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The type of gene action conferring combined resistance to maize streak virus (MSV) and maize stripe virus (MStV) was investigated in a Quality Protein Maize (QPM) population, ART/98/SW6-OB. Full-sib and half-sib progenies generated using North Carolina Design I mating scheme were evaluated in 2015 and 2016 in two locations endemic to the viral diseases in Nigeria. Serological study was also conducted on the progenies to validate the disease scores on the field. Significant mean square of genotypes was observed for MSV severity, MStV incidence and severity, plant height and days to flowering, while mean square of environment by females in males' interaction was significant for grain yield and MSV incidence. Estimates of additive variances were larger than dominance variance for grain yield and MSV severity with moderate to high narrow-sense heritability estimates. This indicates that there is adequate genetic variability for improving grain yield and resistance to MSV in the maize population. The mean titre values of MSV and MStV for the progenies were significantly higher than the healthy control. MSV and MStV incidence were negatively correlated to days to 50% silking. Titre values were negatively correlated with grain yield but positively correlated with MSV and MStV incidence. Recurrent selection method that capitalizes on both additive and dominance variances would be effective in improving the population for grain yield and resistance to MSV and MStV diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

The development of quality protein maize (QPM) which contains twice the levels of tryptophan and lysine in most normal endosperm maize has brought a great hope for human and animal nutrition (Akande and Lamidi, 2006). Despite this good attribute, QPM varieties are threatened by downy mildew and Maize Streak Virus (MSV) diseases among other constraints (Mariote, 2007).

Maize (Zea mays L.) has been reported to be a natural host for more than 30 viruses (Lapierre and Signoret, 2004). Maize stripe virus (MStV) and MSV are two of the biotic factors responsible for reduced yield in maize in Africa (Shepherd et al., 2010). MSV, a geminivirus, indigenous of Africa, is transmitted by at least eleven Cicadulina species with C. mbila as the main vector. The symptoms are characterized by broken to almost continuous chlorotic stripes centered on tertiary leaf veins.
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Maize plants infected within the first three weeks after emergence become severely stunted, producing abnormal cobs or giving no yield at all (Pinner et al., 1988). MStV is a tenuivirus group transmitted by the maize delphacid, *Peregrinus maidis* (Ashmead) (Homoptera: Delphacidae) in a persistent-propagative manner (Tsai and Brown, 1994). MStV is also transmitted through the egg of the planthopper vector (Tsai and Zitter, 1982). Initial symptoms of MStV are fine chlorotic stripings between leaf veins which later develop into continuous chlorotic stripes of varying width and intensity (Tsai, 1975). Young plants infected at the early growth stage often are stunted with twisted whorl leaves (Tsai, 1975). Both MSV and MStV have been reported to cause up to 30 to 100% reduction in maize yield (Alegbejo et al., 2002; Roca De Doyle et al., 2007).

Although integrated pest management (IPM) has been recommended as a viable option of control, the most appropriate, environmentally safe and economically viable method to minimize damage caused by maize viruses is host plant resistance (Thottappilly et al., 1993; Alegbejo et al., 2002; Lagat et al., 2008).

In 1975, researchers at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Nigeria detected resistance to MSV in cv. Tropical Zea Yellow (TZY), after it was then improved through mass selection and transferred to the most productive varieties (Soto et al., 1982). Although Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maíz y Trigo (CIMMYT) and IITA have worked extensively on breeding maize populations adapted to the forest zone, 200 non-inbreds (S₀) plants were randomly selected from the maize population and used to produce both full- and half-sib progenies using the North Carolina Design I (NCD I) mating scheme of Comstock and Robinson (1952). Forty individual S₀ plants designated as male parents were each crossed to four different individuals designated as female to produce one hundred and sixty progenies for evaluation. To enhance flower synchronization, male parents were planted 3 days after female parents. To reduce replication size and increase precision of the experiment, the individuals were grouped into sets by males. Five sets of eight males each or 32 full-sib progenies were considered for the experiment.

**Field evaluation**

The 160 progenies were evaluated under natural infection by MSV and MStV in 2015 and 2016 at Ilora (Lat. 7° 81'N, Long. 3° 82'E) in the transition zone between rain forest and derived savanna and Ikenne (Lat. 6° 54'N, Long. 03° 42'E) in the humid forest both in Southwest and Southeastern zone of Nigeria, a zone well known to be endemic to various maize diseases in Nigeria. The evaluations in both years were carried out towards the late season (July) when viral infections reach the peak (Fajemisin, 2003). A randomized incomplete block design with three replications was used for evaluation in each location. A single row plot of 3m length and plant spacing of 0.75m between rows and 0.25m within rows was used. Two seeds were sown per hole and later thinned to one plant per hill at three weeks after planting (WAP) to get a maximum of 13 plants per plot and a plant density of 53,333 plants ha⁻¹. Other cultural practices carried out at both location included weeding and fertilizer application. Paraquat and primextra herbicide were sprayed a day after planting at the rate of 3l/ha. One hand weeding was done at four weeks after planting, while supplementary herbicide spray was done at flowering using parquat alone. A basal application of compound fertilizer (NPK 20:10:10) was applied at 2WAP at a rate of 60kg N/ha and Urea at 6WAP at 60kg N/ha for optimum plant growth (Oloyede-Kamiyo, 2013).

**Detection of MSV and MStV using ELISA technique**

Symptomatic and asymptomatic leaf samples were collected from the 160 maize progenies before tasseling. Double antibody sandwich (DAS) ELISA was used to detect the presence of MSV.
Table 1. General form of analysis of variance of North Carolina Design I experiments pooled over sets and over environments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>Expected mean square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment (e)</td>
<td>e-1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rep/e</td>
<td>e(r-1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set/Rep/e</td>
<td>er(s-1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males/Sets</td>
<td>s(m-1)</td>
<td>M5</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \rho^2 \sigma_m + \rho \sigma_{em} + \rho \sigma_{f/m} + \sigma_{ef/m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females/Males/Sets</td>
<td>ms(f-1)</td>
<td>M4</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \rho \sigma_{ef/m} + \sigma_{ef/m}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E x Males/Sets</td>
<td>(e-1)s(m-1)</td>
<td>M3</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \rho \sigma_{em}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E x Females/Males/Sets</td>
<td>(e-1)ms(f-1)</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \rho \sigma_{em}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled error</td>
<td>es(r-1)(mf-1)</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>$\sigma^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>esrmf-1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

df: Degree of freedom; $r =$ number of replications; $f =$ number of females per male; $s =$ number of sets; $e =$ number of environments; $m =$ number of males in set; $\sigma^2 =$ variance due to environment; $\sigma_m =$ variance due to male; $\sigma_{ef/m} =$ variance due to females within male; $\sigma_{em} =$ variance due to interaction of male with environment; $\sigma_{ef/m} =$ variance due to interaction of females within male with environment.

...and MStV in the progenies generated. The antibodies to the two viruses were obtained from Agdia-Bioford Inc, Elkhart, Indiana, USA. For each virus, microtitre wells of ELISA plates were loaded with 100 μl of capture antibody and the plates incubated for 4 h at room temperature (25°C±1.0). Plates were washed three times with 1X phosphate buffer saline-tween 20 (PBS-T). Approximately, 1 g of leaf sample was grinded in 1 ml of Agdia’s general extract buffer (GEB). Extracted samples were coated into the duplicate wells of the microtitre plates at 100 μl per well. Absorbance values were read at 405 nm using a Microtitter Plate Reader (Biotek, ELx800). Samples were considered positive when the values of the test sample were twice the mean value of the sap of healthy plant or negative controls.

Data analyses

Means and ranges were estimated. Percentage data were transformed using arcsine transformation before analysis (Steel and Torrie, 1960). Years and locations were pooled as environment. The progenies were referred to as genotypes in the analysis. Analysis of variance for North Carolina Design I (NCD I) was performed using PROC GLM of SAS (Version 9.1). Random model was assumed for the analysis (genotypes and environments were random). The model for NCD I for one environment is:

$$Y_{ijk} = u + m_i + f_j + r_k + e_{ijk} \quad \text{(Hallauer et al., 2010)}$$

where $u$ is the mean, $m_i$ is the effect of the $i$th male, $f_j$ is the effect of the $j$th female mated to the $i$th male, $r_k$ is the replication effect, and $e_{ijk}$ is the experimental error. After analyzing for each season, Bartlett test for homogeneity of variance was carried out to test for significance between the two seasons. Data were then pooled over sets and over environments and analysed. The form of analysis of variance pooled over sets and over environments (seasons and locations) is as shown in Table 1. Mean square (MS) of ‘males within sets’ was tested with MS due to ‘environment x males in sets’ interaction and ‘females in males in sets’. MS of ‘females in male within sets’ and MS due to ‘environment x males in sets’ interaction were tested by MS due to ‘environment x females in males in sets’ interaction. MS of ‘environment x females in males in sets’ interaction was tested with MS error (Hallauer et al., 2010). Additive variance ($\sigma^2_a$), dominance variance ($\sigma^2_d$) and their interactions with environments were estimated from mean squares of ANOVA (Table 1) as:

$$\sigma^2_m = (M5-M4-M3+M2)/ref,$$
Table 2. Mean ± S.E and ranges for agronomic and disease traits of progenies of the QPM population ART/98/SW6-OB under MSV and MStV infections at Ilora and Ikenne, Nigeria in 2015 and 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>Mean ± S.E</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days to 50% silking</td>
<td>59.79±0.11</td>
<td>51-72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm)</td>
<td>146.92±0.70</td>
<td>80-280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear height (cm)</td>
<td>63.32±0.42</td>
<td>22-115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husk cover rating (1-9)</td>
<td>2.82±0.05</td>
<td>0-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear aspect (1-9)</td>
<td>2.82±0.05</td>
<td>1-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield (t/ha)</td>
<td>1.84±0.04</td>
<td>0-11.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSV incidence (%)</td>
<td>2.90±0.28</td>
<td>0-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSV severity (1-5)</td>
<td>2.03±0.07</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MStV incidence (%)</td>
<td>37.78±0.61</td>
<td>0-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MStV severity (1-5)</td>
<td>3.05±0.02</td>
<td>1-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S.E: Standard error, (1-5): 1 = excellent, 5 = poor.

Figure 1. Percentage MSV incidence in Ilora and Ikenne in 2015 and 2016.

\[ \sigma^2_{f/m/s} = (M4-M2)/re \]
\[ \sigma^2a = 4\sigma^2m; \]
\[ \sigma^2d = 4(\sigma^2f/m - \sigma^2m) \]
\[ \sigma^2m = (M5-M4-M3+M2)/ref, \]
\[ \sigma^2f/m/s = (M4-M2)/re \]
\[ \sigma^2e/m/s = (M3-M2)/ref; \]
\[ \sigma^2 e/f/m/s = (M2-M1)/r \]

Narrow-sense heritability (NSH) and its standard error were calculated according to Hallauer and Miranda (1988). Phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients were computed using variance-covariance matrix and estimates of genotypic and phenotypic variances as described by Falconer (1996).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Ranges were high for all the traits studied (Table 2). The wide ranges suggested wide variability for these traits in the maize population. MStV incidence was much higher (37.8%) than MSV incidence (2.9%). MSV and MStV incidence were much higher in Ilora than Ikenne in both 2015 and 2016 (Figure 1 and 2). MSV incidence was lower in both locations in both years compared to MStV incidence (Figure 1) indicating that MSV was not as prevalent in the locations and seasons under study as MStV. Taiwo et al. (2006) also reported low incidence of MSV (0 to 20%) and maize mottle/chlorotic stunt virus in and around Lagos state, Nigeria. This suggests that disease incidence and severity will differ from year to year and from location to location. The moderate grain yield (1.84 ton/ha) despite the high MStV incidence suggested that the maize population has some level of tolerance for MStV infection.

Karavina et al. (2014) also identified some hybrids with multiple resistance to turcicum leaf blight and MSV. QPM varieties are known to be more vulnerable to diseases
because of the soft floury endosperm of the Opaque-2 maize which foster fungal growth (NRC, 1988). Bartlett test for homogeniety of variance showed that some traits were significant in the two seasons, while some were not (Table 3).

Combined analysis of variance showed significant mean squares for males in set for days to silking, plant height and MSV severity (Table 4). Mean square (MS) for ‘females within males in set’ was significant for MSV incidence and severity and most of the agronomic traits except grain yield and ear aspect. MS of ‘environment by female in set’ interaction was significant for ear aspect, grain yield, husk cover rating, MSV incidence and MSV incidence and severity. MS of ‘environment by male in set interaction’ was significant for only MSV severity among the disease traits (Table 4).

Ige et al. (2017) reported significant MS for GCA by environment and SCA by genotype x environment interactions for maize streak severity. The significant MS of genotypes for plant height, days to 50% silking and the disease traits indicated that the characters are under genetic control and improvement could be made on them. Similar observation was made by Ininda et al. (2006) and Asea (2005) for MSV score. Dintinger et al. (2005) also reported significant MS of genotypes for maize stripe incidence and severity. Rodier et al. (1995) reported that resistance to MSV in S1 and S2 lines derived from population CVR3-C3 was under genetic control with major genes controlling high to complete resistance and minor genes controlling partial resistance. However, Akande and Lamidi (2006) working on three fungal diseases reported on susceptibility of eight QPM varieties to varying degrees with no significant varietal differences. Estimate of dominance variance for most of the traits studied except for grain yield and MSV severity (Table 5). This corroborates the finding of Kim et al. (1989) who reported that resistance to MSV in 1B32 inbred line from IITA is controlled quantitatively, mainly by additive gene action, with relatively small (2 to 3) number of genes involved. Studies conducted by Pixley et al. (1997) showed that additive effects are important for resistance. Lorroki (2009) working on six generations also reported that MSV is controlled by additive gene effect with dominance x dominance epistatic interaction. The number of effective factors was estimated to be between 2 to 7 genes. Ige (2016) reported that additive gene effect was preponderant for MSV severity, while non-additive gene effect was important for grain yield. Sibiya et al. (2013) however reported that additive gene effect was preponderant for grain yield.

Gichuru (2013) stated that varying gene actions controlling grain yield is dependent on the parent and the environment under consideration. Additive genetic variance for husk cover rating and ear aspect were negative and were therefore equated to zero. Dominance variance being larger than additive variance for MSV incidence, MStV incidence and severity with average degree of dominance being above unity has also been reported (Dintinger et al., 2005; Rodier et al., 1995; Asea, 2005). Dintinger et al. (2005) reported that resistance
to MSV is quantitative and may vary in relation to cumulative number of inoculative planthoppers by hour. Rodier et al. (1995) also reported that dominance was important for resistance to MSV. Asea (2005) reported mostly dominance gene action for MSV. Out of the 10 traits studied, average degree of dominance ($\sigma^d/\sigma^2$) was above unity for five of the traits. No dominance was observed for husk cover rating, ear aspect, grain yield and MSV incidence, while partial dominance was observed for MSV severity (Table 5).

The report of Mariote (2007) corroborates the finding in the present study that resistance to MSV is controlled by partial dominance. This result is however surprising because this population has not been selected. According to Hallauer and Miranda (1988), in an unselected maize material, the variance for GCA, an indicator of additive genetic variance was larger than the variance for SCA, related to dominance variance. Average degree of dominance being above unity for MSV incidence and severity and the agronomic traits suggest presence of complete dominance for the genes affecting these traits or possible occurrence of over-dominance at some loci (Hallauer and Miranda, 1988).

The strikingly high degree of dominance and very low heritability for MSV severity suggests presence of epistatic variance and considerable portion of genotype by environment interaction variance. This is revealed in the mean square table with environment by male in set interaction being significant for MSV severity. The build-up of dominance variance component may be due to the fact that when there is overdominance, heterozygote is favoured, and both favourable and unfavourable alleles will be accumulated in the population instead of eliminating the unfavourable alleles. The presence of both additive and dominance variance in the maize population, ART/98/SW6-OB, suggests that breeding scheme that capitalizes on both types of gene action such as $S_1$ selection, half-sib or test cross could be used to improve the population for resistance to both maize MSV and MStV diseases.

Narrow-sense heritability (NSH) estimate was moderate for most of the traits. It was least in MSV severity (4.4%) and highest in plant height (51.0%) (Table 5). Among the disease traits, MSV severity had the highest NSH of 44.1%. Ige (2016) also reported high NSH (55.3%) for maize MSV severity. Negative NSH were observed for husk cover rating and ear aspect. The moderate to high heritability, and wide ranges observed in the maize population for grain yield, MSV severity, days to silking and plant height, suggests that there is adequate genetic variation in the population for grain yield and resistance to the MSV and that progress would be made from selection. The heritability value obtained for MSV in this study falls within the range obtained by Asea (2005) on MSV. Soto et al. (1982) also found resistance to be simply inherited and fixable rapidly through breeding for MSV.

Means of ELISA titre values for MSV and MStV are shown in Table 6. Means of the progenies were significantly higher than the control except for MSV titre in 2015. Ranges of the titre values were moderately wide for both MSV and MStV in each season (Table 6). The mean ELISA titre values being significantly higher than the healthy control revealed the presence of the viruses in the maize population. Some of the progenies tested positive while some tested negative compared with the healthy control (data not shown). The ranges of the titres presented here further indicated that there is variability for resistance to the double viruses in the maize population. ELISA technique has been widely used to detect the presence of viruses in maize with or without disease symptoms (Kumar et al., 2004; Fajinmi et al., 2012).

Estimates of correlation coefficients among

---

Table 5. Components of genetic variances and narrow-sense heritability estimates for agronomic and disease traits of progenies of Quality Protein Maize (QPM) population ART/98/SW6-OB under maize streak virus (MSV) and maize stripe virus (MStV) infections at Ilora and Ikenne, Nigeria in 2015 and 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Days to 50% silking</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>Ear height (cm)</th>
<th>Husk cover rating (1-9)</th>
<th>Ear aspect (1-9)</th>
<th>Grain yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>MSV incidence (%)</th>
<th>MSV severity (1-5)</th>
<th>MStV incidence (%)</th>
<th>MStV severity (1-5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^a$</td>
<td>0.69±1.92</td>
<td>49.89±55.04</td>
<td>9.90±43.46</td>
<td>-0.01±0.28</td>
<td>-0.01±0.21</td>
<td>0.27±0.32</td>
<td>0.00±0.01</td>
<td>0.05±0.21</td>
<td>0.01±0.07</td>
<td>0.06±1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^d$</td>
<td>3.62±5.21</td>
<td>52.19±97.19</td>
<td>42.35±106.88</td>
<td>0.54±0.99</td>
<td>0.11±0.60</td>
<td>-1.46±5.68</td>
<td>0.00±0.03</td>
<td>0.03±0.47</td>
<td>0.05±0.17</td>
<td>1.68±24.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^d/\sigma^2$</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>-54.00</td>
<td>-11.00</td>
<td>-5.41</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h^2$%±S.E</td>
<td>34.75±0.01</td>
<td>51.10±1.24</td>
<td>27.20±0.86</td>
<td>4.80±0.01</td>
<td>4.50±0.01</td>
<td>10.52±0.01</td>
<td>22.13±0.26</td>
<td>44.13±0.004</td>
<td>12.13±0.003</td>
<td>4.40±0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\sigma^a$: Additive variance; $\sigma^d$: Dominance variance; $\sigma^d/\sigma^2$: average degree of dominance; $h^2$: Narrow-sense heritability; S.E: Standard error. Negative variances and were equated to zero. (1-5): 1- excellent, 5- poor; (1-9): 1- excellent, 9- poor.
traits under MSV and MStV infections are shown in Table 7. Days to 50% silking had negative correlations with plant height ($r_p = -0.24^*$) and grain yield ($r_p = -0.21^*$, $r_g = -0.21$). Grain yield had positive and significant correlations with plant height ($r_p = 0.32^*$, $r_g = 0.35$) but negative correlation with husk cover rating ($r_p = -0.28^*$, $r_g = -0.35$). This indicates that taller plants with good husk cover rating tend to have better grain yield. For the relationship among agronomic and disease traits, days to 50% silking had negative genotypic correlations with maize MSV incidence ($-0.36$) and MStV incidence ($-0.62$).

Plant height also had negative correlations with disease incidence except with MStV incidence ($r_g = 0.63$) although phenotypic correlation was not significant for MSV and MStV incidence. The significant negative phenotypic correlation between plant height and disease incidence was also observed by Mariote (2007) and Asea (2005). Mariote (2007) reported negative phenotypic correlation between MSV and plant height, while Asea (2005) reported negative correlation between MSV and days to silking.

Grain yield was significantly correlated with MSV titre ($r_p = -0.32^*$, $r_g = -0.34$) and MStV titre ($r_p = -0.33^{**}$, $r_g = -0.49$). The negative correlation between grain yield and the titre values indicated that the lower the titre values the higher the yield. The positive phenotypic correlation between grain

### Table 6. Mean of ELISA titre values ($A_{405n m}$) for the progenies of the QPM population ART/98/SW6-OB under MSV and MStV infections at Ilora and Ikenne, Nigeria in 2015 and 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Titre value</th>
<th>MSV</th>
<th>MStV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Progenies titre</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Samples are considered positive when the titre values of the test samples are twice the mean value of the control.

### Table 7. Phenotypic (above diagonal) and genotypic (below diagonal) correlation coefficients of agronomic and disease traits of progenies of ART/98/SW6-OB under MSV and MStV infections at Ilora and Ikenne, Nigeria in 2015 and 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Days to 50% silking</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>Husk cover rating (1-5)</th>
<th>MSV incidence (%)</th>
<th>MSV severity (1-5)</th>
<th>Grain yield (t/ha)</th>
<th>MStV incidence (%)</th>
<th>MSV titre</th>
<th>MStV titre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Days to 50% silking</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.24*</td>
<td>0.20*</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>-0.21*</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plant height (cm)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.25**</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.32**</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husk cover rating (1-5)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.28*</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSV incidence (%)</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.69**</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSV severity (1-5)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.22*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield (t/ha)</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.32**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MStV incidence (%)</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSV titre</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>-0.89**</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MStV titre</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* and **: Significant at $P = 0.05$ and 0.01 respectively.
yield and MSV incidence and severity was also reported by Mariote (2007). Lorroki (2009) reported that MSV disease significantly reduced stover dry matter and grain yield with yield loss ranging between 8 to 48%. Strong relationship existed between MSV incidence and severity (rp =0.69**, rg = 0.80).

MSV and MSTIV incidence also had strong correlation with MSV and MSTIV titres. The strong positive relationship between the titre values and MSV and MSTIV incidence further supports the ability of ELISA technique to detect various viral infections. The significant correlation between MSV incidence and severity indicates that the higher the incidence, the higher the severity. Similar observation was made between MSTIV incidence and severity (Dintinger et al., 2005). Highly significant correlation also existed between the two titres (rp =0.89**, rg = 0.84). This is an indication of possible interaction between the two viruses and among diseases generally on plants. Karavina et al. (2014) reported that yield of MSV-infected plants was not significantly different from yield of turcicum leaf blight-infected plants.

Conclusion

The larger additive variance estimates and moderate to high heritability for grain yield and MSV severity with wide ranges indicates that improving the maize population for grain yield and MSV resistance would be successful. However, progress in improving the population for MSTIV resistance would be slow due to the low heritability estimates for MSTIV scores. Breeding scheme that capitalizes on both additive and dominance gene action such as S$_j$ selection, half-sib or test cross could be used to improve the population for resistance to both maize MSV and MSTIV diseases.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES


Ige AD (2016). Combining ability, heterosis and heritability of agronomic traits and resistance to maize streak virus in maize inbred lines. M. Phil. Thesis. Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Kumasi, Ghana. xix + 92p.


School of Biochemistry, Genetics, Microbiology and Plant Pathology.
Faculty of Science and Agriculture University of KwaZulu-Natal Pietermaritzburg, Republic of South Africa, viii+113p.
