

Full Length Research Paper

Effect of intra-row spacing on yield of three onion (*Allium cepa* L.) varieties at Adami Tulu agricultural research center (mid rift valley of Ethiopia)

Geremew Awas*, Teshome Abdisa, Kasaye Tolesa and Amenti Chali

Adami Tulu Agricultural Research Center, P. O. Box, 35 Ziway, Ethiopia.

Accepted 10 November, 2009

An experiment to assess the Effect of plant density (intra-row spacing) on yield and yield components (bulb diameter, bulb weight, marketable and unmarketable bulb yield and total bulb yield Q/ha) of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) varieties (Bomby red, Adama red and Nasic red) were conducted in 2007 and 2008 at Adami Tulu Agricultural Research center, on horticulture research field, in mid rift valley of Ethiopia with the objective of; to identify optimum spacing between two consecutive plants and to recommend the best spacing combination for those three morphologically different varieties independently. The experiment was conducted using randomized complete block design with three replicates. Area occupied by a single plot is 4 x 3 m and with a spacing of 1.5 x 1 m between block and plot respectively. There are a total of 12 treatments. The analyzed result using SAS soft ware shows significance difference among those varieties with different spacing level like (4, 6, 8, 10 cm) by using 10 cm as check or control. For Adama red, A4 gave best marketable yield (220.55 ± 84 q/ha) followed by A6 (201.45 ± 60 q/ha) and for Bomby red B4 out yielded much better than the rest spacing (301.58 ± 77 q/ha). For the third variety N4 gave (324 ± 65 q/ha) marketable yield followed by N6 (251.5 ± 126 q/ha). Generally evaluating the three varieties with different spacing, Nasic red (N4) performs better followed by bomby red (B4). Among the three varieties, Adama red (A8) gave less yield performance than the rest varieties.

Key words: Intra row, marketable, spacing, yield.

INTRODUCTION

At present following tomato, onion (*Allium cepa*) is one of the most popular vegetables in the world. It is the recently introduced bulb crop in the agricultural community of Ethiopia and it is rapidly becoming a popular vegetable among producer and consumer. Onion is valued for its distinct pungency or mild flavor and form of essential ingredients of many dishes. It is consumed universally in small quantities and used in many home almost daily, primarily as a seasoning for flavoring of dishes, sauces, soup, and sandwiches in many countries of the world.

Onion also contains Vitamin B, Vitamin C, carbohydrate and small percent of proteins (lemma et al., 1994).

Onion contributes substantially to the national economy apart from overcoming local demand. Product like bulbs and cut flower are exported to different countries of the world. According to marketing report (ETFRUIT, 1985 - 87) the average annual scale of onion was estimated about 1.5 million birr. Cut flower also exported to European countries. This indicates that Ethiopia has high potential to benefit from onion crop. In view of this onion is one of the most important cash generating crops for farmers especially around east shoa zone (Central Statistical Authority, 2002).

However, one of the major problems to its production is improper agronomic practice used by farmers. The use of appropriate agronomic management has an undoubted contribution in increasing crop yield. The optimum level of any agronomic practice such as plant population, planting date, harvesting date, and fertilizer of the crop varies with environment, purpose of the crop and cultivar. Thus it

*Corresponding author: E-mail gerewas@yahoo.com.

Abbreviations: Q/ha; quintal per hectare, SAS; statistical analysis system, M; meter, Cm; centimeter, A; Adams red, B; Bombay red, N; nasic red, Mm; millimeter, E; east, Masl; meters above sea level, N; north, Gm; gram, CV; coefficient of variation, A; Adama red variety, B; Bomby red variety, N; Nasic red variety.

very difficult to give general recommendation that can be applicable to the different agro ecological zone (Upper Awash Agro-Industry Enterprise., 2001) where major growing area of onion. So that to optimize onion productivity, full package of information is required (Gupta et al., 1994; Lemma and shimeles, 2003).

Plant population is one of them that need to be optimized. The optimum use of spacing or plant population has dual advantage. It also avoids strong competition between plants for growth factor such as water, nutrient, and light. Conversely optimum plant population enables efficient use of available crop land without wastage (Zubelidia and Gases., 1977). Before 20 years it was recommended that 10 cm between two consecutive plant while transplanted to permanent field (FAO production yearbook., 1995). But evaluating the real situation what has adopted by farmers where a bit far from the recommendation. The reason mentioned is that difference in root and foliage growth system among the varieties, development of over sized bulbs that doesn't get customer, land wastage. Therefore it is a high time to respond those problems using the objective of:

- To identify optimum spacing between consecutive plants
- To recommend the best combination of those spacing for different varieties of onion

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Description of the study area

Adami Tulu Agricultural Research Center is located in the mid rift valley (MRV), 167km south of Addis Ababa on Awassa road. It lies at a latitude of 7° 9'N and 38° 7'E longitude .It has an altitude of 1650 m.a.s.l. and a bimodal unevenly distributed average annual rainfall of 760 mm. Rain fall extends from February to September with a dry period in May to June, which separates the preceding "short" rains from the following "long" rains. The pH of soil is 7.88 fine sandy loams with sandy clay in proportion of 34, 48 and 18 respectively (Adami Tulu Research Center profile, 1998).

Experimental methodology

The experiment was conducted at Adami Tulu Agricultural Research Center for the past two consecutive years (2007 and 2008) during off season using irrigation. Three onion varieties having different root and leaf growth system (Adama Red, Bombay Red and Nasik Red) planted with the spacing of 20cm between row and (10, 8, 6 and 4) between plant in this trial. Other agronomic and crop protection Practice (weeding, watering, recommended fertilizer application, application of chemicals as per as required and etc) was adopted uniformly as per recommended for onion Production.

The experiment was conducted using randomized complete block design with three replications. Area occupied by a single plot is 4 x 3 m and with a spacing of 1.5 x 1 m between block and plot respectively.

Statistical analysis

The collected data were subjected to SAS software using Duncan

multiple range taste for total average yield obtained in quintals hectare⁻¹, marketable and unmarketable yield in quintals hectare⁻¹, bulb weight plant⁻¹(gm), bulb diameter in Cm at alpha P = 0.05 level of significance difference.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Statistical analysis in Table 1 shows that all the three varieties, Bulb diameter in (cm) and unmarketable yield q/ha in first year (2007 cropping season) shows non significant difference at 5 % significant level among treatments. And the remaining parameters (Bulb weight /plant (gm), Marketable yield q/ha and Total yield q/ha) shows significance difference among treatments at P < 0.05 (Table 1). From the result of first year, Nasic red (N4) gave the maximum marketable yield (354.4 q/ha) followed by N6 (288.7 q/ha). And for Bomby red B4 out yielded 323.17 q/ha followed by B10 and B8 (288.8 and 266.3 q/ha) respectively. For Adama red, A6 gave the highest marketable yield (188.8q/ha) followed by A4 (172.2 q/ha).Among the three varieties Nasic red (N4) gave the maximum marketable bulb yield (354.4q/ha) followed by bombey red(B4) 323.17 q/ha while adam red (A10) gave the least marketable bulb yield (133.3 q/ha).

Statistical analysis in Table 2 shows that Bulb weight /plant (gm), Bulb diameter (cm), unmarketable bulb yield q/ha and Total yield q/ha shows significance difference among treatments at 5% significance level. While Marketable bulb yield q/ha shows non significance difference at p < 0.05 significance level, even if marketable yield shows non significance difference there is a clear average mean difference among treatments that is N4 gives the highest marketable bulb yield (294.6 q/ha) followed by N6(214.3 q/ha) and N10 gives the list marketable yield(195.7 q/ha).for Bomby red variety B4 gives the higher marketable yield(280.0 q/ha) followed by B6(244.9 q/ha) and B10 gives the list marketable yield (146.6 q/ha. For Adama red variety A4 gives higher marketable yield (268.9 q/ha) followed by A6 (214.1 q/ha) and A8 gives the list marketable bulb yield. When we compare the three varieties with different intra row spacing, Nasic red (N4) gives the maximum marketable yield (294.6 q/ha) among all treatments followed by B4 and A4 (280.0 and 268.9 q/ha) respectively. While B10 gives the list marketable bulb yield (146.6q/ha) among all treatments.

Statistical analysis in Table 3 shows that average bulb diameter (cm) for consecutive year's (2007 and 2008) have non significance difference at 5% significance level. Even though the result shows non significant difference, the average means of treatments shows clear mean difference in bulb diameter among all treatments. From the treatments bomby red, B10 gave the highest bulb diameter (5.82 ± 0.92a) followed by B4 (5.48 ± 0.53a) and for Adama red,A10 gives the highest bulb diameter (5.78 ± 0.46a) followed by A8 and A6 (5.65 ± 0.29a and 5.28 ± 0.38a) respectively.For Nasic red variety N10 gives the highest bulb diameter(5.645 ± 0.86a) followed by N8

Table 1. Some Onion traits as affected with different treatments for year 2007 (first year).

| Treatments | Bulb diameter (cm) | Bulb weight /plant (gm) | Marketable yield q/ha | Unmarketable yield q/ha | Total yield q/ha |
|------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| A4 | 5.03 ± 0.21a | 53.3 ± 7.64c | 172.2 ± 34.69cd | 16.67 ± 16.67a | 189.89 ± 41.94bc |
| A6 | 5.2 ± 0.5a | 68.97 ± 9.49abc | 188.8 ± 53.58bcd | 5.56 ± 9.62a | 194.44 ± 50.92bc |
| A8 | 5.8 ± 0.26a | 74.5 ± 4.92abc | 166.6 ± 0.0cd | 27.78 ± 9.62a | 194.44 ± 9.62bc |
| A10 | 5.5 ± 0.52a | 93.2 ± 17.16a | 133.3 ± 50.00d | 38.89 ± 41.94a | 172.22 ± 9.62c |
| B4 | 5.5 ± 0.66a | 57 ± 7.94c | 323.17 ± 57.99ab | 37.94 ± 23.88a | 361.11 ± 48.11a |
| B6 | 5.1 ± 0.04a | 58.33 ± 2.89bc | 247.2 ± 66.74abcd | 13.91 ± 12.04a | 261.11 ± 67.36abc |
| B8 | 5.1 ± 0.69a | 65.67 ± 22.12abc | 266.3 ± 123.19abcd | 5.91 ± 5.13a | 272.22 ± 118.24abc |
| B10 | 5.04 ± 0.52a | 74.33 ± 3.06abc | 288.8 ± 9.59abc | 16.68 ± 28.90a | 305.56 ± 38.49abc |
| N4 | 5.3 ± 0.31a | 57.33 ± 9.29c | 354.4 ± 53.40a | 17.78 ± 2.04a | 372.22 ± 53.58a |
| N6 | 4.96 ± 0.80a | 54.67 ± 20.50c | 288.7 ± 146.13abc | 27.92 ± 15.28a | 316.67 ± 160.73ab |
| N8 | 4.7 ± 0.40a | 55 ± 4.36 c | 283.4 ± 78.99abc | 33.23 ± 43.42a | 316.67 ± 76.38ab |
| N10 | 5.09 ± 0.92a | 87 ± 35.38ab | 277.7 ± 83.89abc | 44.44 ± 76.98a | 322.22 ± 50.92ab |
| CV% | 10.83 | 23.0 | 29.7 | 121.8 | 26.9 |

*Means followed by different letter(s) are significantly different at 5%level of significance

*A-Adama red variety, B-bomby red variety, N-Nasic red variety

*4 = 4 cm, 6 = 6 cm, 8 = 8 cm, 10 = 10 cm.

Table 2. Some Onion traits as affected with different treatments for year 2008 (second year).

| Treatments | Bulb diameter (cm) | Bulb weight/plant (gm) | Marketable yield q/ha | Unmarketable yield q/ha | Total yield q/ha |
|------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| A4 | 5.13 ± 0.46d | 66.47 ± 14.88b | 268.9 ± 97.06ab | 27.04 ± 27.12b | 295.9 ± 99.61ab |
| A6 | 5.36 ± 0.31bcd | 72.43 ± 22.98b | 214.1 ± 74.25ab | 1.6 ± 2.77b | 215.7 ± 71.59ab |
| A8 | 5.5 ± 0.26bcd | 80.17 ± 9.77b | 173.1 ± 45.77ab | 16.84 ± 14.65b | 190.02 ± 59.58a |
| A10 | 6.06 ± 0.15abcd | 101.3 ± 14.72ab | 183.1 ± 22.89ab | 46.61 ± 43.31b | 231.71 ± 59.66ab |
| B4 | 5.46 ± 0.51bcd | 76.27 ± 19.98b | 280.0 ± 100.28ab | 26.29 ± 43.33b | 306.34 ± 70.45a |
| B6 | 5.8 ± 0.82abcd | 101.3 ± 36.42ab | 244.9 ± 22.05ab | 57.97 ± 57.13b | 302.88 ± 36.17a |
| B8 | 5.76 ± 0.42abcd | 96.13 ± 20.27b | 206.6 ± 46.64ab | 28.52 ± 47.96b | 235.17 ± 55.47a |
| B10 | 6.6 ± 0.20a | 135.23 ± 15.95a | 146.6 ± 32.49b | 163.0 ± 72.38a | 309.68 ± 60.70a |
| N4 | 5.2 ± 0.52d | 76.27 ± 10.57b | 294.6 ± 69.91a | 33.64 ± 29.14b | 328.32 ± 80.93a |
| N6 | 5.26 ± 0.32cd | 66.93 ± 14.78b | 214.3 ± 119.22ab | 1.52 ± 2.63b | 218.8 ± 118.99a |
| N8 | 6.3 ± 1.18ab | 69.9 ± 6.67b | 181.7 ± 9.15ab | 37.78 ± 22.37b | 219.51 ± 16.77a |
| N10 | 6.2 ± 0.26abc | 97.7 ± 25.73b | 195.7 ± 60.93ab | 25.58 ± 44.30b | 221.29 ± 50.53a |
| CV% | 8.83 | 22.9 | 31.5 | 102.56 | 27.28 |

*Means followed by different letter(s) are significantly different at 5%level of significance

*A-Adama red variety, B-Bomby red variety, N-Nasic red variety

*4 = 4 cm, 6 = 6 cm, 8 = 8 cm, 10 = 10 cm.

Table 3. Some Onion traits as affected with different treatments for year for combination years (2007 and 2008).

| Treatments | Bulb diameter(cm) | Bulb weight/plant (gm) | Marketable yield q/ha | Unmarketable yield q/ha | Total yield q/ha |
|------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| A4 | 5.08 ± 0.33a | 59.885 ± 13d | 220.55 ± 84bc | 21.855 ± 21b | 242.35 ± 90 bc |
| A6 | 5.28 ± 0.38a | 70.7 ± 16cd | 201.45 ± 60bc | 3.58 ± 6.7b | 205.05 ± 57c |
| A8 | 5.65 ± 0.29a | 77.335 ± 7.6bcd | 169.85 ± 29c | 22.31 ± 13b | 192.21 ± 38c |
| A10 | 5.78 ± 0.46a | 97.25 ± 15ab | 158.2 ± 44c | 42.75 ± 39b | 201.96 ± 50c |
| B4 | 5.48 ± 0.53a | 66.635 ± 17d | 301.58 ± 77ab | 32.115 ± 32b | 333.72 ± 62ab |
| B6 | 5.45 ± 0.61a | 79.815 ± 33bcd | 246.05 ± 44abc | 35.94 ± 44b | 281.99 ± 53abc |
| B8 | 5.43 ± 0.62a | 80.9 ± 25bcd | 236.45 ± 89abc | 17.215 ± 33b | 253.69 ± 85abc |
| B10 | 5.82 ± 0.92a | 104.78 ± 35a | 217.7 ± 81bc | 89.87 ± 94a | 307.59 ± 46ab |
| N4 | 5.25 ± 0.39a | 66.8 ± 14d | 324.5 ± 65a | 25.71 ± 20b | 350.26 ± 66a |
| N6 | 5.11 ± 0.57a | 60.8 ± 17d | 251.5 ± 126abc | 14.72 ± 17b | 267.7 ± 137abc |
| N8 | 5.5 ± 9.6a | 62.45 ± 1.2d | 232.55 ± 75abc | 35.505 ± 31b | 268.06 ± 73abc |
| N10 | 5.645 ± 0.86a | 92.35 ± 28abc | 236.7 ± 80abc | 35.01 ± 57b | 271.75 ± 72abc |
| CV% | 9.83 | 22.95 | 30.6 | 112.1 | 26.69 |

*Means followed by different letter(s) are significantly different at 5% level of significance

*A-Adama red variety, B-bomby red variety, N-nasic red variety

*4 = 4 cm, 6 = 6 cm, 8 = 8 cm, 10 = 10 cm.

(5.5 ± 9.6a). from all spacing level and varieties bomby red, B10 gives the highest bulb diameter (5.82 ± 0.92a) followed by adama red, A10 (5.78 ± 0.46a). Among all treatments Adama red (A4) gives the list bulb diameter (5.08 ± 0.33a) Table 3.

The average weight of bulb plant⁻¹ was significantly affected by plant density at P < 0.05 significance level. The average mean result of two years shows that the weight of bulb plant⁻¹ ranges from 104.78 - 59.885 (gm) (Table 3). From this, bomby red variety (B10) gains the highest bulb weight plant⁻¹ (104.78 ± 35) followed by B8 and B6 (80.9 ± 25bcd and 79.815 ± 33bcd) respectively. For Adama red variety (A10) gives the maximum bulb weight plant⁻¹ (97.25 ± 15ab) followed by A8 (77.335 ± 7.6bcd). in case of Nasic red (N10) gives the maximum bulb weight plant⁻¹ (92.35 ± 28abc) followed by N4 (66.8 ± 14d). among all varieties and spacing level bomby red (B10) earns the maximum bulb weight plant⁻¹

(104.78 ± 35a) followed by adama red (A10) 97.25 ± 15ab and N10 (92.35 ± 28abc). among all treatments A4 earns the list bulb weight plant⁻¹ (59.885 ± 13d) (Table 3).

Marketable yield was significantly affected by both varieties difference and plant density. Among the cultivars and spacing level, N4 shows maximum marketable bulb yield (324.5 ± 65a) followed by B4, N6 and B6 (301.58 ± 77ab, 251.5 ± 126abc, 246.05 ± 44abc) respectively. From all treatments A8 and A10 gave the list marketable yield (169.85 ± 29c, 158.2 ± 44c) respectively (Table 3). from this parameter it shows us that varieties planted with wider spacing gives maximum bulb diameter which resulting in increment in unmarketable yield and decrease the marketable yield. This result shows us that plant density have effect on marketable yield by affecting bulb diameter that is which result in increase in both under size and over-size (unmarketable yield) that leads to lack of de-

mand on market for produced bulb.

From the result shown unmarketable bulb yield gives non significant difference at 5 % significance level. Even if it shows non significant difference, there is a mean bulb yield difference across the treatments. From this, B10 gives the maximum unmarketable yield (89.87 ± 94a) followed by A10, B6 and N8 (42.75 ± 39b, 35.94 ± 44b and 35.505 ± 31b) respectively. Among all treatments A6 gives the list unmarketable bulb yield (3.58 ± 6.7b). From this result we observe that varieties with wider spacing gives maximum unmarketable yield due to it gives higher bulb diameter that result in oversize bulb diameter (unmarketable yield). From this it indicates that the lower unmarketable bulb yield, the better the produced bulb got demand on market (Table 3).

The total bulb yield of onion was significantly affected by planting density as seen from SAS 1999-2000 version analysis result for both conse--

culative years (2007 and 2008) at 5% significance level. Amongst the cultivars and plant density N4 earns the maximum total bulb yield ($350.26 \pm 66a$) followed by B4 and B10 ($333.72 \pm 62ab$, $307.59 \pm 46ab$) respectively. From all treatments A10 and A8 gives the lowest average total bulb yield ($201.96 \pm 50c$ and $192.21 \pm 38c$) respectively (Table 3).

Conclusion and Recommendation

The higher yield and better control of over or under bulb size could be obtained if plants are grown at optimum density. The control of plant spacing is vulnerable way of controlling bulb size, shape and yield. From this experiment different spacing have effect on different cultivars as different varieties have different root and leaf growth habit as general it is concluded that different spacing have effect on different varieties of onion, finally for areas like Adami Tulu (central rift valley of Ethiopia) intra row spacing of 4 cm for Nasik red variety, 6cm for bomby red variety and 4 cm for adama red variety is highly recommended to earn maximum marketable yield and to reduce unmarketable bulb yield.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors owe a great depth to Oromiya Agricultural Research institute to allocate all necessary budgets for this research. And my depth thanks goes to Adami Tulu Agricultural research center, Horticulture Research team researchers, with out their assistance and hospitality this paper may not be materialized.

REFERENCE

- Central Statistical Authority (2002). Ethiopia Agricultural, Sample Enumeration of ETFRUIT. Annual Report. 983/84-1997/98 Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- FAO (1995). Production yearbook.
- Gupta SSS, Gaffer MA (1980). Effect of Row spacing and different combination of N.P.K. FERTILIZER on the yield of onion. *Bangla. Hortic.* 8: 8-12
- Lemma D, Seifu GM, Eerath E (1994). Seed production studies on vegetables. In. Herath EE, Lemma D eds. Proceeding of the second national Horticultural workshop. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Lemma D, Shimeles A (2003). Research Experiences in Onion production, Research Report No. 55. Ethiopia Agricultural Research Organization, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Upper Awash Agro-Industry Enterprise (2001). Progress Report 1996-2002, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. Agricultural product 2001/2002, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Zubelidia A, Gases JL (1977). Effect of spacing and Number of stem on the Earliness and Total yield of Tomato cultivars. *Product. Veg.* 7: 73