: nitrogen ration, Ca = calcium, K = potassium, Na = sodium; TEB = total exchange bases, Av. P = average phosphorus, EA = exchange acidity and CEC = cations exchange capacity. The description should be included into Methods chapter. It wouldn't be necessary use the same under each table.

Table 3. Soil chemical properties at the beginning of rain (May).

	Properties												
Depth cm	PH (H₂0)	OC (%)	OM (%)	N (%)	C/N	Ca	Mg	K	Na	TEB	Av. P	E.A	CEC
	Cmol/kg of Soil												
0-5	6.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.73 <sup>a</sup>	1.26 <sup>ª</sup>	0.07 <sup>a</sup>	6.14 <sup>a</sup>	2.41 <sup>a</sup>	0.86 <sup>a</sup>	0.53 <sup>a</sup>	0.04 <sup>a</sup>	6.84 <sup>a</sup>	2.8 <sup>a</sup>	0.19 <sup>a</sup>	4.99 <sup>b</sup>
6-10	6. 4 <sup>a</sup>	0.58 <sup>b</sup>	1.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	5.78 <sup>b</sup>	3.17 <sup>b</sup>	0.84 <sup>b</sup>	0.34 <sup>b</sup>	0.04 <sup>a</sup>	5.37 <sup>ab</sup>	2.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.17 <sup>b</sup>	5.52 <sup>a</sup>
11-15	6.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.57 <sup>b</sup>	0.98 <sup>b</sup>	0.05 <sup>c</sup>	6.43 <sup>c</sup>	2.66 <sup>c</sup>	0.84 <sup>b</sup>	0.27 <sup>c</sup>	0.04 <sup>a</sup>	4.09 <sup>b</sup>	1.8 <sup>c</sup>	0.15 <sup>c</sup>	4.22 <sup>d</sup>
16-20	6.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.42 <sup>c</sup>	0.72 <sup>c</sup>	0.04 <sup>d</sup>	8.24 <sup>d</sup>	2.20 <sup>c</sup>	0.84 <sup>b</sup>	0.25 <sup>d</sup>	0.04 <sup>a</sup>	4.12 <sup>b</sup>	1.4 <sup>d</sup>	0.14 <sup>c</sup>	4.50 <sup>c</sup>

Means on the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \le 0.05$ ; OC = organic carbon, OM = organic matter, N = total nitrogen, C/N = carbon : nitrogen ration, Ca = calcium, K = potassium, Na = sodium; TEB = total exchange bases, Av. P = average phosphorus, E.A = exchange acidity and CEC = cations exchange capacity.

Table 4. Soil chemical properties at the peak of rain (September).

	Properties												
Depth cm	pH (H₂0)	OC (%)	OM (%)	N (%)	C/N	Ca	Mg	К	Na	TEB	Av. P	E.A	CEC
			Cmol/kg of Soil										
0-5	6.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.0 <sup>a</sup>	1.72 <sup>a</sup>	0.09 <sup>a</sup>	6.92 <sup>a</sup>	4.2 <sup>a</sup>	1.14 <sup>a</sup>	0.38 <sup>a</sup>	0.05 <sup>a</sup>	5.77 <sup>a</sup>	2.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.11 <sup>ab</sup>	7.04 <sup>a</sup>
6-10	6. 3 <sup>a</sup>	0.69 <sup>b</sup>	1.12 <sup>b</sup>	0.09 <sup>a</sup>	5.87 <sup>c</sup>	3.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.96 <sup>b</sup>	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	5.05 <sup>b</sup>	1.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.07 <sup>b</sup>	5.12 <sup>b</sup>
11-15	6.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.53 <sup>c</sup>	0.91 <sup>c</sup>	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	5.57 <sup>d</sup>	2.76 <sup>c</sup>	0.92 <sup>c</sup>	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	3.99 <sup>c</sup>	1.5 <sup>c</sup>	0.14 <sup>a</sup>	4.13 <sup>c</sup>
16-20	6.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.41 <sup>d</sup>	0.71 <sup>d</sup>	0.04 <sup>c</sup>	6.52 <sup>b</sup>	2.18 <sup>d</sup>	0.81 <sup>d</sup>	0.22 <sup>c</sup>	0.03 <sup>b</sup>	3.24 <sup>d</sup>	1.2 <sup>d</sup>	0.13 <sup>ab</sup>	3.42 <sup>d</sup>

Means on the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \le 0.05$ ; OC = organic carbon, OM = organic matter, N = total nitrogen, C/N = carbon : nitrogen ration, Ca = calcium, K = potassium, Na = sodium; TEB = total exchange bases, Av. P = average phosphorus, E.A = exchange acidity and CEC = cations exchange capacity.

and cation exchange capacity showed significant differences at p  $\leq$  0.05 among the sampling depths. While sodium, total exchange bases showed no significant differences among the sampling depths. Organic matter, magnesium potassium available phosphorous and exchange acidity decreased down the depths. However, soil pH, calcium and cation exchange capacity (CEC) did show any appreciable variation down the depths.

### Effect of peak of rains on soil chemical properties

At the peak of rainfall (September C) (Table 4), organic matter, nitrogen, calcium, magnesium, potassium, avail-

lable phosphorous, total exchange bases, exchange acidity and cation exchange capacity showed significant differrences among the sampling depths at  $p \le 0.05$ . They also decreased down the depths. Soil pH and sodium did not show any appreciable variations down the depths.

### Effect of end of rains on soil chemical properties

At the end of rains, (November D) (Table 5), soil pH and exchange acidity showed significant differences and increased down the sampling depths at  $p \le 0.05$ . While the soil organic matter, nitrogen, calcium, magnesium

Table 5. Soil chemica	I properties at the e	end rain (November).
-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------------

	Properties															
Depth cm	pH (H₂0)	OC (%)	OM (%)	N (%)	C/N	Ca	Mg	К	Na	TEB	Av. P	E.A	CEC			
								Cmol/kg of Soil								
0-5	6.1 <sup>a</sup>	1.23 <sup>a</sup>	2.12 <sup>a</sup>	0.09 <sup>a</sup>	7.24 <sup>a</sup>	6.2 <sup>a</sup>	1.14 <sup>b</sup>	0.38 <sup>a</sup>	0.05 <sup>a</sup>	6.77 <sup>a</sup>	2.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.12 <sup>ab</sup>	7.04 <sup>a</sup>			
6-10	6.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.73 <sup>c</sup>	1.25 <sup>c</sup>	0.07 <sup>c</sup>	6.22 <sup>b</sup>	3.92 <sup>b</sup>	0.96 <sup>a</sup>	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.04 <sup>b</sup>	5.05 <sup>b</sup>	1.8 <sup>c</sup>	0.07 <sup>b</sup>	5.12 <sup>b</sup>			
11-15	6.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.61 <sup>d</sup>	0.55 <sup>d</sup>	0.06 <sup>d</sup>	6.19 <sup>c</sup>	3.26 <sup>d</sup>	0.92 <sup>c</sup>	0.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.04 <sup>b</sup>	3.99 <sup>c</sup>	1.5 <sup>°</sup>	0.14 <sup>a</sup>	4.13 <sup>c</sup>			
16-20	6.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.81 <sup>b</sup>	0.40 <sup>b</sup>	0.05 <sup>b</sup>	6.03 <sup>d</sup>	3.57 <sup>c</sup>	0.81 <sup>d</sup>	0.22 <sup>c</sup>	0.03 <sup>c</sup>	3.24 <sup>d</sup>	1.2 <sup>d</sup>	0.14 <sup>a</sup>	3.42 <sup>d</sup>			

Means on the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at  $P \le 0.05$ ; OC = organic carbon, OM = organic matter, N = total nitrogen, C/N = carbon : nitrogen ration, Ca = calcium, K = potassium, Na = sodium; TEB = total exchange bases, Av. P = average phosphorus, E.A = exchange acidity and CEC = cations exchange capacity.

sodium total exchange bases, available phosphorous and cation exchange capacity showed significant differences and decreased down the soil depths at  $p \le 0.05$ .

### DISCUSSION

### Effect of sampling periods/months on some soil chemical properties

The changes in soil pH over the seasons which was higher in the first two seasons (dry and beginning of rains), might be attributed to the little rainfall and probably due to dry season burning in January which is annual occurrence in the savanna woodland, while the ash released from the accumulated litter following burning in January, caused a slight rise in the soil pH. In the same vein, the dissolution of the ash after early rains in May could be the major reason for slight increase in soil pH at this time (Ekinci, 2006). The distribution of organic matter which was higher during the peak might be due to higher rainfall at this period which favors litter decomposition and accumulation of soil organic matter. While the distribution of soil nitrogen which appeared to increase down the depths during the end of rains might be due to leaching of nitrates down the depths (Giacomo, 2005). The increase of total exchange bases during dry and beginning of rains might be attributed in part to little or no rainfall which reduced leaching of soluble cations and burning which in annual occurrence in the savanna woodland, as burning have been found to have increased soluble cations (Giacomo, 2005). The concentration of available soil phosphorous and exchange acidity at the upper depths during dry and beginning of rains could be due to absence of rainfall which led to little or no leaching of available phosphorous and exchange acidity.

## The effect of sampling depths and rainfall patterns on some soil chemical properties

### Soil pH

The results of the study revealed the effect of sampling depths and rainfall patterns on soil pH, soil organic

carbon, total nitrogen and available phosphorus in a tropical Guinea woodland savanna. The distribution of soil pH which decreased down the depths in dry season and the beginning of rains might be due to little or no rains which resulted in little or no movement of cations down the profile in part and might be due to the distribution of soil organic matter which serves as store house for the exchange bases. Also, it might be due to the distribution of exchange acidity, which decreased down the depths. However, at the peak of rainfall (September) and end of rains (November) soil pH tends to increase down the sampling depths, due to vertical movement or translocation of dissolved cations as well as low organic matter down the depths. as a result, soil pH increased down the depth.

### Organic matter

The low of soil organic matter in the dry season of the year (January) might be due to low rains and burning that usually occurs in the area. Also, soil organic matter tends to decrease down the depths, as a result of low decomposition down the profile, due to little or absence of soil microorganisms that are responsible for the decomposition.

Complete consumption of the organic material on the forest floor in the studied area indicates that a very high intensity fire occurred at the study area in January 2011, which accounted for the low organic matter in January. However, the establishment of post fire vegetation, through both re-sprouting and seedling establishment was rapid on the burnt area during the peak of rainfall (September) (Table 3). This enhanced litter fall, hence more organic matter (Litton Santakes, 2002).

### **Total nitrogen**

Other studies have reported variable results in relation to rainfall and fire effects on the nitrogen content of soils (Wan et al., 2001). Nitrogen is easily lost from system during an intense fire, as it volatilizes at temperatures as low as 200°C (White et al., 1973). This might be the reason why there was low nitrogen content in January (dry season) (Table 2). Actual losses of nitrogen due to volatilization have been estimated to vary from 75 kg/ha (Klemmendson, 1976) to 907 kg/ha (Grier, 1975). A likely explanation for decreased soil nitrogen in May (beginning of rains) (Table 2) might be due to leaching of nitrates during the beginning of rains as a result of absence of vegetation and consumption of litter layer led to increased infiltration rates in the burned soils.

The increased nitrogen contents at the peak of rains (September) (Table 4) and at the end of rains in November (Table 5), could be best explained by a possible increase in activity of nitrogen fixing microbes. Evidence exists to show that increased biological nitrogen fixation along with increased mineralization rates occur during rainy season, which resulted in increased nitrogen content at this time (Bergeron et al., 2002)

### **Exchangeable cations**

The distribution of exchangeable bases (calcium, magnesium, potassium, sodium) showed decreased down the depths might be due in part to the higher organic matter at the upper depths during the dry and beginning of rains. The little or no rainfall at these periods might be due to the accumulation of the respective cation at the upper depth. At these periods, there was little or no leaching of these cations (Tables 2 and 3). At the peak rains, total exchange bases were low and decreased down the depths; this could be attributed to these elements being utilized by the regenerating plants, since these are reputed for their vigorous regeneration and growth following annual fires (Hopkins, 1974).

Considering the importance of these elements in tissues synthesis, there are enough indications to show that the disappearance of these elements could be due to the synthesis of plant tissues in newly flushing suckerings and sprouts from the plants of the herbaceous layer and possibly the flowers of ligneous savanna plants (Hopkins, 1974). At the end of rains, however, the vigorous tree growth might have been decreased which accounted for high exchange bases.

### Available phosphorus

A large portion of the nutrient reserve in most forest ecosystems is contained in the organic material on the forest floor (Wagner and Wolf, 1998). The slight increase in phosphorus in dry season (January) (Table 2) and in May (beginning of rains) (Table 3), may be due to fire. De Ronde (1990) found that a high intensity wild fire resulted in an immediate increase in phosphorus level in the southern Cape Forestry Region of South Africa.

The distribution of available phosphorus between soil layers showed that it was fairly constant in dry season (January) and at the beginning of raining season (May) (Table 3) and decreased sharply during the peak of rainfall (September) (Table 4). This might be attributed to the growth of plants and accumulation of biomass during growing season (Styles and Coxon, 2007).

### Exchange acidity and cation exchange capacity

Exchange acidity values were slightly low which might be the reason for slight acidity of the area and decreased down the depths due to the distribution of soil organic matter and little or no rainfall during dry and beginning of rains which might lead to little or no leaching. The increase in exchange acidity down the depths might be due to leaching of the elements down the depths due to high rainfall.

The low values of cation exchange capacity (CEC) in the dry and beginning of rains in the study area might be due to the distribution of soil organic matter, as soil organic matter was found to have influenced the distribution of cations exchange capacity. Also, organic matter had been identified as a store house for cations (Schlecht et al., 2006). In the same vein, cation exchange capacity decreased down the depths probably as a result of the decreased of soil organic matter down the depths. The increased cations exchange capacity during the peak and end of rains might also be due to higher rainfall which favours rapid decomposition of dead plant materials that lead to accumulation of soil organic matter (Fatubarin, 1980).

### Conclusion

This study shows that in Southern Guinea savanna in Nigeria, rainfall seasons have great effect on soil chemical properties. Van Reordivijii and Ong (1999), hypothesized that land use systems would most likely achieve long term sustainability, by mimicking patterns of resource use in the natural systems. The chemical properties that were mostly influenced by rainfall patterns were soil organic matter, total nitrogen, soil pH, available phosphorus, exchange cations (Ca, Mg and K), CEC. The two major rainfall seasons that showed profound influence on soil properties in the study area are dry season (January) and peak of rainy season (September). Some pH and available phosphorus values were higher in dry season (January) and at the beginning of rainy season (May). They were low during the peak of rains. In contrast, soil organic matter and total nitrogen were low in dry season (January) due to burning in the location. However, nitrogen content was later increased at the peak of rain due to nitrogen fixation activities. The decrease in the total exchangeable bases (TEB) during the peak of rainy season could be attributed to the importance in the tissue synthesis during this period. It was observed that there were decline in the soil nutrients except soil nitrogen during the peak of rainy season, which also coincided with the active growth period of

forest trees (no data). Therefore, the limitation of N, P, Ca, Mg, K, to tree growth is most likely to occur in September (peak of rainy season) (Chen et al., 2006). Protection of the litter layer is strongly recommended to ameliorate soil degradation and nutrient limitation in the study area, since litter layer was not only the main source of soil organic matter and available nutrients, but also the regulator of soil microbial activity (Chen et al., 2003).

### REFERENCES

- Abdul A (2005). Comparative effect of *Albizia lebbeck* and *Dalbergia sisso* trees on soils nutrients in semi- arid region of Northern Nigeria. Nig. J. Bot., 18:197-202.
- Abdul A, SD abdul, AG Ezra (2004). Root zone soil and leaf mineral contents of some Guinea savanna of Nigeria. Nig. J. Bot., 17:33-37.
- Agbu PA, KR Olson (1990) "Spatial Variability of Soil Properties in Selected Illinois Mollisols," *Soil Sci.* 150(5):777-786. Not used in the other sections.
- Bergeron Y, A Leduc, B Harvey, S Gauthier (2002). Natural fire regime: a guide for sustainable management of the Canadian boreal forest. *Silva Fennica* 36:81-95.
- Bodner G, Loiskandl W, Buchan G, Kaul HP (2008). Natural and management-induced dynamics of hydraulic conductivity along a cover-cropped field slope. *Geoderma* 146:317-325.
- Bray RH, Kurtz LT (1965). Determination of total organic carbon and available form of phosphorous in soil. Journal of Soil Sci. 59:39-45.
- Child GS (1974). An ecological survey of the Borgu Game Reserve, Food and Agricultural Organisation Technical Report No 4, Rome, p. 130.
- Cole MM (1986). The Savannas Biogeography and Geobotany, Academic Press, London, pp: 438.
- DE RONDE C (1990) Impact of prescribed fire on soil properties: comparison with wildfire effects. In: Goldammer JG and MJ Jenkins (eds) Fire in ecosystem dynamics: Mediterranean and northern perspectives: 127-136. SPB Academic Publishing, The Hague, The Netherlands. Not used in the other sections.
- Egunjobi JK (1975). Litter fall and litter mineralization in a stand of teak (*Tectona grandis L*) Oikos 25:222-226.
- Ekinci H (2006). Effect of forest fire on some physical, chemical andbiological properties of soil in Canakkale, Turkey. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 8:102-106.
- Fatubarin A (1980). Ecosystems studies in a Southern Guinea Savanna vegetation in Nigeria. Ph.D Thesis. University of Ibadan, 427 pp.
- Fuhrmann, PG Hartel, DA Zuberer (eds) Principles and applications of soil microbiology: 218-258. Prentice-Hall, Princeton, New Jersey, USA.
- Gee GW, Bauder JW (1986) Particle-size Analysis. Pages 383-411 in Methods of Soil Analysis Part 1. A. Klute (edi.), Soil Science Society of America Book Series 5, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Giacomo, C., 2005. Effects of fire on properties of forest soils: a review. *Oecologia*, 143:1-10.
- Grier CC (1975). Wildfire effects on nutrient distribution and leaching in a coniferous ecosystem. Canadian J. Forest Res. 5:599-607.
- Hendershort WH, Lalande H, Duquette M (1993). Soil reaction and exchangeable acidity. In; Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis (ed. M.R. Carter). Lewis publishers, USA. pp. 141-149.
- Hopekins, B. (1974). Forest and Savanna burning in Olokemeji Forest reserve, Nigeria J. Appl. Ecol. 2:367-381.
- Iwara AI, Ewa EE, Ogundele FO, Adeyemi JA, Otu CA (2011). Ameliorating Effects of Palm Oil Mill Effluent on the Physical and Chemical Properties of Soil in Ugep, Cross River State, South-Southern Nigeria. Int. J. Appl. Sci. Technol. 1(5):106-112.
- Iwara AI, Ewa EE, Ogundele FO, Adeyemi JA, Otu CA (2011). Ameliorating Effects of Palm Oil Mill Effluent on the Physical and Chemical Properties of Soil in Ugep, Cross River State, South-Southern Nigeria. International Journal of Applied Science and Technology, 1(5):106-112 duplicated.
- Klemmedson JO (1976) Effects of thinning and slash burning on nitrogen and carbon in ecosystems of young dense ponderosa pine.

Forest Science 22:45-53.

- Kodešová R, Kocárek M, Kodeš V, Šimunek J, Kozák J (2008). Impact of soil micromorphological features on water flow and herbicide transport in soils. Vadose Zone J. 7:798-809.
- Kodešová R, Kodeš V, Žigová A, Šimunek J (2006). Impact of plant roots and soil organisms on soil micromorphology and hydraulic properties. *Biologia* 61:S339-S343.
- Kodešová R, Rohošková M, Žigová A (2009b). Comparison of aggregate stability within six soil profiles under conventional tillage using various laboratory tests. *Biologia* 64:550-554.
- Kodešová R, Vignozzi N, Rohošková M, Hájková T, Kocárek M, Pagliai M, Kozák J, Šimunek J (2009a). Impact of varying soil structure on transport processes in different diagnostic horizons of three soil types, J. Contaminant Hydrol. 104:107-125. Not used in the other sections.
- Kowal JM, Knabe DT (1972). An Agro-climatological atlas of northern states of Nigeria, Zaria. Ahmadu Bello University Press 231pp. Not used in the other sections.
- Kumar K, Rao KVP, Singh LJ (1995) "Forms of Acidity in some Inceptisols under Different Land Use in Manipur," J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci. 43(3):338-342 Not used in the other sections.
- Litton CM,R Santelices (2002). Early post-firesuccession in a *Nothofagus glauca* forest in the Coastal Cordillera of south-central Chile. International Journal of Wild land Fire 11:115-125
- Matschonat. G, R. Vogt (1997) "Effects of Changes in pH, Ionic Strength, and Sulphate Concentration on the CEC of the Temperate Acid Forest," Eur. J. Soil Sci.. 48(1):163-171.
- McGrath DA, Comerford NB, Duryea ML (2000). Litter dynamics and monthly fluctuations in soil phosphorus availability in an Amazonian agroforest. Forest Ecology and Management 131:167-181.
- Menaut JC Barbault P Levelle P, Lepage P (1985). African Savannas: Biological Systems of humification and mineralization In: Ecological and Management of the World's Savannas Tothil JC and Mott JJ (Eds), Australian Academy of Science, Banberra, pp: 14-33.
- Moughalu JI, Isichei (1991). Man and Biosphere research plots in Guinea Savannas: Floristics and structure of the vegetation. Afri. J. Ecol., 29:229-240.
- Paliwal K, Sundaravalli M (2002) "Effect of Fire on Nutrient Dynamics in a Semi-Arid Grazing Land Ecosystem of Madurai," *Cur. Sci.* 83(3):316-318.
- Perrott KW, Sarathchandra SU, Waller JE (1990). Seasonal storage and release of phosphorus and potassium by organic matter and the microbial biomass in a high-producing pastoral soil. Austr. J. Soil Res. 28:593-608.
- Ross DJ, Tate KR, Scott NA, Felthman CW (1999). Land-use change: effects onsoil carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus pools and fluxes in three adjacent ecosystems. Soil Biology & Biochemistry 31:803-813.
- Schlecht E, Buerkert A, Tielkes E, Bationo A (2006). A Critical analysis of challenges and opportunities for soil fertility restoration in Sudano -Sahelian West Africa. *Nutrient cycling in Agro ecosystems* 36:109-136.
- Sharma BM (1988). Foliar and soil nutrient status of some common plants of Rocky areas at Agmer, Ragastan Van Vigyan, 26:19-23.
- Styles D, Coxon C. (2007). Meteorological and management influences on seasonal variations in phosphorous fractions extracted from soils in western Ireland, Geoderma 142:52-164.
- Suwardji P, Eberbach PL (1998). Seasonal changes of physical properties of an Oxic Paleustalf Red Kandosol) after 16 years of direct drilling or conventional cultivation. Soil Tillage Res. 49:65-77.
- Walkley A, IA Black (1965). Determination of organic carbon in soil, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. 37:31-43.
- Wan S, H dafeng, Y Luo (2001) Fire effects onnitrogen pools and dynamics in terrestrial ecosystems: a meta-analysis. Ecological Applications 11:1349-1365. Not used in the other sections.
- White EW, WW Thompson, FR Gartner (1973) Heat effects on nutrient release from soils under ponderosa pine. Journal of Range Management 26:22-24.
- Zeng DH, Hu YL, Chang SX, Fan ZP (2009). Land cover change effects on soil chemical and biological properties after planting Mongolian pine (Pinus sylvestris var. mongolica) in sandy lands in Keerqin, northeastern China. Plant and Soil 317:121-133.